CONTENTS.

BOOK VIII.

THE REVOLUTION. 1683—1760.

CHAPTER III.

PAGE.
THE FALL OF THE STUARTS. 1683—1714 9

APTER IV.

THE HOUSE OF HANOVER. 1714—1760 105

BOOK IX.

MODERN ENGLAND. 1760—1815.

CHAPTER I

ENGLAND AND ITS EMPIRE. 1760—1767 189

CHAPTER II.

THE INDEPENDENCE OF AMERICA. 1767—1782 235

CHAPTER III.

INDUSTRIAL ENGLAND. 1782—1792 264

CONTENTS.

CHAPTER IV.

ENGLAND AND REVOLUTIONARY FRANCE. 1792—1801 . . 299

CHAPTER V.

ENGLAND AND NAPOLEON. 1801—1815 330

INDEX 391

BOOK VIII.

THE REVOLUTION—(*Continued*).

1660—1760.

CHAPTER III.

THE FALL OF THE STUARTS, 1683—1714.

In 1683 the Constitutional opposition which had held Charles so long in check lay crushed at his feet. A weaker man might easily have been led to play the mere tyrant by the mad outburst of loyalty which greeted his triumph. On the very day when the crowd around Russell's scaffold were dipping their handkerchiefs in his blood as in the blood of a martyr the University of Oxford solemnly declared that the doctrine of passive obedience even to the worst of rulers was a part of religion. But Charles saw that immense obstacles still lay in the road of a mere tyranny. Ormond and the great Tory party which had rallied to his succor against the Exclusionists were still steady for parliamentary and legal government. The Church was as powerful as ever, and the mention of a renewal of the Indulgence to Nonconformists had to be withdrawn before the opposition of the bishops. He was careful therefore during the few years which remained to him to avoid the appearance of any open violation of public law. He suspended no statute. He imposed no tax by Royal authority. Galling to the Crown as the freedom of the press and the Habeas Corpus Act were soon found to be, Charles made no attempt to curtail the one or to infringe the other. But while cautious to avoid rousing popular resistance, he moved coolly and resolutely forward on the path of despotism. It was in vain that Halifax pressed for energetic resistance to the aggressions of France, for the recall of Monmouth, or for the calling of a fresh Parliament. Like

every other English statesman he found he had been duped. Now that his work was done he was suffered to remain in office but left without any influence in the government. Hyde, who was created Earl of Rochester, still remained at the head of the Treasury; but Charles soon gave more of his confidence to the supple and acute Sunderland, who atoned for his desertion of the King's cause in the heat of the Exclusion Bill by an acknowledgment of his error and a pledge of entire accordance with the King's will.

The protests both of Halifax and of Danby, who was now released from the Tower, in favor of a return to Parliaments were treated with indifference, the provisions of the Triennial Act were disregarded, and the Houses remained unassembled during the remainder of the King's reign. His secret alliance with France furnished Charles with the funds he immediately required, and the rapid growth of the customs through the increase of English commerce promised to give him a revenue which, if peace were preserved, would save him from any further need of fresh appeals to the Commons. Charles was too wise however to look upon Parliaments as utterly at an end: and he used this respite to secure a House of Commons which should really be at his disposal. The strength of the Country party had been broken by its own dissensions over the Exclusion Bill and by the flight or death of its more prominent leaders. Whatever strength it retained lay chiefly in the towns, whose representation was for the most part virtually or directly in the hands of their corporations, and whose corporations, like the merchant class generally, were in sympathy Whig. The towns were now attacked by writs of "quo warranto," which called on them to show cause why their charters should not be declared forfeited on the ground of abuse of their privileges. A few verdicts on the side of the Crown brought about a general surrender of municipal liberties; and the grant of fresh charters, in which all but ultra-loyalists were carefully excluded from their corporations, placed the representation of the boroughs in the hands of the Crown. Against active

discontent Charles had long been quietly providing by the gradual increase of his Guards. The withdrawal of its garrison from Tangier enabled him to raise their force to nine thousand well-equipped soldiers, and to supplement this force, the nucleus of our present standing army, by a reserve of six regiments which were maintained till they should be needed at home in the service of the United Provinces.

But great as the danger really was it lay not so much in isolated acts of tyranny as in the character and purpose of Charles himself, and his death at the very moment of his triumph saved English freedom. He had regained his old popularity, and at the news of his sickness in the spring of 1685 crowds thronged the churches, praying that God would raise him up again to be a father to his people. But while his subjects were praying the one anxiety of the King was to die reconciled to the Catholic Church. His chamber was cleared, and a priest named Huddleston, who had saved his life after the battle of Worcester, received his confession and administered the last sacraments. Not a word of this ceremony was whispered when the nobles and bishops were recalled into the royal presence, and Charles though steadily refusing the communion which Bishop Ken offered him accepted the bishop's absolution. All the children of his mistresses save Monmouth were gathered round the bed, and Charles commended them to his brother's protection by name. The scene which followed is described by a chaplain to one of the prelates who stood round the dying King. Charles "blessed all his children one by one, pulling them on to his bed; and then the bishops moved him, as he was the Lord's anointed and the father of his country, to bless them also and all that were there present, and in them the general body of his subjects. Whereupon, the room being full, all fell down upon their knees, and he raised himself in his bed and very solemnly blessed them all." The strange comedy was at last over. Charles died as he had lived: brave, witty, cynical, even in the presence of death. Tortured at he was with pain, he begged the bystanders to forgive him for being so un-

conscionable a time in dying. One mistress, the Duchess of Portsmouth, hung weeping over his bed. His last thought was of another mistress, Nell Gwynn. "Do not," he whispered to his successor ere he sank into a fatal stupor, "do not let poor Nelly starve!"

The death of Charles in February 1685 placed his brother James, the Duke of York, upon the throne. His character and policy were already well known. Of all the Stuart rulers James is the only one whose intellect was below mediocrity. His mind was dull and narrow though orderly and methodical; his temper dogged and arbitrary but sincere. His religious and political tendencies had always been the same. He had always cherished an entire belief in the royal authority and a hatred of Parliaments. His main desire was for the establishment of Catholicism as the only means of ensuring the obedience of his people; and his old love of France was quickened by the firm reliance which he placed on the aid of Lewis in bringing about that establishment. But the secrecy in which his political action had as yet been shrouded and his long absence from England had hindered any general knowledge of his designs. His first words on his accession, his promise to "preserve this Government both in Church and State as it is now by law established," were welcomed by the whole country with enthusiasm. All the suspicions of a Catholic sovereign seemed to have disappeared. "We have the word of a King!" ran the general cry, "and of a King who was never worse than his word." The conviction of his brother's faithlessness in fact stood James in good stead. He was looked upon as narrow, impetuous, stubborn, and despotic in heart, but even his enemies did not accuse him of being false. Above all, incredible as such a belief may seem now, he was believed to be keenly alive to the honor of his country and resolute to free it from foreign dependence.

From the first indeed there were indications that James understood his declaration in a different sense from the nation. He was resolved to make no disguise of his own religion; the chapel in which he had hitherto

worshipped with closed doors was now thrown open and the King seen at mass. He regarded attacks on his faith as attacks on himself, and at once called on the Archbishop of Canterbury and the Bishop of London to hinder all preaching against Catholicism as a part of their "duty" to their King. He made no secret of his resolve to procure freedom of worship for his co-religionists while still refusing it to the rest of the Nonconformists, whom he hated as republicans and Exclusionists. All was passed over however in the general confidence. It was necessary to summon a Parliament, for the royal revenue ceased with the death of Charles; but the elections, swayed at once by the tide of loyalty and by the command of the boroughs which the surrender of their charters had given to the Crown, sent up in May a House of Commons in which James found few members who were not to his mind. His appointment indeed of Catholic officers in the army was already exciting murmurs; but these were hushed as James repeated his pledge of maintaining the established order both in Church and State. The question of religious security was waived at a hint of the royal displeasure, and a revenue of nearly two millions was granted to the King for life.

All that was wanted to rouse the loyalty of the country into fanaticism was supplied by a rebellion in the North, and by another under Monmouth in the West. The hopes of Scotch freedom had clung ever since the Restoration to the house of Argyle. The great Marquis indeed had been brought to the block at the King's return. His son, the Earl of Argyle, had been unable to save himself even by a life of singular caution and obedience from the ill-will of the vile politicians who governed Scotland. He was at last convicted of treason in 1682 on grounds at which every English statesman stood aghast. "We should not hang a dog here," Halifax protested, "on the grounds on which my lord Argyle has been sentenced to death." The Earl escaped however to Holland, and lived peaceably there during the last six years of the reign of Charles. Monmouth had found the same refuge at the Hague, where a belief in his father's love and pur-

pose to recall him secured him a kindly reception from William of Orange. But the accession of James was a death-blow to the hopes of the Duke, while it stirred the fanaticism of Argyle to a resolve of wresting Scotland from the rule of a Catholic King. The two leaders determined to appear in arms in England and the North, and the two expeditions sailed within a few days of each other. Argyle's attempt was soon over. His clan of the Campbells rose on the Earl's landing in Cantyre, but the country had been occupied for the King, and quarrels among the exiles who accompanied him robbed his effort of every chance of success. His force scattered without a fight; and Argyle, arrested in an attempt to escape, was hurried on the 30th of June to a traitor's death.

Monmouth for a time found brighter fortune. His popularity in the West was great, and though the gentry held aloof when he landed at Lyme and demanded an effective parliamentary government as well as freedom of worship for Protestant Nonconformists the farmers and traders of Devonshire and Dorset flocked to his standard. The clothier-towns of Somerset were true to the Whig cause, as they had been true to the cause of the Long Parliament; and on the entrance of the Duke into Taunton the popular enthusiasm showed itself in the flowers which wreathed every door, as well as in a train of young girls who presented Monmouth with a Bible and a flag. His forces now amounted to six thousand men, but whatever chance of success he might have had was lost by his assumption of the title of king, his right to which he had pledged himself hitherto to leave for decision to a free Parliament. The two Houses offered to support James with their lives and fortunes, and passed a bill of attainder against the Duke. The gentry, still true to the cause of Mary and William, held stubbornly aloof; while the Guards and the regiments from Tangier hurried to the scene of the revolt and the militia gathered to the royal standard. Foiled in an attempt on Bristol and Bath, Monmouth fell back on Bridgewater, and flung himself in the night of the 6th of July on the King's forces as they lay encamped hard by on Sedgemoor. The sur-

prise failed; and the brave peasants and miners who followed the Duke, checked in their advance by a deep drain which crossed the moor, were broken after a short but desperate resistance by the royal horse. Their leader fled from the field, and after a vain effort to escape from the realm was captured and sent pitilessly to the block.

Never had England shown a firmer loyalty; but its lovalty was changed into horror by the terrible measures of repression which followed on the victory of Sedgemoor. Even North, the Lord Keeper, a servile tool of the Crown, protested against the license and bloodshed in which the troops were suffered to indulge after the battle. His protest however was disregarded, and he withdrew broken-hearted from the Court to die. James was in fact resolved on a far more terrible vengeance; and the Chief-Justice Jeffreys, a man of great natural powers but of violent temper, was sent to earn the Seals by a series of judicial murders which have left his name a byword for cruelty. Three hundred and fifty rebels were hanged in what has ever since been known as the "Bloody Circuit," while Jeffreys made his way through Dorset and Somerset. More than eight hundred were sold into slavery beyond sea. A yet larger number were whipped and imprisoned. The Queen, the maids of honor, the courtiers, even the Judge himself, made shameless profit from the sale of pardons. What roused pity above all were the cruelties wreaked upon women. Some were scourged from market-town to market-town. Mrs. Lisle, the wife of one of the Regicides, was sent to the block at Winchester for harboring a rebel. Elizabeth Gaunt for the same act of womanly charity was burned at Tyburn. Pity turned into horror when it was found that cruelty such as this was avowed and sanctioned by the King. Even the cold heart of General Churchill, to whose energy the victory at Sedgemoor had mainly been owing, revolted at the ruthlessness with which James turned away from all appeals for mercy. "This marble," he cried as he struck the chimney-piece on which he leant, "is not harder than the King's heart."

But it was soon plain that the terror which this butch-

ery was meant to strike into the people was part of a larger purpose. The revolt was made a pretext for a vast increase of the standing army. Charles, as we have seen, had silently and cautiously raised it to nearly ten thousand men; James raised it at one swoop to twenty thousand. The employment of this force was to be at home, not abroad, for the hope of an English policy in foreign affairs had already faded away. In the designs which James had at heart he could look for no consent from Parliament; and however his pride revolted against a dependence on France, it was only by French gold and French soldiers that he could hope to hold the Parliament permanently at bay. A week therefore after his accession he assured Lewis that his gratitude and devotion to him equalled that of Charles himself. "Tell your master," he said to the French ambassador, "that without his protection I can do nothing. He has a right to be consulted, and it is my wish to consult him, about everything." The pledge of subservience was rewarded with the promise of a subsidy, and the promise was received with the strongest expressions of delight and servility. The hopes which the Prince of Orange had conceived from his father-in-law's more warlike temper were nipped by a refusal to allow him to visit England. All the caution and reserve of Charles the Second in his dealings with France was set aside. Sunderland, the favorite Minister of the new King as he had been of the old, not only promised during the session to avoid the connection with Spain and Holland which the Parliament was known to desire, but "to throw aside the mask and openly break with them as soon as the royal revenue is secured." The support indeed which James needed was a far closer and firmer support than his brother had sought for. Lewis on the other hand trusted him as he could never trust Charles. His own bigotry understood the bigotry of the new sovereign. "The confirmation of the King's authority and the establishment of religion," he wrote, "are our common interest;" and he promised that James should "find in his friendship all the resources which he can expect."

Never had the secret league with France seemed so

full of danger to English religion. Europe had long been trembling at the ambition of Lewis; it was trembling now at his bigotry. He had proclaimed warfare against civil liberty in his attack upon Holland; he declared war at this moment upon religious freedom by revoking the Edict of Nantes, the measure by which Henry the Fourth after his abandonment of Protestantism secured toleration and the free exercise of their worship for his Protestant subjects. It had been respected by Richelieu even in his victory over the Huguenots, and only lightly tampered with the Mazarin. But from the beginning of his reign Lewis had resolved to set aside its provisions, and his revocation of it at the end of 1685 was only the natural close of a progressive system of persecution. The revocation was followed by outrages more cruel than even the bloodshed of Alva. Dragoons were quartered on Protestant families, women were flung from their sick beds into the streets, children were torn from their mothers' arms to be brought up in Catholicism, ministers were sent to the galleys. In spite of the royal edicts which forbade even flight to the victims of these horrible atrocities a hundred thousand Protestants fled over the borders, and Holland, Switzerland, the Palatinate, were filled with French exiles. Thousands found refuge in England, and their industry established in the fields east of London the silk trade of Spitalfields.

But while Englishmen were looking with horror on these events in France, James was taking advantage of the position in which as he believed they placed him. The news of the revocation drew from James expressions of delight. The rapid increase of the conversions to Catholicism which followed on the "dragonnades" raised in him hopes of as general an apostacy in his own dominions. His tone took a new haughtiness and decision. He admitted more Catholic officers into his fresh regiments. He dismissed Halifax from the Privy Council on his refusal to consent to a plan for repealing the Test Act. He met the Parliament on its reassembling in November with a haughty declaration that whether legal or no his grant of commissions to Catholics must not be questioned,

and with a demand of supplies for his new troops. Loyal as was the temper of the Houses, their alarm for the Church, their dread of a standing army, was yet stronger than their loyalty. The Commons by the majority of a single vote deferred the grant of supplies till grievances were redressed, and demanded in their address the recall of the illegal commissions on the ground that the continuance of the Catholic officers in their posts "may be taken to be a dispensing with that law without Act of Parliament." The Lords took a bolder tone; and the protest of the bishops against any infringement of the Test Act expressed by Bishop Compton of London was backed py the eloquence of Halifax. Their desire for conciliation indeed was shown in an offer to confirm the existing officers in their posts by Act of Parliament, and even to allow fresh nominations of Catholics by the King under the same security. But James had no wish for such a compromise, and the Houses were at once prorogued.

The King resolved to obtain from the judges what he could not obtain from Parliament. He remodelled the bench by dismissing four judges who refused to lend themselves to his plans: and in the June of 1686 their successors decided in the case of Sir Edward Hales, a Catholic officer in the army, that a royal dispensation could be pleaded in bar of the Test Act. The principle laid down by the judges "that it is a privilege inseparably connected with the sovereignty of the King to dispense with penal laws, and that according to his own judgment," was applied by James with a reckless impatience of all decency and self-restraint. Catholics were admitted into civil and military offices without stint, and four Catholic peers were sworn as members of the Privy Council. The laws which forbade the presence of Catholic priests in the realm or the open exercise of Catholic worship were set at nought. A gorgeous chapel was opened in the palace of St. James for the use of the King. Carmelites, Benedictines, Franciscans, appeared in their religious garb in the streets of London, and the Jesuits set up a crowded school in the Savoy. The quick

growth of discontent at these acts would have startled a wiser man into prudence, but James prided himself on an obstinacy which never gave way; and a riot which took place on the opening of a Catholic chapel in the City was followed by the establishment of a camp of thirteen thousand men at Hounslow to overawe the capital.

The course which James intended to follow in England was shown indeed by the course he was following in the sister kingdoms. In Scotland he acted as a pure despot. At the close of Charles's reign the extreme Covenanters or "wild Whigs" of the Western shires had formally renounced their allegiance to a "prelatical" King. A smouldering revolt spread over the country that was only held in check by the merciless cruelties with which the royal troops avenged the "rabbling of priests" and the outrages committed by the Whigs on the more prominent persecutors. Such a revolt threw strength into the hands of the government by rallying to its side all who were bent on public order, and this strength was doubled by the landing and failure of Argyle. The Scotch Parliament granted excise and customs not to the King only but to his successors, while it confirmed the Acts which established religious conformity. But James was far from being satisfied with a loyalty which made no concession to the "king's religion." He placed the government of Scotland in the hands of two lords, Melfort and Perth, who had embraced his own faith, and put a Catholic in command of the Castle of Edinburgh. The drift of these measures was soon seen. The Scotch Parliament had as yet been the mere creature of the Crown, but servile as were its members there was a point at which their servility stopped. When James boldly required them to legalize the toleration of Catholics they refused to pass such an Act. It was in vain that the King tempted them to consent by the offer of a free trade with England. "Shall we sell our God?" was the indignant reply. James at once ordered the Scotch judges to treat all laws against Catholics as null and void, and his orders were obeyed. In Ireland his policy threw off even the disguise of law. Catholics were admitted by the King's command

to the Council and to civil offices. A Catholic, Lord Tyrconnell, was put at the head of the army, and set instantly about its re-organization by cashiering Protestant officers and by admitting two thousand Catholic natives into its ranks.

Meanwhile in England James was passing from the mere attempt to secure freedom for his fellow-religionists to a bold and systematic attack upon the Church. He had at the outset of his reign forbidden the clergy to preach against "the king's religion;" and ordered the bishops to act upon this prohibition. But no steps were taken by them to carry out this order; and the pulpits of the capital soon rang with controversial sermons. For such a sermon James now called on Compton, the Bishop of London, to suspend Dr. Sharp, the rector of St. Giles'-in-the-Fields. Compton answered that as judge he was ready to examine into the case if brought before him according to law. To James the matter was not one of law but of prerogative. He regarded his ecclesiastical supremacy as a weapon providentially left to him for undoing the work which it had enabled his predecessors to do. Under Henry and Elizabeth it had been used to turn the Church of England from Catholic to Protestant. Under James it might be used to turn the Church back again from Protestant to Catholic. The High Commission indeed which had enforced this supremacy had been declared illegal by an Act of the Long Parliament, and this Act had been confirmed by the Parliament of the Restoration. But it was thought possible to evade this Act by omitting from the instructions on which the Commission acted the extraordinary powers and jurisdictions by which its predecessor had given offence. With this reserve, seven commissioners were appointed in the summer of 1686 for the government of the Church with the Chancellor, Lord Jeffreys, at their head. The first blow of the Commission was at the Bishop of London whose refusal to suspend Sharp was punished by his own suspension. But the pressure of the Commission only drove the clergy to a bolder defiance of the royal will. The legality of the Commission and of its proceedings was

denied. Not even the Pope, it was said, had claimed such rights over the conduct and jurisdiction of English bishops as were claimed by the King. The prohibition of attacks on the "king's religion" was set at nought. Sermons against superstition were preached from every pulpit; and the two most famous divines of the day, Tillotson and Stillingfleet, put themselves at the head of a host of controversalists who scattered pamphlets and tracts from every printing press.

It was in vain that the bulk of the Catholic gentry stood aloof and predicted the inevitable reaction which the King's course must bring about, or that Rome itself counselled greater moderation. James was infatuated with what seemed to be the success of his enterprises. He looked on the opposition he experienced as due to the influence of the High Church Tories who had remained in power since the reaction of 1681, and these he determined "to chastise." The Duke of Queensberry, the leader of this party in Scotland, was driven from office. Tyrconnel, as we have seen, was placed as a check on Ormond in Ireland. In England James resolved to show the world that even the closest ties of blood were as nothing to him if they conflicted with the demands of his faith. His earlier marriage with Anne Hyde, the daughter of Clarendon, bound both the Chancellor's sons to his fortunes; and on his accession he had sent his elder brother-in-law, Edward, Earl of Clarendon, as Lord-Lieutenant to Ireland, and raised the younger, Laurence, Earl of Rochester, who had long been a minister under Charles the Second, to the post of Lord Treasurer. But the sons of Hyde were as staunch to the old Cavalier doctrines of Church and State as Hyde himself. Rochester therefore was told in the opening of 1687 that the King could not safely entrust so great a charge to any one who did not share his sentiments on religion, and on his refusal to abandon his faith he was deprived of the White Staff. His brother Clarendon shared his fall. A Catholic, Lord Bellasys, became First Lord of the Treasury, which was again put into commission after Rochester's removal; and another Catholic, Lord Arundel, became Lord Privy

Seal; while Father Petre, a Jesuit, was called to the Privy Council.

The dismissal of Rochester sprang mainly from a belief that with such a minister James would fail to procure from the Parliament that freedom for Catholics which he was bent on establishing. It was in fact a declaration that on this matter none in the King's service must oppose the King's will, and it was followed up by the dismissal of one official after another who refused to aid in the repeal of the Test Act. But acts like these were of no avail against the steady growth of resistance. If the great Tory nobles were staunch for the Crown, they were as resolute Englishmen in their hatred of mere tyranny as the Whigs themselves. James gave the Duke of Norfolk the sword of State to carry before him as he went to Mass. The Duke stopped at the Chapel door. "Your father would have gone further," said the King. "Your Majesty's father was the better man," replied the Duke, "and he would not have gone so far." The young Duke of Somerset was ordered to introduce into the Presence Chamber the Papal Nuncio, who was now received in State at Windsor in the teeth of a statute which forbade diplomatic relations with Rome. "I am advised," Somerset answered, "that I cannot obey your Majesty without breaking the law?" "Do you not know that I am above the law?" James answered angrily. "Your Majesty may be, but I am not," retorted the Duke. He was dismissed from his post, but the spirit of resistance spread fast. In spite of the King's letters the governors of the Charter House, who numbered among them some of the greatest English nobles, refused to admit a Catholic to the benefits of the foundation. The most devoted loyalists began to murmur when James demanded apostasy as a proof of their loyalty.

He had in fact to abandon at last all hope of bringing the Church or the Tories over to his will, and in the spring of 1687 he turned, as Charles had turned, to the Nonconformists. He published in April a Declaration of Indulgence which suspended the operation of the penal laws against Nonconformists and Catholics alike, and of

every Act which imposed a test as a qualification for office in Church or State. A hope was expressed that this measure would be sanctioned by Parliament when it was suffered to reassemble. The temptation to accept the Indulgence was great, for since the fall of Shaftesbury persecution had fallen heavily on the Protestant dissidents, and we can hardly wonder that the Nonconformists wavered for a time or that numerous addresses of thanks were presented to James. But the great body of them, and all the more venerable names among them remained true to the cause of freedom. Baxter, Howe, and Bunyan all refused an Indulgence which could only be purchased by the violent overthrow of the law. It was plain that the only mode of actually securing the end which James had in view was to procure a repeal of the Test Act from Parliament itself. It was to this that the King's dismissal of Rochester and other ministerial changes had been directed; But James found that the temper of the existing Houses, so far as he could test it, remained absolutely opposed to his project. In July therefore he dissolved the Parliament, and summoned a new one. In spite of the support he might expect from the Nonconformists in the elections, he knew that no free Parliament could be brought to consent to the repeal. The Lords indeed could be swamped by lavish creations of new peers. "Your troop of horse," Lord Sunderland told Churchill, "shall be called up into the House of Lords." But it was a harder matter to secure a compliant House of Commons. No effort however was spared. The Lord-Lieutenants were directed to bring about such a "regulation" of the governing body in boroughs as would ensure the return of candidates pledged to the repeal of the Test, and to question every magistrate in their county as to his vote. Half of them at once refused to comply, and a string of great nobles—the Earls of Oxford, Shrewsbury, Dorset, Derby, Pembroke, Rutland, Abergavenny, Thanet, Northampton, and Abingdon—were dismissed from their Lord-Lieutenancies. The justices when questioned simply replied that they would vote according to their consciences, and

send members to Parliament who would protect the Protestant religion. After repeated "regulations" it was found impossible to form a corporate body which would return representatives willing to comply with the royal will. All thought of a Parliament had to be abandoned, and even the most bigoted courtiers counselled moderation at this proof of the stubborn opposition which James must prepare to encounter from the peers, the gentry, and the trading classes.

Estranged as he was from the whole body of the nobles and gentry it remained for James to force the clergy also into an attitude of resistance. Even the tyranny of the Commission had failed to drive into open opposition men who had been preaching Sunday after Sunday the doctrine of passive obedience to the worst of kings. But James who had now finally abandoned all hope of winning the aid of the Church in his project cared little for passive obedience. He looked on the refusal of the clergy to support his plans as freeing him from the pledge he had given to maintain the Church as established by law; and he resolved to attack it in the great institutions which had till now been its strongholds. To secure the Universities for Catholicism was to seize the only training schools which the English clergy possessed as well as the only centres of higher education which existed for the English gentry. It was on such a seizure however that James's mind was set. Little indeed was done with Cambridge. A Benedictine monk, who presented himself with royal letters recommending him for the degree of a Master of Arts, was rejected on his refusal to sign the Articles; and the Vice-Chancellor was summoned before the Privy Council and punished for his rejection by deprivation from office. But a violent and obstinate attack was directed against Oxford. The Master of University College, Obadiah Walker, who declared himself a Catholic convert, was authorized to retain his post in defiance of the law. A Roman Catholic named Massey was presented by the Crown to the Deanery of Christ Church. Magdalen was the wealthiest College in the University; and James in 1687

recommended one Farmer, a Catholic of infamous life and not even qualified by statute for the office, to its vacant headship. The Fellows remonstrated, and on the rejection of their remonstrance chose Hough, one of their own number, as their President. The Ecclesiastical Commission declared the election void; and James, shamed out of his first candidate, recommended a second, Parker, Bishop of Oxford, a Catholic in heart and the meanest of his courtiers. The Fellows however pleaded that Hough was already chosen, and they held stubbornly to their legal head. It was in vain that the King visited Oxford, summoned them to his presence, and rated them as they knelt before him like schoolboys. "I am King," he said; "I will be obeyed! Go to your chapel this instant, and elect the Bishop! Let those who refuse look to it, for they shall feel the whole weight of my hand!" It was seen that to give Magdalen as well as Christ Church into Catholic hands was to turn Oxford into a Catholic seminary, and the King's threats were disregarded. But they were soon carried out. A special Commission visited the University, pronounced Hough an intruder, set aside his appeal to the law, burst open the door of his president's house to install Parker in his place, and on their refusal to submit deprived the Fellows of their fellowships. The expulsion of the Fellows was followed on a like refusal by that of the Demies. Parker, who died immediately after his installation, was succeeded by a Roman Catholic bishop *in partibus*, named Bonaventure Gifford, and twelve Roman Catholics were admitted to fellowships in a single day.

With peers, gentry, and clergy in dogged opposition the scheme of wresting a repeal of the Test Act from a new Parliament became impracticable, and without this —as James well knew—his system of Indulgence, even if he was able to maintain it so long, must end with his death and the accession of a Protestant sovereign. It was to provide against such a defeat of his designs that he stooped to ask the aid of William of Orange. Ever since his accession William had followed his father-in-law's courses with a growing anxiety. For while Eng-

land was seething with the madness of the Popish Plot and of the royalist reaction the great European struggle which occupied the whole mind of the Prince had been drawing nearer and nearer. The patience of Germany indeed was worn out by the ceaseless aggressions of Lewis, and in 1686 its princes had bound themselves at Augsburg to resist all further encroachments on the part of France. From that moment war became inevitable, and in such a war William had always held that the aid of England was essential to success. But his efforts to ensure English aid had utterly failed. James, as William soon came to know, had renewed his brother's secret treaty with France; and even had this been otherwise his quarrel with his people would of itself have prevented him from giving any aid in a struggle abroad. The Prince could only silently look on with a desperate hope that James might yet be brought to a nobler policy. He refused all encouragement to the leading malcontents who were already calling on him to interfere in arms. On the other hand he declined to support the King in his schemes for the abolition of the Test. If he still cherished hopes of bringing about a peace between the King and people which might enable him to enlist England in the Grand Alliance, they vanished in 1687 before the Declaration of Indulgence. It was at this moment, at the end of May, that James called on him and Mary to declare themselves in favor of the abolition of the penal laws and of the Test. "Conscience, honor, and good policy," wrote James, "bind me to procure safety for the Catholics. I cannot leave those who have remained faithful to the old and true religion subject to the oppression under which the laws place them."

But simultaneously with the King's appeal letters of great import reached the Prince from the leading nobles. Some, like the Hydes, simply assured him of their friendship. The Bishop of London added assurances of support. Others, like Devonshire, Nottingham, and Shrewsbury, cautiously or openly warned the Prince against compliance with the King's demand. Lord Churchill announced the resolve of Mary's sister Anne to stand in

any case by the cause of Protestantism. Danby, the leading representative of the great Tory party, told the Dutch ambassador plainly to warn William that if James was suffered to pursue his present course, and above all to gain control over the Parliament, he would leave the Catholic party strong enough at his death to threaten Mary's succession. The letters dictated William's answer. No one, he truly protested, loathed religious persecution more than he himself did, but in relaxing political disabilities James called on him to countenance an attack on his own religion. "I cannot," he ended, "concur in what your Majesty desires of me." William's refusal was justified, as we have seen, by the result of the efforts to assemble a Parliament favorable to the repeal of the Test. The wholesale dismissal of justices and Lord-Lieutenants through the summer of 1687 failed to shake the resolve of the counties. The "regulation" of their corporations by the displacing of their older members and the substitution of Nonconformists did little to gain the towns. The year 1688 indeed had hardly opened when it was found necessary to adjourn the elections which had been fixed for February, and to make a fresh attempt to win a warmer support from the residents and from the country. For James clung with a desperate tenacity to the hope of finding a compliant Parliament. He knew, what was as yet unknown to the world, the fact that his Queen was with child. The birth of an heir would meet the danger which he looked for from the succession of William and Mary. But James was past middle life, and his death would leave his boy at the mercy of a Regency which could hardly fail to be composed of men who would undo the King's work and even bring up the young sovereign as a Protestant. His own security, as he thought, against such a course lay in the building up a strong Catholic party, in placing Catholics in the high offices of State, and in providing against their expulsion from these at his death by a repeal of the Test. But such a repeal could only be won from Parliament, and hopeless as the effort seemed James pressed doggedly on in his attempt to secure Houses who would carry out his will.

The renewed Declaration of Indulgence which he issued in April 1688 was not only intended to win the Nonconformists by fresh assurances of the King's sincerity, it was an appeal to the nation at large. At its close he promised to summon a Parliament in November, and he called on the electors to choose such members as would bring to a successful end the policy he had begun. His resolve, he said, was to make merit the one qualification for office and to establish universal liberty of conscience for all future time. It was in this character of a royal appeal that he ordered every clergyman to read the Declaration during divine service on two successive Sundays. Little time was given for deliberation ; but little time was needed. The clergy refused almost to a man to be the instruments of their own humiliation. The Declaration was read in only four of the London Churches, and in these the congregation flocked out of church at the first words of it. Nearly all the country parsons refused to obey the royal orders, and the Bishops went with the rest of the clergy. A few days before the appointed Sunday Archbishop Sancroft called his suffragans, together, and the six who were able to appear at Lambeth signed a temperate protest to the King in which they declined to publish an illegal Declaration. "It is a standard of rebellion," James exclaimed, as the Primate presented the paper : and the resistance of the clergy was no sooner announced to him than he determined to wreak his vengeance on the prelates who had signed the protest. He ordered the Ecclesiastical Commissioners to to deprive them of their sees; but in this matter even the Commissioners shrank from obeying him. The Chancellor, Lord Jeffreys, advised a prosecution for libel as an easier mode of punishment; and the Bishops, who refused to give bail, were committed on this charge to the Tower. They passed to their prison amidst the shouts of a great multitude ; the sentinels knelt for their blessing as they entered its gates, and the soldiers of the garrison drank their healths. So threatening was the temper of the nation that his ministers pressed James to give way. But his obstinacy grew with the danger,

"Indulgence," he said, "ruined my father;" and on the 29th of June the Bishops appeared as criminals at the bar of the King's Bench. The jury had been packed, the judges were mere tools of the Crown, but judges and jury were alike overawed by the indignation of the people at large. No sooner had the foreman of the jury uttered the words "Not guilty" than a roar of applause burst from the crowd, and horsemen spurred along every road to carry over the country the news of the acquittal.

James was at Hounslow when the news of the verdict reached him, and as he rode from the camp he heard a great shout behind him. "What is that?" he asked. "It is nothing," was the reply; "only the soldiers are glad that the Bishops are acquitted!" "Do you call that nothing?" grumbled the King. The shout told him that he stood utterly alone in his realm. The peerage, the gentry, the bishops, the clergy, the universities, every lawyer, every trader, every farmer, stood aloof from him. And now his very soldiers forsook him. The most devoted Catholics pressed him to give way. But to give way was to reverse every act he had done since his accession and to change the whole nature of his government. All show of legal rule had disappeared. Sheriffs, mayors, magistrates, appointed by the Crown in defiance of a parliamentary statute, were no real officers in the eye of the law. Even if the Houses were summoned members returned by officers such as these could form no legal Parliament. Hardly a Minister of the Crown or a Privy Councillor exercised any lawful authority. James had brought things to such a pass that the restoration of legal government meant the absolute reversal of every act he had done. But he was in no mood to reverse his acts. His temper was only spurred to a more dogged obstinacy by danger and remonstrance. "I will lose all," he said to the Spanish ambassador who counselled moderation; "I will lose all or win all." He broke up the camp at Hounslow and dispersed its troops in distant cantonments. He dismissed the two judges who had favored the acquittal of the Bishops. He ordered

the chancellor of each diocese to report the names of the clergy who had not read the Declaration of Indulgence. But his will broke fruitlessly against a sullen resistance which met him on every side. Not a chancellor made a return to the Commissioners and the Commissioners were cowed into inaction by the temper of the nation. When the judges who had displayed their servility to the Crown went on circuit the gentry refused to meet them. A yet fiercer irritation was kindled by the King's resolve to supply the place of the English troops whose temper proved unserviceable for his purposes by draughts from the Catholic army which Tyrconnell had raised in Ireland. Even the Roman Catholic peers at the Council table protested against this measure; and six officers in a single regiment laid down their commissions rather than enrol the Irish recruits among their men. The ballad of "Lillibullero," a scurrilous attack on the Irish recruits, was sung from one end of England to the other.

Wide however as the disaffection undoubtedly was the position of James seemed fairly secure. He counted on the aid of France. His army, whatever signs of discontent it might show, was still a formidable force of twenty thousand men. Scotland, disheartened by the failure of Argyle's rising, could give no such help as it gave to the Long Parliament. Ireland on the other hand was ready to throw a Catholic army in the King's support on the western coast. It was doubtful too if in England itself disaffection would turn into actual revolt. The Bloody Assize had left its terror on the Whigs. The Tories and Churchmen, angered as they were, were still hampered by their horror of rebellion and their doctrine of non-resistance. Above all the eyes of the nation rested on William and Mary. James was past middle age, and a few years must bring a Protestant successor and restore the reign of law. But in the midst of the struggle with the Church it was announced that the Queen was again with child. The news was received with general unbelief, for five years had passed since the last pregnancy of Mary of Modena, and the unbelief passed into a general expectation of some imposture as men watched the

joy of the Catholics and their confident prophecies that the child would be a boy. But, truth or imposture, it was plain that the appearance of a Prince of Wales must bring on a crisis. If the child turned out a boy, and as was certain was brought up a Catholic, the highest Tory had to resolve at last whether the tyranny under which England lay should go on for ever. The hesitation of the country was at an end. Danby, loyal above all to the Church and firm in his hatred of subservience to France, answered for the Tories. Compton answered for the high Churchmen, goaded at last into rebellion by the Declaration of Indulgence. The Earl of Devonshire, the Lord Cavendish of the Exclusion struggle, answered for the Nonconformists, who were satisfied with William's promise to procure them toleration, as well as for the general body of the Whigs. The announcement of the boy's birth on the 20th of June was followed ten days after by a formal invitation to William to intervene in arms for the restoration of English liberty and the protection of the Protestant religion. The invitation was signed by Danby, Devonshire, and Compton, the representatives of the great parties whose long fight was hushed at last by a common danger, by two recent converts from the Catholic faith, the Earl of Shrewsbury and Lord Lumley, by Edward the cousin of Lord Russell, and by Henry the brother of Algernon Sydney. It was carried to the Hague by Herbert, the most popular of English seamen, who had been deprived of his command for a refusal to vote against the Test.

The invitation called on the Prince of Orange to land with an army strong enough to justify those who signed it in rising in arms. An outbreak of revolt was in fact inevitable, and either its success or defeat must be equally fatal to William should he refuse to put himself at its head. If the rebels were victorious, their resentment at his desertion of their cause in the hour of need would make Mary's succession impossible and probably bring about the establishment of a Commonwealth. On the other hand the victory of the King would not only ruin English freedom and English Protestantism, but

fling the whole weight of England in the contest for the liberties of Europe which was now about to open into the scale of France. From the opening of 1688 the signs of a mutual understanding between the English Court and the French had been unmistakable. James had declared himself on the side of Lewis in the negotiations with the Empire which followed on the Treaty of Augsburg. He had backed Sweden in its threats of war against the Dutch. At the instigation of France he had recalled the English and Scotch troops in the service of the State. He had received supplies from Lewis to send an English fleet to the coast of Holland; and was at this moment supporting at Rome the French side in the quarrel over the Electorate of Cologne, a quarrel which rendered war inevitable. It was certain therefore that success at home would secure James's aid to France in the struggle abroad.

It was this above all which decided the action of the Prince, for the ruling passion in William's heart was the longing to free Europe from the supremacy of France. It was this too which made his enterprise possible, for nothing but a sense of their own danger would have forced his opponents in Holland itself to assent to his expedition. Their assent however once gained, William strained all his resources as Admiral and Captain-General to gather a fleet and a sufficient force under pretext of defence against the English fleet which now appeared in the Channel, while Brandenburg promised to supply the place of the Dutch forces during their absence in England by lending the States nine thousand men. As soon as the news of these preparations reached England noble after noble made their way to the Hague. The Earl of Shrewsbury brought £2,000 towards the expenses of the Expedition. Edward Russell, the representative of the Whig Earl of Bedford, was followed by the representatives of great Tory houses, by the sons of the Marquis of Winchester, of Lord Danby, of Lord Peterborough, and by Lord Macclesfield, a well-known High Churchman. At home the Earls of Danby and Devonshire prepared silently with Lord Lumley for a rising in

the North. In spite of the profound secrecy with which all was conducted, the keen instinct of Sunderland, who had stooped to purchase continuance in office at the price of a secret apostasy to Catholicism, detected the preparations of William; and the sense that his master's ruin was at hand encouraged him to tell every secret of James on the promise of a pardon for the crimes to which he had lent himself. James alone remained stubborn and insensate as of old. He had no fear of a revolt unaided by the Prince of Orange, and he believed that the threat of a French attack on Holland itself would render William's departure impossible. At the opening of September indeed Lewis declared himself aware of the meaning of the Dutch armaments and warned the States that he should look on an attack upon James as a war upon himself.

Fortunately for William so open an announcement of the union between England and France suited ill with the plans of James. He still looked forward to the coming Parliament, and the knowledge of a league with France was certain to make any Parliament reluctant to admit Catholics to a share in political life. James therefore roughly disavowed the act of Lewis, and William was able to continue his preparations. But even had no such disavowal come the threat of Lewis would have remained an empty one. In spite of the counsel of Louvois he looked on an invasion of Holland as likely to serve English interests rather than French, and resolved to open the war by a campaign on the Rhine. In September his troops marched eastward, and the Dutch at once felt themselves secure. The States-General gave their public sanction to William's project, and the armament he had prepared gathered rapidly in the Scheldt. The news of war and of the diversion of the French forces to Germany no sooner reached England than the King passed from obstinacy to panic. By draughts from Scotland and Ireland he had mustered forty thousand men, but the temper of the troops robbed him of all trust in them. Help from France was now out of the question. There was nothing for it but to fall back, as Sun-

derland had for some time been advising him to fall back, on the older policy of a union with the Tory party and the party of the Church; and to win assent for his plans from the coming Parliament by an abandonment of his recent acts. But the haste and completeness with which James reversed his whole course forbade any belief in his sincerity. He personally appealed for support to the Bishops. He dissolved the Ecclesiastical Commission. He replaced the magistrates he had driven from office. He restored their franchises to the towns. The Chancellor carried back the Charter of London in state into the City. The Bishop of Winchester was sent to replace the expelled Fellows of Magdalen. Catholic chapels and Jesuit schools were ordered to be closed.

Sunderland pressed for the instant calling of a Parliament. But it was still plain that any Parliament would as yet be eager for war with France and would probably call on the King to put the Prince of Orange at the head of his army in such a war. To James therefore Sunderland's counsel seemed treachery, the issue of a secret design with William to place him helpless in the Prince's hands and above all to imperil the succession of his boy, whose birth William had now been brought by advice from the English lords to regard as an imposture. He again therefore fell back on France which made new advances to him in the hope of meeting this fresh danger of an attack from England; and in the end of October he dismissed Sunderland from office. But Sunderland had hardly left Whitehall when the Declaration of the Prince of Orange reached England. It demanded the removal of grievances and the calling of a free Parliament which should establish English freedom and religion on a secure basis. It promised toleration to Protestant Nonconformists and freedom of conscience to Catholics. It left the question of the legitimacy of the Prince of Wales and the settlement of the succession to Parliament. James was wounded above all by the doubts thrown on the birth of a Prince; and he produced proofs of the birth before the peers who were in London. But the proofs came too

late. Detained by ill winds, beaten back on its first venture by a violent storm, William's fleet of six hundred transports, escorted by fifty men-of-war, anchored on the 5th of November in Torbay; and his army, thirteen thousand strong, entered Exeter amid the shouts of its citizens. Great pains had been taken to strip from William's army the appearance of a foreign force, which might have stirred English feeling to resistance. The core of it consisted of the English and Scotch regiments which had remained in the service of the States in spite of their recall by the King. Its foreign divisions were representatives of the whole Protestant world. With the Dutchmen were Brandenburgers and Swedes, and the most brilliant corps in the whole army was composed of French refugees.

The landing seemed at first a failure. The country remained quiet. William's coming had been unexpected in the West, and no great landowner joined his forces. Though the King's fleet had failed to intercept the expedition it closed in from the Channel to prevent William's escape as soon as he had landed, while the King' army moved rapidly to encounter him in the field. But the pause was one of momentary surprise. Before a week had passed the nobles and squires of the west flocked to William's camp and the adhesion of Plymouth secured his rear. The call of the King's forces to face the Prince in the south no sooner freed the northern parts of England from their presence than the insurrection broke out. Scotland threw off the royal rule. Danby, dashing at the head of a hundred horsemen into York, gave the signal for a rising. The York militia met his appeal with shouts of "A free Parliament and the Protestant religion;" peers and gentry flocked to his standard; and a march on Nottingham united his forces to those under Devonshire who had mustered at Derby the great lords of the midland and eastern counties. Everywhere the revolt was triumphant. The garrison of Hull declared for a free Parliament. The Duke of Norfolk appeared at the head of three hundred gentlemen in the marketplace at Norwich. At Oxford

townsmen and gownsmen greeted Lord Lovelace and the forces he led with uproarious welcome. Bristol threw open its gates to the Prince of Orange, who advanced steadily on Salisbury where James had assembled his forces.

But the King's army, broken by dissensions and mutual suspicions among its leaders, shrank from an engagement and fell back in disorder at his approach. Its retreat was the signal for a general abandonment of the royal cause. The desertion of Lord Churchill, who had from the first made his support conditional on the calling of a Parliament, a step which the King still hesitated to take, was followed by that of so many other officers that James abandoned the struggle in despair. He fled to London to hear that his daughter Anne had left St. James's to join Danby at Nottingham. "God help me," cried the wretched father, "for my own children have forsaken me!" His spirit was utterly broken by the sudden crash; and though he had promised to call the Houses together and despatched commissioners to Hungerford to treat with William on the terms of a free Parliament, in his heart he had resolved on flight. Parliament, he said to the few who still clung to him, would force on him concessions he could not endure; while flight would enable him to return and regain his throne with the assistance of French forces. He only waited therefore for news of the escape of his wife and child on the 10th of December to make his way to the Isle of Sheppey, where a hoy lay ready to carry him to France. Some rough fishermen, however, who took him for a Jesuit prevented his escape, and a troop of Life Guards brought him back in safety to London. His return revived the hopes of the Tories, who with Clarendon and Rochester at their head looked on the work of the Prince of Orange as done in the overthrow of the King's design of establishing a Catholic despotism, and who trusted that their system would be restored by a reconciliation of James with the Tory Parliament they expected to be returned. Halifax however, though he had long acted with the Tories, was too clear-sighted

for hopes such as these. He had taken no part in the invitation or revolt, but now that the revolution was successful he pressed upon William the impossibility of carrying out a new system of government with such a sovereign as James. The Whigs, who had gone beyond hope of forgiveness, backed powerfully these arguments; and in spite of the pledges with which he had landed the Prince was soon as convinced of their wisdom as the Whigs. From this moment it was the policy of William and his advisers to further a flight which removed their chief difficulty out of the way. It would have been hard to depose James had he remained, and perilous to keep him prisoner; but the entry of the Dutch troops into London, the silence of the Prince, and an order to leave St. James's filled the King with fresh terrors, and taking advantage of the means of escape which were almost openly placed at his disposal James a second time quitted London and embarked on the 23rd of December unhindered for France.

Before flying James had burnt most of the writs convoking a new Parliament, had disbanded his army, and destroyed so far as he could all means of government. For a few days there was a wild burst of panic and outrage in London, but the orderly instinct of the people soon reasserted itself. The Lords who were at the moment in the capital provided on their own authority as Privy Councillors for the more pressing needs of administration, and quietly resigned their authority into William's hands on his arrival. The difficulty which arose from the absence of any person legally authorized to call Parliament together was got over by convoking the House of Peers, and forming a second body of all members who had sat in the Commons in the reign of Charles the Second together with the Aldermen and Common Councillors of London. Both bodies requested William to take on himself the provisional government of the kingdom, and to issue circular letters inviting the electors of every town and county to send up representatives to a Convention which met on the 22d of January, 1689. In the new Convention both Houses were found equally re-

solved against any recall of or negotiation with the fallen King. They were united in entrusting a provisional authority to the Prince of Orange. But with this step their unanimity ended. The Whigs, who formed a majority in the Commons, voted a resolution which, illogical and inconsistent as it seemed, was well adapted to unite in its favor every element of the opposition to James, the Churchman who was simply scared by his bigotry, the Tory who doubted the right of a nation to depose its King, the Whig who held the theory of a contract between King and People. They voted that King James, "having endeavored to subvert the constitution of this kingdom by breaking the original contract between King and People, and by the advice of Jesuits and other wicked persons having violated the fundamental laws, and having withdrawn himself out of the kingdom, has abdicated the Government, and that the throne is thereby vacant." But in the Lords where the Tories were still in the ascendant the resolution was fiercely debated. Archbishop Sancroft with the high Tories held that no crime could bring about a forfeiture of the crown, and that James still remained King, but that his tyranny had given the nation a right to withdraw from him the actual exercise of government and to entrust his functions to a Regency. The moderate Tories under Danby's guidance admitted that James had ceased to be King but denied that the throne could be vacant, and contended that from the moment of his abdication the sovereignty vested in his daughter Mary. It was in vain that the eloquence of Halifax backed the Whig peers in struggling for the resolution of the Commons as it stood. The plan of a Regency was lost by a single vote, and Danby's scheme was adopted by a large majority.

But both the Tory courses found a sudden obstacle in William. He declined to be Regent. He had no mind, he said to Danby, to be his wife's gentleman-usher. Mary on the other hand refused to accept the crown save in conjunction with her husband. The two declarations put an end to the question, and it was settled that William and Mary should be acknowledged as joint sov-

ereigns, but that the actual administration should rest with William alone. It had been agreed throughout however that before the throne was filled up the constitutional liberties of the subject must be secured. A Parliamentary Committee in which the most active member was John Somers, a young lawyer who had distinguished himself in the trial of the Bishops and who was destined to play a great part in later history, drew up a Declaration of Rights, which after some alterations was adopted by the two Houses. The Declaration recited the misgovernment of James, his abdication, and the resolve of the Lords and Commons to assert the ancient rights and liberties of English subjects. It condemned as illegal his establishment of an ecclesiastical commission, and his raising of an army without Parliamentary sanction. It denied the right of any king to suspend or dispense with laws, as they had been suspended or dispensed with of late, or to exact money save by consent of Parliament. It asserted for the subject a right to petition, to a free choice of representatives in Parliament, and to a pure and merciful administration of justice. It declared the right of both Houses to liberty of debate It demanded securities for the free exercise of their religion by all Protestants, and bound the new sovereign to maintain the Protestant religion as well as the laws and liberties of the nation. "We do claim and insist on the premises," ran the Declaration, "as our undoubted rights and liberties; encouraged by the Declaration of his Highness the Prince, we have confidence that he will perfect the deliverance he has begun and will preserve our rights against all further injury." It ended by declaring the Prince and Princess of Orange King and Queen of England. The Declaration was presented to William and Mary on the 13th of February by the two Houses in the Banqueting Room at Whitehall, and at the close of its recital Halifax, in the name of the Estates of the Realm, prayed them to receive the crown. William accepted the offer in his own name and in that of his wife, and declared in a few words the resolve of both to maintain the laws and to govern by advice of Parliament.

But William's eyes were fixed less on England than on Europe. His expedition had had in his own eyes a European rather than an English aim, and in his acceptance of the crown he had been moved not so much by personal ambition as by the prospect which offered itself of firmly knitting together England and Holland, the two great Protestant powers whose fleets held the mastery of the sea. But the advance from such a union to the formation of the European alliance against France on which he was bent was a step that still had to be made. Already indeed his action in England had told decisively on the contest. The blunder of Lewis in choosing Germany instead of Holland for his point of attack had been all but atoned for by the brilliant successes with which he opened the war. The whole country west of the Rhine fell at once into his hands; his armies made themselves masters of the Palatinate, and penetrated even to Würtemberg. The hopes of the French King indeed had never been higher than at the moment when the arrival of James at St. Germains dashed all hope to the ground. Lewis was at once thrown back on a war of defence, and the brutal ravages which marked the retreat of his armies from the Rhine revealed the bitterness with which his pride stooped to the necessity.

But his reception of James at St. Germains as still King of England gave fresh force to William's efforts. It was yet doubtful whether William would be able to bring England to a hearty cooperation in the struggle against French ambition. But whatever reluctance there might have been to follow him in an attack on France with the view of saving the liberties of Europe the stoutest Tory had none in following him in such an attack when it meant simply self-defence against a French restoration of the Stuart King at the cost of English freedom. It was with universal approval that the English Government declared war against Lewis. It was soon followed in this step by Holland, and the two countries at once agreed to stand by one another in their struggle against France. But it was more difficult to secure the cooperation of the two branches of the House of Austria

in Germany and Spain, reluctant as they were to join the Protestant powers in league against a Catholic King. Spain however was forced by Lewis into war, for he aimed at the Netherlands as his especial prey; and the court of Vienna at last yielded to the bait held out by Holland of a recognition of its claims to the Spanish succession.

The adhesion of these powers in the spring of 1689 completed the Grand Alliance of the European powers which William had designed; and the union of Savoy with the allies girt France in on every side save that of Switzerland with a ring of foes. Lewis was left without a single ally save the Turk; for though the Scandinavian kingdoms stood aloof from the confederacy of Europe their neutrality was unfriendly to him. But the energy and quickness of movement which sprang from the concentration of the power of France in a single hand still left the contest an equal one. The Empire was slow to move; the court of Vienna was distracted with a war against the Turks; Spain was all but powerless; Holland and England were alone earnest in the struggle, and England could as yet give little aid in it. One English brigade indeed, formed from the regiments raised by James, joined the Dutch army on the Sambre, and distinguished itself under Churchill, who had been rewarded for his treason by the title of Earl of Marlborough, in a brisk skirmish with the enemy at Walcourt. But for the bulk of his forces William had as yet grave work to do at home. In England not a sword had been drawn for James. In Scotland his tyranny had been yet greater than in England, and so far as the Lowlands went the fall of his tyranny was as rapid and complete. No sooner had he called his troops southward to meet William's invasion than Edinburgh rose in revolt. The western peasants were at once up in arms; and the Episcopalian clergy, who had been the instruments of the Stuart misgovernment ever since the Restoration, were rabbled and driven from their parsonages in every parish. The news of these disorders forced William to act, though he was without a show of legal authority over Scotland.

On the advice of the Scotch Lords present in London he ventured to summon a Convention similar to that which had been summoned in England, and on his own responsibility to set aside the laws passed by the "Drunken Parliament" of the Restoration which excluded Presbyterians from the Scotch Parliament. This Convention resolved that James had forfeited the crown by misgovernment, and offered it to William and Mary. The offer was accompanied by a Claim of Right framed on the model of the Declaration of Rights to which the two sovereigns had consented in England, but closing with a demand for the abolition of Prelacy. Both crown and claim were accepted, and the arrival of the Scotch regiments which William brought from Holland gave strength to the new Government.

Its strength was to be roughly tested. On the revolt of the capital John Graham of Claverhouse, whose cruelties in the persecution of the Western Covenanters had been rewarded by high command in the Scotch army and by the title of Viscount Dundee, withdrew with a few troopers from Edinburgh to the Highlands and appealed to the clans. In the Highlands nothing was known of English government or misgovernment: all that the Revolution meant to a Highlander was the Restoration of the House of Argyle. To many of the clans it meant the restoration of lands which had been granted them on the Earl's attainder; and the zeal of the Macdonalds, the Macleans, the Camerons, who were as ready to join Dundee in fighting the Campbells and the Government which upheld them as they had been ready to join Montrose in the same cause forty years before, was quickened by a reluctance to disgorge their spoil. They were soon in arms. William's Scotch regiments under General Mackay were sent to suppress the rising; but as they climbed the pass of Killiecrankie on the 27th of July, 1689, Dundee charged them at the head of three thousand clansmen and swept them in headlong rout down the glen. His death in the moment of victory broke, however, the only bond which held the Highlanders together, and in a few weeks the host which had

spread terror through the Lowlands melted helplessly away. In the next summer Mackay was able to build the strong post of Fort William in the very heart of the disaffected country, and his offers of money and pardon brought about the submission of the clans.

The work of peace was sullied by an act of cruel treachery the memory of which still lingers in the minds of men. Sir John Dalrymple, the Master of Stair, in whose hands the government of Scotland at this time mainly rested, had hoped that a refusal of the oath of allegiance would give grounds for a war of extermination and free Scotland for ever from its dread of the Highlanders. He had provided for the expected refusal by orders of a ruthless severity. "Your troops," he wrote to the officer in command, "will destroy entirely the country of Lochaber, Locheil's lands, Keppoch's, Glengarry's, and Glencoe's. Your powers shall be large enough. I hope the soldiers will not trouble the Government with prisoners." But his hopes were disappointed by the readiness with which the clans accepted the offers of the Government. All submitted in good time save Macdonald of Glencoe, whose pride delayed his taking of the oath till six days after the latest date fixed by the proclamation. Foiled in his larger hopes of destruction, Dalrymple seized eagerly on the pretext given by Macdonald, and an order "for the extirpation of that sect of robbers" was laid before William and received the royal signature. "The work," wrote the Master of Stair to Colonel Hamilton who undertook it, "must be secret and sudden." The troops were chosen from among the Campbells, the deadly foes of the clansmen of Glencoe, and quartered peacefully among the Macdonalds for twelve days till all suspicion of their errand disappeared. At daybreak on the 13th of February, 1692, they fell on their hosts, and in a few moments thirty of the clansfolk lay dead on the snow. The rest, sheltered by a storm, escaped to the mountains to perish for the most part of cold and hunger. "The only thing I regret," said the Master of Stair, when the news reached him, "is that any got away."

But whatever horror the Massacre of Glencoe has roused in later days few save Dalrymple knew of it at the time. The peace of the Highlands enabled the work of reorganization to go on quietly at Edinburgh. In accepting the Claim of Right with its repudiation of Prelacy William had in effect restored the Presbyterian Church to which nine-tenths of the Lowland Scotchmen clung, and its restoration was accompanied by the revival of the Westminster Confession as a standard of faith and by the passing of an Act which abolished lay patronage. Against the Toleration Act which the King proposed the Scotch Parliament stood firm. But though the measure failed the King was as firm in his purpose as the Parliament. So long as he reigned, William declared in memorable words, there should be no persecution for conscience sake. "We never could be of that mind that violence was suited to the advancing of true religion, nor do we intend that our authority shall ever be a tool to the irregular passions of any party."

It was not in Scotland however but in Ireland that James and Lewis hoped to arrest William's progress. Ireland had long been the object of special attention on the part of James. In the middle of his reign, when his chief aim was to provide against the renewed depression of his fellow religionists at his death by any Protestant successor, he had resolved (if we may trust the statement of the French ambassador) to place Ireland in such a position of independence that she might serve as a refuge for his Catholic subjects. It was with a view to the success of this design that Lord Clarendon was dismissed from the Lord-Lieutenancy and succeeded in the charge of the Island by the Catholic Earl of Tyrconnell. The new governor, who was raised to a dukedom, went roughly to work. Every Englishman was turned out of office. Every Judge, every Privy Councillor, every Mayor and Alderman of a borough, was required to be a Catholic and an Irishman. The Irish army, raised to the number of fifty thousand men and purged of its Protestant soldiers, was entrusted to Catholic officers. In a few months the English ascendency was overthrown, and

the life and fortune of the English settlers were at the mercy of the natives on whom they had trampled since Cromwell's day. The King's flight and the agitation among the native Irish at the news spread panic therefore through the island. Another massacre was believed to be at hand; and fifteen hundred Protestant families, chiefly from the south, fled in terror over sea. The Protestants of the north on the other hand drew together at Enniskillen and Londonderry, and prepared for self-defence. The outbreak however was still delayed, and for two months Tyrconnell intrigued with William's Government. But his aim was simply to gain time. He was at this very moment indeed inviting James to return to Ireland, and assuring him of his fidelity. To James this call promised the aid of an army which would enable him to help the Scotch rising and to effect a landing in England, while Lewis saw in it means of diverting William from giving effectual aid to the Grand Alliance. A staff of French officers with arms, ammunition, and a supply of money was placed therefore at the service of the exiled King, and the news of his coming no sooner reached Dublin at the opening of 1689 than Tyrconnell threw off the mask. A flag was hoisted over Dublin Castle with the words embroidered on its folds "Now or never." The signal called every Catholic to arms. The maddened Irishmen flung themselves on the plunder which their masters had left and in a few weeks havoc was done, the French envoy told Lewis, which it would take years to repair.

It was in this condition that James found Ireland when he landed at Kinsale. The rising of the natives had already baffled his plans. To him as to Lewis Ireland was simply a basis of operations against William, and whetever were their hopes of a future restoration of the soil to its older possessors both kings were equally anxious that no strife of races should at this moment interrupt their plans of an invasion of England with the fifty thousand soldiers that Tyrconnell was said to have at his disposal. But long ere James landed the war of races had already begun. To Tyrconnell indeed and the Irish

leaders the King's plans were utterly distasteful. They had no wish for an invasion and conquest of England which would replace Ireland again in its position of dependence. Their policy was simply that of Ireland for the Irish, and the first step in such a policy was to drive out the Englishmen who still stood at bay in Ulster. Half of Tyrconnell's army therefore had already been sent against Londonderry, where the bulk of the fugitives found shelter behind a weak wall, manned by a few old guns and destitute even of a ditch. But the seven thousand desperate Englishmen behind the wall made up for its weakness. They rejected with firmness the offers of James, who was still anxious to free his hands from a strife which broke his plans. They kept up their fire even when the neighboring Protestants with their women and children were brutally driven under their walls and placed in the way of their guns. So fierce were their sallies, so crushing the repulse of his attack that the King's general, Hamilton, at last turned the siege into a blockade. The Protestants died of hunger in the streets and of the fever which comes of hunger, but the cry of the town was still "No surrender." The siege had lasted a hundred and five days and only two days' food remained in Londonderry when on the 28th of July an English ship broke the boom across the river, and the besiegers sullenly withdrew.

Their defeat was turned into a rout by the men of Enniskillen who struggled through a bog to charge an Irish force of double their number at Newtown Butler, and drove horse and foot before them in a panic which soon spread through Hamilton's whole army. The routed soldiers fell back on Dublin where James lay helpless in the hands of the frenzied Parliament which he had summoned. Every member returned was an Irishman and a Catholic, and their one aim was to undo the sucessive confiscations which had given the soil to English settlers and to get back Ireland for the Irish. The Act of Settlement on which all title to property rested was at once repealed in spite of the King's reluctance. He was told indeed bluntly that if he did not do Ireland

justice not an Irishman would fight for him. It was to strengthen this work by ensuring the legal forfeiture of their lands that three thousand Protestants of name and fortune were massed together in the hugest Bill of Attainder which the world has seen. To the bitter memory of past wrongs was added the fury of religious bigotry. In spite of the King's promise of religious freedom the Protestant clergy were everywhere driven from their parsonages, Fellows and scholars were turned out of Trinity College, and the French envoy, the Count of Avaux, dared even to propose that if any Protestant rising took place on the English descent, as was expected, it should be met by a general massacre of the Protestants who still lingered in the districts which had submitted to James. To his credit the King shrank horror-struck from the proposal. "I cannot be so cruel," he said, "as to cut their throats while they live peaceably under my government." "Mercy to Protestants," was the reply, "is cruelty to Catholics."

The long agony of Londonderry was invaluable to England: it foiled the King's hopes of an invasion which would have roused a fresh civil war, and gave the new Government time to breathe. Time was indeed sorely needed. Through the proscription and bloodshed of the new Irish rule William was forced to look helplessly on. The best troops in the army which had been mustered at Hounslow had been sent with Marlborough to the Sambre, and the political embarrassments which grew up around the new Government made it impossible to spare a man of those who remained at home. The great ends of the Revolution were indeed secured, even amidst the confusion and intrigue which we shall have to describe, by the common consent of all. On the great questions of civil liberty Whig and Tory were now at one. The Declaration of Rights was turned into the Bill of Rights by the Convention which had now become a Parliament, and the passing of this measure in 1689 restored to the monarchy the character which it had lost under the Tudors and the Stuarts. The right of the people through its representatives to depose the King, to change the order

of succession, and to set on the throne whom they would, was now established. All claim of Divine Right or hereditary right independent of the law was formally put an end to by the election of William and Mary. Since their day no English sovereign has been able to advance any claim to the crown save a claim which rested on a particular clause in a particular Act of Parliament. William, Mary, and Anne, were sovereigns simply by virtue of the Bill of Rights. George the First and his successors have been sovereigns solely by virtue of the Act of Settlement. An English monarch is now as much the creature of an Act of Parliament as the pettiest tax-gatherer in his realm.

Nor was the older character of the kingship alone restored. The older constitution returned with it. Bitter experience had taught England the need of restoring to the Parliament its absolute power over taxation. The grant of revenue for life to the last two kings had been the secret of their anti-national policy, and the first act of the new legislature was to restrict the grant of the royal revenue to a term of four years. William was bitterly galled by the provision. "The gentlemen of England trusted King James," he said, "who was an enemy of their religion and their laws, and they will not trust me, by whom their religion and their laws have been preserved." But the only change brought about in the Parliament by this burst of royal anger was a resolve henceforth to make the vote of supplies an annual one, a resolve which, in spite of the slight changes introduced by the next Tory Parliament, soon became an invariable rule. A change of almost as great importance established the control of Parliament over the army. The hatred to a standing army which had begun under Cromwell had only deepened under James; but with the continental war the existence of an army was a necessity. As yet however it was a force which had no legal existence. The soldier was simply an ordinary subject; there were no legal means of punishing strictly military offences or of providing for military discipline: and the assumed power of billeting soldiers in private houses had been taken

away by the law. The difficulty both of Parliament and the army was met by a Mutiny Act. The powers requisite for discipline in the army were conferred by Parliament on its officers, and provision was made for the pay of the force, but both pay and disciplinary powers were granted only for a single year.

The Mutiny Act, like the grant of supplies, has remained annual ever since the Revolution; and as it is impossible for the State to exist without supplies or for the army to exist without discipline and pay, the annual assembly of Parliament has become a matter of absolute necessity. The greatest constitutional change which our history has witnessed was thus brought about in an indirect but perfectly efficient way. The dangers which experience had lately shown lay in the Parliament itself were met with far less skill. Under Charles the Second England had seen a Parliament, which had been returned in a moment of reaction, maintained without fresh election for eighteen years. A Triennial Bill which limited the duration of a Parliament to three was passed with little opposition, but fell before the dislike and veto of William. To counteract the influence which a king might obtain by crowding the Commons with officials proved a yet harder task. A Place Bill which excluded all persons in the employment of the State from a seat in Parliament was defeated, and wisely defeated, in the Lords. The modern course of providing against a pressure from the Court or the administration by excluding all minor officials, but of preserving the hold of Parliament over the great officers of State by admitting them into its body, seems as yet to have occurred to nobody. It is equally strange that while vindicating its right of Parliamentary control over the public revenue and the army the Bill of Rights should have left by its silence the control of trade to the Crown. It was only a few years later, in the discussions on the charter granted to the East India Company, that the Houses silently claimed and obtained the right of regulating English commerce.

The religious results of the Revolution were hardly less weighty than the political. In the common struggle

against Catholicism Churchman and Nonconformist had found themselves, as we have seen, strangly at one; and schemes of Comprehension became suddenly popular. But with the fall of James the union of the two bodies abruptly ceased; and the establishment of the Presbyterian Church in Scotland, together with the "rabbling" of the Episcopalian clergy in its western shires, revived the old bitterness of the clergy towards the dissidents. The Convocation rejected the scheme of the Latitudinarians for such modifications of the Prayer-book as would render possible a return of the Nonconformists, and a Comprehension Bill which was introduced into Parliament failed to pass in spite of the King's strenuous support. William's attempt to partially admit Dissenters to civil equality by a repeal of the Corporation Act proved equally fruitless. Active persecution however had now become distasteful to all; the pledge of religious liberty given to the Nonconformists to ensure their aid in the Revolution had to be redeemed; and the passing of a Toleration Act in 1689 practically established freedom of worship. Whatever the religious effect of this failure of the Latitudinarian schemes may have been its political effect has been of the highest value. At no time had the Church been so strong or so popular as at the Revolution, and the reconciliation of the Nonconformists would have doubled its strength. It is doubtful whether the disinclination to all political change which has characterized it during the last two hundred years would have been affected by such a change; but it is certain that the power of opposition which it has wielded would have been enormously increased. As it was, the Toleration Act established a group of religious bodies whose religious opposition to the Church forced them to support the measures of progress which the Church opposed. With religious forces on the one side and on the other England has escaped the great stumbling-block in the way of nations where the cause of religion has become identified with that of political reaction.

A secession from within its own ranks weakened the Church still more. The doctrine of Divine Right had a strong hold on the body of the clergy though they had

been driven from their other favorite doctrine of passive obedience, and the requirement of an oath of allegiance to the new sovereigns from all persons exercising public functions was resented as an intolerable wrong by almost every parson. The whole bench of bishops resolved, though to no purpose, that Parliament had no right to impose such an oath on the clergy. Sancroft, the Archbishop of Canterbury, with a few prelates and a large number of the higher clergy absolutely refused the oath when it was imposed, treated all who took it as schismatics, and on their deprivation by Act of Parliament regarded themselves and their adherents, who were known as Nonjurors, as the only members of the true Church of England. The bulk of the clergy bowed to necessity, but their bitterness against the new Government was fanned into a flame by the religious policy announced in this assertion of the supremacy of Parliament over the Church and the deposition of bishops by an act of the legislature. It was fanned into yet fiercer flame by the choice of successors to the nonjuring prelates. The new bishops were men of learning and piety, but they were for the most part Latitudinarians and some of them Whigs. Tillotson, the new Archbishop of Canterbury, was the foremost theologian of the school of Chillingworth and Hales. Burnet, the new bishop of Salisbury, was as liberal as Tillotson in religion and more liberal in politics. It was indeed only among Whigs and Latitudinarians that William and William's successors could find friends in the ranks of the clergy; and it was to these that they were driven with a few breaks here and there to entrust all the higher offices of the Church. The result was a severance between the higher dignitaries and the mass of the clergy which broke the strength of the Church. From the time of William to the time of George the Third its fiercest strife was waged within its own ranks. But the resentment at the measure which brought this strife about already added to the difficulties which William had to encounter.

Yet greater difficulties arose from the temper of his Parliament. In the Commons, chosen as they had been in

the first moment of revolutionary enthusiasm, the bulk of the members were Whigs, and their first aim was to redress the wrongs which the Whig party had suffered during the last two reigns. The attainder of Lord Russell was reversed. The judgments against Sidney, Cornish, and Alice Lisle were annulled. In spite of the opinion of the judges that the sentence on Titus Oates had been against law the Lords refused to reverse it, but even Oates received a pardon and a pension. The Whigs however wanted not merely the redress of wrongs but the punishment of the wrong-doers. Whig and Tory had been united indeed by the tyranny of James ; both parties had shared in the Revolution, and William had striven to prolong their union by joining the leaders of both in his first Ministry. He named the Tory Earl of Danby Lord President, made the Whig Earl of Shrewsbury Secretary of State, and gave the Privy Seal to Lord Halifax, a trimmer between the one party and the other. But save in a moment of common oppression or common danger union was impossible. The Whigs clamored for the punishment of Tories who had joined in the illegal acts of Charles and of James, and refused to pass the Bill of General Indemnity which William laid before them. William on the other hand was resolved that no bloodshed or proscription should follow the revolution which had placed him on the throne. His temper was averse from persecution ; he had no great love for either of the battling parties ; and above all he saw that internal strife would be fatal to the effective prosecution of the war.

While the cares of his new throne were chaining him to England the confederacy of which he was the guiding spirit was proving too slow and too loosely compacted to cope with the swift and resolute movements of France. The armies of Lewis had fallen back within their own borders, but only to turn fiercely at bay. Even the junction of the English and Dutch fleets failed to assure them the mastery of the seas. The English navy was paralyzed by the corruption which prevailed in the public service, as well as by the sloth and incapacity of its commander. The services of Admiral Herbert at the Revolution had

been rewarded by the earldom of Torrington and the command of the fleet; but his indolence suffered the seas to be swept by French privateers, and his want of seamanship was shown in an indecisive engagement with a French squadron in Bantry Bay. Meanwhile Lewis was straining every nerve to win the command of the Channel; the French dockyards were turning out ship after ship, and the galleys of the Mediterranean fleet were brought round to reinforce the fleet at Brest. A French victory off the English coast would have brought serious political danger; for the reaction of popular feeling which had begun in favor of James had been increased by the pressure of the war, by the taxation, by the expulsion of the Nonjurors and the discontent of the clergy, by the panic of the Tories at the spirit of vengeance which broke out among the triumphant Whigs, and above all by the presence of James in Ireland. A new party, that of the Jacobites or adherents of King James, was forming around the Nonjurors; and it was feared that a Jacobite rising would follow the appearance of a French fleet on the coast.

In such a state of affairs William judged rightly that to yield to the Whig thirst for vengeance would have been to ruin his cause. He dissolved the Parliament, and issued in his own name a general pardon for all political offences under the title of an Act of Grace. Bitterly as both measures were resented by the Whigs, the result of the elections proved that William had only expressed the general temper of the nation. In the new Parliament which met in 1690, the bulk of the members proved Tories. The boroughs had been alienated from the Whigs by their refusal to pass the Indemnity and their desire to secure the Corporations for their own party by driving from them all who had taken part in the Tory misgovernment under Charles or James. In the counties the discontent of the clergy told as heavily against the Whigs; and parson after parson led his flock in a body to the poll. The change of temper in the Parliament necessarily brought about a change among the King's advisers. William accepted the resignation

of the more violent Whigs among his counsellors and placed Danby at the head of affairs. His aim in this sudden change of front was not only to meet the change in the national spirit, but to secure a momentary lull in English faction which would suffer him to strike at the rebellion in Ireland. While James was King in Dublin the attempt to crush treason at home was a hopeless one; and so urgent was the danger, so precious every moment in the present juncture of affairs, that William could trust no one to bring the work as sharply to an end as was needful save himself. In the autumn of the year 1689 the Duke of Schomberg, an exiled Huguenot who had followed William in his expedition to England and was held to be one of the most skilful captains of the time, had been sent with a small force to Ulster to take advantage of the panic which had followed the relief of Londonderry. James indeed was already talking of flight, and looked upon the game as hopeless. But the spirit of the Irish people rose quickly from their despair, and the duke's landing roused the whole nation to a fresh enthusiasm. The ranks of the Irish army were filled up at once, and James was able to face the duke at Drogheda with a force double that of his opponent. Schomberg, whose men were all raw recruits whom it was hardly possible to trust at such odds in the field, did all that was possible when he entrenched himself at Dundalk and held his ground in a camp where pestilence swept off half his numbers.

Winter at last parted the two armies, and during the next six months James, whose treasury was utterly exhausted, strove to fill it by a coinage of brass money while his soldiers subsisted by sheer plunder. William meanwhile was toiling hard on the other side of the Channel to bring the Irish war to an end. Schomberg was strengthened during the winter with men and stores, and when the spring came his force reached thirty thousand men. Lewis too felt the importance of the coming struggle. Seven thousand picked Frenchmen under the Count of Lauzun were despatched to reinforce the army of James, but they had hardly arrived when William him-

self landed at Carrickfergus and pushed rapidly with his whole army to the south. His columns soon caught sight of the Irish forces, hardly exceeding twenty thousand men in number but posted strongly behind the Boyne. Lauzun had hoped by falling back on Dublin to prolong a defensive war, but retreat was now impossible. "I am glad to see you, gentlemen," William cried with a burst of delight; "and if you escape me now the fault will be mine." Early next morning, the first of July 1690, the whole English army plunged into the river. The Irish foot, who at first fought well broke in a sudden panic as soon as the passage of the river was effected, but the horse made so gallant a stand that Schomberg fell in repulsing its charge, and for a time the English centre was held in check. With the arrival of William however at the head of his left wing all was over. James, who had throughout been striving to secure the withdrawal of his troops to the nearest defile rather than frankly to meet William's onset, abandoned his troops as they fell back in retreat upon Dublin, and took ship at Kinsale for France.

But though James had fled in despair, and though the beaten army was forced by William's pursuit to abandon the capital, it was still resolute to fight. The incapacity of the Stuart sovereign moved the scorn even of his followers. "Change kings with us," an Irish officer replied to an Englishman who taunted him with the panic of the Boyne, "change kings with us and we will fight you again." They did better in fighting without a king. The French indeed withdrew scornfully from the routed army as it turned at bay beneath the walls of Limerick. "Do you call these ramparts?" sneered Lauzun: "the English will need no cannon; they may batter them down with roasted apples." But twenty thousand Irish soldiers remained with Sarsfield, a brave and skilful officer who had seen service in England and abroad; and his daring surprise of the English ammunition train, his repulse of a desperate attempt to storm the town, and the approach of winter forced William to raise the siege. The course of the war abroad recalled him to England, but

he was hardly gone when a new turn was given to the struggle by one who was quietly proving himself a master in the art of war. Churchill, rewarded for his opportune desertion of James with the earldom of Marlborough, had been recalled from Flanders to command a division which landed in the south of Ireland. Only a few days remained before the operations were interrupted by the coming of winter, but the few days were turned to good account. The two ports by which alone Ireland could receive supplies from France fell into English hands. Cork, with five thousand men behind its walls, was taken in forty-eight hours. Kinsale a few days later shared the fate of Cork. Winter indeed left Connaught and the greater part of Munster in Irish hands, the French force remained untouched, and the coming of a new French general, St. Ruth, with arms and supplies encouraged the insurgents. But the spring of 1691 had hardly opened when Ginkell, the new English general, by his seizure of Athlone forced on a battle with the combined French and Irish forces at Aughrim, in which St. Ruth fell on the field and his army was utterly broken.

The defeat left Limerick alone in its revolt, and even Sarsfield bowed to the necessity of a surrender. Two treaties were drawn up between the Irish and English generals. By the first it was stipulated that the Catholics of Ireland should enjoy such privileges in the exercise of their religion as were consistent with law or as they had enjoyed in the reign of Charles the Second. Both sides were of course well aware that such a treaty was merely waste paper, for Ginkell had no power to conclude it nor had the Irish Lords Justices. The latter indeed only promised to do all they could to bring about its ratification by Parliament, and this ratification was never granted. By the military treaty those of Sarsfield's soldiers who would were suffered to follow him to France; and ten thousand men, the whole of his force, chose exile rather than life in a land where all hope of national freedom was lost. When the wild cry of the women who stood watching their departure was hushed the silence of death settled down upon Ireland. For a hundred years the

country remained at peace, but the peace was a peace of despair. No Englishman who loves what is noble in the English temper can tell without sorrow and shame the story of that time of guilt. The work of oppression, it is true, was done not directly by England but by the Irish Protestants, and the cruelty of their rule sprang in great measure from the sense of danger and the atmosphere of panic in which the Protestants lived. But if thoughts such as these relieve the guilt of those who oppressed they leave the fact of oppression as dark as before. The most terrible legal tyranny under which a nation has ever groaned avenged the rising under Tyrconnell. The conquered people, in Swift's bitter words of contempt, became "hewers of wood and drawers of water" to their conquerors. Such as the work was however, it was thoroughly done. Though local risings of these serfs perpetually spread terror among the English settlers in Ireland, all dream of a national revolt passed away. Till the very eve of the French Revolution Ireland ceased to be a source of political danger and anxiety to England.

Short as the struggle of Ireland had been it had served Lewis well, for while William was busy at the Boyne a series of brilliant successes was restoring the fortunes of France. In Flanders the Duke of Luxembourg won the victory of Fleurus. In Italy Marshall Catinat defeated the Duke of Savoy. A success of even greater moment, the last victory which France was fated to win at sea, placed for an instant the very throne of William in peril. William never showed a cooler courage than in quitting England to fight James in Ireland at a moment when the Jacobites were only looking for the appearance of a French fleet on the coast to rise in revolt. The French minister in fact hurried the fleet to sea in the hope of detaining William in England by a danger at home; and he had hardly set out for Ireland when Tourville, the French admiral, appeared in the Channel with strict orders to fight. Orders as strict had been sent to the allied fleets to engage even at the risk of defeat; and when Tourville was met on the 30th of June 1690 by

the English and Dutch fleet at Beachy Head the Dutch division at once engaged. Though utterly outnumbered it fought stubbornly in hope of Herbert's aid; but Herbert, whether in cowardice or treason, looked idly on while his allies were crushed, and withdrew with the English ships at nightfall to seek shelter in the Thames. The danger was as great as the shame, for Tourville's victory left him master of the Channel and his presence off the coast of Devon invited the Jacobites to revolt. But whatever the discontent of Tories and Non-jurors against William might be all signs of it vanished with the landing of the French. The burning of Teignmouth by Tourville's sailors called the whole coast to arms; and the news of the Boyne put an end to all dreams of a rising in favor of James.

The natural reaction against a cause which looked for foreign aid gave a new strength for the moment to William in England; but ill luck still hung around the Grand Alliance. So urgent was the need for his presence abroad that William left as we have seen his work in Ireland undone, and crossed in the spring of 1691 to Flanders. It was the first time since the days of Henry the Eighth that an English king had appeared on the Continent at the head of an English army. But the slowness of the allies again baffled William's hopes. He was forced to look on with a small army while a hundred thousand Frenchmen closed suddenly around Mons, the strongest fortress of the Netherlands, and made themselves masters of it in the presence of Lewis. The humiliation was great, and for the moment all trust in William's fortune faded away. In England the blow was felt more heavily than elsewhere. The Jacobite hopes which had been crushed by the indignation at Tourville's descent woke up to a fresh life. Leading Tories, such as Lord Clarendon and Lord Dartmouth, opened communications with James; and some of the leading Whigs with the Earl of Shrewsbury at their head, angered at what they regarded as William's ingratitude, followed them in their course. In Lord Marlborough's mind the state of affairs raised hopes of a double treason.

His design was to bring about a revolt which would drive William from the throne without replacing James on it, a revolt which would in fact give the crown to his daughter Anne whose affection for Marlborough's wife would place the real government of England in Churchill's hands. A yet greater danger lay in the treason of Admiral Russell who had succeeded Torrington in command of the fleet.

Russell's defection would have removed the one obstacle to a new attempt which James was resolved to make for the recovery of his throne and which Lewis had been brought to support. James had never wavered from his design of returning to England at the head of a foreign force. He abandoned Ireland as soon as his hopes of finding such a force there vanished at the Boyne; and from that moment he had sought a base of invasion in France. Lewis was the more willing to make the trial that the pressure of the war had left few troops in England. So certain was he of success that the future ambassador to the court of James was already nominated, and a treaty of commerce sketched between France and England. In the beginning of 1692 an army of thirty thousand troops was quartered in Normandy in readiness for a descent on the English coast. Nearly a half of this force was composed of the Irish regiments who had followed Sarsfield into exile after the surrender of Limerick. Transports were provided for their passage, and Tourville was ordered to cover it with the French fleet at Brest. Though Russell had twice as many ships as his opponent the belief in his purpose of betraying William's cause was so strong that Lewis ordered Tourville to engage the allied fleets at any disadvantage. But whatever Russell's intrigues may have meant he was no Herbert. All he would promise was to keep his fleet out of the way of hindering a landing. But should Tourville engage, he would promise nothing. "Do not think I will let the French triumph over us in our own seas," he warned his Jacobite correspondents. "If I meet them I will fight them, even though King James were on board." When the allied fleet, which had been ordered to the

Norman coast, met the French off the heights of Barfleur his fierce attack proved Russell true to his word. Tourville's fifty vessels were no match for the ninety ships of the allies, and after five hours of a brave struggle the French were forced to fly along the rocky coast of the Cotentin. Twenty-two of their vessels reached St. Malo, thirteen anchored with Tourville in the bays of Cherbourg and La Hogue; but their pursuers were soon upon them, and in a bold attack the English boats burnt ship after ship under the eyes of the French army.

All dread of the invasion was at once at an end; and the throne of William was secured by the detection and suppression of the Jacobite conspiracy at home which the invasion was intended to support. The battle of La Hogue was a death blow to the project of a Stuart restoration by help of foreign arms. Henceforth English Jacobitism would have to battle unaided against the throne of the Revolution. But the overthrow of the Jacobite hopes was the least result of the victory. France ceased from that moment to exist as a great naval power; for though her fleet was soon recruited to its former strength the confidence of her sailors was lost, and not even Tourville ventured again to tempt in battle the fortune of the seas. A new hope too dawned on the Grand Alliance. The spell of French triumph was broken. On land indeed the French still held their old mastery. Namur, one of the strongest fortresses in Europe, surrendered to Lewis a few days after the battle of La Hogue. An inroad into Dauphiné failed to rouse the Huguenots to revolt, and the Duke of Luxembourg maintained the glory of the French arms by a victory over William at Steinkirk. But the battle was a useless butchery in which the conquerors lost as many men as the conquered. From that moment France felt herself disheartened and exhausted by the vastness of her efforts. The public misery was extreme. "The country," Fénelon wrote frankly to Lewis, "is a vast hospital." The tide too of the war began to turn. In 1693 the campaign of Lewis in the Netherlands proved a fruitless one, and Luxembourg was hardly able to beat off the fierce attack

of William at Neerwinden. For the first time in his long career of prosperity therefore Lewis bent his pride to seek peace at the sacrifice of his conquests, and though the effort was a vain one it told that the daring hopes of French ambition were at an end and that the work of the Grand Alliance was practically done.

Its final triumph however was in great measure brought about by a change which now passed over the face of English politics. In outer seeming the Revolution of 1688 had only transferred the sovereignty over England from James to William and Mary. In actual fact it had given a powerful and decisive impulse to the great constitutional progress which was transferring the sovereignty from the King to the House of Commons. From the moment when its sole right to tax the nation was established by the Bill of Rights, and when its own resolve settled the practice of granting none but annual supplies to the Crown, the House of Commons became the supreme power in the State. It was impossible permanently to suspend its sittings or in the long run to oppose its will when either course must end in leaving the Government penniless, in breaking up the army and navy, and in suspending the public service. But though the constitutional change was complete the machinery of government was far from having adapted itself to the new conditions of political life which such a change brought about. However powerful the will of the House of Commons might be it had no means of bringing its will directly to bear upon the conduct of public affairs. The Ministers who had charge of them were not its servants, but the servants of the Crown; it was from the King that they looked for direction, and to the King that they held themselves responsible. By impeachment or more indirect means the Commons could force a King to remove a Minister who contradicted their will; but they had no constitutional power to replace the fallen statesman by a Minister who would carry out their will.

The result was the growth of a temper in the Lower House which drove William and his Ministers to despair. It became as corrupt, as jealous of power, as fickle in its

resolves and factions in spirit as bodies always become whose consciousness of the possession of power is untempered by a corresponding consciousness of the practical difficulties or the moral responsibilities of the power which they possess. It grumbled at the ill-success of the war, at the suffering of the merchants, at the discontent of the Churchmen; and it blamed the Crown and its Ministers for all at which it grumbled. But it was hard to find out what policy or measures it would have preferred. Its mood changed, as William bitterly complained, with every hour. His own hold over it grew less day by day. It was only through great pressure that he succeeded in defeating by a majority of two a Place Bill which would have rendered all his servants and Ministers incapable of sitting in the Commons. He was obliged to use his veto to defeat a Triennial Bill which, as he believed, would have destroyed what little stability of purpose there was in the present Parliament. The Houses were in fact without the guidance of recognized leaders, without adequate information, and destitute of that organization out of which alone a definite policy can come. Nothing better proves the inborn political capacity of the English mind than that it should at once have found a simple and effective solution of such a difficulty as this. The credit of the solution belongs to a man whose political character was of the lowest type. Robert, Earl of Sunderland, had been a Minister in the later days of Charles the Second; and he had remained Minister through almost all the reign of James. He had held office at last only by compliance with the worst tyranny of his master and by a feigned conversion to the Roman Catholic faith, but the ruin of James was no sooner certain than he had secured pardon and protection from William by the betrayal of the master to whom he had sacrificed his conscience and his honor. Since the Revolution Sunderland had striven only to escape public observation in a country retirement, but at this crisis he came secretly forward to bring his unequalled sagacity to the aid of the King. His counsel was to recognize practically the new power of the Commons by

choosing the Ministers of the Crown exclusively from among the members of the party which was strongest in the Lower House.

As yet no Ministry in the modern sense of the term had existed. Each great officer of State, Treasurer or Secretary or Lord Privy Seal, had in theory been independent of his fellow-officers, each was the "King's servant" and responsible for the discharge of his special duties to the King alone. From time to time one Minister, like Clarendon, might tower above the rest and give a general direction to the whole course of government, but the predominance was merely personal and never permanent, and even in such a case there were colleagues who were ready to oppose or even impeach the statesman who overshadowed them. It was common for a King to choose or dismiss a single Minister without any communication with the rest, and so far was even William from aiming at ministerial unity that he had striven to reproduce in the Cabinet itself the balance of parties which prevailed ouside it. Sunderland's plan aimed at replacing these independent Ministers by a homogeneous Ministry, chosen from the same party, representing the same sentiments, and bound together for common action by a sense of responsibility and loyalty to the party to which it belonged. Not only was such a plan likely to secure a unity of administration which had been unknown till then, but it gave an organization to the House of Commons which it had never had before. The Ministers who were representatives of the majority of its members became the natural leaders of the House. Small factions were drawn together into the two great parties which supported or opposed the Ministry of the Crown. Above all it brought about in the simplest possible way the solution of the problem which had so long vexed both Kings and Commons. The new Ministers ceased in all but name to be the King's servants. They became simply an Executive Committee representing the will of the majority of the House of Commons, and capable of being easily set aside by it and replaced by a similar Committee whenever the balance of power shifted from one side of the House to the other.

Such was the origin of that system of representative government which has gone on from Sunderland's day to our own. But though William showed his own political genius in understanding and adopting Sunderland's plan, it was only slowly and tentatively that he ventured to carry it out in practice. In spite of the temporary reaction Sunderland believed that the balance of political power was really on the side of the Whigs. Not only were they the natural representatives of the principles of the Revolution, and the supporters of the war, but they stood far above their opponents in parliamentary and administrative talent. At their head stood a group of statesmen whose close union in thought and action gained them the name of the Junto. Russell, as yet the most prominent of these, was the victor of La Hogue; John Somers was an advocate who had sprung into fame by his defence of the Seven Bishops; Lord Wharton was known as the most dexterous and unscrupulous of party managers; and Montague was fast making a reputation as the ablest of English financiers. In spite of such considerations, however, it is doubtful whether William would have thrown himself into the hands of a purely Whig Ministry but for the attitude which the Tories took towards the war. Exhausted as France was the war still languished and the allies still failed to win a single victory. Meanwhile English trade was all but ruined by the French privateers and the nation stood aghast at the growth of taxation. The Tories, always cold in their support of the Grand Alliance, now became eager for peace. The Whigs on the other hand remained resolute in their support of the war.

William, in whose mind the contest with France was the first object, was thus driven slowly to follow Sunderland's advice. Already in 1694 indeed Montague established his political position and weakened that of the Tory Ministers by his success in a great financial measure which at once relieved the pressure of taxation and added strength to the new monarchy. The war could be kept up only by loans: and loans were still raised in England by personal appeal to a few London

goldsmiths in whose hands men placed money for investment. But the bankruptcies which followed the closing of the Exchequer by the Cabal had shaken public confidence in the goldsmiths, while the dread of a restoration of James made these capitalists appear shy of the Ministers' appeals for aid. Money therefore could only be raised in scanty quantities and at a heavy loss. In this emergency Montague came forward with a plan which had been previously suggested by a Scotchman, William Paterson, for the creation of a National Bank such as already existed in Holland and in Genoa. While serving as an ordinary bank for the supply of capital to commercial enterprises the Bank of England, as the new institution was called, was in reality an instrument for procuring loans from the people at large by the formal pledge of the state to repay the money advanced on the demand of the lender. For this purpose a loan of £1,200,000 was thrown open to public subscription; and the subscribers to it were formed into a chartered company in whose hands the negotiation of all after loans was placed. The plan turned out a perfect success. In ten days the list of subscribers was full. A new source of power revealed itself in this discovery of the resources afforded by the national credit and the national wealth; and the rapid growth of the National Debt, as the mass of these loans to the State came to be called, gave a new security against the return of the Stuarts whose first work would have been the repudiation of the claims of the lenders or as they were termed the "fundholders."

The evidence of the public credit gave strength to William abroad as at home. In 1694 indeed the army of 90,000 men which he commanded in the Netherlands did no more than hold the French successfully at bay; but the English fleet rode triumphantly in the Channel, ravaged and alarmed the coast of France, and foiled by its pressure the attack of a French army on Barcelona. The brighter aspect of affairs abroad coincided with a new unity of action at home. The change which Sunderland counselled was quietly carried out. One by one the Tory Ministers had been replaced by members of the

Junto. Russell went to the Admiralty; Somers was named Lord Keeper; Shrewsbury, Secretary of State; Montague, Chancellor of the Exchequer. Even before this change was completed its effect was felt. The House of Commons took a new tone. The Whig majority of its members, united and disciplined, moved quietly under the direction of their natural leaders, the Whig Ministers of the Crown. It was this which enabled William to face the shock which was given to his position by the death of Queen Mary at the end of 1694. It had been provided indeed that on the death of either sovereign the survivor should retain the throne, but the renewed attacks of the Tories under Nottingham and Halifax on the war and the Bank showed what fresh hopes had been raised by William's lonely position. The Parliament however, whom the King had just conciliated by assenting at last to the Triennial Bill, went steadily with the Ministry; and its fidelity was rewarded by triumph abroad. In September, 1695, the Alliance succeeded for the first time in winning a great triumph over France in the capture of Namur. The King skilfully took advantage of his victory to call a new Parliament, and its members at once showed their temper by a vigorous support of the measures necessary for the prosecution of the war. The Houses indeed were no mere tools in William's hands. They forced him to resume the prodigal grants of lands which he had made to his Dutch favorites, and to remove his Ministers in Scotland who had aided in a wild project for a Scotch colony on the Isthmus of Darien. They claimed a right to name members of the new Board of Trade which was established in 1696 for the regulation of commercial matters. They rejected a proposal, never henceforth to be revived, for a censorship of the Press. But there was no factious opposition. So strong was the Ministry that Montague was enabled to face the general distress which was caused for the moment by a reform of the currency, which had been reduced by clipping to far less than its nominal value, and although the financial embarrassments created by the currency reform hindered any vigorous measures abroad William was able to hold the French at bay.

But the war was fast drawing to a close. The Catholic powers in the Grand Alliance were already in revolt against William's supremacy as they had been in revolt against that of Lewis. In 1696 the Pope succeeded in detaching Savoy from the league and Lewis was enabled to transfer his Italian army to the Low Countries. But France was now simply fighting to secure more favorable terms, and William, though he held that "the only way of treating with France is with our swords in our hands," was almost as eager as Lewis for peace. The defection of Savoy made it impossible to carry out the original aim of the Alliance, that of forcing France back to its position at the Treaty of Westphalia, and a new question was drawing every day nearer, the question of the succession to the Spanish throne. The death of the King of Spain, Charles the Second, was now known to be at hand. With him ended the male line of the Austrian princes who for two hundred years had occupied the Spanish throne. How strangely Spain had fallen from its high estate in Europe the wars of Lewis had abundantly shown, but so vast was the extent of its empire, so enormous the resources which still remained to it, that under a vigorous ruler men believed its old power would at once return. Its sovereign was still master of some of the noblest provinces of the Old World and the New, of Spain itself, of the Milanese, of Naples and Sicily, of the Netherlands, of Southern America, of the noble islands of the Spanish Main. To add such a dominion as this to the dominion either of Lewis or of the Emperor would be to undo at a blow the work of European independence which William had wrought; and it was with a view to prevent either of these results that William resolved to free his hands by a conclusion of the war.

In May negotiations were opened at Ryswick; the obstacles thrown in the way of an accommodation by Spain and the Empire were set aside in a private negotiation between William and Lewis; and peace was finally signed in October 1697. In spite of failure and defeat in the field William's policy had won. The victories of France remained barren in the face of a united

Europe; and her exhaustion forced her for the first time since Richelieu's day to consent to a disadvantageous peace. On the side of the Empire France withdrew from every annexation save that of Strassburg which she had made since the Treaty of Nimegwen, and Strassburg would have been restored but for the unhappy delays of the German negotiators. To Spain Lewis restored Luxemburg and all the conquests he had made during the war in the Netherlands. The Duke of Lorraine was replaced in his dominions. A far more important provision of the peace pledged Lewis to an abandonment of the Stuart cause and a recognition of William as King of England. For Europe in general the peace of Ryswick was little more than a truce. But for England it was the close of a long and obstinate struggle and the opening of a new æra of political history. It was the final and decisive defeat of the conspiracy which had gone on between Lewis and the Stuarts ever since the Treaty of Dover, the conspiracy to turn England into a Roman Catholic country and into a dependency of France. But it was even more than this. It was the definite establishment of England as the centre of European resistance against all attempts to overthrow the balance of power.

In leaving England face to face with France the Treaty of Ryswick gave a new turn to the policy of William. Hitherto he had aimed at saving the balance of European power by the joint action of England and the rest of the European states against France. He now saw a means of securing what that action had saved by the co-operation of France and the two great naval powers. In his new course we see the first indication of that triple alliance of France, England and Holland which formed the base of Walpole's foreign policy, as well as of that common action of England and France which since the fall of Holland has so constantly recurred to the dreams of English statesmen. Peace therefore was no sooner signed than William by stately embassies and a series of secret negotiations drew nearer to France. It was in direct negotiation and co-operation with Lewis

that he aimed at bringing about a peaceful settlement of the question which threatened Europe with war. At this moment the claimants of the Spanish succession were three: the French Dauphin, a son of the Spanish King's elder sister; the Electoral Prince of Bavaria, a grandson of his younger sister; and the Emperor, who was a son of Charles's aunt. In strict law—if there had been any law really applicable to the matter—the claim of the last was the strongest of the three; for the claim of the Dauphin was barred by an express renunciation of all right to the succession at his mother's marriage with Lewis XIV., a renunciation which had been ratified at the Treaty of the Pyrenees; and a similar renunciation barred the claim of the Bavarian condidate. The claim of the Emperor was more remote in blood, but it was barred by no renunciation at all. William however was as resolute in the interests of Europe to repulse the claim of the Emperor as to repulse that of Lewis; and it was the consciousness that the Austrian succession was inevitable if the war continued and Spain remained a member of the Grand Alliance, in arms against France and leagued with the Emperor, which made him suddenly conclude the Peace of Ryswick.

Had England and Holland shared William's temper he would have insisted on the succession of the Electoral Prince to the whole Spanish dominions. But both were weary of war, and of the financial distress which war had brought with it. In England the peace of Ryswick was at once followed by the reduction of the army at the demand of the House of Commons to ten thousand men; and a clamor had already begun for the disbanding even of these. It was necessary therefore to bribe the two rival claimants to a waiver of their claims; and Lewis after some hesitation yielded to the counsels of his Ministers, and consented to waive his son's claims for such a bribe. The secret treaty between the three powers, which was concluded in the summer of 1698, thus became necessarily a Partition Treaty. The succession of the Electoral Prince of Bavaria was recognized on condition of the cession by Spain of its Italian possessions

to his two rivals. The Milanese was to pass to the Emperor; the Two Sicilies, with the border province of Guipuzcoa, to France. But the arrangement was hardly concluded when the death of the Bavarian prince in February 1699 made the Treaty waste paper. Austria and France were left face to face; and a terrible struggle, in which the success of either would be equally fatal to the independence of Europe seemed unavoidable. The peril was the greater that the temper of both England and Holland left William without the means of backing his policy by arms. The suffering which the war had caused to the merchant class and the pressure of the debt and taxation it entailed were waking every day a more bitter resentment in the people of both countries. While the struggle lasted the value of English exports had fallen from four millions a year to less than three, and losses of ships and goods at sea had been enormous. Nor had the stress been less felt within the realm. The revenue from the post-office, a fair index to the general wealth of the country, had fallen from seventy-six thousand to fifty-eight. With the restoration of peace indeed the energies of the country had quickly recovered from the shock. In the five years after the Peace of Ryswick the exports doubled themselves; the merchant-shipping was quadrupled; and the revenue of the post-office rose to eighty-two thousand pounds. But such a recovery only produced a greater disinclination to face again the sufferings of a renewed state of war.

The general discontent at the course of the war, the general anxiety to preserve the new gains of the peace, told alike on William and on the party which had backed his policy. In England almost every one was set on two objects, the reduction of taxes and the disbanding of the standing army. The war had raised the taxes from two millions a year to four. It had bequeathed twenty millions of debt and a fresh six millions of deficit. The standing army was still held to be the enemy of liberty, as it had been held under the Stuarts; and hardly any one realized the new conditions of political life which had

robbed its existence of danger to the State. The King however resisted desperately the proposals for its disbanding; for the maintenance of the army was all important for the success of the negotiations he was carrying on. But his stubborn opposition only told against himself. Personally indeed the King still remained an object of national gratitude; but his natural partiality to his Dutch favorites, the confidence he gave to Sunderland, his cold and sullen demeanor, above all his endeavors to maintain the standing army, robbed him of popularity and of the strength which comes from popularity. The negotiations too which he was carrying on were a secret he could not reveal; and his prayers failed to turn the Parliament from its purpose. The army and navy were ruthlessly cut down. How much William's hands were weakened by this reduction of forces and by the peace-temper of England was shown by the Second Partition Treaty which was concluded in 1700 between the two maritime powers and France. The demand of Lewis that the Netherlands should be given to the Elector of Bavaria, whose political position would always leave him a puppet in the French King's hands, was indeed successfully resisted. Spain, the Netherlands, and the Indies were assigned to the second son of the Emperor, the Archduke Charles of Austria. But the whole of the Spanish territories in Italy were now granted to France; and it was provided that Milan should be exchanged for Lorraine, whose Duke was to be summarily transferred to the new Duchy. If the Emperor persisted in his refusal to come into the Treaty the share of his son was to pass to another unnamed prince, who was probably the Duke of Savoy.

The Emperor, indifferent to the Archduke's personal interest, and anxious only to gain a new dominion in Italy for the House of Austria, stubbornly protested against this arrangement; but his protest was of little moment so long as Lewis and the two maritime powers held firmly together. The new Western Alliance indeed showed how wide its power was from the first. The mediation of England and Holland, no longer counter-

acted by France, secured peace between the Emperor and the Turks in the Treaty of Carlowitz. The common action of the three powers stifled a strife between Holstein and Denmark which would have set North Germany on fire. William's European position indeed was more commanding than ever. But his difficulties at home were increasing every day. In spite of the defection of their supporters on the question of a standing army the Whig Ministry for some time retained fairly its hold on the Houses. But the elections for a new Parliament at the close of 1698 showed the growth of a new temper in the nation. A Tory majority, pledged to peace as a reduction of taxation and indifferent to foreign affairs, was returned to the House of Commons. The fourteen thousand men still retained in the army were at once cut down to seven. It was voted that William's Dutch guards should return to Holland. It was in vain that William begged for their retention as a personal favor, that he threatened to leave England with them, and that the ill effect of this strife on his negotiations threw him into a fever. Even before the elections he had warned the Dutch Pensionary that in any fresh struggle England could be relied on only for naval aid. He was forced to give way; and, as he expected, this open display of the peace-temper of England told fatally on the resistance he had attempted to the pretensions of France. He strove indeed to appease the Parliament by calling for the resignation of Russell and Montague, the two ministers most hated by the Tories. But all seemed in vain. The Houses no sooner met in 1699 than the Tory majority attacked the Crown, passed a Bill for resuming estates granted to the Dutch favorites, and condemned the Ministers as responsible for these grants. Again Sunderland had to intervene, and to press William to carry out the policy which had produced the Whig Ministry by its entire dismissal. Somers and his friends withdrew, and a new administration composed of moderate Tories, with Lords Rochester and Godolphin as its leading members, took their place.

The moment indeed was one in which the King needed

at any price the co-operation of the Parliament. Spain had been stirred to bitter resentment as news of the Partition Treaty crept abroad. The Spaniards cared little whether a French or an Austrian prince sat on the throne of Charles the Second, but their pride revolted against the dismemberment of the monarchy by the loss of its Italian dependencies. The nobles too dreaded the loss of their vast estates in Italy and of the lucrative posts they held as governors of these dependencies. Even the dying King shared the anger of his subjects. He hesitated only whether to leave his dominions to the House of Austria or the House of Bourbon; but in either case he was resolved to leave the whole. A will wrested from him by the factions which wrangled over his deathbed bequeathed at last the whole monarchy of Spain to a grandson of Lewis, the Duke of Anjou, the second son of the Dauphin. It was doubtful indeed whether Lewis would suffer his grandson to receive the crown. He was still a member of that Triple Alliance on which for the last three years the peace of Europe had depended. The Treaty of Partition was so recent and the risk of accepting this bequest so great that Lewis would have hardly resolved on it but for his belief that the temper of England must necessarily render William's opposition a fruitless one. Never in fact had England been so averse from war. So strong was the antipathy to William's policy that men openly approved the French King's course. Hardly any one in England dreaded the succession of a boy who, French as he was, would as they believed soon be turned into a Spaniard by the natural course of events. The succession of the Duke of Anjou was generally looked upon as far better than the increase of power which France would have derived from the cessions of the last treaty of Partition. The cession of the Sicilies would have turned the Mediterranean, it was said, into a French lake, and have ruined the English trade with the Levant, while the cession of Guipuzcoa and the annexation of the west coast of Spain, which was looked on as certain to follow, would have imperilled the American trade and again

raised France into a formidable power at sea. Backing all these considerations was the dread of losing by a contest with Spain and its new King the lucrative trade with the Spanish colonies. "It grieves me to the heart," William wrote bitterly, "that almost every one rejoices that France has preferred the Will to the Treaty." Astonished and angered as he was at his rival's breach of faith, he had no means of punishing it. In the opening of 1701 the Duke of Anjou entered Madrid, and Lewis proudly boasted that henceforth there were no Pyrenees.

The life-work of William seemed undone. He knew himself to be dying. His cough was incessant, his eyes sunk and dead, his frame so weak that he could hardly get into his coach. But never had he shown himself so great. His courage rose with every difficulty. His temper, which had been heated by the personal affronts lavished on him through English faction, was hushed by a supreme effort of his will. His large and clear-sighted intellect looked through the temporary embarrassments of French diplomacy and English party strife to the great interests which he knew must in the end determine the course of European politics. Abroad and at home all seemed to go against him. For the moment he had no ally save Holland, for Spain was now united with Lewis, while the attitude of Bavaria divided Germany and held the House of Austria in check. The Bavarian Elector indeed, who had charge of the Spanish Netherlands and on whom William had counted, openly joined the French side from the first and proclaimed the Duke of Anjou as King in Brussels. In England a new Parliament, which had been called by way of testing public opinion, was crowded with Tories who were resolute against war. The Tory Ministry pressed him to acknowledge the new King of Spain; and as even Holland did this, William was forced to submit. He could only count on the greed of Lewis to help him, and he did not count in vain. The general approval of the French King's action had sprung from a belief that he intended honestly to leave Spain to the Spaniards under their new boy-king. Bitter too as the strife of Whig and Tory might be in England, there

were two things on which Whig and Tory were agreed. Neither would suffer France to occupy the Spanish Netherlands. Neither would endure a French attack on the Protestant succession which the Revolution of 1688 had established. But the arrogance of Lewis blinded him to the need of moderation in his hour of good-luck. The wretched defence made by the strong places of the Netherlands in the former war had brought about an agreement between Spain and Holland at its close, by which seven fortresses, including Luxemburg, Mons, and Charleroi, were garrisoned with Dutch in the place of Spanish troops. The seven were named the Dutch barrier, and the first anxiety both of Holland and of William was to maintain this arrangement under the new state of things. William laid down the maintenance of the barrier in his negotiations at Madrid as a matter of peace or war. But Lewis was too eager to wait even for the refusal of William's demand which the pride of the Spanish Court prompted. In February 1701 his troops appeared at the gates of the seven fortresses; and a secret convention with the Elector, who remained in charge of the Netherlands, delivered them into his hands to hold in trust for his grandson. Other French garrisons took possession at the same time of Ostend and the coast towns of Flanders.

The Parliament of 1701, a Parliament mainly of Tories and in which the leader of the moderate Tories, Robert Harley, came for the first time to the front, met amidst the general panic and suspension of trade which followed this seizure of the barrier fortresses. Peace-Parliament as it was and bitterly as it condemned the Partition Treaties, it at once supported William in his demand for a withdrawal of the French troops, and authorized him to conclude a defensive alliance with Holland, which would give that State courage to join in the demand. The disclosure of a new Jacobite plot strengthened William's position. The hopes of the Jacobites had been raised in the preceding year by the death of the young Duke of Gloucester, the only living child of the Princess Anne, and who as William was childless ranked, after

his mother, as heir presumptive of the throne. William was dying, the health of Anne herself was known to be precarious; and to the partisans of James it seemed as if the succession of his son, the boy who was known in later life as the Old Pretender, was all but secure. But Tory as the Parliament was, it had no mind to undo the work of the Revolution. When a new Act of Succession was laid before the Houses in 1701 not a voice was raised for James or his son. By the ordinary rules of heritage the descendants of the daughter of Charles the First, Henrietta of Orleans, whose only child had married the Duke of Savoy, would come next as claimants; but the house of Savoy was Catholic and its pretensions were passed over in the same silence. No other decendants of Charles the First remained, and the Parliament fell back on his father's line. Elizabeth, the daughter of James the First, had married the Elector Palatine; but of her twelve children all had died childless save one. This was Sophia, the wife of the late and the mother of the present Elector of Hanover. It was in Sophia and the heirs of her body, being Protestants, that the Act of Settlement vested the Crown. But the jealousy of a foreign ruler accompanied this settlement with remarkable provisions. It was enacted that every English sovereign must be in communion with the Church of England as by law established. All future kings were forbidden to leave England without consent of Parliament, and foreigners were excluded from all public posts, military or civil. The Independence of justice, which had been inadequately secured by the Bill of Rights, was now established by a clause which provided that no judge should be removed from office save on an address from Parliament to the Crown. The two principles that the king acts only through his ministers and that these ministers are responsible to Parliament were asserted by a requirement that all public business should be formally done in the Privy Council and all its decisions signed by its members. These two last provisions went far to complete the parliamentary Constitution which had been drawn by the Bill of Rights.

But, firm as it was in its loyalty to the Revolution, and in its resolve to maintain the independence of the Netherlands, the Parliament had still no purpose of war. It assented indeed to the alliance with Holland in the belief that the pressure of the two powers would bring Lewis to a peaceful settlement of the question. Its aim was still to avoid a standing army and to reduce taxation; and its bitterness against the Partition Treaties sprang from a belief that William had entailed on England by their means a contest which must bring back again the army and the debt. The King was bitterly blamed, while the late ministers, Somers, Russell, and Montague (now become peers) were impeached for their share in the treaties; and the Commons prayed the King to exclude the three from his counsels for ever. But a counter-prayer from the Lords gave the first sign of a reaction of opinion. Outside the House of Commons indeed the tide of national feeling rose as the designs of Lewis grew clearer. He refused to allow the Dutch barrier to be re-established; and a great French fleet gathered in the Channel to support, it was believed, a fresh Jacobite descent which was proposed by the ministers of James in a letter intercepted and laid before Parliament. Even the House of Commons took fire at this, and the fleet was raised to thirty thousand men, the army to ten thousand. But the country moved faster than the Parliament. Kent sent up a remonstrance against the factious measures by which the Tories still struggled against the King's policy, with a prayer "that addresses might be turned into Bills of Supply;" and William was encouraged by these signs of a change of temper to despatch an English force to Holland, and to conclude a secret treaty with the United Provinces for the recovery of the Netherlands from Lewis and for their transfer with the Milanese to the house of Austria as a means of counter-balancing the new power added to France.

England however still clung desperately to a hope of peace; and even in the Treaty with the Emperor, which followed on the French refusal to negotiate on a basis of compensation, William was far from disputing the right

of Philip of Anjou to the Spanish throne. Hostilities had indeed already broken out in Italy between the French and Austrian armies; but the King had not abandoned the dream of a peaceful settlement when France by a sudden act forced him into war. Lewis had acknowledged William as King in the Peace of Ryswick, and pledged himself to oppose all attacks on his throne; but in September, 1701, he entered the bedchamber at St. Germains where James the Second was breathing his last, and promised to acknowledge his son at his death as King of England, Scotland, and Ireland. The promise which was thus made was in fact a declaration of war, and in a moment all England was at one in accepting the challenge. The issue Lewis had raised was no longer a matter of European politics, but a question whether the work of the Revolution should be undone, and whether Catholicism and despotism should be replaced on the throne of England by the arms of France. On such a question as this there was no difference between Tory and Whig. Every Englishman backed William in his open resentment of the insult and in the recall of his ambassador. The national union showed itself in the warm welcome given to the King on his return from the Hague, where the conclusion of a new Grand Alliance in September between the Empire, Holland, and the United Provinces had rewarded William's patience and skill. The Alliance was soon joined by Denmark, Sweden, the Palatinate, and the bulk of the German States. William seized the moment of enthusiasm to dissolve the Houses whose action had hitherto embarrassed him; and though the new Parliament which met in 1702 was still Tory in the main, its Tory members were now as much for war as the Whigs, and the House of Commons replied to the King's stirring appeal by voting forty thousand soldiers and as many sailors for the coming struggle. As a telling reply to the recognition of the young James by Lewis, a Bill of Attainder was passed against the new Pretender, and correspondence with him or maintenance of his title were made treason. At the same time all members of either House and all public officials were sworn to uphold

the succession of the House of Hanover as established by law.

The King's weakness was already too great too allow of his taking the field; and he was forced to entrust the war in the Netherlands to the one Englishman who had shown himself capable of a great command. John Churchill, Earl of Marlborough, was born in 1650, the son of a Devonshire Cavalier, whose daughter became at the Restoration mistress of the Duke of York. The shame of Arabella did more perhaps than her father's loyalty to win for her brother a commission in the royal Guards; and after five years' service abroad under Turenne the young captain became colonel of an English regiment which was retained in the service of France. He had already shown some of the qualities of a great soldier, an unruffled courage, a temper naturally bold and venturesome but held in check by a cool and serene judgment, a vigilance and capacity for enduring fatigue which never forsook him. In later years he was known to spend a whole day in reconnoitring, and at Blenheim he remained on horseback for fifteen hours. But courage and skill in arms did less for Churchill on his return to the English Court than his personal beauty. In the French camp he had been known as "the handsome Englishman;" and his manners were as winning as his person. Even in age his address was almost irresistible; "he engrossed the graces," says Chesterfield; and his air never lost the careless sweetness which won the favor of Lady Castlemaine. A present of £5000 from the King's mistress laid the foundation of a fortune which grew rapidly to greatness, as the prudent forethought of the handsome young soldier hardened into the avarice of age.

But it was to the Duke of York that Churchill looked mainly for advancement, and he earned it by the fidelity with which as a member of his household he clung to the Duke's fortunes during the dark days of the Popish Plot. He followed James to Edinburgh and the Hague, and on his master's return he was rewarded with a peerage and the colonelcy of the Life Guards. The service he rendered James after his accession by saving the royal army

from a surprise at Sedgemoor would have been yet more splendidly acknowledged but for the King's bigotry. In spite of his master's personal solicitations Churchill remained true to Protestantism. But he knew James too well to count on further favor after a formal refusal to abandon his faith. Luckily for him he had now found a new groundwork for his fortunes in the growing influence of his wife over the King's second daughter, Anne; and at the crisis of the Revolution the adhesion of Anne to the cause of Protestantism was of the highest value. No sentiment of gratitude to his older patron hindered Marlborough from corresponding with the Prince of Orange, from promising Anne's sympathy to William's effort, or from deserting the ranks of the King's army when it faced William in the field. His desertion proved fatal to the royal cause; but great as this service was it was eclipsed by a second. It was by his wife's persuasion that Anne was induced to forsake her father and take refuge in Danby's camp. Unscrupulous as his conduct had been, the services which Churchill thus rendered to William were too great to miss their reward. On the new King's accession he became Earl of Marlborough; he was put at the head of a force during the Irish war where his rapid successes at once won William's regard; and he was given high command in the army of Flanders.

But the sense of his power over Anne soon turned Marlborough from plotting treason against James to plot treason against William. Great as was his greed of gold, he had married Sarah Jennings, a penniless beauty of Charles's court, in whom a violent and malignant temper was strangely combined with a power of winning and retaining love. Churchill's affection for her ran like a thread of gold through the dark web of his career. In the midst of his marches and from the very battle-field he writes to his wife with the same passionate tenderness. The composure which no danger or hatred could ruffle broke down into almost womanish depression at the thought of her coldness or at any burst of her violent humor. To the last he never left her without a pang.

"I did for a great while with a perspective glass look upon the cliffs," he once wrote to her after setting out on a campaign, "in hopes that I might have had one sight of you." It was no wonder that the woman who inspired Marlborough with a love like this bound to her the weak and feeble nature of the Princess Anne. The two friends threw off the restraints of state, and addressed each other as "Mrs. Freeman" and "Mrs. Morley." It was on his wife's influence over her friend that the Earl's ambition counted in its designs against William. His subtle policy aimed at availing itself both of William's unpopularity and of the dread of a Jacobite restoration. His plan was to drive the King from the throne by backing the Tories in their opposition to the war, as well as by stirring to frenzy the English hatred of foreigners, and then to use the Whig dread of James's return to seat Anne in William's place. The discovery of these designs roused the King to a burst of unusual resentment. "Were I and my Lord Marlborough private persons," William exclaimed, "the sword would have to settle between us." As it was, he could only strip the Earl of his offices and command and drive his wife from St. James's. Anne followed her favorite, and the court of the Princess became the centre of the Tory opposition: while Marlborough opened a correspondence with James. So notorious was his treason that on the eve of the French invasion which was foiled by the victory of La Hogue the Earl was one of the first among the suspected persons who were sent to the Tower.

The death of Mary however forced William to recall the Princess, who became by this event his successor; and with Anne the Marlboroughs returned to court. Now indeed that Anne's succession was brought near by the rapid decay of William's health their loyalty to the throne might be counted on; and though William could not bend himself to trust the Earl again, he saw in him as death drew near the one man whose splendid talents fitted him in spite of the perfidy and treason of his life to rule England and direct the Grand Alliance in his stead. He employed Marlborough therefore to negotiate the

treaty of alliance with the Emperor, and put him at the head of the army in Flanders. But the Earl had only just taken command when a fall from his horse on the twenty-first of February, 1702, proved fatal to the broken frame of William of Orange. "There was a time when I should have been glad to have been delivered out of my troubles," the dying man whispered to Portland, "but I own I see another scene, and could wish to live a little longer." He knew however that the wish was vain; and he died on the morning of the 8th of March, commending Marlborough to Anne as the fittest person to lead her armies and guide her counsels. Anne's zeal in her friend's cause needed no quickening. Three days after her accession the Earl was named Captain-General of the English forces at home and abroad, and entrusted with the entire direction of the war. His supremacy over home affairs was secured by the expulsion of the few remaining Whigs among the ministers, and the construction of a purely Tory administration with Lord Godolphin, a close friend of Marlborough's, as Lord Treasurer at its head. The Queen's affection for his wife ensured him the support of the Crown at a moment when Anne's personal popularity gave the Crown a new weight with the nation. In England indeed party feeling for the moment died away. The Parliament called on the new accession was strongly Tory; but all save the extreme Tories were won over to the war now that it was waged on behalf of a Tory queen by a Tory general, while the most extreme of the Whigs were ready to back even a Tory general in waging a Whig war.

Abroad however William's death shook the Alliance to its base; and even Holland wavered in dread of being deserted by England in the coming struggle. But the decision of Marlborough soon did away with this distrust. Anne was made to declare from the throne her resolve to pursue with energy the policy of her predecessor. The Parliament was brought to sanction vigorous measures for the prosecution of the war. The new general hastened to the Hague, received the command of the Dutch as well as of the English forces, and drew the

German powers into the Confederacy with a skill and adroitness which even William might have envied. Never indeed was greatness more quickly recognized than in the case of Marlborough. In a few months he was regarded by all as the guiding spirit of the Alliance, and princes whose jealousy had worn out the patience of the King yielded without a struggle to the counsels of his successor. His temper fitted him in an especial way to be the head of a great confederacy. Like William, he owed little of his power to any early training. The trace of his neglected education was seen to the last in his reluctance to write. "Of all things," he said to his wife, "I do not love writing." To pen a despatch indeed was a far greater trouble to Marlborough than to plan a campaign. But nature had given him qualities which in other men spring specially from culture. His capacity for business was immense. During the next ten years he assumed the general direction of the war in Flanders and in Spain. He managed every negotiation with the courts of the allies. He watched over the shifting phases of English politics. He crossed the Channel to win over Anne to a change in the cabinet, or hurried to Berlin to secure the due contingent of Electoral troops from Brandenburg. At one and the same moment men saw him reconciling the Emperor with the Protestants of Hungary, stirring the Calvinists of the Cevennes into revolt, arranging the affairs of Portugal, and providing for the protection of the Duke of Savoy.

But his air showed no trace of fatigue or haste or vexation. He retained to the last the indolent grace of his youth. His natural dignity was never ruffled by an outbreak of temper. Amidst the storm of battle his soldiers saw their leader "without fear of danger or in the least hurry giving his orders with all the calmness imaginable." In the cabinet he was as cool as on the battle-field. He met with the same equable serenity the pettiness of the German princes, the phlegm of the Dutch, the ignorant opposition of his officers, the libels of his political opponents. There was a touch of irony in the simple expedients by which he sometimes solved prob-

lems which had baffled cabinets. The touchy pride of the King of Prussia in his new royal dignity, when he rose from being a simple Elector of Brandenburg to a throne, made him one of the most vexatious among the allies; but all difficulty with him ceased when Marlborough rose at a state banquet and glutted his vanity by handing him a napkin. Churchill's composure rested partly on a pride which could not stoop to bare the real self within to the eyes of meaner men. In the bitter moments before his fall he bade Godolphin burn some querulous letters which the persecution of his opponents had wrung from him; "My desire," he wrote, "is that the world may continue in their error of thinking me a happy man, for I think it better to be envied than pitied." But in great measure it sprang from the purely intellectual temper of his mind. His passion for his wife was the one sentiment which tinged the colorless light in which his understanding moved. In all else he was without affection or resentment, he knew neither doubt nor regret. In private life he was a humane and compassionate man; but if his position required it he could betray Englishmen to death or lead his army to a butchery such as that of Malplaquet. Of honor or the finer sentiments of mankind he knew nothing; and he turned without a shock from guiding Europe and winning great victories to heap up a matchless fortune by peculation and greed. He is perhaps the only instance of a man of real greatness who loved money for money's sake No life indeed, no temper ever stood more aloof from the common life and temper of mankind. The passions which stirred the men around him, whether noble or ignoble, were to Marlborough simply elements in an intellectual problem which had to be solved by patience. "Patience will overcome all things," he writes again and again. "As I think most things are governed by destiny, having done all things we should submit with patience."

As a statesman the high qualities of Marlborough were owned by his bitterest foes. "Over the Confederacy," says Lord Bolingbroke, "he, a new, a private man, acquired by merit and management a more decided in-

fluence than high birth, confirmed authority, and even the crown of Great Britan had given to King William." But great as he was in the council, he was even greater in the field. He stands alone amongst the masters of the art of war as a captain whose victories began at an age when the work of most men is done. Though he served as a young officer under Turenne, and for a few months in Ireland and the Netherlands, Marlborough had held no great command till he took the field in Flanders at the age of fifty-two. He stands alone too in his unbroken good fortune. Voltaire notes that he never besieged a fortress which he did not take, or fought a battle which he did not win. His difficulties indeed came not so much from the enemy as from the ignorance and timidity of his own allies. He was never defeated in the field, but victory after victory was snatched from him by the incapacity of his officers or the stubbornness of the Dutch. What startled the cautious strategists of his day was the vigor and audacity of his plans. Old as he was, Marlborough's designs had from the first all the dash and boldness of youth. On taking the field in 1702 he at once resolved to force a battle in the heart of Brabant. The plan was foiled by the timidity and resistance of the Dutch deputies. But his resolute advance across the Meuse drew the French forces from that river and enabled him to reduce fortress after fortress in a series of sieges, till the surrender of Liége closed a campaign which cut off the French from the Lower Rhine and freed Holland from all danger of invasion.

The successes of Marlborough had been brought into bolder relief by the fortunes of the war in other quarters. Though the Imperialist general, Prince Eugène of Savoy, showed his powers by a surprise of the French army at Cremona, no real successes had been won in Italy. An English descent on the Spanish coast ended in failure. In Germany, where the Bavarians joined the French, their united armies defeated the army of the Empire and opened the line of the Danube to a French advance. It was in this quarter that Lewis resolved to push his for-

tunes in the coming year. In the spring of 1703 a French army under Marshal Villars again relieved the Bavarian Elector from the pressure of the Austrian forces, and only a strife which arose between the two commanders hindered their joint armies from marching on Vienna. Meanwhile the timidity of the Dutch deputies served Lewis well in the Low Countries. The hopes of Marlborough, who had been raised to a Dukedom for his services in the previous year, were again foiled by the deputies of the States-General. Serene as his temper was, it broke down before their refusal to co-operate in an attack on Antwerp and French Flanders; and the prayers of Godolphin and of the pensionary Heinsius alone induced him to withdraw his offer of resignation. In spite of his victories on the Danube, indeed, of the blunders of his adversaries on the Rhine, and the sudden aid of an insurrection against the Court of Vienna which broke out in Hungary, the difficulties of Lewis were hourly increasing. The accession of Savoy to the Grand Alliance threatened his armies in Italy with destruction. That of Portugal gave the allies a base of operations against Spain. The French King's energy however rose with the pressure; and while the Duke of Berwick, a natural son of James the Second, was despatched against Portugal, and three small armies closed round Savoy, the flower of the French troops joined the army of Bavaria on the Danube, for the bold plan of Lewis was to decide the fortunes of the war by a victory which would wrest peace from the Empire under the walls of Vienna.

The master-stroke of Lewis roused Marlborough at the opening of 1704 to a master-stroke in return; but the secrecy and boldness of the Duke's plans deceived both his enemies and his allies. The French army in Flanders saw in his march from the Netherlands upon Maintz only a design to transfer the war into Elsass. The Dutch on the other hand were lured into suffering their troops to be drawn as far from Flanders as Coblentz by the Duke's proposals for an imaginary campaign on the Moselle. It was only when Marlborough crossed the Neckar and struck through the centre of Germany

for the Danube that the true aim of his operations was revealed to both. After struggling through the hill country of Wurtemberg he joined the Imperial army under the Prince of Baden, stormed the heights of Donauwerth, crossed the Danube and the Lech, and penetrated into the heart of Bavaria. The crisis drew two other armies which were facing one another on the Upper Rhine to the scene. The arrival of Marshal Tallard with thirty thousand French troops saved the Elector of Bavaria for the moment from the need of submission; but the junction of his opponent, Prince Eugene, with Marlborough raised the contending forces again to an equality. After a few marches the armies met on the north bank of the Danube near the small town of Hochstadt and the village of Blindheim or Blenheim, which have given their names to one of the most memorable battles in the history of the world.

In one respect the struggle which followed stands almost unrivalled, for the whole of the Teutonic race was represented in the strange medley of Englishmen, Dutchmen, Hanoverians, Danes, Wurtembergers and Austrians who followed Marlborough and Eugene. The French and Bavarians, who numbered like their opponents some fifty thousand men, lay behind a little stream which ran through swampy ground to the Danube. Their position was a strong one, for its front was covered by the swamp, its right by the Danube, its left by the hill-country in which the stream rose; and Tallard had not only entrenched himself but was far superior to his rival in artillery. But for once Marlborough's hands were free. "I have great reason," he wrote calmly home, "to hope that everything will go well, for I have the pleasure to find all the officers willing to obey without knowing any other reason than that it is my desire, which is very different from what it was in Flanders, where I was obliged to have the consent of a council of war for everything I undertook." So formidable were the obstacles, however, that though the allies were in motion at sunrise on the 13th of August it was not till mid-day that Eugene, who commanded on

the right, succeeded in crossing the stream. The English foot at once forded it on the left, and attacked the village of Blindheim in which the bulk of the French infantry were entrenched; but after a furious struggle the attack was repulsed, while as gallant a resistance at the other end of the line held Eugene in check. It was the centre, however, where the French believed themselves to be unassailable, and which this belief had led them to weaken by drawing troops to their wings, that had been chosen by Marlborough from the first for the chief point of attack. By making an artificial road across the morass which covered it, he was at last enabled to throw his eight thousand horsemen on the mass of the French cavalry, which occupied this position; and two desperate charges which the Duke headed in person decided the day. The French centre was flung back on the Danube and forced to surrender. Their left fell back in confusion on Hochstadt: while their right, cooped up in Blindheim and cut off from retreat, became prisoners of war. Of the defeated army only twenty thousand men escaped. Twelve thousand were slain, fourteen thousand were captured. Vienna was saved, Germany finally freed from the French, and Marlborough, who followed the wreck of the French host in its flight to Elsass, soon made himself master of the Lower Moselle.

But the loss of France could not be measured by men or fortresses. A hundred victories since Rocroi had taught the world to regard the armies of Lewis as all but invincible, when Blenheim and the surrender of the flower of the French soldiery broke the spell. From that moment the terror of victory passed to the side of the allies, and "Malbrook" became a name of fear to every child in France. In England itself the victory of Blenheim aided to bring about a great change in the political aspect of affairs. The Tories were already pressing hard on the defeated Whigs. If they were willing to support the war abroad, they were resolved to use the accession of a Stuart to the throne to secure their own power at home. They resolved therefore to

make a fresh attempt to create a permanent Tory majority in the Commons by excluding Nonconformists from the municipal corporations, which returned the bulk of the borough members, and whose political tendencies were for the most part Whig. The test of receiving the sacrament according to the ritual of the Church of England, effective as it was against Catholics, was useless against Protestant Dissenters. While adhering to their separate congregations, in which they were now protected by the Toleration Act, they 'qualified for office,' as it was called, by the 'occasional conformity' of receiving the sacrament at church once in the year. It was against 'occasional conformity' that the Tories introduced a test which by excluding the Nonconformists would have given them the command of the boroughs; and this test at first received Marlborough's support. But it was rejected by the Lords as often as it was sent up to them, and it was soon guessed that the resistance of the Lords was secretly backed by both Marlborough and Godolphin. Tory as he was, in fact, Marlborough had no mind for an unchecked Tory rule, or for a measure which would be fatal to the war by again reviving religious strife. But it was in vain that he strove to propitiate his party by inducing the Queen to set aside the tenths and first-fruits hitherto paid by the clergy to the Crown as a fund for the augmentation of small benefices, a fund which still bears the name of Queen Anne's Bounty. The Commons showed their resentment against Marlborough by refusing to add a grant of money to the grant of a Dukedom after his first campaign; and the higher Tories, with Lord Nottingham at their head, began to throw every obstacle they could in the way of the continuance of the war.

Nottingham and his followers at last quitted office in 1704, and Marlborough replaced them by Tories of a more moderate stamp who were still in favor of the war; by Robert Harley, who became Secretary of State, and by Henry St. John, a young man of splendid talents, who was named Secretary at War. Small as the change seemed, its significance was clear to both parties; and

the Duke's march into Germany gave his enemies an opportunity of embittering the political strife. The original aim of the Tories had been to limit English efforts to what seemed purely English objects, the defence of the Netherlands and of English commerce; and the bulk of them shrank even now from any further entanglement in the struggle. But the Duke's march seemed at once to pledge England to a strife in the very heart of the Continent, and above all to a strife on behalf of the House of Austria, whose designs upon Spain were regarded with almost as much suspicion as those of Lewis. It was an act indeed of even greater political than military daring. The High Tories and Jacobites threatened if Marlborough failed to bring his head to the block; and only the victory of Blenheim saved him from political ruin. Slowly and against his will the Duke drifted from his own party to the party which really backed his policy. He availed himself of the national triumph over Blenheim to dissolve Parliament; and when the election of 1705, as he hoped, returned a majority in favor of the war, his efforts brought about a coalition between the moderate Tories who still clung to him and the Whig Junto, whose support was purchased by making a Whig, William Cowper, Lord Keeper, and by sending Lord Sunderland as envoy to Vienna.

The bitter attacks of the peace party were entirely foiled by this union, and Marlborough at last felt secure at home. But he had to bear disappointment abroad. His plan of attack along the line of the Moselle was defeated by the refusal of the imperial army to join him. When he transferred the war again to the Netherlands and entered the French lines across the Dyle, the Dutch generals withdrew their troops; and his proposal to attack the Duke of Villeroy in the field of Waterloo was rejected in full council of war by the deputies of the States with cries of "murder" and "massacre." Even Marlborough's composure broke into bitterness at this last blow. "Had I the same power I had last year," he wrote home, "I could have won a greater victory than that of Blenheim."

On his complaint indeed the States recalled their commissaries, but the year was lost; nor had greater results been brought about in Italy or on the Rhine. The spirits of the allies were only sustained by the romantic exploits of Lord Peterborough in Spain. Profligate, unprincipled, flighty as he was, Peterborough had a genius for war, and his seizure of Barcelona with a handful of men, a step followed by his recognition of the old liberties of Aragon, roused that province to support the cause of the second son of the Emperor, who had been acknowledged as King of Spain by the allies under the title of Charles the Third. Catalonia and Valencia soon joined Aragon in declaring for Charles: while Marlborough spent the winter of 1705 in negotiations at Vienna, Berlin, Hanover, and the Hague, and in preparations for the coming campaign. Eager for freedom of action and sick of the Imperial generals as of the Dutch, he planned a march over the Alps and a campaign in Italy; and though these designs were defeated by the opposition of the allies, he found himself unfettered when he again appeared in Flanders in 1706. Marshal Villeroy, the new French general, was as eager as Marlborough for an engagement; and the two armies met on the 23d of May at the village of Ramillies on an undulating plain which forms the highest ground in Brabant. The French were drawn up in a wide curve with morasses covering their front. After a feint on their left, Marlborough flung himself on their right wing at Ramillies, crushed it in a brilliant charge that he led in person, and swept along their whole line till it broke in a rout which only ended beneath the walls of Louvain. In an hour and a half the French had lost fifteen thousand men, their baggage, and their guns: and the line of the Scheldt. Brussels, Antwerp and Bruges became the prize of the victors. It only needed four successful sieges which followed the battle of Ramillies to complete the deliverance of Flanders.

The year which witnessed the victory of Ramillies remains yet more memorable as the year which witnessed the final Union of England with Scotland. As the undoing of the earlier union had been the first work of the

Government of the Restoration, its revival was one of the first aims of the Government which followed the Revolution. But the project was long held in check by religious and commercial jealousies. Scotland refused to bear any part of the English debt. England would not yield any share in her monopoly of trade with the colonies. The English Churchmen longed for a restoration of Episcopacy north of the border, while the Scotch Presbyterians would not hear even of the legal toleration of Episcopalians. In 1703 however an Act of Settlement which passed through the Scotch Parliament at last brought home to English Statesmen the dangers of further delay. In dealing with this measure the Scotch Whigs, who cared only for the independence of their country, joined hand in hand with the Scotch Jacobites, who looked only to the interests of the Pretender. The Jacobites excluded from the Act the name of the Princess Sophia; the Whigs introduced a provision that no sovereign of England should be recognized as sovereign of Scotland save upon security given to the religion, freedom, and trade of the Scottish people. The danger arising from such a measure was undoubtedly great, for it pointed to a recognition of the Pretender in Scotland on the Queen's death, and such a recognition meant war between Scotland and England. The need of a union became at once apparent to every statesman, but it was only after three years' delay that the wisdom and resolution of Lord Somers brought the question to an issue. The Scotch proposals of a federative rather than a legislative union were set aside by his firmness; the commercial jealousies of the English traders were put by; and the Act of Union as it was completed in 1706, though not finally passed till the following year, provided that the two kingdoms should be united into one under the name of Great Britain, and that the succession to the crown of this United Kingdom should be ruled by the provisions of the English Act of Settlement. The Scotch Church and the Scotch law were left untouched: but all rights of trade were thrown open to both nations, a common system of taxation was established, and a uniform

system of coinage adopted. A single Parliament was henceforth to represent the United Kingdom; and for this purpose forty-five Scotch members, a number taken to represent the proportion of Scotch property and population relatively to England, were added to the five hundred and thirteen English members of the House of Commons, and sixteen representative peers to the one hundred and eight who formed the English House of Lords.

In Scotland the opposition to this measure was bitter and almost universal. The terror of the Presbyterians indeed was met by an Act of Security which became part of the Treaty of Union, and which required an oath to support the Presbyterian Church from every sovereign on his accession. But no securities could satisfy the enthusiastic patriots or the fanatical Cameronians. The Jacobites sought troops from France and plotted a Stuart restoration. The nationalists talked of seceding from the Houses which voted for the Union and of establishing a rival Parliament. In the end however good sense and the loyalty of the trading classes to the cause of the Protestant succession won their way. The measure was adopted by the Scotch Parliament, and the Treaty of Union became a legislative Act to which Anne in 1707 gave her assent in noble words. "I desire," said the Queen, "and expect from my subjects of both nations that from henceforth they act with all possible respect and kindness to one another, that so it may appear to all the world they have hearts disposed to become one people." Time has more than answered these hopes. The two nations whom the Union brought together have ever since remained one. England gained in the removal of a constant danger of treason and war. To Scotland the Union opened up new avenues of wealth which the energy of its people turned to wonderful account. The farms of Lothian have become models of agricultural skill. A fishing town on the Clyde has grown into the rich and populous Glasgow. Peace and culture have changed the wild clansmen of the Highlands into herdsmen and farmers. Nor was the change followed by any loss of national spirit. The world has hardly seen a

mightier and more rapid development of national energy than that of Scotland after the Union. All that passed away was the jealousy which had parted since the days of Edward the First two peoples whom a common blood and common speech proclaimed to be one. The Union between Scotland and England has been real and stable simply because it was the legislative acknowledgment and enforcement of a national fact.

With the defeat of Ramillies and the conclusion of the Union, the greatness of Marlborough reached its height. In five years he had rescued Holland, saved Germany, and thrown France back on a purely defensive position. He exercised an undisputed supremacy over an alliance which embraced the greatest European powers. At home he was practically first minister, commander-in-chief, and absolute master through his wife of the Queen herself. He was looked upon as the most powerful as he was the wealthiest subject in the world. And while Marlborough's fortunes mounted to their height those of France sank to their lowest ebb. Eugene in his greatest victory broke the siege of Turin, and Lewis saw the loss of Flanders followed by the loss of Italy. Not only did Peterborough hold his ground in Spain, but Charles the Third, with an army of English and Portuguese, entered Madrid. But it was in fact only these triumphs abroad that enabled Marlborough to face the difficulties which were opening on him at home. His command of the Parliament rested now on a coalition of the Whigs with the moderate Tories who still adhered to him after his break with the more violent members of his old party. Ramillies gave him strength enough to force Anne in spite of her hatred of the Whigs to fulfil the compact with them from which this coalition had sprung, by admitting Lord Sunderland, the bitterest leader of their party, to office as Secretary of State at the close of 1706. But with the entry of Sunderland into office the system of political balance which the Duke had maintained till now began at once to break down. Constitutionally, Marlborough's was the last attempt to govern England on other terms than those of party government, and the union of parties to which

he had clung ever since his severance from the extreme Tories became every day more impossible as the growing opposition of the Tories to the war threw the Duke more and more on the support of the Whigs.

The Whigs sold their support dearly. Sunderland's violent and imperious temper differed widely from the supple and unscrupulous nature which had carried his father, the Lord Sunderland of the Restoration, unhurt through the violent changes of his day. But he had inherited his father's conceptions of party government. He was resolved to restore a strict party administration on a purely Whig basis, and to drive the moderate Tories from office in spite of Marlborough's desire to retain them. The Duke wrote hotly home at the news of the pressure which the Whigs were putting on him. "England," he said, "will not be ruined because a few men are not pleased." Nor was Marlborough alone in his resentment. Harley foresaw the danger of his expulsion from office, and even as early as 1706 began to intrigue at court, through Mrs. Masham, a bedchamber woman of the Queen, who was supplanting the Duchess in Anne's favor, against the Whigs and against Marlborough, whom he looked upon as in the hands of the Whigs. St. John, though bound by ties of gratitude to the Duke, to whose favor he owed his early promotion to office, was driven by the same fear to share Harley's schemes. Marlborough strove to win both of them back, but the growing opposition of the Tories to the war left him helpless in the hands of the only party that steadily supported it. A factious union of the Whigs with their opponents, though it roused the Duke to a burst of unusual passion in Parliament, effected its end by convincing him of the impossibility of further resistance. The resistance of the Queen indeed was stubborn and bitter. Anne was at heart a Tory, and her old trust in Marlborough died with his submission to the Whig demands. It was only by the threat of resignation that he had forced her to admit Sunderland to office; and the violent outbreak of temper with which the Duchess enforced her husband's will changed the Queen's friendship for her into a bitter resentment. Marlborough was

forced to increase this resentment by fresh compliances with the conditions which the Whigs imposed on him, by removing Peterborough from his command as a Tory general, and by wresting from Anne her consent in 1708 to the dismissal from office of Harley and St. John with the whole of the moderate Tories whom they headed. Their removal was followed by the complete triumph of the Whigs in the admission of Lord Somers and Wharton into the ministry. Somers became President of the Council, Wharton Lord-Lieutenant of Ireland, while lower posts were occupied by younger men of the same party, who were destined to play a great part in our later history, such as the young Duke of Newcastle and Robert Walpole.

Meanwhile, the great struggle abroad went steadily against France, though its progress was varied with striking alternations of success. France rose indeed with singular rapidity from the crushing blow of Ramillies. Spain was recovered for Philip in 1707 by a victory of Marshal Berwick at Almanza. Marshal Villars won fresh triumphs on the Rhine; while Eugene, who had penetrated into Provence, was driven back into Italy. In Flanders Marlborough's designs for taking advantage of his great victory were foiled by the strategy of the Duke of Vendôme and by the reluctance of the Dutch, who were now wavering towards peace. In the campaign of 1708 however Vendôme, in spite of his superiority in force, was attacked and defeated at Oudenarde; and though Marlborough was hindered from striking at the heart of France by the timidity of the English and Dutch statesmen, he reduced Lille, the strongest of its frontier fortresses, in the face of an army of relief which numbered a hundred thousand men. The blow proved an effective one. The pride of Lewis was at last broken by defeat and by the terrible sufferings of France, He offered terms of peace which yielded all that the allies had fought for. He consented to withdraw his aid from Philip of Spain, to give up ten Flemish fortresses as a barrier for the Dutch, and to surrender to the Empire all that France had gained since the Treaty of Westphalia. He

offered to acknowledge Anne, to banish the Pretender from his dominions, and to demolish the fortifications of Dunkirk, a port hateful to England as the home of the French privateers.

To Marlborough these terms seemed sufficient, and for the moment he regarded peace as secure. Peace was indeed now the general wish of the nation, and the longing for it was nowhere stronger than with the Queen. Dull and sluggish as was Anne's temper, she had the pride and stubbornness of her race, and both revolted against the submission to which she was forced. If she bowed to the spirit of the Revolution by yielding implicitly to the decision of her Parliament, she held firmly to the ceremonial traditions of the monarchy of her ancestors. She dined in royal state, she touched for the evil in her progresses, she presided at every meeting of council or cabinet, she insisted on every measure proposed by her ministers being previously laid before her. She shrank from party government as an enslavement of the Crown; and claimed the right to call on men from either side to aid in the administration of the state. But if England was to be governed by a party, she was resolved that it should be her own party. She had been bred a Tory. Her youth had fallen among the storms of the Exclusion Bill, and she looked on Whigs as disguised republicans. Above all her pride was outraged by the concessions which were forced from her. She had prayed Godolphin to help her in excluding Sunderland as a thing on which the peace of her life depended. She trembled every day before the violent temper of the Duchess of Marlborough, and before the threat of resignation by which the Duke himself crushed her first faint efforts at revolt. She longed for a peace which would free her from both Marlborough and the Whigs, as the Whigs on the other hand were resolute for a war which kept them in power. It was on this ground that they set aside the Duke's counsels and answered the French proposals of peace by terms which made peace impossible. They insisted on the transfer of the whole Spanish monarchy to the Austrian prince. When even this seemed likely to be conceded they demanded that

Lewis should with his own troops compel his grandson to give up the crown of Spain.

"If I must wage war," replied the French King, "I had rather wage it with my enemies than with my children." In a bitter despair he appealed to France; and exhausted as the country was by the struggle, the campaign of 1709 proved how nobly France answered his appeal. The terrible slaughter which bears the name of the battle of Malplaquet showed a new temper in the French soldiers. Starving as they were, they flung away their rations in their eagerness for the fight, and fell back at its close in serried masses that no efforts of Marlborough could break. They had lost twelve thousand men, but the forcing their lines of entrenchment had cost the allies a loss of double that number. Horror at such a "deluge of blood" increased the general distaste for the war; and the rejection of fresh French offers in 1710, a rejection unjustly attributed to Marlborough's desire for the lengthening out of a contest which brought him profit and power, fired at last the smouldering discontent into flame. A storm of popular passion burst suddenly on the Whigs. Its occasion was a dull and silly sermon in which a High Church divine, Dr. Sacheverell, maintained the doctrine of non-resistance at St. Paul's. His boldness challenged prosecution; but in spite of the warning of Marlborough and of Somers the Whig Ministers resolved on his impeachment before the Lords, and the trial at once widened into a great party struggle. An outburst of popular enthusiasm in Sacheverell's favor showed what a storm of hatred had gathered against the Whigs and the war. The most eminent of the Tory Churchmen stood by his side at the bar, crowds escorted him to the court and back again, while the streets rang with cries of "The Church and Dr. Sacheverell." A small majority of the peers found the preacher guilty, but the light sentence they inflicted was in effect an acquittal, and bonfires and illuminations over the whole country welcomed it as a Tory triumph.

The turn of popular feeling at once roused to new life the party whom the Whigs had striven to crush. The

expulsion of Harley and St. John from the Ministry had given the Tories leaders of a more subtle and vigorous stamp than the High Churchmen who had quitted office in the first years of the war; and St. John brought into play a new engine of political attack whose powers soon made themselves felt. In the *Examiner*, and in a crowd of pamphlets and periodicals which followed in its train, the humor of the poet Prior, the bitter irony of Swift, an Irish writer who was now forcing his way into fame, as well as St. John's own brilliant sophistry, spent themselves on the abuse of the war and of its general. "Six millions of supplies and almost fifty millions of debt!" Swift wrote bitterly, "the High Allies have been the ruin of us!" Marlborough was ridiculed and reviled, even his courage was called in question; he was charged with insolence, with cruelty and ambition, with corruption and greed. The virulence of the abuse would have defeated its aim had not the general sense of the people condemned the maintenance of the war, and encouraged Anne to free herself from the yoke beneath which she had bent so long. At the close of Sacheverells trial she broke with the Duchess. Marlborough looked for support to the Whigs; but the subtle intrigue of Harley was as busy in undermining the Ministry as St. John was in openly attacking it. The Whigs, who knew that the Duke's league with them had simply been forced on him by the war, and who had already foiled an attempt he had made to secure himself by the demand of a grant for life of his office of Commander-in-Chief, were easily persuaded that the Queen's sole object was his personal humiliation. They looked coldly therefore on at the dismissal of Sunderland, who had now become his son-in-law, and of Godolphin, who was his closest friend. The same means were adopted to bring about the ruin of the Whigs themselves: and Marlborough, lured easily by hopes of reconciliation with his old party, looked on as coolly while Anne dismissed her Whig counsellors and named a Tory Minister, with Harley and St. John at its head, in their place.

The time was now come for a final and decisive blow;

but how great a dread Marlborough still inspired in his enemies was shown by the shameful treachery with which they still thought it needful to bring about his fall. The intrigues of Harley paled before the subtler treason of Henry St. John. Young as he was, for he had hardly reached his thirty-second year, St. John had already shown his ability as Secretary of War under Marlborough himself, his brilliant rhetoric gave him a hold over the House of Commons which even the sense of his restlessness and recklessness failed to shake, while the vigor and eloquence of his writings infused a new color and force into political literature. He was resolute for peace; but he pressed on the work of peace with an utter indifference to all but party ends. As Marlborough was his great obstacle, his aim was to drive him from his command; and earnestly as he admired the Duke's greatness, he hounded on a tribe of libellers who assailed even his personal courage. Meanwhile St. John was feeding Marlborough's hopes of reconciliation with the Tories, till he led him to acquiesce in his wife's dismissal, and to pledge himself to a co-operation with the Tory policy. It was the Duke's belief that a reconciliation with the Tories was effected that led him to sanction the despatch of troops which should have strengthened his army in Flanders on a fruitless expedition against Canada, though this left him too weak to carry out a masterly plan which he had formed for a march into the heart of France in the opening of 1711. He was unable even to risk a battle or to do more than to pick up a few seaboard towns, and St. John at once turned the small results of the campaign into an argument for the conclusion of peace. Peace was indeed all but concluded. In defiance of an article of the Grand Alliance which pledged its members not to carry on separate negotiations with France, St. John, who now became Lord Bolingbroke, pushed forward through the summer of 1711 a secret accommodation between England and France. It was for this negotiation that he had crippled Marlborough's campaign; and it was the discovery of his perfidy which revealed to the Duke how utterly he had been betrayed, and forced him at last to break with the Tory Ministry.

He returned to England; and his efforts induced the House of Lords to denounce the contemplated peace; but the support of the Commons and the Queen, and the general hatred of the war among the people, enabled Harley to ride down all resistance. At the opening of 1712 the Whig majority in the House of Lords was swamped by the creation of twelve Tory peers. Marlborough was dismissed from his command, charged with peculation, and condemned as guilty by a vote of the House of Commons. The Duke at once withdrew from England, and with his withdrawal all opposition to the peace was at an end. His flight was in fact followed by the conclusion of a Treaty at Utrecht between France, England, and the Dutch; and the desertion of his allies forced even the Emperor at last to make peace at Rastadt. By these treaties the original aim of the war, that of preventing the possession of France and Spain at once by the House of Bourbon, was silently abandoned. No precaution was in fact taken against the dangers it involved to the balance of power, save by a provision that the two Crowns should never be united on a single head, and by Philip's renunciation of all right of succession to the throne of France. The principle on which the Treaties were based was in fact that of the earlier Treaties of Partition. Spain was stripped of even more than William had proposed to take from her. Philip retained Spain and the Indies: but he ceded his possessions in Italy and the Netherlands with the island of Sardinia to Charles of Austria, who had now become Emperor, in satisfaction of his claims; while he handed over Sicily to the Duke of Savoy. To England he gave up not only Minorca but Gibraltar, two positions which secured her the command of the Mediterranean. France purchased peace by less costly concessions. She had to consent to the re-establishment of the Dutch barrier on a greater scale than before; to pacify the English resentment against the French privateers by the dismantling of Dunkirk; and not only to recognize the right of Anne to the crown, and the Protestant succession in the House of Hanover, but to consent to the expulsion of the Pretender from her soil.

The failure of the Queen's health made the succession the real question of the day, and it was a question which turned all politics into faction and intrigue. The Whigs, who were still formidable in the Commons, and who showed the strength of the party in the Lords by defeating a Treaty of Commerce in which Bolingbroke anticipated the greatest financial triumph of William Pitt and secured freedom of trade between England and France, were zealous for the succession of the House of Hanover in the founded belief that the Elector George hated the Tories; nor did the Tories, though the Jacobite sympathies of a portion of their party forced both Harley and Bolingbroke to keep up a delusive correspondence with the Pretender, who had withdawn to Lorraine, really contemplate any other succession than that of the Elector. But on the means of providing for his succession Harley and Bolingbroke differed widely. Harley, still influenced by the Presbyterian leanings of his early life, and more jealous of Lord Rochester and the high Tories he headed than of the Whigs themselves, inclined to an alliance between the moderate Tories and their opponents, as in the earlier days of Marlborough's power. The policy of Bolingbroke on the other hand was to strengthen the Tories by the utter overthrow of their opponents, that whatever might be the Elector's sympathies they could force their policy on him as King; and in the advances which Harley made to the Whigs he saw the means of ruining his rival in the confidence of his party, and of taking his place at their head. It was with this purpose that he introduced a Schism Bill, which would have hindered any Nonconformist from acting as a schoolmaster or a tutor. The success of this measure broke Harley's plans by creating a bitterer division between Tory and Whig than ever, while it humiliated him by the failure of his opposition to it. But its effects were far beyond Bolingbroke's intentions. The Whigs regarded the Bill as the first step in a Jacobite restoration, and warned the Electress Sophia that she must look for a struggle against her accession to the throne. Sophia was herself alarmed, and the more so that Anne's health

was visibly breaking. In April 1714 therefore the Hanoverian ambassador demanded for the son of the Elector, the future George the Second, who had been created Duke of Cambridge, a writ of summons as peer to the coming Parliament. The aim of the demand was simply that a Hanoverian prince might be present on the spot to maintain the right of his House in case of the Queen's death. But to Anne it seemed to furnish at once a head to the Whig opposition which would render a Tory government impossible; and her anger, fanned by Bolingbroke, broke out in a letter to the aged Electress which warned her that "such conduct may imperil the succession itself."

To Sophia the letter was a sentence of death; two days after she read it, as she was walking in the garden at Herrenhausen, she fell in a dying swoon to the ground. The correspondence was at once published, and necessarily quickened the alarm not only of the Whigs, but of the more moderate section of the Tories themselves. But Bolingbroke used the breach which now declared itself between himself and his rival with unscrupulous skill. Though Anne had shown her confidence in Harley by conferring on him the Earldom of Oxford, her resentment at the conduct of the Hanoverian Court was so skilfully played upon that she was brought in July to dismiss the Earl, as a partisan of the House of Hanover, and to construct a strong and united Tory Ministry which would back the Queen in her resistance to the Elector's demand. As the crisis grew nearer, both parties prepared for civil war. In the beginning of 1714 the Whigs had made ready for a rising on the Queen's death; and invited Marlborough from Flanders to head them, in the hope that his name would rally the army to their cause. Bolingbroke, on the other hand, made the Duke of Ormond, whose sympathies were known to be in favor of the Pretender's succession. Warden of the Cinque Ports, the district in which either claimant of the crown must land, while he gave Scotland in charge of the Jacobite Earl of Mar. The appointments were probably only to secure Jacobite support, for Bolingbroke had in fact no immediate appre-

hension of the Queen's death, and his aim was to trim between the Court of Hanover and the Court of James while building up a strong Tory party which would enable him to meet the accession of either with a certainty of retaining power both for himself and the principles he represented. With this view he was preparing to attack both the Bank and the East India Company, the two great strongholds of the Whigs, as well as to tax the bondholders at higher rates than the rest of the community by way of conciliating the country gentry, who hated the moneyed interest which was rising into greatness beside them. But events moved faster than his plans. On the 30th of July, three days after Harley's dismissal, Anne was suddenly struck with apoplexy. The Privy Council at once assembled, and at the news the Whig Dukes of Argyle and Somerset entered the Council Chamber without summons and took their places at the board. The step had been taken in secret concert with the Duke of Shrewsbury, who was President of the Council in the Tory Ministry, but a rival of Bolingbroke and an adherent of the Hanoverian succession. The act was a decisive one. The right of the House of Hanover was at once acknowledged, Shrewsbury was nominated as Lord Treasurer by the Council, and the nomination was accepted by the dying Queen. Bolingbroke, though he remained Secretary of State, suddenly found himself powerless and neglected while the Council took steps to provide for the emergency. Four regiments were summoned to the capital in the expectation of a civil war. But the Jacobites were hopeless and unprepared; and on the death of Anne on the evening of the 10th of August, the Elector George of Hanover, who had become heir to the throne by his mother's death, was proclaimed as King of England without a show of opposition.

CHAPTER IV.

THE HOUSE OF HANOVER.

1714—1760.

THE accession of George the First marked a change in the position of England as a member of the European Commonwealth. From the age of the Plantagenets to the age of the Revolution the country had stood apart from more than passing contact with the fortunes of the Continent; for if Wolsey had striven to make it an arbiter between France and the House of Austria the strain of the Reformation withdrew Henry and his successor from any effective interference in the strife across the Channel; and in spite of the conflict with the Armada Elizabeth aimed at the close as at the beginning of her reign mainly at keeping her realm as far as might be out of the struggle of western Europe against the ambition of Spain. Its attitude of isolation was yet more marked when England stood aloof from the Thirty Years' War, and after a fitful outbreak of energy under Cromwell looked idly on at the earlier efforts of Lewis the Fourteenth to become master of Europe. But with the Revolution this attitude became impossible. In driving out the Stuarts William had aimed mainly at enlisting England in the league against France; and France backed his effort by espousing the cause of the exiled King. To prevent the undoing of all that the Revolution had done England was forced to join the Great Alliance of the European peoples, and reluctantly as she was drawn into it she at once found herself its head. Political and military genius set William and Marlborough in the forefront of the struggle; Lewis reeled beneath the shock of Blenheim and

Ramillies; shameful as were some of its incidents the Peace of Utrecht left England the main barrier against the ambition of the House of Bourbon.

Nor was this a position from which any change of domestic policy could withdraw her. So long as a Stuart pretender threatened the throne of the Revolution, so long every adherent of the cause of the Revolution, whether Tory or Whig, was forced to guard jealously against the supremacy of the power which could alone bring about a Jacobite restoration. As the one check on France lay in the maintenance of a European concert, in her efforts to maintain this concert England was drawn out of the narrower circle of her own home interests to watch every movement of the nations from the Baltic to the Mediterranean. And not only did the Revolution set England irrevocably among the powers of Europe, but it assigned her a special place among them. The result of the alliance and the war had been to establish what was then called a "balance of power" between the great European states; a balance which rested indeed not so much on any natural equilibrium of forces as on a compromise wrung from warring nations by the exhaustion of a great struggle; but which, once recognized and established, could be adapted and readjusted, it was hoped, to the varying political conditions of the time. Of this balance of power, as recognized and defined in the Treaty of Utrecht and its successors, England became the special guardian. Her insular position made her almost the one great state which could have no dreams of continental aggrandizement; while the main aim of her policy, that of guarding the throne of the Revolution, secured her fidelity to the European settlement which offered an insuperable obstacle to a Jacobite invasion. Her only interest lay in the maintenance of European peace on the basis of an observance of European treaties.

Nothing is at first sight more wearisome than the long line of alliances, triple and quadruple, the endless negotiations, the interminable congresses, the innumerable treaties, which make up the history of Europe during the earlier half of the eighteenth century; nor is it easy

to follow with patience the meddlesome activity of English diplomacy during that period, its protests and interventions, its subsidies and guarantees, its intrigues and finessings, its bluster and its lies. But wearisome as it all is, it succeeded in its end, and its end was a noble one. Of the twenty-five years between the Revolution and the Peace of Utrecht all but five were years of war, and the five were a mere breathing-space in which the combatants on either side were girding themselves for fresh hostilities. That the twenty-five years which followed were for Europe as a whole a time of peace was due in great measure to the zeal with which England watched over the settlement that had been brought about at Utrecht. To a great extent her efforts averted war altogether; and when war could not be averted she brought it within as narrow limits and to as speedy an end as was possible. Diplomacy spent its ingenuity in countless choppings and changings of the smaller territories about the Mediterranean and elsewhere; but till the rise of Prussia under Frederick the Great it secured Europe as a whole from any world-wide struggle. Nor was this maintenance of European peace all the gain which the attitude of England brought with it. The stubborn policy of the Georgian statesmen has left its mark on our policy ever since. In struggling for peace and for the sanctity of treaties, even though the struggle was one of selfish interest, England took a ply which she has never wholly lost. Warlike and imperious as is her national temper, she has never been able to free herself from a sense that her business in the world is to seek peace alike for herself and for the nations about her, and that the best security for peace lies in her recognition, amidst whatever difficulties and seductions, of the force of international engagements and the sanctity of treaties. The sentiment has no doubt been deepened by other convictions, by convictions of at once a higher and a lower stamp, by a growing sense of the value of peace to an industrial nation, as by a growing sense of the moral evil and destructiveness of war. But strong as is the influence of both these sentiments on the peace-

loving temper of the English people, that temper itself sprang from another source. It sprang from the sense of responsibility for the peace of the world, as a necessary condition of tranquillity and freedom at home, which grew into life with the earlier years of the eighteenth century.

Nor was this closer political contact with Europe the only result of the new attitude of England. Throughout the age of the Georges we find her for the first time exercising an intellectual and moral influence on the European world. Hitherto Italian and French impulses had told on English letters or on English thought, but neither our literature nor our philosophy had exercised any corresponding influence on the Continent. It may be doubted whether a dozen Frenchmen or Italians had any notion that a literature existed in England at all, or that her institutions were worthy of study by any social or political inquirer. But with the Revolution of 1688 this ignorance came to an end. William and Marlborough carried more than English arms across the Channel; they carried English ideas. The combination of material and military greatness with a freedom of thought and action hardly known elsewhere, which was revealed in the England that sprang from the Revolution of 1688, imposed on the imagination of men. For the first time in our history we find foreigners learning English, visiting England, seeking to understand English life and English opinion. The main curiosity that drew them was a political curiosity, but they carried back more than political conceptions. Religious and philosophical notions crossed the Channel with politics. The world learned that there was an English literature. It heard of Shakspere. It wept over Richardson. It bowed, even in wretched translations, before the genius of Swift. France, above all, was drawn to this study of a country so near to her, and yet so utterly unknown. If we regard its issues, the brutal outrage which drove Voltaire to England in 1726 was one of the most important events of the eighteenth century. With an intelligence singularly open to new impressions, he revelled in the freedom of social

life he found about him, in its innumerable types of character, its eccentricities, its individualities. His "Philosophical Letters" revealed to Europe not only a country where utterance and opinion were unfettered, but a new literature and a new science; while his intercourse with Bolingbroke gave the first impulse to that skepticism which was to wage its destructive war with the faith of the Continent. From the visit of Voltaire to the outbreak of the French Revolution, this intercourse with England remained the chief motive power of French opinion, and told through it on the opinion of the world. In his investigations on the nature of government Montesquieu studied English institutions as closely as he studied the institutions of Rome. Buffon was led by English science into his attempt at a survey and classification of the animal world. It was from the works of Locke that Rousseau drew the bulk of his ideas in politics and education.

Such an influence could hardly have been aroused by English letters had they not given expression to what was the general temper of Europe at the time. The cessation of religious wars, the upgrowth of great states with a new political and administrative organization, the rapid progress of intelligence, showed their effect everywhere in the same rationalizing temper, extending not only over theology but over each department of thought, the same interest in political and social speculation, the same drift towards physical inquiry, the same tendency to a diffusion and popularization of knowledge. Everywhere the tone of thought became secular, scientific, prosaic; everywhere men looked away from the past with a certain contempt; everywhere the social fusion which followed on the wreck of the Middle Ages was expressing itself in a vulgarization of ideas, in an appeal from the world of learning to the world of general intelligence, in a reliance on the "common sense" of mankind. Nor was it only a unity of spirit which pervaded the literature of the eighteenth century. Everywhere there was as striking an identity of form. In poetry this showed itself in the death of the lyric, as in the universal popularity of the

rhetorical ode, in the loss of all delight in variety of poetic measure, and in the growing restriction of verse to the single form of the ten-syllable line. Prose too dropped everywhere its grandeur with its obscurity; and became the same quick, clear instrument of thought in the hands of Addison as in those of Voltaire.

How strongly this had become the bent of English letters was seen in the instance of Dryden. In the struggle of the Revolution he had struck fiercely on the losing side, and England had answered his blows by a change of masters which ruined and beggared him. But it was in these later years of his life that his influence over English literature became supreme. He is the first of the great English writers in whom letters asserted an almost public importance. The reverence with which men touched in aftertime the hand of Pope, or listened to the voice of Johnson, or wandered beside his lakes with Wordsworth, dates from the days when the wits of the Revolution clustered reverently round the old man who sate in his arm-chair at Wills, discussing the last comedy, or recalling his visit to the blind poet of the "Paradise Lost." It was by no mere figure that the group called itself a republic of letters, and honored in Dryden the chosen chief of their republic. He had done more than any man to create a literary class. It was his resolve to live by his pen that first raised literature into a profession. In the stead of gentlemen amusing a curious leisure with works of fancy, or dependants wringing bread by their genius from a patron's caprice, Dryden saw that the time had come for the author, trusting for support to the world of readers, and wielding a power over opinion which compensates for the smallness of his gains. But he was not only the first to create a literary class; he was the first to impress the idea of literature on the English mind. Master as he was alike of poetry and of prose, covering the fields both of imagination and criticism, raising for literary treatment all the more prominent topics of the society about him, Dryden realized in his own personality the existence of a new power which was thenceforth to tell steadily on the world.

And to this power he gave for nearly a century its form and direction. In its outer shape as in its inner spirit our literature obeyed the impulse he had given it from the beginning of the eighteenth century till near its close. His influence told especially on poetry. Dryden remained a poet; even in his most argumentative pieces his subject seizes him in a poetic way, and prosaic as much of his treatment may be, he is always ready to rise into sudden bursts of imagery and fancy. But he was a poet with a prosaic end; his aim was not simply to express beautiful things in the most beautiful way, but to invest rational things with such an amount of poetic expression as may make them at once rational and poetic, to use poetry as an exquisite form for argument, rhetoric, persuasion, to charm indeed, but primarily to convince. Poetry no longer held itself apart in the pure world of the imagination, no longer concerned itself simply with the beautiful in all things, or sought for its result in the sense of pleasure which an exquisite representation of what is beautiful in man or nature stirs in its reader. It narrowed its sphere, and attached itself to man. But from all that is deepest and noblest in man it was shut off by the reaction from Puritanism, by the weariness of religious strife, by the disbelief that had sprung from religious controversy; and it limited itself rigidly to man's outer life, to his sensuous enjoyment, his toil and labor, his politics, his society. The limitation, no doubt, had its good sides; with it, if not of it, came a greater correctness and precision in the use of words and phrases, a clearer and more perspicuous style, a new sense of order, of just arrangement, of propriety, of good taste. But with it came a sense of uniformity, of monotony, of dulness. In Dryden indeed this was combated if not wholly beaten off by his amazing force; to the last there was an animal verve and swing about the man that conquered age. But around him and after him the dulness gathered fast.

Of hardly less moment than Dryden's work in poetry was his work in prose. In continuity and grandeur indeed, as in grace and music of phrase, the new prose of the Restoration fell far short of the prose of Hooker or

Jeremy Taylor, but its clear nervous structure, its handiness and flexibility, its variety and ease, fitted it far better for the work of popularization on which literature was now to enter. It fitted it for the work of journalism, and every day journalism was playing a larger part in the political education of Englishmen. It fitted it to express the life of towns. With the general extension of prosperity and trade the town was coming into greater prominence as an element of national life; and London above all was drawing to it the wealth and culture which had till now been diffused through the people at large. It was natural that this tendency should be reflected in literature; from the age of the Restoration indeed literature had been more and more becoming an expression of the life of towns; and it was town-life which was now giving to it its character and form. As cities ceased to be regarded simply as centres of trade and money-getting, and became habitual homes for the richer and more cultured; as men woke to the pleasure and freedom of the new life which developed itself in the street and the mall, of its quicker movement, its greater ease, its abundance of social intercourse, its keener taste, its subtler and more delicate courtesy, its flow of conversation, the stately and somewhat tedious prose-writer of days gone by passed into the briefer and nimbler essayist.

What ruled writer and reader alike was the new-found pleasure of talk. The use of coffee had only come in at the close of the civil wars; but already London and the bigger towns were crowded with coffee-houses. The popularity of the coffee-house sprang not from its coffee, but from the new pleasure which men found in their chat over the coffee-cup. And from the coffee-house sprang the Essay. The talk of Addison and Steele is the brightest and easiest talk that was ever put in print: but its literary charm lies in this, that it is strictly talk. The essayist is a gentleman who chats to a world of gentlemen, and whose chat is shaped and colored by a sense of what he owes to his company. He must interest and entertain, he may not bore them; and so his form must be short; essay or sketch, or tale or letter. So too his

style must be simple, the sentences clear and quotable, good sense ready packed for carriage. Strength of phrase, intricacy of structure, height of tone were all necessarily banished from such prose as we banish them from ordinary conversation. There was no room for pedantry, for the ostentatious display for learning, for pompousness, for affectation. The essayist had to think, as a talker should think, more of good taste than of imaginative excellence, of propriety of expression than of grandeur of phrase. The deeper themes of the world or man were denied to him ; if he touches them it is superficially, with a decorous dulness, or on their more humorous side with a gentle irony that shows how faint their hold is on him. In Addison's chat the war of churches shrinks into a puppet-show, and the strife of politics loses something of its fictitious earnestness as the humorist views it from the standpoint of a lady's patches. It was equally impossible to deal with the fiercer passions and subtler emotions of man. Shakspere's humor and sublimity, his fitful transitions from mood to mood, his wild bursts of laughter, his passion of tears, Hamlet or Hamlet's gravedigger, Lear or Lear's fool, would have startled the readers of the "Spectator" as they would startle the group in a modern drawing-room.

But if deeper and grander themes were denied him the essayist had still a world of his own. He felt little of the pressure of those spiritual problems that had weighed on the temper of his fathers, but the removal of the pressure left him a gay, light-hearted, good-humored observer of the social life about him, amused and glad to be amused by it all, looking on with a leisurely and somewhat indolent interest, a quiet enjoyment, a quiet skepticism, a shy reserved consciousness of their beauty and poetry, at the lives of common men and common women. It is to the essayist that we owe our sense of the infinite variety and picturesqueness of the human world about us; it was he who for the first time made every street and every house teem with living people for us, who found a subtle interest in their bigotries and prejudice, their inconsistencies, their eccentricities, their oddities, who gave to their

very dulness a charm. In a word it was he who first opened to men the world of modern fiction. Nor does English literature owe less to him in its form. Humor has always been an English quality, but with the essayist humor for the first time severed itself from farce; it was no longer forced, riotous, extravagant; it acquired taste, gentleness, adroitness, finesse, lightness of touch, a delicate coloring of playful fancy. It preserved indeed its old sympathy with pity, with passion; but it learned how to pass with more ease into pathos, into love, into the reverence that touches us as we smile. And hand in hand with his new development of humor went a moderation won from humor, whether in matters of religion, of politics, or society, a literary courtesy and reserve, a well-bred temperance and modesty of tone and phrase. It was in the hands of the town-bred essayist that our literature first became urbane.

It is strange to contrast this urbanity of literature with the savage ferocity which characterized political controversy in the England of the Revolution and the Georges. Never has the strife of warring parties been carried on with so utter an absence of truth or fairness; never has the language of political opponents stooped to such depths of coarseness and scurrility. From the age of Bolingbroke to the age of Burke the gravest statesmen were not ashamed to revile one another with invective only worthy of the fish-market. And outside the legislature the tone of attack was even more brutal. Grub-street ransacked the whole vocabulary of abuse to find epithets for Walpole. Gay amidst general applause set the statesmen of his day on the public stage in the guise of highwaymen and pickpockets. "It is difficult to determine," said the witty playwright, "whether the fine gentlemen imitate the gentlemen of the road, or the gentlemen of the road the fine gentlemen." Much of this virulence sprang, no doubt, from a real contempt of the selfishness and corruption which disgraced the politics of the time, but it was far from being wholly due to this. In selfishness and corruption indeed the statesmen of the Georgian era were no worse than their predecessors,

while in fidelity to principles and a desire for the public good they stood immeasurably above them. The standard of political action had risen with the Revolution. Cynic as was Walpole, jobber as was Newcastle, it would be absurd to compare their conception of public duty, their conduct of public affairs, with that of the Danbys and Sunderlands of the Restoration.

What had really happened was a change not in the attitude of statesmen towards the nation, but in the attitude of the nation at large towards the class that governed it. From the triumph of Puritanism in 1640 the supreme, irresistible force in English politics had been national opinion. It created the Long Parliament. It gave it its victory over the Church and the Crown. When a strange turn of events placed Puritanism in antagonism to it, it crushed Puritanism as easily as it had crushed Royalty. It was national opinion which restored the Stuarts; and no sooner did the Stuarts cross its will than it threatened their throne in the Popish Plot and swept them from the country in the Revolution. The stubborn purpose of William wrestled in vain with its turns of sentiment; even the genius of Marlborough proved helpless in a contest with it. It was indeed irresistible whenever it acted. But as yet it acted only by spurts. It had no wish to interfere with the general course of administration or policy; in the bulk of the nation indeed there was neither the political knowledge nor the sustained interest in politics which could have prompted such an interference. It was only at critical moments, when great interests were at stake, interests which it could understand and on which its mind was made up, that the nation roused itself and "shook its mighty mane." The reign of the Stuarts indeed did much to create a more general and continuous attention to public affairs. In the strife of the Exclusion Bill and in the Popish Plot Shaftesbury taught how to "agitate" opinion, how to rouse this lagging attention, this dormant energy of the people at large; and his opponents learned the art from him. The common statement that our two great modern parties, the Whig and the Tory, date from the Petitioners

and Abhorrers of the Exclusion Bill is true only in this sense, that then for the first time the masses of the people were stirred to a more prolonged and organized action in co-operation with the smaller groups of professed politicians than they had ever been stirred to before.

The Revolution of 1688 was the crowning triumph of this public opinion. But for the time it seemed a suicidal triumph. At the moment when the national will claimed to be omnipotent, the nation found itself helpless to carry out its will. In making the revolution it had meant to vindicate English freedom and English Protestantism from the attacks of the Crown. But it had never meant to bring about any radical change in the system under which the Crown had governed England or under which the Church had been supreme over English religion. The England of the Revolution was little less Tory in feeling than the England of the Restoration; it had no dislike whatever to a large exercise of administrative power by the sovereign, while it was stubbornly averse from Nonconformity or the toleration of Nonconformity. That the nation at large remained Tory in sentiment was seen from the fact that in every House of Commons elected after the Revolution the majority was commonly Tory; it was only indeed when their opposition to the war and the patriotic feeling which it aroused rendered a Tory majority impossible that the House became Whig. And even in the height of Whig rule and amidst the blaze of Whig victories, England rose in the Sacheverell riots, forced Tories again into power, and ended the Whig war by what it deemed a Tory peace. And yet every Englishman knew that from the moment of the Revolution the whole system of government had not been Tory but Whig. Passionate as it was for peace and for withdrawal from all meddling in foreign affairs, England found itself involved abroad in ever-widening warfare and drawn into a guardianship of the whole state of Europe. At home it was drifting along a path that it hated even more. Every year saw the Crown more helpless, and the Church becoming as helpless as the Crown. The country hated a standing army, and the standing army existed in

spite of its hate; it revolted against debt and taxation, and taxes and debt grew heavier and heavier in the teeth of its revolt. Its prejudice against Nonconformists remained as fanatical as ever, and yet Nonconformists worshipped in their chapels and served as aldermen or mayors with perfect security. What made this the bitterer was the fact that neither a change of ministers nor of sovereign brought about any in the system of government. Under the Tory Anne the policy of England remained practically as Whig as under the Whig William. Nottingham and Harley did as little to restore the monarchy or the Church as Somers or Godolphin.

In driving James to a foreign land, indeed, in making him dependent on a foreign Court, the Revolution had effectually guarded itself from any undoing of its work. So long as a Stuart Pretender existed, so long as he remained a tool in the hands of France, every monarch that the Revolution placed on the English throne, and every servant of such a monarch, was forced to cling to the principles of the Revolution and to the men who were most certain to fight for them. With a Parliament of landed gentry and Churchmen behind him Harley could not be drawn into measures which would effectively alienate the merchant or the Dissenter; and if Bolingbroke's talk was more reckless, time was not given to show whether his designs were more than talk. There was in fact but one course open for the Tory who hated what the Revolution had done, and that was the recall of the Stuarts. Such a recall would have brought him much of what he wanted. But it would have brought him more that he did not want. Tory as he might be, he was in no humor to sacrifice English freedom and English religion to his Toryism, and to recall the Stuarts was to sacrifice both. None of the Stuart exiles would forsake their faith; and promise what they might, England had learned too well what such pledges were worth to set another Catholic on the throne. The more earnest a Catholic he was indeed, and no one disputed the earnestness of the Stuarts, the more impossible was it for him to reign without striving to bring England over to

Catholicism; and there was no means of even making such an attempt save by repeating the struggle of James the Second and by the overthrow of English liberty. It was the consciousness that a Stuart restoration was impossible that egged Bolingbroke to his desperate plans for forcing a Tory policy on the monarchs of the Revolution. And it was the same consciousness that at the crisis which followed the death of Anne made the Tory leaders deaf to the frantic appeals of Bishop Atterbury. To submit again to Whig rule was a bitter thing for them; but to accept a Catholic sovereign was an impossible thing. And yet every Tory felt that with the acceptance of the House of Hanover their struggle against the principles of the Revolution came practically to an end. Their intrigues with the Pretender, the strife which they had brought about between Anne and the Electress Sophia, their hesitation if not their refusal to frankly support the succession of her son, were known to have sown a deep distrust of the whole Tory party in the heart of the new sovereign; and though in the first ministry which he formed a few posts were offered to the more moderate of their leaders, the offer was so clearly a delusive one that they refused to take office.

The refusal not only deepened the chasm between party and party; it placed the Tories in open opposition to the Hanoverian Kings. It did even more, for it proclaimed a temper of despair which withdrew them as a whole from any further meddling with political affairs. "The Tory party," Bolingbroke wrote after Anne's death "is gone." In the first House of Commons indeed which was called by the new King, the Tories hardly numbered fifty members; while a fatal division broke their strength in the country at large. In their despair the more vehement among them turned to the Pretender. Bolingbroke and the Duke of Ormond fled from England to take office under the son of King James, James the Third, as he was called by his adherents. At home Sir William Wyndham seconded their efforts by building up a Jacobite faction out of the wreck of the Tory party. The Jacobite secession gave little help to the Pretender,

while it dealt a fatal blow to the Tory cause. England was still averse from a return of the Stuarts; and the suspicion of Jacobite designs not only alienated the trading classes, who shrank from the blow to public credit which a Jacobite repudiation of the debt would bring about, but deadened the zeal even of the parsons and squires. The bulk however of the Tory party were far from turning Jacobites, though they might play at disloyalty out of hatred of the House of Hanover, and solace themselves for the triumph of their opponents by passing the decanter over the water-jug at the toast of "the King." What they did was to withdraw from public affairs altogether; to hunt and farm and appear at quarter-sessions, and to leave the work of government to the Whigs.

While the Whigs were thus freed from any effective pressure from their political opponents they found one of their great difficulties becoming weaker with every year that passed. Up to this time the main stumbling-block to the Whig party had been the influence of the Church. But predominant as that influence seemed at the close of the Revolution, the Church was now sinking into political insignificance. In heart indeed England remained religious. In the middle class the old Puritan spirit lived on unchanged, and it was from this class that a religious revival burst forth at the close of Walpole's administration which changed after a time the whole tone English society. But during the fifty years which preceded this outburst we see little save a revolt against religion and against churches in either the higher classes or the poor. Among the wealthier and more educated Englishmen the progress of free inquiry, the aversion from theological strife which had been left behind them by the Civil Wars, the new political and material channels opened to human energy were producing a general indifference to all questions of religious speculation or religious life. In the higher circles "every one laughs," said Montesquieu on his visit to England, "if one talks of religion." Of the prominent statesmen of the time the greater part were unbelievers in any form of Chris-

tianity, and distinguished for the grossness and immorality of their lives. Drunkenness and foul talk were thought no discredit to Walpole. A later prime minister, the Duke of Grafton, was in the habit of appearing with his mistress at the play. Purity and fidelity to the marriage vow were sneered out of fashion; and Lord Chesterfield, in his letters to his son, instructs him in the art of seduction as part of a polite education.

At the other end of the social scale lay the masses of the poor. They were ignorant and brutal to a degree which it is hard to conceive, for the increase of population which followed on the growth of towns and the development of commerce had been met by no effort for their religious or educational improvement. Not a new parish had been created. Hardly a single new church had been built. Schools there were none, save the grammar schools of Edward and Elizabeth. The rural peasantry, who were fast being reduced to pauperism by the abuse of the poor-laws, were left without much moral or religious training of any sort. "We saw but one Bible in the parish of Cheddar," said Hannah More at a far later time, "and that was used to prop a flower-pot." Within the towns things were worse. There was no effective police; and in great outbreaks the mob of London or Birmingham burnt houses, flung open prisons, and sacked and pillaged at their will. The criminal class gathered boldness and numbers in the face of ruthless laws which only testified to the terror of society, laws which made it a capital crime to cut down a cherry-tree, and which strung up twenty young thieves of a morning in front of Newgate; while the introduction of gin gave a new impetus to drunkenness. In the streets of London gin-shops at one time invited every passer-by to get drunk for a penny, or dead drunk for twopence. Much of this social degradation was due without doubt to the apathy and sloth of the priesthood. A shrewd, if prejudiced, observer, Bishop Burnet, brands the English clergy of his day as the most lifeless in Europe, "the most remiss of their labors in private and the least severe of their lives." A large number of prelates were mere

Whig partisans with no higher aim than that of promotion. The levées of the Ministers were crowded with lawn sleeves. A Welsh bishop avowed that he had seen his diocese but once, and habitually resided at the lakes of Westmoreland. The system of pluralities which enabled a single clergyman to hold at the same time a number of livings turned the wealthier and more learned of the clergy into absentees, while the bulk of them were indolent, poor, and without social consideration.

Their religious inactivity told necessarily on their political influence; but what most weakened their influence was the severance between the bulk of the priesthood and its natural leaders. The bishops, who were now chosen exclusively from among the small number of Whig ecclesiastics, were left politically powerless by the estrangement and hatred of their clergy; while the clergy themselves, drawn by their secret tendencies to Jacobitism, stood sulkily apart from any active interference with public affairs. The prudence of the Whig statesmen aided to maintain this ecclesiastical immobility. The Sacheverell riots had taught them what terrible forces of bigotry and fanaticism lay slumbering under this thin crust of inaction, and they were careful to avoid all that could rouse these forces into life. When the Dissenters pressed for a repeal of the Test and Corporation Acts, Walpole openly avowed his dread of awaking the passions of religious hate by such a measure, and satisfied them by an annual act of indemnity for any breach of these penal statutes. By a complete abstinence from all ecclesiastical questions no outlet was left for the bigotry of the people at large, while a suspension of the meetings of Convocation deprived the clergy of their natural centre of agitation and opposition.

And while the Church thus ceased to be a formidable enemy, the Crown became a friend. Under Anne the throne had regained much of the older influence which it lost through William's unpopularity; but under the two sovereigns who followed Anne the power of the Crown lay absolutely dormant. They were strangers, to whom loyalty in its personal sense was impossible; and

their character as nearly approached insignificance as it is possible for human character to approach it. Both were honest and straightforward men, who frankly accepted the irksome position of constitutional kings. But neither had any qualities which could make their honesty attractive to the people at large. The temper of George the First was that of a gentleman usher; and his one care was to get money for his favorites and himself. The temper of George the Second was that of a drill-sergeant, who believed himself master of his realm while he repeated the lessons he had learned from his wife, and which his wife had learned from the Minister. Their Court is familiar enough in the witty memoirs of the time; but as political figures the two Georges are almost absent from our history. William of Orange, while ruling in most home matters by the advice of his Ministers, had not only used the power of rejecting bills passed by the two Houses, but had kept in his own hands the control of foreign affairs. Anne had never yielded even to Marlborough her exclusive right of dealing with Church preferment, and had presided to the last at the Cabinet Councils of her ministers. But with the accession of the Georges these reserves passed away. No sovereign since Anne's death has appeared at a Cabinet Council, or has ventured to refuse his assent to an Act of Parliament. As Elector of Hanover indeed the King still dealt with Continental affairs: but his personal interference roused an increasing jealousy, while it affected in a very slight degree the foreign policy of his English Counsellors.

England, in short, was governed not by the King but by the Whig Ministers. But their power was doubled by the steady support of the very kings they displaced. The first two sovereigns of the House of Hanover believed they owed their throne to the Whigs, and looked on the support of the Whigs as the true basis of their monarchy. The new monarchs had no longer to dread the spectre of republicanism which had haunted the Stuarts and even Anne, whenever a Whig domination threatened her; for republicanism was dead. Nor was there the older anxiety as to the prerogative to sever the monarchy from the

Whigs, for the bounds of the prerogative were now defined by law, and the Whigs were as zealous as any Tory could be in preserving what remained. From the accession of George the First therefore to the death of George the Second the whole influence of the Crown was thrown into the Whig scale; and if its direct power was gone, its indirect influence was still powerful. It was indeed the more powerful that the Revolution had put an end to the dread that its influence could be used in any struggle against liberty. "The generality of the world here," said the new Whig Chancellor, Lord Cowper, to King George, "is so much in love with the advantages a king of Great Britain has to bestow without the least exceeding the bounds of law, that 'tis wholly in your majesty's power, by showing your power in good time to one or other of them, to give which party you please a clear majority in all succeeding parliaments."

It was no wonder therefore that in the first of the new King's parliaments an overwhelming majority appeared in support of the Whigs. But the continuance of that majority for more than thirty years was not wholly due to the unswerving support which the Crown gave its Ministers or to the accession of the Tories. In some measure it was due to the excellent organization of the Whig party. While their adversaries were divided by differences of principle and without leaders of real eminence, the Whigs stood as one man on the principles of the Revolution and produced great leaders who carried them into effect. They submitted with admirable discipline to the guidance of a knot of great nobles, to the houses of Bentinck, Manners, Campbell, and Cavendish, to the Fitzroys and Lennoxes, the Russells and Grenvilles, families whose resistance to the Stuarts, whose share in the Revolution, whose energy in setting the line of Hanover on the throne, gave them a claim to power. It was due yet more largely to the activity with which the Whigs devoted themselves to the gaining and preserving an ascendancy in the House of Commons. The support of the commercial classes and of the great towns was secured not only by a resolute maintenance of public

credit, but by the special attention which each ministry paid to questions of trade and finance. Peace and the reduction of the land-tax conciliated the farmers and the landowners, while the Jacobite sympathies of the bulk of the squires, and their consequent withdrawal from all share in politics, threw even the representation of the shires for a time into Whig hands. Of the county members, who formed the less numerous but the weightier part of the lower House, nine-tenths were for some years relatives and dependents of the great Whig families. Nor were coarser means of controlling Parliament neglected. The wealth of the Whig houses was lavishly spent in securing a monopoly of the small and corrupt constituencies which made up a large part of the borough representation. It was spent yet more unscrupulously in parliamentary bribery. Corruption was older than Walpole or the Whig Ministers, for it sprang out of the very transfer of power to the House of Commons which had begun with the Restoration. The transfer was complete, and the House was supreme in the State; but while freeing itself from the control of the Crown, it was as yet imperfectly responsible to the people. It was only at election time that a member felt the pressure of public opinion. The secrecy of parliamentary proceedings, which had been needful as a safeguard against royal interference with debate, served as a safeguard against interference on the part of constituencies. This strange union of immense power with absolute freedom from responsibility brought about its natural results in the bulk of members. A vote was too valuable to be given without recompense, and parliamentary support had to be bought by places, pensions, and bribes in hard cash

But dexterous as was their management, and compact as was their organization, it was to nobler qualities than these that the Whigs owed their long rule over England. Factious and selfish as much of their conduct proved, they were true to their principles, and their principles were those for which England had been struggling through two hundred years. The right to free government, to freedom of conscience, and to freedom of speech,

had been declared indeed in the Revolution of 1688. But these rights owe their definite establishment as the recognized basis of national life and national action to the age of the Georges. It was the long and unbroken fidelity to free principles with which the Whig administration was conducted that made constitutional government a part of the very life of Englishmen. It was their government of England year after year on the principles of the Revolution that converted these principles into national habits. Before their long rule was over Englishmen had forgotten that it was possible to persecute for difference of opinion, or to put down the liberty of the press, or to tamper with the administration of justice, or to rule without a Parliament.

That this policy was so firmly grasped and so steadily carried out was due above all to the genius of Robert Walpole. Walpole was born in 1676; and he had entered Parliament two years before the death of William of Orange as a young Norfolk landowner of fair fortune, with the tastes and air of the class from which he sprang. His big, square figure, his vulgar good-humored face were those of a common country squire. And in Walpole the squire underlay the statesman to the last. He was ignorant of books, he "loved neither writing nor reading," and if he had a taste for art, his real love was for the table, the bottle, and the chase. He rode as hard as he drank. Even in moments of political peril, the first despatch he would open was the letter from his gamekeeper. There was the temper of the Norfolk fox-hunter in the "doggedness" which Marlborough noted as his characteristic, in the burly self-confidence which declared "If I had not been Prime Minister I should have been Archbishop of Canterbury," in the stubborn courage which conquered the awkwardness of his earlier efforts to speak or met single-handed at the last the bitter attacks of a host of enemies. There was the same temper in the genial good-humor which became with him a new force in politics. No man was ever more fiercely attacked by speakers and writers, but he brought in no "gagging-Act" for the press; and though the lives of

most of his assailants were in his hands through their intrigues with the Pretender, he made little use of his power over them.

Where his country breeding showed itself most, however, was in the shrewd, narrow, honest character of his mind. Though he saw very clearly, he could not see far, and he would not believe what he could not see. His prosaic good sense turned skeptically away from the poetic and passionate sides of human feeling. Appeals to the loftier or purer motives of action he laughed at as "school-boy flights." For young members who talked of public virtue or patriotism he had one good-natured answer: "You will soon come off that and grow wiser." But he was thoroughly straightforward and true to his own convictions, so far as they went. "Robin and I are two honest men," the Jacobite Shippen owned in later years, when contrasting him with his factious opponents: "he is for King George and I am for King James, but those men with long cravats only desire place either under King George or King James." What marked him off from his fellow-Whigs however was not so much the clearness with which Walpole saw the value of the political results which the Revolution had won, or the fidelity with which he carried out his "Revolution principles;" it was the sagacity with which he grasped the conditions on which alone England could be brought to a quiet acceptance of both of them. He never hid from himself that, weakened and broken as it was, Toryism lived on in the bulk of the nation as a spirit of sullen opposition, an opposition that could not rise into active revolt so long as the Pretender remained a Catholic, but which fed itself with hopes of a Stuart who would at last befriend English religion and English liberty, and which in the meanwhile lay ready to give force and virulence to any outbreak of strife at home. On a temper such as this argument was wasted. The only agency that could deal with it was the agency of time, the slow wearing away of prejudice, the slow upgrowth of new ideas, the gradual conviction that a Stuart restoration was hopeless, the as gradual recognition of the benefits

which had been won by the Revolution, and which were secured by the maintenance of the House of Hanover upon the throne.

Such a transition would be hindered or delayed by every outbreak of political or religious controversy that changes or reforms, however wise in themselves, must necessarily bring with them; and Walpole held that no reform was as important to the country at large as a national reunion and settlement. Not less keen and steady was his sense of the necessity of external peace. To provoke or to suffer new struggles on the Continent was not only to rouse fresh resentment in a people who still longed to withdraw from all part in foreign wars; it was to give fresh force to the Pretender by forcing France to use him as a tool against England, and to give fresh life to Jacobitism by stirring fresh hopes of the Pretender's return. It was for this reason that Walpole clung steadily to a policy of peace. But it was not at once that he could force such a policy either on the Whig party or on the King. Though his vigor in the cause of his party had earned him the bitter hostility of the Tories in the later years of Anne, and a trumped-up charge of peculation had served in 1712 as a pretext for expelling him from the House and committing him to the Tower, at the accession of George the First Walpole was far from holding the commanding position he was soon to assume. The stage indeed was partly cleared for him by the jealousy with which the new sovereign regarded the men who had till now served as chiefs of the Whigs. Though the first Hanoverian Ministry was drawn wholly from the Whig party, its leaders and Marlborough found themselves alike set aside. But even had they regained their old power, time must soon have removed them; for Wharton and Halifax died in 1715, and 1716 saw the death of Somers and the imbecility of Marlborough. The man to whom the King entrusted the direction of affairs was the new Secretary of State, Lord Townshend. His merit with George the First lay in his having negotiated a Barrier Treaty with Holland in 1709 by which the Dutch were secured in the possession of a greater number

of fortresses in the Netherlands than they had garrisoned before the war, on condition of their guaranteeing the succession of the House of Hanover. The King had always looked on this treaty as the great support of his cause, and on its negotiation as representing that union of Holland, Hanover, and the Whigs, to which he owed his throne. Townshend's fellow Secretary was General Stanhope, who had won fame both as a soldier and a politician, and who was now raised to the peerage. It was as Townshend's brother-in-law, rather than from a sense of his actual ability, that Walpole successively occupied the posts of Paymaster of the Forces, Chancellor of the Exchequer, and First Lord of the Treasury, in the new administration.

The first work of the new Ministry was to meet a desperate attempt of the Pretender to gain the throne. There was no real hope of success, for the active Jacobites in England were few, and the Tories were broken and dispirited by the fall of their leaders. The policy of Bolingbroke, as Secretary of State to the Pretender, was to defer action till he had secured help from Charles the Twelfth of Sweden, and had induced Lewis the Fourteenth to lend a few thousand men to aid a Jacobite rising. But at the moment of action the death of Lewis ruined all hope of aid from France; the hope of Swedish aid proved as fruitless; and in spite of Bolingbroke's counsels James Stuart resolved to act alone. Without informing his new Minister, he ordered the Earl of Mar to give the signal for revolt in the North. In Scotland the triumph of the Whigs meant the continuance of the House of Argyle in power; and the rival Highland clans were as ready to fight the Campbells under Mar as they had been ready to fight them under Dundee or Montrose. But Mar was a leader of a different stamp from these. In September, 1715, six thousand Highlanders joined him at Perth, but his cowardice or want of conduct kept this army idle till the Duke of Argyle had gathered forces to meet it in an indecisive engagement at Sheriffmuir. The Pretender, who arrived too late for the action, proved a yet more

sluggish and incapable leader than Mar: and at the close of the year an advance of six thousand men under General Carpenter drove James over-sea again and dispersed the clans to their hills. In England the danger passed away like a dream. The accession of the new King had been followed by some outbreaks of riotous discontent; but at the talk of Highland risings and French invasions Tories and Whigs alike rallied round the throne; while the army, which had bitterly resented the interruption of its victories by the treachery of St. John, and hailed with delight the restoration of Marlborough to its command, went hotly for King George. The suspension of the Habeas Corpus Act, and the arrest of their leader, Sir William Wyndham, cowed the Jacobites; and not a man stirred in the west when Ormond appeared off the coast of Devon and called on his party to rise. Oxford alone, where the University was a hotbed of Jacobitism, showed itself restless; and a few of the Catholic gentry rose in Northumberland, under Lord Derwentwater and Mr. Forster. The arrival of two thousand Highlanders who had been sent to join them by Mar spurred these insurgents to march into Lancashire, where the Catholic party was strongest; but they were soon cooped up in Preston, and driven to a surrender.

The Ministry availed itself of their triumph to gratify the Nonconformists by a repeal of the Schism and Occasional Conformity Acts, and to venture on a great constitutional change. Under the Triennial Bill in William's reign the duration of a Parliament was limited to three years. Now that the House of Commons, however, was become the ruling power in the State, a change was absolutely required to secure steadiness and fixity of political action; and in 1716 this necessity coincided with the desire of the Whigs to maintain in power a thoroughly Whig Parliament. The duration of Parliament was therefore extended to seven years by the Septennial Bill. But while the Jacobite rising produced these important changes at home, it brought about a yet more momentous change in English policy

abroad. The foresight of William the Third in his attempt to secure European peace by an alliance of the three Western powers, France, Holland, and England, was justified by the realization of his policy under George the First. The new triple alliance was brought about by the practical advantages which it directly offered to the rulers in both England and France, as well as by the actual position of European politics. The landing of James in Scotland had quickened the anxiety of King George for his removal to a more distant refuge than Lorraine, and for the entire detachment of France from his cause. In France on the other hand a political revolution had been caused by the death of Lewis the Fourteenth, which took place in September, 1715, at the very hour of the Jacobite outbreak. From that moment the country had been ruled by the Duke of Orleans as Regent for the young King Lewis the Fifteenth. The boy's health was weak; and the Duke stood next to him in the succession to the crown, if Philip of Spain observed the renunciation of his rights which he had made in the Treaty of Utrecht. It was well known, however, that Philip had no notion of observing this renunciation, and that he was already intriguing with a strong party in France against the hopes as well as the actual power of the Duke. Nor was Spain more inclined to adhere to its own renunciations in the Treaty than its King. The constant dream of every Spaniard was to recover all that Spain had given up, to win back her Italian dependencies, to win back Gibraltar where the English flag waved upon Spanish soil, to win back, above all, that monopoly of commerce with her dominions in America which England was now entitled to break in upon by the provisions of the Treaty of Utrecht.

To attempt such a recovery was to defy Europe; for if the Treaty had stripped Spain of its fairest dependencies, it had enriched almost every European state with its spoils. Savoy had gained Sicily; the Emperor held the Netherlands, with Naples and the Milanese; Holland looked on the Barrier fortresses as vital to its own

security; England, if as yet indifferent to the value of Gibraltar, clung tenaciously to the American Trade. But the boldness of Cardinal Alberoni, who was now the Spanish Minister, accepted the risk; and while his master was intriguing against the Regent in France, Alberoni promised aid to the Jacobite cause as a means of preventing the interference of England with his designs. In spite of failure in both countries he resolved boldly on an attempt to recover the Italian provinces which Philip had lost. He selected the Duke of Savoy as the weakest of his opponents; and armaments greater than Spain had seen for a century put to sea in 1717, and reduced the island of Sardinia. The blow, however, was hardly needed to draw England and France together. The Abbé Dubois, a confidant of the Regent, had already met the English King with his Secretary, Lord Stanhope, at the Hague; and entered into a compact, by which France guaranteed the Hanoverian line in England, and England the succession of the house of Orleans should Lewis the Fifteenth die without heirs. The two powers were joined, though unwillingly, by Holland in an alliance, which was concluded on the basis of this compact; and, as in William's time, the existence of this alliance told on the whole aspect of European politics. Though in the summer of 1718 a strong Spanish force landed in Sicily, and made itself master of the island, the appearance of an English squadron in the Straits of Messina was followed by an engagement in which the Spanish fleet was all but destroyed. Alberoni strove to avenge the blow by fitting out an armament of five thousand men, which the Duke of Ormond was to command, for a revival of the Jacobite rising in Scotland. But the ships were wrecked in the Bay of Biscay; and the accession of Austria with Savoy to the Triple Alliance, with the death of the King of Sweden, left Spain alone in the face of Europe. The progress of the French armies in the north of Spain forced Philip at last to give way. Alberoni was dismissed; and the Spanish forces were withdrawn from Sardinia and Sicily. The last of these islands now

passed to the Emperor, Savoy being compensated for its loss by the acquisition of Sardinia, from which its Duke took the title of King while the work of the Treaty of Utrecht was completed by the Emperor's renunciation of his claims on the crown of Spain, and Philip's renunciation of his claims on the Milanese and the two Sicilies.

Successful as the Ministry had been in its work of peace, the struggle had disclosed the difficulties which the double position of its new sovereign were to bring upon England. George was not only King of England; he was Elector of Hanover; and in his own mind he cared far more for the interests of his Electorate than for the interests of his kingdom. His first aim was to use the power of his new monarchy to strengthen his position in North Germany. At this moment that position was mainly threatened by the hostility of the King of Sweden. Denmark had taken advantage of the defeat and absence of Charles the Twelfth to annex Bremen and Verden with Schleswig and Holstein to its dominions; but in its dread of the Swedish King's return it secured the help of Hanover by ceding the first two towns to the Electorate on a promise of alliance in the war against him. The despatch of a British fleet into the Baltic with the purpose of overawing Sweden identified England with the policy of Hanover; and Charles, who from the moment of his return bent his whole energies to regain what he had lost, retorted by joining in the schemes of Alberoni, and by concluding an alliance with the Russian Czar, Peter the Great, who for other reasons was hostile to the court of Hanover, for a restoration of the Stuarts. Luckily for the new dynasty his plans were brought to an end at the close of 1718 by his death at the siege of Fredericks-hall; but the policy which provoked them had already brought about the dissolution of the Whig Ministry. When George pressed on his cabinet a treaty of alliance by which England shielded Hanover and its acquisitions from any efforts of the Swedish King, Townshend and Walpole gave a reluctant assent to a measure which they regarded as sacrificing English interests to that of the

Electorate, and as entangling the country yet more in the affairs of the continent. For the moment indeed they yielded to the fact that Bremen and Verden were not only of the highest importance to Hanover, which was brought by them in contact with the sea, but of hardly less value to England itself, as they placed the mouths of the Elbe and the Weser, the chief inlets for British commerce into Germany, in the hands of a friendly state. But they refused to take any further steps in carrying out a Hanoverian policy; and they successfully withstood an attempt of the King to involve England in a war with the Czar, when Russian troops entered Mecklenburg. The resentment of George the First was seconded by intrigues among their fellow-ministers; and in 1717 Townshend and Walpole were forced to resign their posts.

The want of their good sense soon made itself felt. In the reconstituted cabinet Lords Sunderland and Stanhope remained supreme; and their first aim was to secure the maintenance of the Whig power by a constitutional change. Firm as was the hold of the Whigs over the Commons, it might be shaken by a revulsion of popular feeling, it might be ruined as it was destined to be ruined afterwards by a change in the temper of the King. Sunderland sought a permanence of public policy which neither popular nor royal government could give in the changelessness of a fixed aristocracy with its centre in the Lords. Harley's creation of twelve peers to ensure the sanction of the Lords to the Treaty of Utrecht showed that the Crown possessed a power of swamping the majority and changing the balance of opinion in the House of Peers. In 1720 therefore the Ministry introduced a bill, suggested as was believed by Lord Sunderland, which professed to secure the liberty of the Upper House by limiting the power of the Crown in the creation of fresh Peers. The number of Peers was permanently fixed at the number then sitting in the House; and creations could only be made when vacancies occurred. Twenty-five hereditary Scotch Peers were substituted for the sixteen elected Peers for Scotland. The bill however was stren-

uously opposed by Robert Walpole. Not only was it a measure which broke the political quiet which he looked on as a necessity for the new government, but it jarred on his good sense as a statesman. It would in fact have rendered representative government impossible. For representative government was now coming day by day more completely to mean government by the will of the House of Commons, carried out by a Ministry which served as the mouthpiece of that will. But it was only through the prerogative of the Crown, as exercised under the advice of such a Ministry, that the Peers could be forced to bow to the will of the Lower House in matters where their opinion was adverse to that of the Commons; and the proposal of Sunderland would have brought legislation and government to a dead lock.

It was to Walpole's opposition that the Peerage Bill owed its defeat; and this success forced his rivals again to admit him, with Townshend, to a share in the Ministry, though they occupied subordinate offices. But this arrangement was soon to yield to a more natural one. The sudden increase of English commerce begot at this moment the mania of speculation. Ever since the age of Elizabeth the unknown wealth of Spanish America had acted like a spell upon the imagination of Englishmen, and Harley gave countenance to a South Sea Company, which promised a reduction of the public debt as the price of a monopoly of the Spanish trade. Spain however clung jealously to her old prohibitions of all foreign commerce; and the Treaty of Utrecht only won for England the right of engaging in the negro slave-trade with its dominions and of despatching a single ship to the coast of Spanish America. But in spite of all this, the Company again came forward, offering in exchange for new privileges to pay off national burdens which amounted to nearly a million a year. It was in vain that Walpole warned the Ministry and the country against this "dream." Both went mad; and in 1720 bubble Company followed bubble Company, till the inevitable reaction brought a general ruin in its train. The crash brought Stanhope to the grave. Of his colleagues, many were found to have re-

ceived bribes from the South Sea Company to back its frauds. Craggs, the Secretary of State, died of terror at the investigation; Aislabie, the Chancellor of the Exchequer, was sent to the Tower; and in the general wreck of his rivals Robert Walpole mounted again into power. In 1721 he became First Lord of the Treasury, while his brother-in-law, Lord Townshend, returned to his post of Secretary of State. But their relative position was now reversed. Townshend had been the head in their earlier administration: in this Walpole was resolved, to use his own characteristic phrase, that "the firm should be Walpole and Townshend and not Townshend and Walpole."

But it was no mere chance or good luck which maintained Walpole at the head of affairs for more than twenty years. If no Minister has fared worse at the hand of poets or historians, there are few whose greatness has been more impartially recognized by practical statesmen. His qualities indeed were such as a practical statesman can alone do full justice to. There is nothing to charm in the outer aspect of the man; nor is there anything picturesque in the work which he set himself to do, or in the means by which he succeeded in doing it. But picturesque or no, the work of keeping England quiet, and of giving quiet to Europe, was in itself a noble one; and it is the temper with which he carried on his work, the sagacity with which he discerned the means by which alone it could be done, and the stubborn, indomitable will with which he faced every difficulty in the doing it, which gives Walpole his place among English statesmen. He was the first and he was the most successful of our Peace Ministers. "The most pernicious circumstances," he said, "in which this country can be are those of war; as we must be losers while it lasts, and cannot be great gainers when it ends." It was not that the honor or influence of England suffered in Walpole's hands, for he won victories by the firmness of his policy and the skill of his negotiations as effectual as any that are won by arms. But up to the very end of his Ministry, when the frenzy of the nation at last forced his hand, in spite of every varying complication of foreign affairs and a

never-ceasing pressure alike from the Opposition and the Court, it is the glory of Walpole that he resolutely kept England at peace. And as he was the first of our Peace Ministers, so he was the first of our Financiers. He was far indeed from discerning the powers which later statesmen have shown to exist in a sound finance, powers of producing both national development and international amity; but he had the sense to see, what no minister till then had seen, that the only help a statesman can give to industry or commerce is to remove all obstacles in the way of their natural growth, and that beyond this the best course he can take in presence of a great increase in national energy and national wealth is to look quietly on and to let it alone. At the outset of his rule he declared in a speech from the Throne that nothing would more conduce to the extension of commerce "than to make the exportation of our own manufactures, and the importation of the commodities used in the manufacturing of them, as practicable and easy as may be."

The first act of his financial administration was to take off the duties from more than a hundred British exports, and nearly forty articles of importation. In 1730 he broke in the same enlightened spirit through the prejudice which restricted the commerce of the Colonies to the mother-country alone, by allowing Georgia and the Carolinas to export their rice directly to any part of Europe. The result was that the rice of America soon drove that of Italy and Egypt from the market. His Excise Bill, defective as it was, was the first measure in which an English Minister showed any real grasp of the principles of taxation. The wisdom of Walpole was rewarded by a quick up-growth of prosperity. The material progress of the country was such as England had never seen before. Our exports, which were only six millions in value at the beginning of the century, had reached the value of twelve millions by the middle of it. It was above all the trade with the Colonies which began to give England a new wealth. The whole Colonial trade at the time of the Battle of Blenheim was no greater than the trade with the single isle of Jamaica at

the opening of the American war. At the accession of George the Second the exports to Pennsylvania were valued at £15,000. At his death they reached half a million. In the middle of the eighteenth century the profits of Great Britain from the trade with the Colonies were estimated at two millions a year. And with the growth of wealth came a quick growth in population. That of Manchester and Birmingham, whose manufactures were now becoming of importance, doubled in thirty years. Bristol, the chief seat of the West Indian trade, rose into new prosperity. Liverpool, which owes its creation to the new trade with the West, sprang up from a little country town into the third port in the kingdom. With peace and security, and the wealth that they brought with them, the value of land, and with it the rental of every country gentleman rose fast. "Estates which were rented at two thousand a year threescore years ago," said Burke in 1766, "are at three thousand at present."

Nothing shows more clearly the soundness of his political intellect than the fact that this up-growth of wealth around him never made Walpole swerve from a rigid economy, from a steady reduction of the debt, or a diminution of fiscal duties. Even before the death of George the First the public burdens were reduced by twenty millions. It was indeed in economy alone that his best work could be done. In finance as in other fields of statesmanship Walpole was forbidden from taking more than tentative steps towards a wiser system by the needs of the work he had specially to do. To this work everything gave way. He withdrew his Excise Bill rather than suffer the agitation it roused to break the quiet which was reconciling the country to the system of the Revolution. His hatred of religious intolerance or the support he hoped for from the Dissenters never swayed him to rouse the spirit of popular bigotry, which he knew to be ready to burst out at the slightest challenge, by any effort to repeal the laws against Nonconformity His temper was naturally vigorous and active; and yet the years of his power are years without

parallel in our annals for political stagnation. His long administration indeed is almost without a history. All legislative and political action seemed to cease with his entry into office. Year after year passed by without a change. In the third year of Walpole's ministry there was but one division in the House of Commons. Such an inaction gives little scope for the historian; but it fell in with the temper of the nation at large. It was popular with the class which commonly presses for political activity. The energy of the trading class was absorbed for the time in the rapid extension of commerce and accumulation of wealth. So long as the country was justly and temperately governed the merchant and shopkeeper were content to leave government in the hands that held it. All they asked was to be let alone to enjoy their new freedom and develop their new industries. And Walpole let them alone. On the other hand the forces which opposed the Revolution lost year by year somewhat of their energy. The fervor which breeds revolt died down among the Jacobites as their swords rusted idly in their scabbards. The Tories sulked in their country houses; but their wrath against the House of Hanover ebbed away for want of opportunities for exerting itself. And meanwhile on opponents as on friends the freedom which the Revolution had brought with it was doing its work. It was to the patient influence of this freedom that Walpole trusted; and it was the special mark of his administration that in spite of every temptation he gave it full play. Though he dared not touch the laws that oppressed the Catholic or the Dissenter, he took care that they should remain inoperative. Catholic worship went on unhindered. Yearly bills of indemnity exempted the Nonconformists from the consequences of their infringement of the Test Act. There was no tampering with public justice or with personal liberty. Thought and action were alike left free. No Minister was ever more foully slandered by journalists and pamphleteers; but Walpole never meddled with the press.

Abroad as well as at home the difficulties in the way

of his policy were enormous. Peace was still hard to maintain. Defeated as her first attempt had been, Spain remained resolute to regain her lost provinces, to recover Gibraltar and Minorca, and to restore her old monopoly of trade with her American colonies. She had learned that she could do this only by breaking the alliance of the Four Powers, which left her isolated in Europe; and she saw at last a chance of breaking this league in the difficulties of the House of Austria. The Emperor Charles the Sixth was without a son. He had issued a Pragmatic Sanction by which he provided that his hereditary dominions should descend unbroken to his daughter, Maria Theresa, but no European state had as yet consented to guarantee her succession. Spain seized on this opportunity of detaching the Emperor from the Western powers. She promised to support the Pragmatic Sanction in return for a pledge on the part of Charles to aid in wresting Gibraltar and Minorca from England, and in securing to a Spanish prince the succession to Parma, Piacenza, and Tuscany. A grant of the highest trading privileges in her American dominions to a commercial company which the Emperor had established at Ostend, in defiance of the Treaty of Westphalia and the remonstrances of England and Holland, revealed this secret alliance; and there were fears of the adhesion of Russia, which still remained hostile to England through the quarrel with Hanover. The danger was met for a while by an alliance of England, France, and Prussia, in 1725; but the withdrawal of the last Power again gave courage to the confederates, and in 1727 the Spaniards besieged Gibraltar while Charles threatened an invasion of Holland. The moderation of Walpole alone averted a European war. While sending British squadrons to the Baltic, the Spanish coast, and America, he succeeded by diplomatic pressure in again forcing the Emperor to inaction; after weary negotiations Spain was brought in 1729 to sign the Treaty of Seville and to content herself with the promise of a succession of a Spanish prince to the Duchies of Parma and Tuscany; and the discontent of Charles the Sixth at this concession was allayed in

1731 by giving the guarantee of England to the Pragmatic Sanction.

The patience and even temper which Walpole showed in this business was the more remarkable that in the course of it his power received what seemed a fatal shock from the death of the King. George the First died on a journey to Hanover in 1727; and his successor, George the Second, was known to have hated his father's Minister hardly less than he had hated his father. But hate Walpole as he might, the new King was absolutely guided by the adroitness of his wife, Caroline of Anspach; and Caroline had resolved that there should be no change in the Ministry. After a few days of withdrawal therefore Walpole again returned to office; and the years which followed were those in which his power reached its height. He gained as great an influence over George the Second as he had gained over his father: and in spite of the steady increase of his opponents in the House of Commons, his hold over it remained unshaken. The country was tranquil and prosperous. The prejudices of the landed gentry were met by a steady effort to reduce the land-tax, whose pressure was half the secret of their hostility to the Revolution that produced it. The Church was quiet. The Jacobites were too hopeless to stir. A few trade measures and social reforms crept quietly through the Houses. An inquiry into the state of the jails showed that social thought was not utterly dead. A bill of great value enacted that all proceedings in courts of justice should henceforth be in the English tongue.

Only once did Walpole break this tranquillity by an attempt at a great measure of statesmanship; and the result of his attempt proved how wise was the inactivity of his general policy. No tax had from the first moment of its introduction been more unpopular than the Excise. Its origin was due to Pym and the Long Parliament, who imposed duties on beer, cider, and perry, which at the Restoration produced an annual income of more than six hundred thousand pounds. The war with France at the Revolution brought with it the imposition of a malt-tax and additional duties on spirits, wine, tobacco, and other ar-

ticles. So great had been the increase in the public wealth that the return from the Excise amounted at the death of George the First to nearly to two millions and a half a year. But its unpopularity remained unabated, and even philosophers like Locke contended that the whole public revenue should be drawn from direct taxes upon the land. Walpole, on the other hand, saw in the growth of indirect taxation a means of winning over the country gentry to the new dynasty of the Revolution by freeing the land from all burdens whatever. He saw too a means of diminishing the loss suffered by the revenue from the Customs through smuggling and fraud. These losses were immense; that on tobacco alone amounted to a third of the whole duty. In 1733 therefore he introduced an Excise Bill, which met this evil by the establishment of bonded warehouses, and by the collection of the duties from the inland dealers in the form of Excise and not of Customs. The first measure would have made London a free port, and doubled English trade. The second would have so largely increased the revenue, without any loss to the consumer, as to enable Walpole to repeal the land-tax. In the case of tea and coffee alone, the change in the mode of levying the duty was estimated to bring in an additional hundred thousand pounds a year. The necessaries of life and the raw materials of manufacture were in Walpole's plan to remain absolutely untaxed. The scheme was in effect an anticipation of the principles which have guided English finance since the triumph of free trade, and every part of it has now been carried into effect. But in 1733 Walpole stood ahead of his time. The violence of his opponents was backed by an outburst of popular prejudice; riots almost grew into revolt; and in spite of the Queen's wish to put down resistance by force, Walpole withdrew the bill. "I will not be the Minister," he said with noble self-command, "to enforce taxes at the expense of blood."

What had fanned popular prejudice into a flame during the uproar over the Excise Bill was the violence of the so-called "Patriots." In the absence of a strong opposition and of great impulses to enthusiasm a party breaks

readily into factions; and the weakness of the Tories joined with the stagnation of public affairs to breed faction among the Whigs. Walpole too was jealous of power; and as his jealousy drove colleague after colleague out of office they became leaders of a party whose sole aim was to thrust him from his post. Greed of power indeed was the one passion which mastered his robust common-sense. Townshend was turned out of office in 1730, Lord Chesterfield in 1733; and though he started with the ablest administration the country had known, Walpole was left after twenty years of supremacy with but one man of ability in his cabinet, the Chancellor, Lord Hardwicke. With the single exception of Townshend, the colleagues whom his jealousy dismissed plunged into an opposition more factious and unprincipled than has ever disgraced English politics. The "Patriots," as they called themselves, owned Pulteney, a brilliant speaker and unscrupulous intriguer, as their head; they were reinforced by a band of younger Whigs—the "boys," as Walpole named them—whose temper revolted alike against the inaction and cynicism of his policy, and whose fiery spokesman was a young cornet of horse, William Pitt; and they rallied to these the fragment of the Tory party which still took part in politics, a fragment inconsiderable in numbers but of far greater weight as representing a large part of the nation, and which was guided for a while by the virulent ability of Bolingbroke, whom Walpole had suffered to return from exile, but to whom he had refused the restoration of his seat in the House of Lords. Inside Parliament indeed the invectives of the "Patriots" fell dead before Walpole's majorities and his good-humored contempt; so far were their attacks from shaking his power that Bolingbroke abandoned the struggle in despair to return again into exile, while Pulteney with his party could only take refuge in a silly secession from Parliament. But on the nation at large their speeches and pamphlets, with the brilliant sarcasms of their literary allies, such as Pope or Johnson, did more effective work. Unjust indeed as their outcry was, the growing response to it told that the political inactivity of the

country was drawing to an end. It was the very success of Walpole's policy which was to bring about his downfall; for it was the gradual closing of the chasm which had all but broken England into two warring peoples that allowed the political energy of the country to return to its natural channels and to give a new vehemence to political strife. Vague too and hollow as much of the "high talk" of the Patriots was, it showed that the age of political cynicism, of that unbelief in high sentiment and noble aspirations which had followed on the crash of Puritanism, was drawing to an end. Rant about ministerial corruption would have fallen flat on the public ear had not new moral forces, a new sense of social virtue, a new sense of religion been stirring, however blindly, in the minds of Englishmen.

The stir showed itself markedly in a religious revival which dates from the later years of Walpole's ministry; and which began in a small knot of Oxford students, whose revolt against the religious deadness of their times expressed itself in ascetic observances, an enthusiastic devotion, and a methodical regularity of life which gained them the nickname of "Methodists." Three figures detached themselves from the group as soon as, on its transfer to London in 1738, it attracted public attention by the fervor and even extravagance of its piety; and each found his special work in the task to which the instinct of the new movement led it from the first, that of carrying religion and morality to the vast masses of population which lay concentrated in the towns or around the mines and collieries of Cornwall and the north. Whitfield, a servitor of Pembroke College, was above all the preacher of the revival. Speech was governing English politics; and the religious power of speech was shown when a dread of "enthusiasm" closed against the new apostles the pulpits of the Established Church, and forced them to preach in the fields. Their voice was soon heard in the wildest and most barbarous corners of the land, among the bleak moors of Northumland, or in the dens of London, or in the long galleries where in the pauses of his labor the Cornish miner lis-

tens to the sobbing of the sea. Whitfield's preaching was such as England had never heard before, theatrical, extravagant, often commonplace, but hushing all criticism by its intense reality, its earnestness of belief, its deep tremulous sympathy with the sin and sorrow of mankind. It was no common enthusiast who could wring gold from the close-fisted Franklin and admiration from the fastidious Horace Walpole, or who could look down from the top of the green knoll at Kingswood on twenty thousand colliers, grimy from the Bristol coal-pits, and see as he preached the tears "making white channels down their blackened cheeks."

On the rough and ignorant masses to whom they spoke the effect of Whitfield and his fellow Methodists was mighty both for good and ill. Their preaching stirred a passionate hatred in their opponents. Their lives were often in danger, they were mobbed, they were ducked, they were stoned, they were smothered with filth. But the enthusiasm they aroused was equally passionate. Women fell down in convulsions; strong men were smitten suddenly to the earth; the preacher was interrupted by bursts of hysteric laughter or of hysteric sobbing. All the phenomena of strong spiritual excitement, so familiar now, but at that time strange and unknown, followed on their sermons, and the terrible sense of a conviction of sin, a new dread of hell, a new hope of heaven, took forms at once grotesque and sublime. Charles Wesley, a Christ Church student, came to add sweetness to this sudden and startling light. He was the "sweet singer" of the movement. His hymns expressed the fiery conviction of its converts in lines so chaste and beautiful that its more extravagant features disappeared. The wild throes of hysteric enthusiasm passed into a passion for hymn-singing, and a new musical impulse was aroused in the people which gradually changed the face of public devotion throughout England.

But it was his elder brother, John Wesley, who embodied in himself not this or that side of the new movement, but the movement itself. Even at Oxford, where

he resided as a fellow of Lincoln, he had been looked upon as head of the group of Methodists, and after his return from a quixotic mission to the Indians of Georgia he again took the lead of the little society, which had removed in the interval to London. In power as a preacher he stood next to Whitfield; as a hymn-writer he stood second to his brother Charles. But while combining in some degree the excellences of either, he possessed qualities in which both were utterly deficient; an indefatigable industry, a cool judgment, a command over others, a faculty of organization, a singular union of patience and moderation with an imperious ambition, which marked him as a ruler of men. He had besides a learning and a skill in writing which no other of the Methodists possessed; he was older than any of his colleagues at the start of the movement, and he outlived them all. His life indeed almost covers the century. He was born in 1703 and lived on till 1791, and the Methodist body had passed through every phase of its history before he sank into the grave at the age of eighty-eight. It would have been impossible for Wesley to have wielded the power he did had he not shared the follies and extravagances as well as the enthusiasm of his disciples. Throughout his life his asceticism was that of a monk. At times he lived on bread only, and he often slept on the bare boards. He lived in a world of wonders and divine interpositions. It was a miracle if the rain stopped and allowed him to set forward on a journey. It was a judgment of heaven if a hailstorm burst over a town which had been deaf to his preaching. One day, he tells us, when he was tired and his horse fell lame, "I thought cannot God heal either man or beast by any means or without any?—immediately my headache ceased and my horse's lameness in the same instant." With a still more childish fanaticism he guided his conduct, whether in ordinary events or in the great crises of his life, by drawing lots or watching the particular texts at which his Bible opened.

But with all this extravagance and superstition Wesley's mind was essentially practical, orderly, and conservative. No man ever stood at the head of a great

revolution whose temper was so anti-revolutionary. In his earlier days the bishops had been forced to rebuke him for the narrowness and intolerance of his churchmanship. When Whitfield began his sermons in the fields, Wesley "could not at first reconcile himself to that strange way." He condemned and fought against the admission of laymen as preachers till he found himself left with none but laymen to preach. To the last he clung passionately to the Church of England, and looked on the body he had formed as but a lay society in full communion with it. He broke with the Moravians, who had been the earliest friends of the new movement, when they endangered its safe conduct by their contempt of religious forms. He broke with Whitfield when the great preacher plunged into an extravagant Calvinism. But the same practical temper of mind which led him to reject what was unmeasured, and to be the last to adopt what was new, enabled him at once to grasp and organize the novelties he adopted. He became himself the most unwearied of field preachers, and his journal for half a century is little more than a record of fresh journeys and fresh sermons. When once driven to employ lay helpers in his ministry he made their work a new and attractive feature in his system. His earlier asceticism only lingered in a dread of social enjoyments and an aversion from the gayer and sunnier side of life which links the Methodist movement with that of the Puritans. As the fervor of his superstition died down into the calm of age, his cool common sense discouraged in his followers the enthusiastic outbursts which marked the opening of the revival. His powers were bent to the building up of a great religious society which might give to the new enthusiasm a lasting and practical form. The Methodists were grouped into classes, gathered in love-feasts, purified by the expulsion of unworthy members, and furnished with an alternation of settled ministers and wandering preachers; while the whole body was placed under the absolute government of a Conference of ministers. But so long as he lived, the direction of the new religious society remained with Wesley alone. "If by arbitrary

power," he replied, with charming simplicity to objectors, "you mean a power which I exercise simply without any colleagues therein, this is certainly true, but I see no hurt in it."

The great body which he thus founded numbered a hundred thousand members at his death, and now counts its members in England and America by millions. But the Methodists themselves were the least result of the Methodist revival. Its action upon the Church broke the lethargy of the clergy; and the "Evangelical" movement, which found representatives like Newton and Cecil within the pale of the Establishment, made the fox-hunting parson and the absentee rector at last impossible. In Walpole's day the English clergy were the idlest and the most lifeless in the world. In our own day no body of religious ministers surpasses them in piety, in philanthropic energy, or in popular regard. In the nation at large appeared a new moral enthusiasm, which, rigid and pedantic as it often seemed, was still healthy in its social tone, and whose power was seen in the disappearance of the profligacy which had disgraced the upper classes, and the foulness which had infested literature ever since the Restoration. A new philanthropy reformed our prisons, infused clemency and wisdom into our penal laws, abolished the slave trade, and gave the first impulse to popular education.

From the new England which was springing up about him, from that new stir of national life and emotion of which the Wesleyan revival was but a part, Walpole stood utterly aloof. National enthusiasm, national passion, found no echo in his cool and passionless good sense. The growing consciousness in the people at large of a new greatness, its instinctive prevision of the coming of a time when England was to play a foremost part in the history of the world, the upgrowth of a nobler and loftier temper which should correspond to such a destiny, all were alike unintelligible to him. In the talk of patriotism and public virtue he saw mere rant and extravagance. "Men would grow wiser," he said, "and come out of that." The revival of English religion he looked on with

an indifference lightly dashed with dread as a reawakening of fanaticism which might throw new obstacles in the way of religious liberty. In the face of the growing excitement therefore he clung as doggedly as ever to his policy of quiet at home and peace abroad. But peace was now threatened by a foe far more formidable than Spain. What had hitherto enabled England to uphold the settlement of Europe as established at the Peace of Utrecht was above all the alliance and backing of France. But it was clear that such an alliance could hardly be a permanent one. The Treaty of Utrecht had been a humiliation for France even more than for Spain. It had marked the failure of those dreams of European supremacy which the House of Bourbon had nursed ever since the close of the sixteenth century, and which Lewis the Fourteenth had all but turned from dreams into realities. Beaten and impoverished, France had bowed to the need of peace; but her strange powers of recovery had shown themselves in the years of tranquillity that peace secured; and with reviving wealth and the upgrowth of a new generation which had known nothing of the woes that followed Blenheim and Ramillies the old ambition started again into life.

It was fired to action by a new rivalry. The naval supremacy of Britain was growing into an empire of the sea; and not only was such an empire in itself a challenge to France, but it was fatal to the aspirations after a colonial dominion, after aggrandizement in America, and the up-building of a French power in the East, which were already vaguely stirring in the breasts of her statesmen. And to this new rivalry was added the temptation of a new chance of success. On the Continent the mightiest foe of France had ever been the House of Austria; but that House was now paralyzed by a question of succession. It was almost certain that the quarrels which must follow the death of the Emperor would break the strength of Germany, and it was probable that they might be so managed as to destroy forever that of the House of Hapsburg. While the main obstacle to her ambition was thus weakened or removed, France won a

new and invaluable aid to it in the friendship of Spain. Accident had hindered for a while the realization of the forebodings which led Marlborough and Somers so fiercely to oppose a recognition of the union of the two countries under the same royal house in the Peace of Utrecht. The age and death of Lewis the Fourteenth, the minority of his successor, the hostility between Philip of Spain and the Duke of Orleans, the personal quarrel between the two Crowns which broke out after the Duke's death, had long held the Bourbon powers apart. France had in fact been thrown on the alliance of England, and had been forced to play a chief part in opposing Spain and in maintaining the European settlement. But at the death of George the First this temporary severance was already passing away. The birth of children to Lewis the Fifteenth settled all questions of succession; and no obstacle remained to hinder their family sympathies from uniting the Bourbon Courts in a common action. The boast of Lewis the Fourteenth was at last fulfilled. In the mighty struggle for supremacy which France carried on from the fall of Walpole to the Peace of Paris her strength was doubled by the fact that there were "no Pyrenees."

The first signs of this new danger showed themselves in 1733, when the peace of Europe was broken afresh by disputes which rose out of a contested election to the throne of Poland. Austria and France were alike drawn into the strife; and in England the awakening jealousy of French designs roused a new pressure for war. The new King too was eager to fight, and her German sympathies inclined even Caroline to join in the fray. But Walpole stood firm for the observance of neutrality. He worked hard to avert and to narrow the war; but he denied that British interests were so involved in it as to call on England to take a part. "There are fifty thousand men slain this year in Europe," he boasted as the strife went on, "and not one Englishman." Meanwhile he labored to bring the quarrel to a close; and in 1736 the intervention of England and Holland succeeded in restoring peace. But the country had watched with

a jealous dread the military energy that proclaimed the revival of the French arms; and it noted bitterly that peace was bought by the triumph of both branches of the House of Bourbon. A new Bourbon monarchy was established at the cost of the House of Austria by the cession of the Two Sicilies to a Spanish Prince in exchange for his right of succession to the Duchies of Parma and Tuscany. On the other hand, Lorraine, so long courted by French ambition, passed finally into the hands of France. The political instinct of the nation at once discerned in these provisions a union of the Bourbon powers; and its dread of such a union proved to be a just one. As early as the outbreak of the war a Family Compact had been secretly concluded between France and Spain, the main object of which was the ruin of the maritime supremacy of Britain. Spain bound herself to deprive England gradually of its commercial privileges in her American dominions, and to transfer them to France. France in return engaged to support Spain at sea, and to aid her in the recovery of Gibraltar.

The caution with which Walpole held aloof from the Polish war rendered this compact inoperative for the time; but neither of the Bourbon courts ceased to look forward to its future execution. The peace of 1736 was indeed a mere pause in the struggle which their union made inevitable. No sooner was the war ended than France strained every nerve to increase her fleet; while Spain steadily tightened the restrictions on British commerce with her American colonies. It was the dim, feverish sense of the drift of these efforts that embittered every hour the struggle of English traders with the Spaniards in the southern seas. The trade with Spanish America, which, illegal as it was, had grown largely through the connivance of Spanish post-officers during the long alliance of England and Spain in the wars against France, had at last received a legal recognition in the Peace of Utrecht. But it was left under narrow restrictions; and Spain had never abandoned the dream of restoring its old monopoly. Her efforts, however, to restore it had as yet been baffled; while the restrictions

were evaded by a vast system of smuggling which rendered what remained of the Spanish monopoly all but valueless. Philip, however, persisted in his efforts to bring down English intercourse with his colonies to the importation of negroes and the despatch of a single merchant vessel, as stipulated by the Treaty of Utrecht; and from the moment of the compact with France the restrictions were enforced with a fresh rigor. Collisions took place which made it hard to keep the peace; and in 1738 the ill humor of the trading classes was driven to madness by the appearance of a merchant captain named Jenkins at the bar of the House of Commons. He told the tale of his torture by the Spaniards, and produced an ear which, he said, they had cut off amidst taunts at England and its King. It was in vain that Walpole strove to do justice to both parties, and that he battled stubbornly against the cry for a war which he knew to be an unjust one, and to be as impolitic as it was unjust. He saw that the House of Bourbon was only waiting for the Emperor's death to deal its blow at the House of Austria; and the Emperor's death was now close at hand. At such a juncture it was of the highest importance that England should be free to avail herself of every means to guard the European settlement, and that she should not tie her hands by a contest which would divert her attention from the great crisis which was impending, as well as drain the forces which would have enabled Walpole to deal with it.

But his efforts were in vain. His negotiations were foiled by the frenzy of the one country and the pride of the other. At home his enemies assailed him with a storm of abuse. Pope and Johnson alike lent their pens to lampoon the minister. Ballad singers trolled out their rimes to the crowd on "the cur-dog of Britain and spaniel of Spain." His position had been weakened by the death of the Queen; and it was now weakened yet more by the open hostility of the Prince of Wales, who in his hatred of his father had come to hate his father's ministers as heartily as George the Second had hated those of George the First. His mastery of the House of Com-

mons too was no longer unquestioned. The Tories were slowly returning to Parliament, and their numbers had now mounted to a hundred and ten. The numbers and the violence of the "Patriots" had grown with the open patronage of Prince Frederick. The country was slowly turning against him. The counties now sent not a member to his support. Walpole's majority was drawn from the boroughs; it rested therefore on management, on corruption, and on the support of the trading classes. But with the cry for a commercial war the support of the trading class failed him. Even in his own cabinet, though he had driven from it every man of independence, he was pressed at this juncture to yield by the Duke of Newcastle and his brother Henry Pelham, who were fast acquiring political importance from their wealth, and from their prodigal devotion of it to the purchase of parliamentary support. But it was not till he stood utterly alone that Walpole gave way, and that he consented in 1739 to a war against Spain.

"They may ring their bells now," the great minister said bitterly, as peals and bonfires welcomed his surrender; "but they will soon be wringing their hands." His foresight was at once justified. No sooner had Admiral Vernon appeared off the coast of South America with an English fleet, and captured Porto Bello, than France gave an indication of her purpose to act on the secret compact by a formal declaration that she would not consent to any English settlement on the mainland of South America, and by despatching two squadrons to the West Indies. But it was plain that the union of the Bourbon Courts had larger aims than the protection of Spanish America. The Emperor was dying; and pledged as France was to the Pragmatic Sanction few believed she would redeem her pledge. It had been given indeed with reluctance; even the peace-loving Fleury had said that France ought to have lost three battles before she confirmed it. And now that the opportunity had at last come for finishing the work which Henry the Second had begun, by breaking up the Empire into a group of powers too weak to resist French aggression, it was idle to expect her to pass it by.

If once the hereditary dominions of the House of Austria were parted amongst various claimants, if the dignity of the Emperor was no longer supported by the mass of dominion which belonged personally to the Hapsburgs, France would be left without a rival on the Continent. Walpole at once turned to face this revival of a danger which the Grand Alliance had defeated. Not only the House of Austria but Russia too was called on to join in a league against the Bourbons; and Prussia, the German power to which Walpole had leant from the beginning, was counted on to give an aid as firm as Brandenburg had given in the older struggle. But the project remained a mere plan when in October, 1740, the death of Charles the Sixth forced on the European struggle.

The plan of the English Cabinet at once broke down. The new King of Prussia, Frederick the Second, whom English opinion had hailed as destined to play the part in the new league which his ancestor had played in the old, suddenly showed himself the most vigorous assailant of the House of Hapsburg; and while Frederick claimed Silesia, Bavaria claimed the Austrian Duchies, which passed with the other hereditary dominions according to the Pragmatic Sanction to Maria Theresa, or, as she was now called, the Queen of Hungary. The hour was come for the Bourbon courts to act. In union with Spain, which aimed at the annexation of the Milanese, France promised her aid to Prussia and Bavaria; while Sweden and Sardinia allied themselves to France. In the summer of 1741 two French armies entered Germany, and the Elector of Bavaria appeared unopposed before Vienna. Never had the House of Austria stood in such peril. Its opponents counted on a division of its dominions. France claimed the Netherlands, Spain the Milanese, Bavaria the kingdom of Bohemia, and Frederick the Second Silesia. Hungary and the Duchy of Austria alone were left to Maria Theresa. Walpole, though still true to her cause, advised her to purchase Frederick's aid against France and her allies by the cession of part of Silesia. The counsel was wise, for Frederick in hope of some such turn of events had as yet held aloof from actual alliance with France, but the

Patriots spurred the Queen to refusal by promising her England's aid in the recovery of her full inheritance. Walpole's last hope of rescuing Austria was broken by this resolve; and Frederick was driven to conclude the alliance with France from which he had so stubbornly held aloof. But the Queen refused to despair. She won the support of Hungary by restoring its constitutional rights; and British subsidies enabled her to march at the head of a Hungarian army to the rescue of Vienna, to overrun Bavaria, and repulse an attack of Frederick on Moravia in the spring of 1742. On England's part, however, the war was waged feebly and ineffectively. Admiral Vernon was beaten before Carthagena; and Walpole was charged with thwarting and starving his operations. With the same injustice, the selfishness with which George the Second hurried to Hanover, and in his dread of harm to his hereditary state averted the entry of a French army by binding himself as Elector to neutrality in the war, though the step had been taken without Walpole's knowledge, was laid to the minister's charge. His power indeed was ebbing every day. He still repelled the attacks of the "Patriots" with wonderful spirit; but in a new Parliament which was called at this crisis his majority dropped to sixteen, and in his own Cabinet he became almost powerless. The buoyant temper which had carried him through so many storms broke down at last. "He who was asleep as soon as his head touched the pillow," writes his son, "now never sleeps above an hour without waking: and he who at dinner always forgot his own anxieties, and was more gay and thoughtless than all the company, now sits without speaking and with his eyes fixed for an hour together." The end was in fact near; and in the opening of 1742 the dwindling of his majority to three forced Walpole to resign.

His fall, however, made no change in English policy, at home or abroad. The bulk of his ministry had opposed him in his later years of office, and at his retirement they resumed their posts, simply admitting some of the more prominent members of opposition, and giving the control

of foreign affairs to Lord Carteret, a man of great power, and skilled in continental affairs. Carteret mainly followed the system of his predecessor. It was in the union of Austria and Prussia that he looked for the means of destroying the hold France had now established in Germany by the election of her puppet, Charles of Bavaria, as Emperor; and the pressure of England, aided by a victory of Frederick at Chotusitz, forced Maria Theresa to consent to Walpole's plan of a peace with Prussia at Breslau on the terms of the cession of Silesia. The peace at once realized Carteret's hopes by enabling the Austrian army to drive the French from Bohemia at the close of 1742, while the new minister threw a new vigor into the warlike efforts of England itself. One English fleet blockaded Cadiz, another anchored in the bay of Naples and forced Don Carlos by a threat of bombarding his capital to conclude a treaty of neutrality, and English subsidies detached Sardinia from the French alliance.

The aim of Carteret and of the Court of Vienna was now not only to set up the Pragmatic Sanction, but to undo the French encroachments of 1736. Naples and Sicily were to be taken back from their Spanish King, Elsass and Lorraine from France; and the imperial dignity was to be restored to the Austrian House. To carry out these schemes an Austrian army drove the Emperor from Bavaria in the spring of 1743; while George the Second, who warmly supported Carteret's policy, put himself at the head of a force of 40,000 men, the bulk of whom were English and Hanoverians, and marched from the Netherlands to the Main. His advance was checked and finally turned into a retreat by the Duc de Noailles, who appeared with a superior army on the south bank of the river, and finally throwing 31,000 men across it threatened to compel the King to surrender. In the battle of Dettingen which followed, however, on the 27th June, 1743, not only was the allied army saved from destruction by the impetuosity of the French horse and the dogged obstinacy with which the English held their ground, but their opponents were forced to recross the Main. Small as was the victory, it produced amazing

results. The French evacuated Germany. The English and Austrian armies appeared on the Rhine; and a league between England, Prussia, and the Queen of Hungary, seemed all that was needed to secure the results already gained.

But the prospect of peace was overthrown by the ambition of the House of Austria. In the spring of 1744 an Austrian army marched upon Naples, with the purpose of transferring it after its conquest to the Bavarian Emperor, whose hereditary dominions in Bavaria were to pass in return to Maria Theresa. Its march at once forced the Prussian King into a fresh attitude of hostility, If Frederick had withdrawn from the war on the cession of Silesia, he was resolute to take up arms again rather than suffer so great an aggrandizement of the House of Austria in Germany. His sudden alliance with France failed at first to change the course of the war; for though he was successful in seizing Prague and drawing the Austrian army from the Rhine, Frederick was driven from Bohemia, while the death of the Emperor forced Bavaria to lay down its arms and to ally itself with Maria Theresa. So high were the Queen's hopes at this moment that she formed a secret alliance with Russia for the division of the Prussian monarchy. But in 1745 the tide turned, and the fatal results of Carteret's weakness in assenting to a change in the character of the struggle which transformed it from a war of defence into one of attack became manifest. The young French King, Lewis the Fifteenth, himself led an army into the Netherlands; and the refusal of Holland to act against him left their defence wholly in the hands of England. The general anger at this widening of the war proved fatal to Carteret, or as he now became, Earl Granville. His imperious temper had rendered him odious to his colleagues, and he was driven from office by the Pelhams, who not only forced George against his will to dismiss him, but foiled the King's attempt to construct a new administration with Granville at its head.

Of the reconstituted ministry which followed Henry Pelham became the head. His temper as well as a con-

sciousness of his own mediocrity disposed him to a policy of conciliation which reunited the Whigs. Chesterfield and the Whigs in opposition, with Pitt and "the boys," all found room in the new administration; and even a few Tories, who had given help to Pelham's party, found admittance. Their entry was the first breach in the system of purely party government established on the accession of George the First, though it was more than compensated by the new strength and unity of the Whigs But the chief significance of Carteret's fall lay in its bearing on foreign policy. The rivalry of Hanover with Prussia for a headship of North Germany found expression in the bitter hostility of George the Second to Frederick; and it was in accord with George that Carteret had lent himself to the vengeance of Austria on her most dangerous opponent. But the bulk of the Whigs remained true to the policy of Walpole, while the entry of the Patriots into the ministry had been on the condition that English interests should be preferred to Hanoverian. It was to pave the way to an accommodation with Frederick and a close of the war that the Pelhams forced Carteret to resign. But it was long before the new system could be brought to play, for the main attention of the new ministry had to be given to the war in Flanders, where Marshal Saxe had established the superiority of the French army by his defeat of the Duke of Cumberland. Advancing to the relief of Tournay with a force of English, Hanoverians, and Dutch—for Holland, however reluctantly, had at last been dragged into the war, though by English subsidies—the Duke on the 31st of May 1745 found the French covered by a line of fortified villages and redoubts with but a single narrow gap near the hamlet of Fontenoy. Into this gap, however, the English troops, formed in a dense column, doggedly thrust themselves in spite of a terrible fire; but at the moment when the day seemed won the French guns, rapidly concentrated in their front, tore the column in pieces and drove it back in a slow and orderly retreat. The blow was followed up in June by a victory of Frederick at Hohenfriedburg which drove the Austrians from Silesia,

and by the landing of a Stuart on the coast of Scotland at the close of July.

The war with France had at once revived the hopes of the Jacobites; and as early as 1744 Charles Edward, the grandson of James the Second, was placed by the French Government at the head of a formidable armament. But his plan of a descent on Scotland was defeated by a storm which wrecked his fleet, and by the march of the French troops which had sailed in it to the war in Flanders. In 1745 however the young adventurer again embarked with but seven friends in a small vessel and landed on a little island of the Hebrides. For three weeks he stood almost alone; but on the 29th of August the clans rallied to his standard in Glenfinnan, and Charles found himself at the head of fifteen hundred men. His force swelled to an army as he marched through Blair Athol on Perth, entered Edinburgh in triumph, and proclaimed "James the Eighth" at the Town Cross; and two thousand English troops who marched against him under Sir John Cope were broken and cut to pieces on the 21st of September by a single charge of the clansmen at Preston Pans. Victory at once doubled the forces of the conqueror. The Prince was now at the head of six thousand men; but all were still Highlanders, for the people of the Lowlands held aloof from his standard, and it was with the utmost difficulty that he could induce them to follow him to the south. His tact and energy however at last conquered every obstacle, and after skilfully evading an army gathered at Newcastle he marched through Lancashire, and pushed on the 4th of December as far as Derby. But here all hope of success came to an end. Hardly a man had risen in his support as he passed through the districts where Jacobitism boasted of its strength. The people flocked to see his march as if to see a show. Catholics and Tories abounded in Lancashire, but only a single squire took up arms. Manchester was looked on as the most Jacobite of English towns, but all the aid it gave was an illumination and two thousand pounds. From Carlisle to Derby he had been joined by hardly two hundred men. The policy of Walpole

had in fact secured England for the House of Hanover. The long peace, the prosperity of the country, and the clemency of the Government, had done their work. The recent admission of Tories into the administration had severed the Tory party finally from the mere Jacobites. Jacobitism as a fighting force was dead, and even Charles Edward saw that it was hopeless to conquer England with five thousand Highlanders.

He soon learned too that forces of double his own strength were closing on either side of him, while a third army under the King and Lord Stair covered London. Scotland itself, now that the Highlanders were away, quietly renewed in all the districts of the Lowlands its allegiance to the House of Hanover. Even in the Highlands the Macleods rose in arms for King George, while the Gordons refused to stir, though roused by a small French force which landed at Montrose. To advance further south was impossible, and Charles fell rapidly back on Glasgow; but the reinforcements which he found there raised his army to nine thousand men, and on the 23d January, 1746, he boldly attacked an English army under General Hawley which had followed his retreat and had encamped near Falkirk. Again the wild charge of his Highlanders won victory for the Prince, but victory was as fatal as defeat. The bulk of his forces dispersed with their booty to the mountains, and Charles fell sullenly back to the north before the Duke of Cumberland. On the 16th of April the two armies faced one another on Culloden Moor, a few miles eastward of Inverness. The Highlanders still numbered six thousand men, but they were starving and dispirited, while Cumberland's force was nearly double that of the Prince. Torn by the Duke's guns, the clansmen flung themselves in their old fashion on the English front; but they were received with a terrible fire of musketry, and a few that broke through the first line found themselves fronted by a second. In a few moments all was over, and the Stuart force was a mass of hunted fugitives. Charles himself after strange adventures escaped to France. In England fifty of his followers were hanged; three Scotch lords,

Lovat, Balmerino, and Kilmarnock, brought to the block; and forty persons of rank attainted by Act of Parliament. More extensive measures of repression were needful in the Highlands. The feudal tenures were abolished. The hereditary jurisdictions of the chiefs were bought up and transferred to the Crown. The tartan, or garb of the Highlanders, was forbidden by law. These measures, and a general Act of Indemnity which followed them, proved effective for their purpose. The dread of the clansmen passed away, and the sheriff's writ soon ran through the Highlands with as little resistance as in the streets of Edinburgh.

Defeat abroad and danger at home only quickened the resolve of the Pelhams to bring the war, so far as England and Prussia went, to an end. When England was threatened by a Catholic Pretender, it was no time for weakening the chief Protestant power in Germany. On the refusal therefore of Maria Theresa to join in a general peace, England concluded the Convention of Hanover with Prussia at the close of August, and withdrew so far as Germany was concerned from the war. Elsewhere however the contest lingered on. The victories of Maria Theresa in Italy were balanced by those of France in the Netherlands, where Marshal Saxe inflicted new defeats on the English and Dutch at Roucoux and Lauffeld. The danger of Holland and the financial exhaustion of France at last brought about in 1748 the conclusion of a peace at Aix-la-Chapelle, by which England surrendered its gains at sea, and France its conquests on land. But the peace was a mere pause in the struggle, during which both parties hoped to gain strength for a mightier contest which they saw impending. The war was in fact widening far beyond the bounds of Germany or of Europe. It was becoming a world-wide duel which was to settle the destinies of mankind. Already France was claiming the valleys of the Ohio and the Mississippi, and mooting the great question whether the fortunes of the New World were to be moulded by Frenchmen or Englishmen. Already, too, French adventurers were driving English merchants from Madras, and building up, as

they trusted, a power which was to add India to the dominions of France.

The intercourse of England with India had as yet given little promise of the great fortunes which awaited it. It was not till the close of Elizabeth's reign, a century after Vasco de Gama had crept round the Cape of Good Hope and founded the Portuguese settlement on the Goa Coast, that an East India Company was founded in London. The trade, profitable as it was, remained small in extent; and the three early factories of the Company were only gradually acquired during the century which followed. The first, that of Madras, consisted of but six fishermen's houses beneath Fort St. George; that of Bombay was ceded by the Portuguese as part of the dowry of Catharine of Braganza; while Fort William, with the mean village which has since grown into Calcutta, owes its origin to the reign of William the Third. Each of these forts was built simply for the protection of the Company's warehouses, and guarded by a few "sepahis," sepoys, or paid native soldiers; while the clerks and traders of each establishment were under the direction of a President and a Council. One of these clerks in the middle of the eighteenth century was Robert Clive, the son of a small proprietor near Market Drayton in Shropshire, an idle dare-devil of a boy whom his friends had been glad to get rid of by packing him off in the Company's service as a writer to Madras. His early days there were days of wretchedness and despair. He was poor and cut off from his fellows by the haughty shyness of his temper, weary of desk-work, and haunted by home sickness. Twice he attempted suicide; and it was only on the failure of his second attempt that he flung down the pistol which baffled him with a conviction that he was reserved for higher things.

A change came at last in the shape of war and captivity. As soon as the war of the Austrian Succession broke out the superiority of the French in power and influence tempted them to expel the English from India. Labourdonnais, the governor of the French colony of the Mauritius, besieged Madras, razed it to the ground, and

carried its clerks and merchants prisoners to Pondicherry. Clive was among these captives, but he escaped in disguise, and returning to the settlement, threw aside his clerkship for an ensign's commission in a force which the Company was busily raising. For the capture of Madras had not only established the repute of the French arms, but had roused Dupleix, the governor of Pondicherry, to conceive plans for the creation of a French empire in India. When the English merchants of Elizabeth's day brought their goods to Surat, all India, save the south, had just been brought for the first time under the rule of a single great power by the Mogul Emperors of the line of Akbar. But with the death of Aurungzebe, in the reign of Anne, the Mogul Empire fell fast into decay. A line of feudal princes raised themselves to independence in Rajpootana. The lieutenants of the Emperor founded separate sovereignties at Lucknow and Hyderabad, in the Carnatic, and in Bengal. The plain of the Upper Indus was occupied by a race of religious fanatics called the Sikhs. Persian and Affghan invaders crossed the Indus, and succeeded even in sacking Delhi, the capital of the Moguls. Clans of systematic plunderers, who were known under the name of Mahrattas, and who were in fact the natives whom conquest had long held in subjection, poured down from the highlands along the western coast, ravaged as far as Calcutta and Tanjore, and finally set up independent states at Poonah and Gwalior.

Dupleix skilfully availed himself of the disorder around him. He offered his aid to the Emperor against the rebels and invaders who had reduced his power to a shadow; and it was in the Emperor's name that he meddled with the quarrels of the states of Central and Southern India, made himself virtually master of the Court of Hyderabad, and seated a creature of his own on the throne of the Carnatic. Trichinopoly, the one town which held out against this Nabob of the Carnatic, was all but brought to surrender when Clive, in 1751, came forward with a daring scheme for its relief. With a few hundred English and sepoys he pushed through a thun-

derstorm to the surprise of Arcot, the Nabob's capital, entrenched himself in its enormous fort, and held it for fifty days against thousands of assailants. Moved by his gallantry, the Mahrattas, who had never before believed that Englishmen would fight, advanced and broke up the siege. But Clive was no sooner freed than he showed equal vigor in the field. At the head of raw recruits who ran away at the first sound of a gun, and sepoys who hid themselves as soon as the cannon opened fire, he twice attacked and defeated the French and their Indian allies, foiled every effort of Dupleix, and razed to the ground a pompous pillar which the French governor had set up in honor of his earlier victories.

Clive was recalled by broken health to England, and the fortunes of the struggle in India were left for decision to a later day. But while France was struggling for the Empire of the East she was striving with even more apparent success for the command of the new world of the West. From the time when the Puritan emigration added the four New England States, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Connecticut, and Rhode Island to those of Maryland and Virginia, the progress of the English colonies in North America had been slow, but it had never ceased. Settlers still came, though in smaller numbers, and two new colonies south of Virginia received from Charles the Second their name of the Carolinas. The war with Holland in 1664 transferred to British rule a district claimed by the Dutch from the Hudson to the inner Lakes; and this country, which was granted by Charles to his brother, received from him the name of New York. Portions were soon broken off from its vast territory to form the colonies of New Jersey and Delaware. In 1682 a train of Quakers followed William Penn across the Delaware into the heart of the primæval forest, and became a colony which recalled its founder and the woodlands among which he planted it in its name of Pennsylvania. A long interval elapsed before a new settlement, which received its title of Georgia from the reigning sovereign, George the Second, was established by General Oglethorpe on the Savannah

as a refuge for English debtors and for the persecuted Protestants of Germany.

Slow as this progress seemed, the colonies were really growing fast in numbers and in wealth. Their whole population amounted at the time we have reached to about 1,200,000 whites and a quarter of a million of negroes; and this amounted to nearly a fourth of that of the mother country. Its increase indeed was amazing. The inhabitants of Virginia were doubling in every twenty-one years, while Massachusetts saw five-and-twenty new towns spring into existence in a quarter of a century. The wealth of the colonists was growing even faster than their numbers. As yet the southern colonies were the more productive. Virginia boasted of its tobacco plantations, Georgia and the Carolinas of their maize and rice and indigo crops, while New York and Pennsylvania, with the colonies of New England, were restricted to their whale and cod fisheries, their corn-harvests, and their timber trade. The distinction indeed between the northern and the southern colonies was more than an industrial one. While New England absorbed half a million of whites, and the middle colonies from the Hudson to the Potomac contained almost as many, there were less then 300,000 whites in those to the south of the Potomac. These on the other hand contained 130,000 negroes, and the central States 70,000, while but 11,000 were found in the States of New England. In the Southern States this prevalence of slavery produced an aristocratic spirit and favored the creation of large estates; even the system of entails had been introduced among the wealthy planters of Virginia, where many of the older English families found representatives in houses such as those of Fairfax and Washington. Throughout New England, on the other hand, the characteristics of the Puritans, their piety, their intolerance, their simplicity of life, their pedantry, their love of equality and tendency to democratic institutions, remained unchanged. There were few large fortunes, though the comfort was general. "Some of the most considerable provinces of America," said Burke in 1769, "such for instance as

Connecticut and Massachusetts Bay, have not in each of them two men who can afford at a distance from their estates to spend a thousand pounds a year." In education and political activity New England stood far ahead of its fellow colonies, for the settlement of the Puritans had been followed at once by the establishment of a system of local schools which is still the glory of America. "Every township," it was enacted, "after the Lord hath increased them to the number of fifty householders, shall appoint one to teach all children to write and read; and when any town shall increase to the number of a hundred families, they shall set up a grammar school." The result was that in the midst of the eighteenth century New England was the one part of the world where every man and woman was able to read and write.

Great however as these differences were, and great as was to be their influence on American history, they were little felt as yet. In the main features of their outer organization the whole of the colonies stood fairly at one. In religious and in civil matters alike all of them contrasted sharply with the England at home. Europe saw for the first time a state growing up amidst the forests of the West where religious freedom had become complete. Religious tolerance had in fact been brought about by a medley of religious faiths such as the world had never seen before. New England was still a Puritan stronghold. In all the Southern colonies the Episcopal Church was established by law, and the bulk of the settlers clung to it; but Roman Catholics formed a large part of the population of Maryland. Pennsylvania was a State of Quakers. Presbyterians and Baptists had fled from tests and persecutions to colonize New Jersey. Lutherans and Moravians from Germany abounded among the settlers of Carolina and Georgia. In such a chaos of creeds religious persecution became impossible. There was the same outer diversity and the same real unity in the political tendency and organization of the States. The colonists proudly looked on the Constitutions of their various States as copies of that of the mother country. England had given them her system

of self-government, as she had given them her law, her language, her religion, and her blood. But the circumstances of their settlement had freed them from many of the worst abuses which clogged the action of constitutional government at home. The representative suffrage was in some cases universal and in all proportioned to population. There were no rotten boroughs, and members of the legislative assemblies were subject to annual re-election. The will of the settlers told in this way directly and immediately on the legislation in a way unknown to the English Parliament, and the settlers were men whose will was braced and invigorated by their personal independence and comfort, the tradition of their past, and the personal temper which was created by the greater loneliness and self-dependence of their lives. Whether the spirit of the colony was democratic, moderate, or oligarchical, its form of government was pretty much the same. The original rights of the proprietor, the projector and grantee of the earliest settlement, had in all cases, save in those of Pennsylvania and Maryland, either ceased to exist or fallen into disuetude. The government of each colony lay in a House of Assembly elected by the people at large, with a Council sometimes elected, sometimes nominated by the Governor, and a Governor appointed by the Crown, or as in Connecticut and Rhode Island, chosen by the colonists.

With the appointment of these Governors all administrative interference on the part of the Government at home practically ended. The superintendence of the colonies rested with a Board for Trade and Plantations, which, though itself without executive power, advised the Secretary of State for the Southern Department, within which America was included. But for two centuries they were left by a happy neglect to themselves. It was wittily said at a later day that "Mr. Grenville lost America because he read the American despatches, which none of his predecessors ever did." There was little room indeed for any interference within the limits of the colonies. Their privileges were secured by royal charters. Their Assemblies alone exercised the right

of internal taxation, and they exercised it sparingly. Walpole, like Pitt afterwards, set roughly aside the project for an American excise. "I have Old England set against me," he said, "by this measure, and do you think I will have New England too?" America, in fact, contributed to England's resources not by taxation, but by the monopoly of her trade. It was from England that she might import, to England alone that she might send her exports. She was prohibited from manufacturing her own products, or from exporting them in any but a raw state for manufacture in the mother country. But even in matters of trade the supremacy of the mother country was far from being a galling one. There were some small import duties, but they were evaded by a well understood system of smuggling. The restriction of trade with the colonies to Great Britain was more than compensated by the commercial privileges which the Americans enjoyed as British subjects.

As yet therefore there was nothing to break the good will which the colonists felt towards the mother country, while the danger of French aggression drew them closely to it. Populous as they had become, the English settlements still lay mainly along the sea-board of the Atlantic, for only a few exploring parties had penetrated into the Alleghanies before the Seven Years' War; and Indian tribes wandered unquestioned along the lakes. It was not till the peace of Aix-la-Chapelle in 1748 that the pretensions of France drew the eyes of the colonists and of English statesmen to the interior of the Western continent. Planted firmly in Louisiana and Canada, France openly claimed the whole country west of the Alleghanies as its own, and its governors now ordered all English settlers or merchants to be driven from the valleys of Ohio or Mississippi which were still in the hands of Indian tribes. Even the inactive Pelham revolted against pretensions such as these; and the Duke of Bedford, who was then Secretary for the Southern Department, was stirred to energetic action. The original French settlers were driven from Acadia or Nova Scotia, and an English colony planted there, whose settlement of Halifax still

bears the name of its founder, Lord Halifax, the head of the Board of Trade. An Ohio Company was formed, and its agents made their way to the valleys of that river and the Kentucky; while envoys from Virginia and Pennsylvania drew closer the alliance between their colonies and the Indian tribes across the mountains. Nor were the French slow to accept the challenge. Fighting began in Acadia. A vessel of war appeared in Ontario, and Niagara was turned into a fort. A force of 1200 men despatched to Erie drove the few English settlers from their little colony on the fork of the Ohio, and founded there a fort called Duquesne, on the site of the later Pittsburg. The fort at once gave this force command of the river valley. After a fruitless attack on it under George Washington, a young Virginian, who had been despatched with a handful of men to meet the danger, the colonists were forced to withdraw over the mountains, and the whole of the west was left in the hands of France.

It was natural that at such a crisis the mother country should look to the united efforts of the colonies, and Halifax pressed for a joint arrangement which should provide a standing force and funds for its support. A plan for this purpose on the largest scale was drawn up by Benjamin Franklin, who, from a printer's boy, had risen to supreme influence in Pennsylvania; but in the way of such a union stood the jealousies which each state entertained of its neighbor, the disinclination of the colonists to be drawn into an expensive struggle, and, above all, suspicion of the motives of Halifax and his colleagues. The delay in furnishing any force for defence, the impossibility of bringing the colonies to any agreement, and the perpetual squabbles of their legislatures with the governors appointed by the Crown, may have been the motives which induced Halifax to introduce a Bill which would have made orders by the King in spite of the colonial charters law in America. The Bill was dropped in deference to the constitutional objections of wiser men; but the governors fed the fear in England of the "levelling principles" of the colonists, and every official in

America wrote home to demand that Parliament should do what the colonial legislatures seemed unable to do, and establish a common fund for defence by a general taxation. Already plans were mooted for deriving a revenue for the colonies. But the prudence of Pelham clung to the policy of Walpole, and nothing was done; while the nearer approach of a struggle in Europe gave fresh vigor to the efforts of France. The Marquis of Montcalm, who was now governor of Canada, carried out with even greater zeal than his predecessor the plans of annexation; and the three forts of Duquesne on the Ohio, of Niagara on the St. Lawrence, and of Ticonderoga on Lake Champlain, were linked together by a chain of lesser forts, which cut off the English colonists from all access to the west. Montcalm was gifted with singular powers of administration; he had succeeded in attaching the bulk of the Indian tribes from Canada as far as the Mississippi to the cause of France; and the value of their aid was shown in 1755, when General Braddock led a force of English soldiers and American militia to a fresh attack upon fort Duquesne. The force was utterly routed and Braddock slain.

The defeat woke England to its danger; for it was certain that war in America would soon be followed by war in Europe itself. Newcastle and his fellow-ministers were still true in the main to Walpole's policy. They looked on a league with Prussia as indispensable to the formation of any sound alliance which could check France. "If you gain Prussia," wrote the veteran Lord Chancellor, Hardwicke, to Newcastle in 1748, "the Confederacy will be restored and made whole, and become a real strength; if you do not, it will continue lame and weak, and much in the power of France." Frederick, however, held cautiously aloof from any engagement. The league between Prussia and the Queen of Hungary, which England desired, Frederick knew in fact to be impossible. He knew that the Queen's passionate resolve to recover Silesia must end in a contest in which England must take one part or the other; and as yet, if the choice had to be made, Austria seemed likely to be the favored ally.

The traditional friendship of the Whigs for that power combined with the tendencies of George the Second to make an Austrian alliance more probable than a Prussian one. The advances of England to Frederick only served therefore to alienate Maria Theresa, whose one desire was to regain Silesia, and whose hatred and jealousy of the new Protestant power which had so suddenly risen into rivalry with her house for the supremacy of Germany blinded her to the older rivalry between her house and France. The two powers of the House of Bourbon were still bound by the Family Compact, and eager for allies in the strife with England which the struggles in India and America were bringing hourly nearer. It was as early as 1752 that by a startling change of policy Maria Theresa drew to their alliance. The jealousy which Russia entertained of the growth of a strong power in North Germany brought the Czarina Elizabeth to promise aid to the schemes of the Queen of Hungary; and in 1755 the league of the four powers and of Saxony was practically completed. So secret were these negotiations that they remained unknown to Henry Pelham and to his brother the Duke of Newcastle, who succeeded him on his death in 1754 as the head of the Ministry. But they were detected from the first by the keen eye of Frederick of Prussia, who saw himself fronted by a line of foes that stretched from Paris to St. Petersburg.

The danger to England was hardly less; for France appeared again on the stage with a vigor and audacity which recalled the days of Lewis the Fourteenth. The weakness and corruption of the French government were screened for a time by the daring and scope of its plans, as by the ability of the agents it found to carry them out. In England, on the contrary, all was vagueness and indecision. The action of the King showed only his Hanoverian jealousy of the House of Brandenburg. It was certain that France, as soon as war broke out in the West, would attack his Electorate; and George sought help not at Berlin but at St. Petersburg. He concluded a treaty with Russia, which promised him the help of a Russian army on the Weser in return for a subsidy.

Such a treaty meant war with Frederick, who had openly announced his refusal to allow the entry of Russian forces on German soil; and it was vehemently though fruitlessly opposed by William Pitt. But he had hardly withdrawn with Grenville and Charles Townshend from the Ministry when Newcastle himself recoiled from the King's policy. The Russian subsidy was refused, and Hanoverian interests subordinated to those of England by the conclusion of the treaty with Frederick of Prussia for which Pitt had pressed. The new compact simply provided for the neutrality of both Prussia and Hanover in any contest between England and France. But its results were far from being as peaceable as its provisions. Russia was outraged by Frederick's open opposition to her presence in Germany; France resented his compact with and advances towards England; and Maria Theresa eagerly seized on the temper of both those powers to draw them into common action against the Prussian king. With the treaty between England and Frederick indeed began the Seven Years' War.

No war has had greater results on the history of the world or brought greater triumphs to England; but few have had more disastrous beginnings. Newcastle was too weak and ignorant to rule without aid, and yet too greedy of power to purchase aid by sharing it with more capable men. His preparations for the gigantic struggle before him may be guessed from the fact that there were but three regiments fit for service in England at the opening of 1756. France on the other hand was quick in her attack. Port Mahon in Minorca, the key of the Mediterranean, was besieged by the Duke of Richelieu and forced to capitulate. To complete the shame of England, a fleet sent to its relief under Admiral Byng fell back before the French. In Germany Frederick seized Dresden at the outset of the war and forced the Saxon army to surrender; and in 1757 a victory at Prague made him master for a while of Bohemia; but his success was transient, and a defeat at Kolin drove him to retreat again into Saxony. In the same year the Duke of Cumberland, who had taken post on the Weser with an army

of fifty thousand men for the defence of Hanover, fell back before a French army to the mouth of the Elbe, and engaged by the Convention of Closter-seven to disband his forces. In America things went even worse than in Germany. The inactivity of the English generals was contrasted with the genius and activity of Montcalm. Already masters of the Ohio by the defeat of Braddock, the French drove the English garrison from the forts which commanded Lake Ontario and Lake Champlain, and their empire stretched without a break over the vast territory from Louisiana to the St. Lawrence.

A despondency without parallel in our history took possession of our coolest statesmen, and even the impassive Chesterfield cried in despair, "We are no longer a nation." But the nation of which Chesterfield despaired was really on the eve of its greatest triumphs, and the incapacity of Newcastle only called to the front the genius of William Pitt. Pitt was the grandson of a wealthy governor of Madras, who had entered Parliament in 1735, as member for one of his father's pocket boroughs. A group of younger men, Lord Lyttelton, the Grenvilles, Wilkes, and others, gradually gathered round him, and formed a band of young "patriots," "the boys," as Walpole called them, who added to the difficulties of that minister. Pitt was as yet a cornet of horse, and the restless activity of his genius was seen in the energy with which he threw himself into his military duties. He told Lord Shelburne long afterwards that "during the time he was cornet of horse there was not a military book he did not read through." But the dismissal from the army with which Walpole met his violent attacks threw this energy wholly into politics. His fiery spirit was hushed in office during the "broad-bottom administration" which followed Walpole's fall, and he soon attained great influence over Henry Pelham. "I think him," wrote Pelham to his brother, "the most able and useful man we have amongst us; truly honorable and strictly honest." He remained under Newcastle after Pelham's death, till the Duke's jealousy of power not

only refused him the Secretaryship of State and admission to the Cabinet, but entrusted the lead of the House of Commons to a mere dependent. Pitt resisted the slight by an attitude of opposition; and his denunciation of the treaty with Russia served as a pretext for his dismissal. When the disasters of the war however drove Newcastle from office, in November, 1756, Pitt became Secretary of State, bringing with him into office his relatives, George Grenville and Lord Temple, as well as Charles Townshend. But though his popularity had forced him into office, and though the grandeur of his policy at once showed itself by his rejection of all schemes for taxing America, and by his raising a couple of regiments amongst the Highlanders, he found himself politically powerless. The House was full of Newcastle's creatures, the king hated him, and only four months after taking office he was forced to resign. The Duke of Cumberland insisted on his dismissal in April, 1757, before he would start to take the command in Germany. In July, however, it was necessary to recall him. The failure of Newcastle's attempt to construct an administration forced the Duke to a junction with his rival, and while Newcastle took the head of the Treasury, Pitt again became Secretary of State.

Fortunately for their country, the character of the two statesmen made the compromise an easy one. For all that Pitt coveted, for the general direction of public affairs, the control of foreign policy, the administration of the war, Newcastle had neither capacity nor inclination. On the other hand his skill in parliamentary management was unrivalled. If he knew little else, he knew better than any living man the price of every member and the intrigues of every borough. What he cared for was not the control of affairs, but the distribution of patronage and the work of corruption, and from this Pitt turned disdainfully away. "I borrow the Duke of Newcastle's majority," his colleague owned with cool contempt, "to carry on the public business." "Mr. Pitt does everything," wrote Horace Walpole, "and the Duke gives everything. So long as they agree in this partition

they may do what they please." Out of the union of these two strangely-contrasted leaders, in fact, rose the greatest. as it was the last, of the purely Whig administrations. But its real power lay from beginning to end in Pitt himself. Poor as he was, for his income was little more than two hundred a year, and springing as he did from a family of no political importance, it was by sheer dint of genius that the young cornet of horse, at whose youth and inexperience Walpole had sneered, seized a power which the Whig houses had ever since the Revolution kept in their grasp. The real significance of his entry into the ministry was that the national opinion entered with him. He had no strength save from his "popularity," but this popularity showed that the political torpor of the nation was passing away, and that a new interest in public affairs and a resolve to have weight in them was becoming felt in the nation at large. It was by the true instinct of a great people that this interest and resolve gathered themselves round William Pitt. If he was ambitious, his ambition had no petty aim. "I want to call England," he said, as he took office, "out of that enervate state in which twenty thousand men from France can shake her." His call was soon answered. He at once breathed his own lofty spirit into the country he served, as he communicated something of his own grandeur to the men who served him. "No man," said a soldier of the time, "ever entered Mr. Pitt's closet who did not feel himself braver when he came out than when he went in." Ill-combined as were his earlier expeditions, and many as were his failures, he roused a temper in the nation at large which made ultimate defeat impossible. "England has been a long time in labor," exclaimed Frederick of Prussia as he recognized a greatness like his own, "but she has at last brought forth a man."

It is this personal and solitary grandeur which strikes us most as we look back to William Pitt. The tone of his speech and action stands out in utter contrast with the tone of his time. In the midst of a society critical, polite, indifferent, simple even to the affectation of sim-

plicity, witty and amusing but absolutely prosaic, cool of heart and of head, skeptical of virtue and enthusiasm, skeptical above all of itself, Pitt stood absolutely alone. The depth of his conviction, his passionate love for all that he deemed lofty and true, his fiery energy, his poetic imaginativeness, his theatrical airs and rhetoric, his haughty self-assumption, his pompousness and extravagance, were not more puzzling to his contemporaries than the confidence with which he appealed to the higher sentiments of mankind, the scorn with which he turned from a corruption which had till then been the great engine of politics, the undoubting faith which he felt in himself, in the grandeur of his aims, and in his power to carry them out. "I know that I can save the country," he said to the Duke of Devonshire on his entry into the Ministry, "and I know no other man can." The groundwork of Pitt's character was an intense and passionate pride; but it was a pride which kept him from stooping to the level of the men who had so long held England in their hands. He was the first statesman since the Restoration who set the example of a purely public spirit. Keen as was his love of power, no man ever refused office so often, or accepted it with so strict a regard to the principles he professed. "I will not go to Court," he replied to an offer which was made him, "if I may not bring the Constitution with me." For the corruption about him he had nothing but disdain. He left to Newcastle the buying of seats and the purchase of members. At the outset of his career Pelham appointed him to the most lucrative office in his administration, that of Paymaster of the Forces; but its profits were of an illicit kind, and poor as he was, Pitt refused to accept one farthing beyond his salary. His pride never appeared in loftier and nobler form than in his attitude towards the people at large. No leader had ever a wider popularity than "the great commoner," as Pitt was styled, but his air was always that of a man who commands popularity, not that of one who seeks it. He never bent to flatter popular prejudice. When mobs were roaring themselves hoarse for "Wilkes and liberty."

he denounced Wilkes as a worthless profligate; and when all England went mad in its hatred of the Scots, Pitt haughtily declared his esteem for a people whose courage he had been the first to enlist on the side of loyalty. His noble figure, the hawk-like eye which flashed from the small thin face, his majestic voice, the fire and grandeur of his eloquence, gave him a sway over the House of Commons far greater than any other minister has possessed. He could silence an opponent with a look of scorn, or hush the whole House with a single word. But he never stooped to the arts by which men form a political party, and at the height of his power his personal following hardly numbered half a dozen members.

His real strength indeed lay not in Parliament but in the people at large. His title of "the great commoner" marks a political revolution. "It is the people who have sent me here," Pitt boasted with a haughty pride when the nobles of the Cabinet opposed his will. He was the first to see that the long political inactivity of the public mind had ceased, and that the progress of commerce and industry had produced a great middle class, which no longer found its representatives in the legislature. "You have taught me," said George the Second when Pitt sought to save Byng by appealing to the sentiment of Parliament, "to look for the voice of my people in other places than within the House of Commons." It was this unrepresented class which had forced him into power. During his struggle with Newcastle the greater towns backed him with the gift of their freedom and addresses of confidence. "For weeks," laughs Horace Walpole, "it rained gold boxes." London stood by him through good report and evil report, and the wealthiest of English merchants, Alderman Beckford, was proud to figure as his political lieutenant. The temper of Pitt indeed harmonized admirably with the temper of the commercial England which rallied round him, with its energy, its self-confidence, its pride, its patriotism, its honesty, its moral earnestness. The merchant and the trader were drawn by a natural at-

traction to the one statesman of their time whose aims were unselfish, whose hands were clean, whose life was pure and full of tender affection for wife and child. But there was a far deeper ground for their enthusiastic reverence and for the reverence which his country has borne Pitt ever since. He loved England with an intense and personal love. He believed in her power, her glory, her public virtue, till England learned to believe in herself. Her triumphs were his triumphs, her defeats his defeats. Her dangers lifted him high above all thought of self or party-spirit. "Be one people," he cried to the factions who rose to bring about his fall: "forget everything but the public! I set you the example!" His glowing patriotism was the real spell by which he held England. But even the faults which checkered his character told for him with the middle classes. The Whig statesmen who preceded him had been men whose pride expressed itself in a marked simplicity and absence of pretence. Pitt was essentially an actor, dramatic in the cabinet, in the House, in his very office. He transacted business with his clerks in full dress. His letters to his family, genuine as his love for them was, are stilted and unnatural in tone. It was easy for the wits of his day to jest at his affectation, his pompous gait, the dramatic appearance which he made on great debates with his limbs swathed in flannel and his crutch by his side. Early in life Walpole sneered at him for bringing into the House of Commons "the gestures and emotions of the stage." But the classes to whom Pitt appealed were classes not easily offended by faults of taste, and saw nothing to laugh at in the statesman who was borne into the lobby amidst the tortures of the gout, or carried into the House of Lords to breathe his last in a protest against national dishonor.

Above all Pitt wielded the strength of a resistless eloquence. The power of political speech had been revealed in the stormy debates of the Long Parliament, but it was cramped in its utterance by the legal and theological pedantry of the time. Pedantry was flung off by the age of the Revolution, but in the eloquence of Somers

and his rivals we see ability rather than genius, knowledge, clearness of expression, precision of thought, the lucidity of the pleader or the man of business, rather than the passion of the orator. Of this clearness of statement Pitt had little or none. He was no ready debater like Walpole, no speaker of set speeches like Chesterfield. His set speeches were always his worst, for in these his want of taste, his love of effect, and his trite quotations and extravagant metaphors came at once to the front. That with defects like these he stood far above every orator of his time was due above all to his profound conviction, to the earnestness and sincerity with which he spoke. "I must sit still," he whispered once to a friend, "for when once I am up everything that is in my mind comes out." But the reality of his eloquence was transfigured by a large and poetic imagination, an imagination so strong that—as he said himself—"most things returned to him with stronger force the second time than the first," and by a glow of passion which not only raised him high above the men of his own day but set him in the front rank among the orators of the world. The cool reasoning, the wit, the common sense of his age made way for a splendid audacity, a sympathy with popular emotion, a sustained grandeur, a lofty vehemence, a command over the whole range of human feeling. He passed without an effort from the most solemn appeal to the gayest raillery, from the keenest sarcasm to the tenderest pathos. Every word was driven home by the grand self-consciousness of the speaker. He spoke always as one having authority. He was in fact the first English orator whose words were a power, a power not over Parliament only but over the nation at large. Parliamentary reporting was as yet unknown, and it was only in detached phrases and half-remembered outbursts that the voice of Pitt reached beyond the walls of St. Stephen's. But it was especially in these sudden outbursts of inspiration, in these brief passionate appeals, that the might of his eloquence lay. The few broken words we have of him stir the same thrill in men of our day which they stirred in the men of his own.

But passionate as was Pitt's eloquence, it was the eloquence of a statesman, not of a rhetorician. Time has approved almost all his greater struggles, his defence of the liberty of the subject against arbitrary imprisonment under "general warrants," of the liberty of the press against Lord Mansfield, of the rights of constituencies against the House of Commons, of the constitutional rights of America against England itself. His foreign policy was directed to the preservation of Prussia, and Prussia has vindicated his foresight by the creation of Germany. We have adopted his plans for the direct government of India by the Crown, plans which when he proposed them were regarded as insane. Pitt was the first to recognize the liberal character of the Church of England, its "Calvinistic Creed and Arminian Clergy;" he was the first to sound the note of Parliamentary reform. One of his earliest measures shows the generosity and originality of his mind. He quieted Scotland by employing its Jacobites in the service of their country and by raising Highland regiments among its clans. The selection of Wolfe and Amherst as generals showed his contempt for precedent and his inborn knowledge of men.

But it was rather Fortune than his genius that showered on Pitt the triumphs which signalized the opening of his ministry. In the East the daring of a merchant-clerk made a company of English traders the sovereigns of Bengal, and opened that wondrous career of conquest which has added the Indian peninsula, from Ceylon to the Himalayas, to the dominions of the British crown. Recalled by broken health to England, Clive returned at the outbreak of the Seven Years' War to win for England a greater prize than that which his victories had won for it in the supremacy of the Carnatic. He had been only a few months at Madras when a crime whose horror still lingers in English memories called him to Bengal. Bengal, the delta of the Ganges, was the richest and most fertile of all the provinces of India. Its rice, its sugar, its silk, and the produce of its looms, were famous in European markets. Its Viceroys, like

their fellow lieutenants, had become practically independent of the Emperor, and had added to Bengal the provinces of Orissa and Behar. Surajah Dowlah, the master of this vast domain, had long been jealous of the enterprise and wealth of the English traders; and, roused at this moment by the instigation of the French, he appeared before Fort William, seized its settlers, and thrust a hundred and fifty of them into a small prison called the Black Hole of Calcutta. The heat of an Indian summer did its work of death. The wretched prisoners trampled each other under foot in the madness of thirst, and in the morning only twenty-three remained alive. Clive sailed at the news with a thousand Englishmen and two thousand sepoys to wreak vengeance for the crime. He was no longer the boy-soldier of Arcot; and the tact and skill with which he met Surajah Dowlah in the negotiations by which the Viceroy strove to avert a conflict were sullied by the Oriental falsehood and treachery to which he stooped. But his courage remained unbroken. When the two armies faced each other on the plain of Plassey the odds were so great that on the very eve of the battle a council of war counselled retreat. Clive withdrew to a grove hard by, and after an hour's lonely musing gave the word to fight. Courage, in fact, was all that was needed. The fifty thousand foot and fourteen thousand horse who were seen covering the plain at daybreak on the 23d of June, 1757, were soon thrown into confusion by the English guns, and broke in headlong rout before the English charge. The death of Surajah Dowlah enabled the Company to place a creature of its own on the throne of Bengal; but his rule soon became a nominal one. With the victory of Plassey began in fact the Empire of England in the East.

The year of Plassey was the year of a victory hardly less important in the West. In Europe Pitt wisely limited himself to a secondary part. There was little in the military expeditions which marked the opening of his ministry to justify the trust of the country; for money and blood were lavished on buccaneering expeditions

against the French coasts which did small damage to the enemy. But incidents such as these had little weight in the minister's general policy. His greatness lies in the fact that he at once recognized the genius of Frederick the Great, and resolved without jealousy or reserve to give him an energetic support. On his entry into office he refused to ratify the Convention of Closter-Seven, which had reduced Frederick to despair by throwing open his realm to a French advance; protected his flank by gathering an English and Hanoverian force on the Elbe, and on the counsel of the Prussian King placed the best of his generals, the Prince of Brunswick, at its head; while subsidy after subsidy were poured into Frederick's exhausted treasury. Pitt's trust was met by the most brilliant display of military genius which the modern world had as yet witnessed. In November, 1757, two months after his repulse at Kolin, Frederick flung himself on a French army which had advanced into the heart of Germany, and annihilated it in the victory of Rossbach. Before another month had passed he hurried from the Saale to the Oder, and by a yet more signal victory at Leuthen cleared Silesia of the Austrians. The victory of Rossbach was destined to change the fortunes of the world by creating the unity of Germany; its immediate effect was to force the French army on the Elbe to fall back on the Rhine. Here Ferdinand of Brunswick, reinforced with twenty thousand English soldiers, held them at bay during the summer of 1758; while Frederick, foiled in an attack on Moravia, drove the Russians back on Poland in the battle of Zorndorf. His defeat however by the Austrian General Daun at Hochkirch, proved the first of a series of terrible misfortunes; and the year 1759 marks the lowest point of his fortunes. A fresh advance of the Russian army forced the King to attack it at Kunersdorf in August, and Frederick's repulse ended in the utter rout of his army. For the moment all seemed lost, for even Berlin lay open to the conqueror. A few days later the surrender of Dresden gave Saxony to the Austrians; and at the close of the year an attempt upon them at Plauen was foiled with terrible loss. But every

disaster was retrieved by the indomitable courage and tenacity of the King, and winter found him as before master of Silesia and of all Saxony save the ground which Daun's camp covered.

The year which marked the lowest point of Frederick's fortunes was the year of Pitt's greatest triumphs, the year of Minden and Quiberon and Quebec. France aimed both at a descent upon England and at the conquest of Hanover; for the one purpose she gathered a naval armament at Brest, while fifty thousand men under Contades and Broglie united for the other on the Weser. Ferdinand with less than forty thousand men met them (August 1) on the field of Minden. The French marched along the Weser to the attack, with their flanks protected by that river and a brook which ran into it, and with their cavalry, ten thousand strong, massed in the centre. The six English regiments in Ferdinand's army fronted the French horse, and, mistaking their general's order, marched at once upon them in line regardless of the batteries on their flank, and rolling back charge after charge with volleys of musketry. In an hour the French centre was utterly broken. "I have seen," said Contades, "what I never thought to be possible—a single line of infantry break through three lines of cavalry, ranked in order of battle, and tumble them to ruin!" Nothing but the refusal of Lord John Sackville to complete the victory by a charge of the horse which he headed saved the French from utter rout. As it was, their army again fell back broken on Frankfort and the Rhine. The project of an invasion of England met with the like success. Eighteen thousand men lay ready to embark on board the French fleet, when Admiral Hawke came in sight of it on the 20th of November at the mouth of Quiberon Bay. The sea was rolling high, and the coast where the French ships lay was so dangerous from its shoals and granite reefs that the pilot remonstrated with the English admiral against his project of attack. "You have done your duty in this remonstrance," Hawke coolly replied; "now lay me alongside the French Admiral." Two English ships were lost on the shoals, but the French

fleet was ruined and the disgrace of Byng's retreat wiped away.

It was not in the Old World only that the year of Minden and Quiberon brought glory to the arms of England. In Europe, Pitt had wisely limited his efforts to the support of Prussia, but across the Atlantic the field was wholly his own, and he had no sooner entered office than the desultory raids, which had hitherto been the only resistance to French aggression, were superseded by a large and comprehensive plan of attack. The sympathies of the colonies were won by an order which gave their provincial officers equal rank with the royal officers in the field. They raised at Pitt's call twenty thousand men, and taxed themselves heavily for their support. Three expeditions were simultaneously directed against the French line—one to the Ohio valley, one against Ticonderoga on Lake Champlain, while a third under General Amherst and Admiral Boscawen sailed to the mouth of the St. Lawrence. The last was brilliantly successful. Louisberg, though defended by a garrison of five thousand men, was taken with the fleet in its harbor, and the whole province of Cape Breton reduced. The American militia supported the British troops in a vigorous campaign against the forts; and though Montcalm, with a far inferior force, was able to repulse General Abercromby from Ticonderoga, a force from Philadelphia and Virginia, guided and inspired by the courage of George Washington, made itself master of Duquesne. The name of Pittsburg which was given to their new conquest still commemorates the enthusiasm of the colonists for the great Minister who first opened to them the West. The failure at Ticonderoga only spurred Pitt to greater efforts. The colonists again responded to his call with fresh supplies of troops, and Montcalm felt that all was over. The disproportion indeed of strength was enormous. Of regular French troops and Canadians alike he could muster only ten thousand, while his enemies numbered fifty thousand men. The next year (1759) saw Montcalm's previous victory rendered fruitless by the evacuation of Ticonderoga before the advance of Amherst, and

by the capture of Fort Niagara after the defeat of an Indian force which marched to its relief. The capture of the three forts was the close of the French effort to bar the advance of the colonists to the valley of the Mississippi, and to place in other than English hands the destinies of North America.

But Pitt had resolved not merely to foil the designs of Montcalm, but to destroy the French rule in America altogether; and while Amherst was breaking through the line of forts, an expedition under General Wolfe entered the St. Lawrence and anchored below Quebec. Wolfe was already a veteran soldier, for he had fought at Dettingen, Fontenoy, and Laffeldt, and had played the first part in the capture of Louisburg. Pitt had discerned the genius and heroism which lay hidden beneath the awkward manner and occasional gasconade of the young soldier of thirty-three whom he chose for the crowning exploit of the war. But for a while his sagacity seemed to have failed. No efforts could draw Montcalm from the long line of inaccessible cliffs which borders the river, and for six weeks Wolfe saw his men wasting away in inactivity while he himself lay prostrate with sickness and despair. At last his resolution was fixed, and in a long line of boats the army dropped down the St. Lawrence to a point at the base of the Heights of Abraham, where a narrow path had been discovered to the summit. Not a voice broke the silence of the night save the voice of Wolfe himself, as he quietly repeated the stanzas of Gray's "Elegy in a Country Churchyard," remarking as he closed, "I had rather be the author of that poem than take Quebec." But his nature was as brave as it was tender; he was the first to leap on shore and to scale the narrow path where no two men could go abreast. His men followed, pulling themselves to the top by the help of bushes and the crags, and at daybreak on the 12th of September the whole army stood in orderly formation before Quebec. Montcalm hastened to attack, though his force, composed chiefly of raw militia, was far inferior in discipline to the English; his onset however was met by a steady fire, and at the first English advance his men

gave way. Wolfe headed a charge which broke the French line, but a ball pierced his breast in the moment of victory. "They run," cried an officer who held the dying man in his arms—"I protest they run." Wolfe rallied to ask who they were that ran, and was told "the French." "Then," he murmured, "I die happy!" The fall of Montcalm in the moment of his defeat completed the victory; and the submission of Canada, on the capture of Montreal by Amherst in 1760, put an end to the dream of a French empire in America.

BOOK IX.

MODERN ENGLAND

1760—1815

CHAPTER I.

ENGLAND AND ITS EMPIRE.

1760—1767.

NEVER had England played so great a part in the history of mankind as in the year 1759. It was a year of triumphs in every quarter of the world. In September came the news of Minden, and of a victory off Lagos. In October came tidings of the capture of Quebec. November brought word of the French defeat at Quiberon. "We are forced to ask every morning what victory there is," laughed Horace Walpole, "for fear of missing one." But it was not so much in the number as in the importance of its triumphs that the Seven Years' War stood and remains still without a rival. It is no exaggeration to say that three of its many victories determined for ages to come the destinies of mankind. With that of Rossbach began the re-creation of Germany, the revival of its political and intellectual life, the long process of its union under the leadership of Prussia and Prussia's kings. With that of Plassey the influence of Europe told for the first time since the days of Alexander on the nations of the East. The world, in Burke's gorgeous phrase, "saw one of the races of the north-west cast into the heart of Asia new manners, new doctrines, new institutions." With the triumph of Wolfe on the heights of Abraham began the history of the United States. By removing an enemy whose dread had knit the colonists to the mother country, and by breaking through the line with which France had barred them from the basin of the Mississippi, Pitt laid the foundation of the great republic of the west.

Nor were these triumphs less momentous to Britain. The Seven Years' War is in fact a turning point in our national history, as it is a turning point in the history of the world. Till now the relative weight of the European states had been drawn from their possessions within Europe itself. Spain, Portugal, and Holland indeed had won a dominion in other continents; and the wealth which two of these nations had derived from their colonies had given them for a time an influence among their fellow states greater than which was due to their purely European position. But in the very years during which her rule took firm hold in South America, Spain fell into a decay at home which prevented her empire over sea from telling directly on the balance of power; while the strictly commercial character of the Dutch settlements robbed them of political weight. France in fact was the first state to discern the new road to greatness which lay without European bounds; and the efforts of Dupleix and Montcalm aimed at the building up of an empire which would have lifted her high above her European rivals. The ruin of these hopes in the Seven Years' War was the bitterest humiliation to which French ambition has ever bowed. But it was far from being all that France had to bear. For not only had the genius of Pitt cut her off from the chance of rising into a world-power, and prisoned her again within the limits of a single continent, but it had won for Britain the position that France had lost. From the close of the Seven Years' War it mattered little whether England counted for less or more with the nations around her. She was no longer a mere European power; she was no longer a rival of Germany or France. Her future action lay in a wider sphere than that of Europe. Mistress of Northern America, the future mistress of India, claiming as her own the empire of the seas, Britain suddenly towered high above nations whose position in a single continent doomed them to comparative insignificance in the after-history of the world.

It is this that gives William Pitt so unique a position among our statesmen. His figure in fact stands at the

opening of a new epoch in English history—in the history not of England only, but of the English race. However dimly and imperfectly, he alone among his fellows saw that the struggle of the Seven Years' War was a struggle of a wholly different order from the struggles that had gone before it. He felt that the stake he was playing for was something vaster than Britain's standing among the powers of Europe. Even while he backed Frederick in Germany, his eye was not on the Weser, but on the Hudson and the St. Lawrence. "If I send an army to Germany," he replied in memorable words to his assailants, "it is because in Germany I can conquer America!" But greater even than Pitt's statesmanship was the conviction on which his statesmanship rested. He believed in Englishmen, and in the might of Englishmen. At a moment when few hoped that England could hold her own among the nations of Europe he called her not only to face Europe in arms, but to claim an empire far beyond European bounds. His faith, his daring, called the English people to a sense of the destinies that lay before it. And once roused, the sense of these destinies could never be lost. The war indeed was hardly ended when a consciousness of them showed itself in the restlessness with which our seamen penetrated into far-off seas. With England on one side and her American colonies on the other, the Atlantic was dwindling into a mere strait within the British Empire; but beyond it to the westward lay a reach of waters where the British flag was almost unknown. The vast ocean which parts Asia from America had been discovered by a Spaniard and first traversed by a Portuguese; as early indeed as the sixteenth century Spanish settlements spread along its eastern shore and a Spanish galleon crossed it year by year from Acapulco to the Philippines. But no effort was made by Spain to explore the lands that broke its wide expanse; and though Dutch voyagers, coming from the eastward, penetrated its waters and first noted the mighty continent that bore from that hour the name of New Holland, no colonists followed in the track of Tasman or Van Diemen. It

was not till another century had gone by indeed that Europe again turned her eyes to the Pacific. But in the very year which followed the Peace of Paris, in 1764, two English ships were sent on a cruise of discovery to the Straits of Magellan.

"Nothing," ran the instructions of their commander, Commodore Byron, "nothing can redound more to the honor of this nation as a maritime power, to the dignity of the Crown of Great Britain, and to the advancement of the trade and navigation thereof, than to make discoveries of countries hitherto unknown." Byron himself hardly sailed beyond Cape Horn; but three years later a second English seaman, Captain Wallis, succeeded in reaching the central island of the Pacific and in skirting the coral-reefs of Tahiti, and in 1768 a more famous mariner traversed the great ocean from end to end. At first a mere ship-boy on a Whitby collier, James Cook had risen to be an officer in the royal navy, and had piloted the boats in which Wolfe mounted the St. Lawrence to the heights of Abraham. On the return of Wallis he was sent in a small vessel with a crew of some eighty men and a few naturalists to observe the transit of Venus at Tahiti, and to explore the seas that stretched beyond it. After a long stay at Tahiti Cook sailed past the Society Isles into the heart of the Pacific and reached at the further limits of that ocean the two islands, as large as his own Britain, which make up New Zealand. Steering northward from New Zealand over a thousand miles of sea he touched at last the coast of the great "Southern Land" or Australia, on whose eastern shore, from some fancied likeness to the district at home on which he had gazed as he set sail, he gave the name of New South Wales. In two later voyages Cook traversed the same waters, and discovered fresh island groups in their wide expanse. But his work was more than a work of mere discovery. Wherever he touched, in New Zealand, in Australia, he claimed the soil for the English Crown. The records which he published of his travels not only woke the interest of Englishmen in these far-off islands, in their mighty reaches of deep blue

waters, where lands as big as Britain die into mere specks on the huge expanse, in the coral-reefs, the palms, the bread-fruit of Tahiti, the tattooed warriors of New Zealand, the gum-trees and kangaroos of the Southern Continent, but they familiarized them more and more with the sense of possession, with the notion that this strange world of wonders was their own, and that a new earth was open in the Pacific for the expansion of the English race.

Cook in fact pointed out the fitness of New Holland for English settlement; and projects of its occupation, and of the colonization of the Pacific islands by English emigrants, became from that moment, in however vague and imperfect a fashion, the policy of the English crown. Statesmen and people alike indeed felt the change in their country's attitude. Great as Britain seemed to Burke, it was now in itself "but part of a great empire, extended by our virtue and our fortune to the furthest limits of the east and the west." Its parliament no longer looked on itself as the local legislature of England and Scotland; it claimed, in the words of the same great political thinker, "an imperial character, in which as from the throne of heaven she superintends all the several inferior legislatures, and guides and controls them all, without annihilating any." Its people, steeped in the commercial ideas of the time, saw in the growth of such a dominion, the monopoly of whose trade was reserved to the mother-country, a source of boundless wealth. The trade with America alone was, in 1772, within less than half a million of being equal to what England carried on with the whole world at the beginning of the century. So rapid had been its growth that since the opening of the eighteenth century it had risen from a value of five hundred thousand pounds to one of six millions, and whereas the colonial trade then formed but a twelfth part of English commerce, it had now mounted to a third. To guard and preserve so vast and lucrative a dominion, to vindicate its integrity alike against outer foes and inner disaffection, to strengthen its unity by drawing closer the bonds, whether commercial or administrative, which

linked its various parts to the mother-country, became from this moment not only the aim of British statesmen, but the resolve of the British people.

And at this moment there were grave reasons why this resolve should take an active form. Strong as the attachment of the Americans to Britain seemed at the close of the war, keen lookers-on like the French minister, the Duc de Choiseul, saw in the very completeness of Pitt's triumph a danger to their future union. The presence of the French in Canada, their designs in the west, had thrown America for protection on the mother country. But with the conquest of Canada all need of protection was removed. The attitude of England towards its distant dependency became one of simple possession; and the differences of temper, the commercial and administrative disputes, which had long existed as elements of severance, but had been thrown into the background till now by the higher need for union, started into a new prominence. Day by day indeed the American colonies found it harder to submit to the meddling of the mother-country with their self-government and their trade. A consciousness of their destinies was stealing in upon thoughtful men, and spread from them to the masses around them. At this very moment the quick growth of population in America moved John Adams, then a village schoolmaster of Massachusetts, to lofty forebodings of the future of the great people over whom he was to be called to rule. "Our people in another century," he wrote, "will be more numerous than England itself. All Europe will not be able to subdue us. The only way to keep us from setting up for ourselves is to disunite us." The sense that such an independence was drawing nearer spread even to Europe. "Fools," said a descendant of William Penn, "are always telling their fears that the colonies will set up for themselves." Philosophers, however, were pretty much of the same mind on this subject with the fools. "Colonies are like fruits," wrote the foreseeing Turgot, "which cling to the tree only till they ripen. As soon as America can take care of itself it will do what Carthage did." But from the thought of separa-

tion almost every American turned as yet with horror. The Colonists still looked to England as their home. They prided themselves on their loyalty; and they regarded the difficulties which hindered complete sympathy between the settlements and the mother-country, as obstacles which time and good sense would remove. England on the other hand looked on America as her noblest possession. It was the wealth, the growth of this dependency which more than all the victories of her arms was lifting her to a new greatness among the nations. It was the trade with it which had doubled English commerce in half a century. Of the right of the mother-country to monopolize this trade, to deal with this great people as its own possession, no Englishman had a doubt. England, it was held, had planted every colony. It was to England that the Colonists owed not their blood only, but the free institutions under which they had grown to greatness. English arms had rescued them from the Indians, and broken the iron barrier with which France was holding them back from the West. In the war which was drawing to a close England had poured out her blood and gold without stint in her children's cause. Of the debt which was mounting to a height unknown before no small part was due to her struggle on behalf of America. And with this sense of obligation mingled a sense of ingratitude. It was generally held that the wealthy Colonists should do something to lighten the load of this debt from the shoulders of the mother-country. But it was known that all proposals for American taxation would be bitterly resisted. The monopoly of American trade was looked on as a part of an Englishman's birthright. Yet the Colonists not only murmured at this monopoly but evaded it in great part by a wide system of smuggling. And behind all these grievances lay an uneasy sense of dread at the democratic form which the government and society of the colonies had taken. The governors sent from England wrote back words of honest surprise and terror at the "levelling principles" of the men about them. To statesmen at home the temper of the colonial legislatures, their protests,

their bickerings with the governors and with the Board of Trade, the constant refusal of supplies when their remonstrances were set aside, seemed all but republican.

To check this republican spirit, to crush all dreams of severance, and to strengthen the unity of the British Empire by drawing closer the fiscal and administrative bonds which linked the colonies to the mother country, was one of the chief aims with which George the Third mounted the throne on the death of his grandfather George the Second, in 1760. But it was far from being his only aim. For the first and last time since the accession of the House of Hanover England saw a King who was resolved to play a part in English politics; and the part which George succeeded in playing was undoubtedly a memorable one. During the first ten years of his reign he managed to reduce government to a shadow, and to turn the loyalty of his subjects at home into disaffection. Before twenty years were over he had forced the American colonies into revolt and independence, and brought England to what then seemed the brink of ruin. Work such as this has sometimes been done by very great men, and often by very wicked and profligate men; but George was neither profligate nor great. He had a smaller mind than any English king before him save James the Second. He was wretchedly educated, and his natural powers were of the meanest sort. Nor had he the capacity for using greater minds than his own by which some sovereigns have concealed their natural littleness. On the contrary, his only feeling towards great men was one of jealousy and hate. He longed for the time when "decrepitude or death" might put an end to Pitt; and even when death had freed him from "this trumpet of sedition," he denounced the proposal for a public monument to the great statesman as "an offensive measure to me personally." But dull and petty as his temper was, he was clear as to his purpose and obstinate in the pursuit of it. And his purpose was to rule. "George," his mother, the Princess of Wales, had continually repeated to him in youth, "George, be king." He called himself always "a Whig of the Revolution," and he had no wish

to undo the work which he believed the Revolution to have done. But he looked on the subjection of his two predecessors to the will of their ministers as no real part of the work of the Revolution, but as a usurpation of that authority which the Revolution had left to the crown. And to this usurpation he was determined not to submit. His resolve was to govern, not to govern against law, but simply to govern, to be freed from the dictation of parties and ministers, and to be in effect the first minister of the State.

How utterly incompatible such a dream was with the Parliamentary constitution of the country as it had received its final form from Sunderland it is easy to see; and the effort of the young king to realize it plunged England at once into a chaos of political and social disorder which makes the first year of his reign the most painful and humiliating period in our history. It is with an angry disgust that we pass from the triumphs of the Seven Years' War to the miserable strife of Whig factions with one another or of the whole Whig party with the King. But wearisome as the story is, it is hardly less important than that of the rise of England into a world-power. In the strife of these wretched years began a political revolution which is still far from having reached its close. Side by side with the gradual development of the English Empire and of the English race has gone on, through the century that has passed since the close of the Seven Years' War, the transfer of power within England itself from a governing class to the nation as a whole. If the effort of George failed to restore the power of the Crown, it broke the power which impeded the advance of the people itself to political supremacy. Whilst laboring to convert the aristocratic monarchy of which he found himself the head into a personal sovereignty, the irony of fate doomed him to take the first step in an organic change which has converted that aristocratic monarchy into a democratic republic, ruled under monarchical forms.

To realize however the true character of the King's attempt we must recall for a moment the issue of the

Revolution on which he claimed to take his stand. It had no doubt given personal and religious liberty to England at large. But its political benefits seemed as yet to be less equally shared. The Parliament indeed had become supreme, and in theory the Parliament was a representative of the whole English people. But in actual fact the bulk of the English people found itself powerless to control the course of English government. We have seen how at the very moment of its triumph opinion had been paralyzed by the results of the Revolution. The sentiment of the bulk of Englishmen remained Tory, but the existence of a Stuart Pretender forced on them a system of government which was practically Whig. Under William and Anne they had tried to reconcile Toryism with the Revolution; but this effort ended with the accession of the House of Hanover, and the bulk of the landed classes and the clergy withdrew in a sulky despair from all permanent contact with politics. Their hatred of the system to which they bowed showed itself in the violence of their occasional outbreaks, in riots over the Excise Bill, in cries for a Spanish war, in the frenzy against Walpole. Whenever it roused itself, the national will showed its old power to destroy; but it remained impotent to create any new system of administrative action. It could aid one clique of Whigs to destroy another clique of Whigs, but it could do nothing to interrupt the general course of Whig administration. Walpole and Pelham were alike the representatives of a minority of the nation; but the minority which they represented knew its mind and how to carry out its mind, while the majority of the people remained helpless and distracted between their hatred of the House of Hanover and their dread of the consequences which would follow on a return of the Stuarts.

The results of such a divorce between the government and that general mass of national sentiment on which a government can alone safely ground itself at once made themselves felt. Robbed as it was of all practical power, and thus stripped of the feeling of responsibility which the consciousness of power carries with it, among the

mass of Englishmen public opinion became ignorant and indifferent to the general progress of the age, but at the same time violent and mutinous, hostile to Government because it was Government, disloyal to the Crown, averse from Parliament. For the first and last time in our history Parliament was unpopular, and its opponents secure of popularity. But the results on the governing class were even more fatal to any right conduct of public affairs. Not only had the mass of national sentiment been so utterly estranged from Parliament by the withdrawal of the Tories that the people had lost all trust in it as an expression of their will, but the Parliament did not pretend to express it. It was conscious that for half a century it had not been really a representative of the nation, that it had represented a minority, wiser no doubt than their fellow countrymen, but still a minority of Englishmen. At the same time it saw, and saw with a just pride, that its policy had as a whole been for the nation's good, that it had given political and religious freedom to the people in the very teeth of their political and religious bigotry, that in spite of their narrow insularism it had made Britain the greatest of European powers. The sense of both these aspects of Parliament had sunk in fact so deeply into the mind of the Whigs as to become a theory of Parliamentary government. They were never weary of expressing their contempt for public opinion. They shrank with instinctive dislike from Pitt's appeals to national feeling, and from the popularity which rewarded them. They denied that members of the Commons sate as representatives of the people, and they shrank with actual panic from the thought of any change which could render them representatives. To a Whig such a change meant the overthrow of the work done in 1688, the coercion of the minority of sound political thinkers by the of mass opinion, so brutal and unintelligent, so bigoted in its views both of Church and State, which had been content to reap the benefits of the Revolution while vilifying and opposing its principles.

And yet, if representation was to be more than a name, the very relation of Parliament to the constituencies

made some change in its composition a necessity. That changes in the distribution of seats in the House of Commons were called for by the natural shiftings of population and wealth which had gone on since the days of Edward the First had been recognized as early as the Civil Wars. But the reforms of the Long Parliament were cancelled at the Restoration; and from the time of Charles the Second to that of George the Third not a single effort had been made to meet the growing abuses of our parliamentary system. Great towns like Manchester or Birmingham remained without a member, while members still sat for boroughs which, like Old Sarum, had actually vanished from the face of the earth. The effort of the Tudor sovereigns to establish a Court party in the House by a profuse creation of boroughs, most of which were mere villages then in the hands of the Crown, had ended in the appropriation of these seats by the neighboring landowners, who bought and sold them as they bought and sold their own estates. Even in towns which had a real claim to representation the narrowing of municipal privileges ever since the fourteenth century to a small part of the inhabitants, and in many cases the restriction of electoral rights to the members of the governing corporation, rendered their representation a mere name. The choice of such places hung simply on the purse or influence of politicians. Some were "the King's boroughs," others obediently returned nominees of the Ministry of the day, others were "close boroughs" in the hands of jobbers like the Duke of Newcastle, who at one time returned a third of all the borough members in the House. The counties and the great commercial towns could alone be said to exerciseany real right of suffrage, though the enormous expense of contesting such constituencies practically left their representation in the hands of the great local families. But even in the counties the suffrage was ridiculously limited and unequal. Out of a population of eight millions of English people, only a hundred and sixty thousand were electors at all.

"The value, spirit, and essence of a House of Commons," said Burke, in noble words, "consists in its being

the express image of the feelings of the nation." But how far such a House as that which now existed was from really representing English opinion we see from the fact that in the height of his popularity Pitt himself could hardly find a seat in it. Purchase was becoming more and more the means of entering Parliament; and seats were bought and sold in the open market at a price which rose to four thousand pounds. We can hardly wonder that a reformer could allege without a chance of denial, "This House is not a representative of the people of Great Britain. It is the representative of nominal boroughs, of ruined and exterminated towns, of noble families, of wealthy individuals, of foreign potentates." The meanest motives naturally told on a body returned by such constituencies, cut off from the influence of public opinion by the secrecy of Parliamentary proceedings, and yet invested with almost boundless authority. Walpole and Newcastle had in fact made bribery and borough-jobbing the base of their power. But bribery and borough-jobbing were every day becoming more offensive to the nation at large. A new moral consciousness, as we have seen in the movement of the Wesleys, was diffusing itself through England; and behind this moral consciousness came a general advance in the national intelligence, which could not fail to tell vigorously on politics.

Ever since the expulsion of the Stuarts an intellectual revolution had been silently going on in the people at large. The close of the seventeenth century was marked by a sudden extension of the world of readers. The development of men's minds under the political and social changes of the day, as well as the rapid increase of wealth, and the advance in culture and refinement which accompanies an increase of wealth, were quickening the general intelligence of the people at large; and the wider demand for books to read that came of this quickening gave a new extension and vigor to their sale. Addison tells us how large and rapid was the sale of his "Spectator;" and the sale of Shakspere's works shows the amazing effect of the new passion for literature on

the diffusion of our older authors. Four issues of his plays in folio, none of them probably exceeding five hundred copies, had sufficed to meet the wants of the seventeenth century. But through the eighteenth ten editions at least followed each other in quick succession; and before the century was over as many as thirty thousand copies of Shakspere were dispersed throughout England. Reprints of older works however were far from being the only need of English readers. The new demand created an organ for its supply in the publisher, and through the publisher literature became a profession by which men might win their bread. That such a change was a healthy one, time was to show. But in spite of such instances as Dryden, at the moment of the change its main result seemed the degradation of letters. The intellectual demand for the moment outran the intellectual supply. The reader called for the writer; but the temper of the time, the diversion of its mental energy to industrial pursuits, the influences which tended to lower its poetic and imaginative aspirations, were not such as to bring great writers rapidly to the front. On the other hand, the new opening which letters afforded for a livelihood was such as to tempt every scribbler who could handle a pen; and authors of this sort were soon set to hack-work by the Curles and the Tonsons who looked on book-making as a mere business. The result was a mob of authors in garrets, of illiterate drudges as poor as they were thriftless and debauched, selling their pen to any buyer, hawking their flatteries and their libels from door to door, fawning on the patron and the publisher for very bread, tagging rimes which they called poetry, or abuse which they called criticism, vamping up compilations and abridgments under the guise of history, or filling the journals with empty rhetoric in the name of politics.

It was on such a literary chaos as this that the one great poet of the time poured scorn in his "Dunciad." Pope was a child of the Revolution; for he was born in 1688, and he died at the moment when the spirit of his age was passing into larger and grander forms in 1744. But from

all active contact with the world of his day he stood utterly apart. He was the son of a Catholic linen-draper, who had withdrawn from his business in Lombard Street to a retirement on the skirts of Windsor Forest; and there amidst the stormy years which followed William's accession the boy grew up in an atmosphere of poetry, buried in the study of the older English singers, stealing to London for a peep at Dryden in his arm-chair at Wills's, himself already lisping in numbers, and busy with an epic at the age of twelve. Pope's latter years were as secluded as his youth. His life, as Johnson says, was "a long disease;" his puny frame, his crooked figure, the feebleness of his health, his keen sensitiveness to pain, whether of mind or body, cut him off from the larger world of men, and doomed him to the faults of a morbid temperament. To the last he remained vain, selfish, affected; he loved small intrigues and petty lying; he was incredibly jealous and touchy; he dwelt on the fouler aspect of things with an unhealthy pruriency; he stung right and left with a malignant venom. But nobler qualities rose out of this morbid undergrowth of faults. If Pope was quickly moved to anger, he was as quickly moved to tears; though every literary gnat could sting him to passion, he could never read the lament of Priam over Hector without weeping. His sympathies lay indeed within a narrow range, but within that range they were vivid and intense; he clung passionately to the few he loved; he took their cause for his own; he flung himself almost blindly into their enthusiasms and their hates. But loyal as he was to his friends, he was yet more loyal to his verse. His vanity never led him to literary self-sufficiency; no artist ever showed a truer lowliness before the ideal of his art; no poet ever corrected so much, or so invariably bettered his work by each correction. One of his finest characteristics, indeed, was his high sense of literary dignity. From the first he carried on the work of Dryden by claiming a worth and independence for literature; and he broke with disdain through the traditions of patronage which had degraded men of letters into hangers-on of the great.

With aims and conceptions such as these, Pope looked bitterly out on the phase of transition through which English letters were passing. As yet his poetic works had shown little of the keen and ardent temper that lay within him. The promise of his spring was not that of a satirist but of the brightest and most genial of verse writers. When after some fanciful préludes his genius found full utterance in 1712, it was in the "Rape of the Lock;" and the "Rape of the Lock" was a poetic counterpart of the work of the Essayists. If we miss in it the personal and intimate charm of Addison, or the freshness and pathos of Steele, it passes far beyond the work of both in the brilliancy of its wit, in the lightness and buoyancy of its tone, in its atmosphere of fancy, its glancing color, its exquisite verse, its irresistible gayety. The poem remains Pope's master-piece; it is impossible to read it without feeling that his mastery lay in social and fanciful verse, and that he missed his poetic path when he laid down the humorist for the philosopher and the critic. But the state of letters presented an irresistible temptation to criticism. All Pope's nobler feelings of loyalty to his art revolted from the degradation of letters which he saw about him: and after an interval of hack-work in a translation of Homer he revealed his terrible power of sarcasm in his poem of the "Dunciad." The poem is disfigured by mere outbursts of personal spleen, and in its later form by attacks on men whose last fault was dulness. But in the main the "Dunciad" was a noble vindication of literature from the herd of dullards and dunces that had usurped its name, a protest against the claims of the journalist or pamphleteer, of the compiler of facts and dates, or the grubber among archives, to the rank of men of letters.

That there was work and useful work for such men to do, Pope would not have denied. It was when their pretensions threatened the very existence of literature as an art, when the sense that the writer's work was the work of an artist, and like an artist's work must show largeness of design, and grace of form, and fitness of phrase, was either denied or forgotten, it was when every rimer

was claiming to be a poet, every fault-finder a critic, every chronicler an historian, that Pope struck at the herd of book-makers and swept them from the path of letters. Such a protest is as true now, and perhaps as much needed now, as it was true and needed then. But it had hardly been uttered when the chaos settled itself, and the intellectual impulse which had as yet been felt mainly in the demand for literature showed itself in its supply. Even before the "Dunciad" was completed a great school of novelists was rising into fame; and the years which elapsed between the death of Pope in 1744 and that of George the Second in 1760 were filled with the master-pieces of Richardson, Smollet, and Fielding. Their appearance was but a prelude of a great literary revival which marked the closing years of the eighteenth century. But the instant popularity of "Clarissa" and "Tom Jones" showed the work of intellectual preparation which had been going on through Walpole's days in the people at large; and it was inevitable that such a quickening of the intelligence should tell on English politics. The very vulgarization of letters indeed, the broadsheets and pamphlets and catch-penny magazines of Grub-street, were doing for the mass of the people a work which greater writers could hardly have done. Above all the rapid extension of journalism had begun to give opinion a new information and consistency. In spite of the removal of the censorship after the Revolution the press had been slow to attain any political influence. Under the first two Georges its progress had been hindered by the absence of great topics for discussion, the worthlessness of the writers, and above all the lethargy of the time. But at the moment of George the Third's accession the impulse which Pitt had given to the national spirit, and the rise of a keener interest in politics, was fast raising the press into an intellectual and political power. Not only was the number of London newspapers fast increasing, but journals were being established in almost every considerable town.

With impulses such as these telling every day on it more powerfully, roused as it was too into action by the

larger policy of Pitt, and emboldened at once by the sense of growing wealth and of military triumph, it is clear that the nation must soon have passed from its old inaction to claim its part in the direction of public affairs. The very position of Pitt, forced as he had been into office by the sheer force of opinion in the teeth of party obstacles, showed the rise of a new energy in the mass of the people. It showed that a king who enlisted the national sentiment on his side would have little trouble in dealing with the Whigs. George indeed had no thought of such a policy. His aim was not to control the Parliament by the force of national opinion, but simply to win over the Parliament to his side, and through it to govern the nation with as little regard to its opinion as of old. But whether he would or no, the drift of opinion aided him. Though the policy of Walpole had ruined Jacobitism, it long remained unconscious of its ruin. But when a Jacobite prince stood in the heart of the realm, and not a Jacobite answered his call, the spell of Jacobitism was broken ; and the later degradation of Charles Edward's life wore finally away the thin coating of disloyalty which clung to the clergy and the squires. They were ready again to take part in politics, and in the accession of a king who unlike his two predecessors was no stranger but an Englishman, who had been born in England and spoke English, they found the opportunity they desired. From the opening of the reign Tories gradually appeared again at court.

It was only slowly indeed that the party as a whole swung round to a steady support of the Government; and in the nation at large the old Toryism was still for some years to show itself in opposition to the Crown. But from the first the Tory nobles and gentry came in one by one ; and their action told at once on the complexion of English politics. Their withdrawal from public affairs had left them untouched by the progress of political ideas since the Revolution of 1688, and when they returned to political life it was to invest the new sovereign with all the reverence which they had bestowed on the Stuarts. In this return of the Tories therefore a

"King's party" was ready made to his hand; but George was able to strengthen it by a vigorous exertion of the power and influence which was still left to the Crown. All promotion in the Church, all advancement in the army, a great number of places in the civil administration and about the court, were still at the King's disposal. If this vast mass of patronage had been practically usurped by the ministers of his predecessors, it was resumed and firmly held by George the Third; and the character of the House of Commons made patronage a powerful engine in its management. George had one of Walpole's weapons in his hands, and he used it with unscrupulous energy to break up the party which Walpole had held so long together. The Whigs were still indeed a great power. "Long possession of government, vast property, obligations of favors given and received, connexion of office, ties of blood, of alliance, of friendship, the name of Whigs dear to the majority of the people, the zeal early begun and steadily continued to the royal family, all these together," says Burke justly, "formed a body of power in the nation." But George the Third saw that the Whigs were divided among themselves by the factious spirit which springs from a long hold of office, and that they were weakened by the rising contempt with which the country at large regarded the selfishness and corruption of its representatives.

More than thirty years before, the statesmen of the day had figured on the stage as highwaymen and pickpockets. And now that statesmen were represented by hoary jobbers such as Newcastle, the public contempt was fiercer than ever, and men turned sickened from the intrigues and corruption of party to a young sovereign who aired himself in a character which Bolingbroke had invented, as a Patriot King. Had Pitt and Newcastle held together indeed, supported as the one was by the commercial classes, the other by the Whig families and the whole machinery of Parliamentary management, George must have struggled in vain. But the ministry was already disunited. The bulk of the party drew day by day further from Pitt. Attached as they were to peace

by the traditions of Walpole, dismayed at the enormous expenditure, and haughty with the pride of a ruling oligarchy, the Whigs were in silent revolt against the war and the supremacy of the Great Commoner. It was against their will that he rejected proposals of peace from France which would have secured to England all her conquests on the terms of a desertion of Prussia, and that his steady support enabled Frederick still to hold out against the terrible exhaustion of an unequal struggle. The campaign of 1760 indeed was one of the grandest efforts of Frederick's genius. Foiled in an attempt on Dresden, he again saved Silesia by a victory at Liegnitz and hurled back an advance of Daun by a victory at Torgau: while Ferdinand of Brunswick held his ground as of old along the Weser. But even victories drained Frederick's strength. Men and money alike failed him. It was impossible for him to strike another great blow, and the ring of enemies again closed slowly round him. His one remaining hope lay in the support of Pitt, and triumphant as his policy had been, Pitt was tottering to his fall.

The envy and resentment of the minister's colleagues at his undisguised supremacy gave the young King an easy means of realizing his schemes. George had hardly mounted the throne when he made his influence felt in the ministry by forcing it to accept a Court favorite, the Earl of Bute, as Secretary of State. Bute had long been his counsellor, and though his temper and abilities were those of a gentleman usher, he was forced into the Cabinet. The new drift of affairs was seen in the instant desertion from Pitt of the two ablest of his adherents, George Grenville and Charles Townshend, who attached themselves from this moment to the rising favorite. It was seen yet more when Bute pressed for peace. As Bute was known to be his master's mouthpiece, a peace party at once appeared in the Cabinet itself, and it was only a majority of one that approved Pitt's refusal to negotiate with France. "He is madder than ever," was Bute's comment on this refusal in his correspondence with the King; "he has no thought of abandoning the

Continent." Conscious indeed as he was of the King's temper and of the temper of his colleagues, Pitt showed no signs of giving way. So far was he from any thought of peace that he proposed at this moment a vast extension of the war. In 1761 he learned the signature of a treaty which brought into force the Family Compact between the Courts of Paris and Madrid, and of a special convention which bound the last to declare war on England at the close of the year. Pitt proposed to anticipate the blow by an instant seizure of the treasure fleet which was on its way from the Indies to Cadiz, and for whose safe arrival alone the Spanish Court was deferring its action. He would have followed up the blow by occupying the Isthmus of Panama, and by an attack on the Spanish dominions in the New World. It was almost with exultation that he saw the danger which had threatened her ever since the Peace of Utrecht break at last upon England. His proud sense of the national strength never let him doubt for a moment of her triumph over the foes that had leagued against her. "This is the moment," he exclaimed to his colleagues, "for humbling the whole House of Bourbon." But the Cabinet shrank from plans so vast and daring; and the Duke of Newcastle, who had never forgiven Pitt for forcing himself into power and for excluding him from the real control of affairs, was backed in his resistance by the bulk of the Whigs. The King openly supported them, and Pitt with his brother-in-law Lord Temple found themselves alone. Pitt did not blind himself to the real character of the struggle. The question, as he felt, was not merely one of peace or war, it was whether the new force of opinion which had borne him into office and kept him there was to govern England or no. It was this which made him stake all on the decision of the Cabinet. "If I cannot in this instance prevail," he ended his appeal, "this shall be the last time I will sit in the Council. Called to office by the voice of the people, to whom I conceive myself accountable for my conduct, I will not remain in a situation which renders me responsible for measures I am no longer allowed to guide." His pro-

posals were rejected; and the resignation of his post, which followed in October 1761, changed the face of European affairs.

"Pitt disgraced!" wrote a French philosopher, "it is worth two victories to us!" Frederick on the other hand was almost driven to despair. But George saw in the removal of his powerful minister an opening for the realization of his long-cherished plans. The Whigs had looked on Pitt's retirement as the restoration of their rule, unbroken by the popular forces to which it had been driven during his ministry to bow. His declaration that he had been "called to office by the voice of the people, to whom I conceive myself accountable," had been met with indignant scorn by his fellow-ministers "When the gentleman talks of being responsible to the people," retorted Lord Granville, the Lord Carteret of earlier days, 'he talks the language of the House of Commons, and forgets that at this board he is only responsible to the King." But his appeal was heard by the people at large. When the dismissed statesman went to Guildhall the Londoners hung on his carriage wheels, hugged his footmen, and even kissed his horses. Their break with Pitt was in fact the death-blow of the Whigs. In betraying him to the King they had only put themselves in George's power; and so great was the unpopularity of the ministry that the King was able to deliver his longed-for stroke at a party that he hated even more than Pitt. Newcastle found he had freed himself from the great statesman only to be driven from office by a series of studied mortifications from his young master; and the more powerful of his Whig colleagues followed him into retirement. George saw himself triumphant over the two great forces which had hampered the free action of the Crown, "the power which arose," in Burke's words, "from popularity, and the power which arose from political connexion;" and the rise of Lord Bute to the post of First Minister marked the triumph of the King.

Bute took office simply as an agent of the King's will; and the first resolve of George the Third was to end the

war. In the spring of 1762 Frederick, who still held his ground stubbornly against fate, was brought to the brink of ruin by a withdrawal of the English subsidies; it was in fact only his dogged resolution and a sudden change in the policy of Russia, which followed on the death of his enemy the Czarina Elizabeth, that enabled him at last to retire from the struggle in the Treaty of Hubertsberg without the loss of an inch of territory. George and Lord Bute had already purchased peace at a very different price. With a shameless indifference to the national honor they not only deserted Frederick but they offered to negotiate a peace for him on the basis of a cession of Silesia to Maria Theresa and East Prussia to the Czarina. The issue of the strife with Spain saved England from humiliation such as this. Pitt's policy of instant attack had been justified by a Spanish declaration of war three weeks after his fall; and the year 1762 saw triumphs which vindicated his confidence in the issue of the new struggle. Martinico, the strongest and wealthiest of the French West Indian possessions, was conquered at the opening of the year, and its conquest was followed by those of Grenada, St. Lucia, and St. Vincent. In the summer the reduction of Havana brought with it the gain of the rich Spanish colony of Cuba. The Philippines, the wealthiest of the Spanish colonies in the Pacific, yielded to a British fleet. It was these losses that brought about the Peace of Paris in September 1762. So eager was Bute to end the war that he bought peace by restoring all that the last year's triumphs had given him. In Europe he contented himself with the recovery of Minorca, while he restored Martinico to France, and Cuba and the Philippines to Spain. The real gains of Britain were in India and America. In the first the French abandoned all right to any military settlement. From the second they wholly withdrew. To England they gave up Canada, Nova Scotia and Louisiana as far as the Mississippi, while they resigned the rest of that province to Spain, in compensation for its surrender of Florida to the British Crown.

We have already seen how mighty a change in the aspect of the world, and above all in the aspect of Britain, was marked by this momentous treaty. But no sense of its great issues influenced the young King in pressing for its conclusion. His eye was fixed not so much on Europe or the British Empire as on the petty game of politics which he was playing with the Whigs. The anxiety which he showed for peace abroad sprang mainly from his belief that peace was needful for success in his struggle for power at home. So long as the war lasted Pitt's return to office and the union of the Whigs under his guidance was an hourly danger. But with peace the King's hands were free. He could count on the dissensions of the Whigs, on the new-born loyalty of the Tories, on the influence of the Crown patronage which he had taken into his own hands. But what he counted on most of all was the character of the House of Commons. So long as matters went quietly, so long as no gust of popular passion or enthusiasm forced its members to bow for a while to outer opinion, he saw that "management" could make the House a mere organ of his will. George had discovered—to use Lord Bute's words—"that the forms of a free and the ends of an arbitrary government were things not altogether incompatible." At a time when it had become all-powerful in the State, the House of Commons had ceased in any real and effective sense to be a representative body at all; and its isolation from the general opinion of the country left it at ordinary moments amenable only to selfish influences. The Whigs had managed it by bribery and borough-jobbing, and George in his turn seized bribery and borough-jobbing as a base of the power he proposed to give to the Crown. The royal revenue was employed to buy seats and to buy votes. Day by day the young sovereign scrutinized the voting-list of the two Houses, and distributed rewards and punishments as members voted according to his will or no. Promotion in the civil service, preferment in the Church, rank in the army, was reserved for "the King's friends." Pensions and court places were used to influence debates. Bribery

was employed on a scale never known before. Under Bute's ministry an office was opened at the Treasury for the purchase of members, and twenty-five thousand pounds are said to have been spent in a single day.

The result of these measures was soon seen in the tone of the Parliament. Till now it had bowed beneath the greatness of Pitt; but in the teeth of his denunciation the provisions of the Peace of Paris were approved by a majority of five to one. It was seen still more in the vigor with which George and his minister prepared to carry out the plans over which they had brooded for the regulation of America. The American question was indeed forced on them, as they pleaded, by the state of the revenue. Pitt had waged war with characteristic profusion, and he had defrayed the cost of the war by enormous loans. The public debt now stood at a hundred and forty millions. The first need therefore which met Bute after the conclusion of the Peace of Paris was that of making provision for the new burdens which the nation had incurred, and as these had been partly incurred in the defence of the American Colonies it was the general opinion of Englishmen that the Colonies should bear a share of them. In this opinion Bute and the King concurred. But their plans went further than mere taxation. The amount indeed which was expected to be raised as revenue by these changes, at most two hundred thousand pounds, was far too small to give much relief to the financial pressure at home. But this revenue furnished an easy pretext for wider changes. Plans for the regulation of the government of the Colonies had been suggested from time to time by subordinate ministers, but they had been set aside alike by the prudence of Walpole and the generosity of Pitt. The appointment of Charles Townshend to the Presidency of the Board of Trade however was a sign that Bute had adopted a policy not only of taxation, but of restraint. The new minister declared himself resolved on a rigorous execution of the Navigation laws, laws by which a monopoly of American trade was secured to the mother-country on the raising of a revenue within the Colonies for the

discharge of the debt, and above all on impressing upon the colonists a sense of their dependence upon Britain. The direct trade between America and the French or Spanish West Indian Islands had hitherto been fettered by prohibitory duties, but these had been easily evaded by a general system of smuggling. The duties were now reduced, but the reduced duties were rigorously exacted and a considerable naval force was despatched to the American coast by Grenville, who stood at the head of the Admiralty Board, with a view of suppressing the clandestine trade with the foreigner. The revenue which was expected from this measure was to be supplemented by an internal Stamp Tax, a tax on all legal documents issued within the Colonies, the plan of which seems to have originated with Bute's secretary, Jenkinson, afterwards the first Lord Liverpool. That resistance was expected was seen in a significant step which was taken by the ministry at the end of the war. Though the defeat of the French had left the Colonies without an enemy save the Indians, a force of ten thousand men was still kept quartered on their inhabitants, and a scheme was broached for an extension of the province of Canada over the district round the Lakes, which would have turned the western lands into a military settlement, governed at the will of the Crown, and have furnished a base of warlike operations, if such were needed, against the settled Colonies on the Atlantic.

Had Bute's power lasted it is probable that these measures would have brought about the struggle between England and America long before it actually began. Fortunately for the two countries the minister found himself from the first the object of a sudden and universal hatred. The great majority which had rejected Pitt's motion against the Peace had filled the court with exultation. "Now indeed," cried the Princess Dowager, "my son is king." But the victory was hardly won when King and minister found themselves battling with a storm of popular ill will such as never since the overthrow of the Stuarts assailed the throne. Violent and reckless as it was, the storm only marked a fresh advance

in the re-awakening of public opinion. The bulk of the higher classes who had till now stood apart from government were coming gradually in to the side of the Crown. But the mass of the people was only puzzled and galled by the turn of events. It felt itself called again to political activity, but it saw nothing to change its hatred and distrust of Parliament and the Crown. On the contrary it saw them in greater union than of old. The House of Commons was more corrupt than ever, and it was the slave of the King. The King still called himself a Whig, yet he was reviving a system of absolutism which Whiggism, to do it justice, had long made impossible. His minister was a mere favorite and in Englishmen's eyes a foreigner. The masses saw all this, but they saw no way of mending it. They knew little of their own strength, and they had no means of influencing the Government they hated save by sheer violence. They came therefore to the front with their old national and religious bigotry, their long-nursed dislike of the Hanoverian Court, their long-nursed habits of violence and faction, their long-nursed hatred of Parliament, but with no means of expressing them save riot and uproar.

It was this temper of the masses which was seized and turned to his purpose by John Wilkes. Wilkes was a worthless profligate ; but he had a remarkable faculty of enlisting popular sympathy on his side ; and by a singular irony of fortune he became in the end the chief instrument in bringing about three of the greatest advances which our Constitution has made. He woke the nation to a sense of the need for Parliamentary reform by his defence of the rights of constituencies against the despotism of the House of Commons. He took the lead in a struggle which put an end to the secrecy of Parliamentary proceedings. He was the first to establish the right of the press to discuss public affairs. But in his attack upon the Ministry of Lord Bute he served simply as an organ of the general excitement and discontent. The bulk of the Tories were on fire to gratify their old grudge against the Crown and its Ministers. The body of the Whigs, and the commercial classes who backed them,

were startled and angered by the dismissal of Pitt, and by the revolt of the Crown against the Whig system. The nation as a whole was uneasy and alarmed at the sudden break up of political tranquillity, and by the sense of a coming struggle between opponents of whom as yet neither had fully its sympathies. There were mobs, riots, bonfires in the streets, and disturbances which culminated—in a rough spirit of punning upon the name of the minister—in the solemn burning of a jack-boot. The journals, which were now becoming numerous, made themselves organs for this outburst of popular hatred; and it was in the *North-Briton* that Wilkes took a lead in the movement by denouncing the Cabinet and the peace with peculiar bitterness, by playing on the popular jealousy of foreigners and Scotchmen, and by venturing to denounce the hated minister by name.

Ignorant and brutal as was the movement which Wilkes headed, it was a revival of public opinion; and though the time was to come when the influence of opinion would be exercised more wisely, even now it told for good. It was the attack of Wilkes which more than all else determined Bute to withdraw from office in 1763 as a means of allaying the storm of popular indignation. But the King was made of more stubborn stuff than his minister. If he suffered his favorite to resign he still regarded him as the real head of administration; for the ministry which Bute left behind him consisted simply of the more courtly of his colleagues, and was in fact formed under his direction. George Grenville was its nominal chief, but the measures of the cabinet were still secretly dictated by the favorite. The formation of the Grenville ministry indeed was laughed at as a joke. Charles Townshend and the Duke of Bedford, the two ablest of the Whigs who had remained with Bute after Newcastle's dismissal, refused to join it; and its one man of ability was Lord Shelburne, a young Irishman, who had served with credit at Minden, and had been rewarded by a post at Court which brought him into terms of intimacy with the young sovereign and Bute. Dislike of the Whig

oligarchy and of the war had thrown Shelburne strongly into the opposition to Pitt, and his diplomatic talents were of service in securing recruits for his party, as his eloquence had been useful in advocating the peace; but it was not till he himself retired from office that Bute obtained for his supporter the Presidency of the Board of Trade. As yet, however, Shelburne's powers were little known, and he added nothing to the strength of the ministry. It was in fact only the disunion of its opponents which allowed it to hold its ground. Townshend and Bedford remained apart from the main body of the Whigs, and both sections held aloof from Pitt. George had counted on the divisions of the opposition in forming such a ministry; and he counted on the weakness of the ministry to make it the creature of his will.

But Grenville had no mind to be a puppet either of the King or of Bute. Narrow and pedantic as he was, severed by sheer jealousy and ambition from his kinsman Pitt and the bulk of the Whigs, his temper was too proud to stoop to the position which George designed for him. The conflicts between the King and his minister soon became so bitter that in August, 1763, George appealed in despair to Pitt to form a ministry. Never had Pitt shown a nobler patriotism or a grander self-command than in the reception he gave to this appeal. He set aside all resentment at his own expulsion from office by Newcastle and the Whigs, and made the return to office of the whole party, with the exception of Bedford, a condition of his own. His aim, in other words, was to restore constitutional government by a reconstruction of the ministry which had won the triumphs of the Seven Years' War. But it was the destruction of this ministry and the erection of a kingly government in its place on which George prided himself most. To restore it was, in his belief, to restore the tyranny under which the Whigs had so long held the crown. Rather than submit," he cried, "to the terms proposed by Mr. Pitt, I would die in the room I now stand in." The result left Grenville as powerful as he had been weak. Bute retired into the country and ceased to exercise any po-

litical influence. Shelburne, the one statesman in the ministry, and who had borne a chief part in the negotiations for the formation of a new cabinet, resigned to follow Pitt. On the other hand, Bedford, irritated by Pitt's exclusion of him from his proposed ministry, joined Grenville with his whole party, and the ministry thus became strong and compact.

Grenville himself was ploddingly industrious and not without financial ability. But his mind was narrow and pedantic in its tone; and honest as was his belief in his own Whig creed, he saw nothing beyond legal forms. He was resolute to withstand the people as he had withstood the Crown. His one standard of conduct was the approval of Parliament; his one aim to enforce the supremacy of Parliament over subject as over King. With such an aim as this, it was inevitable that Grenville should strike fiercely at the new force of opinion which had just shown its power in the fall of Bute. He was resolved to see public opinion only in the voice of Parliament; and his resolve led at once to a contest with Wilkes as with the press. It was in the press that the nation was finding a court of appeal from the Houses of Parliament. The popularity of the *North-Briton* made Wilkes the representative of the new journalism, as he was the representative of that mass of general sentiment of which it was beginning to be the mouth-piece; and the fall of Bute had shown how real a power lay behind the agitator's diatribes. But Grenville was of stouter stuff than the court favorite, and his administration was hardly reformed when he struck at the growing opposition to Parliament by a blow at its leader. In "number 45" of the *North-Briton* Wilkes had censured the speech from the throne at the opening of Parliament, and a "general warrant" by the Secretary of State was issued against the "authors, printers, and publishers of this seditious libel." Under this warrant forty-nine persons were seized for a time; and in spite of his privilege as a member of Parliament Wilkes himself was sent to the Tower. The arrest however was so utterly illegal that he was at once released by the Court of Common Pleas; but he

was immediately prosecuted for libel. The national indignation at the harshness of these proceedings passed into graver disapproval when Parliament took advantage of the case to set itself up as a judicial tribunal for the trial of its own assailant. While the paper which formed the subject for prosecution was still before the courts of justice it was condemned by the House of Commons as a "false, scandalous, and seditious libel." The House of Lords at the same time voted a pamphlet found among Wilkes's paper to be blasphemous, and advised a prosecution. Though Pitt at once denounced the course of the two Houses as unconstitutional, his protest, like that of Shelburne in the Lords, proved utterly ineffectual; and Wilkes, who fled in terror to France, was expelled at the opening of 1764 from the House of Commons. Rapid and successful blows such as these seem to have shown to how frivolous an assailant Bute had yielded. But if Wilkes fled over the Channel, Grenville found he had still England to deal with. The assumption of an arbitrary judicial power by both Houses, and the system of terror which the Minister put in force against the Press by issuing two hundred injunctions against different journals, roused a storm of indignation throughout the country. Every street resounded with cries of "Wilkes and Liberty!" Every shutter through the town was chalked with "No. 45;" the old bonfires and tumults broke out with fresh violence; and the Common Council of London refused to thank the sheriffs for dispersing the mob. It was soon clear that opinion had been embittered rather than silenced by the blow at Wilkes.

The same narrowness of view, the same honesty of purpose, the same obstinacy of temper, were shown by Grenville in a yet more important struggle, a struggle with the American Colonies. The plans of Bute for their taxation and restraint had fallen to the ground on his retirement and that of Townshend from office. Lord Shelburne succeeded Townshend at the Board of Trade, and young as he was, Shelburne was too Sound at statesman to suffer these plans to be revived. But the resignation of Shelburne in 1763, after the failure of Pitt to

form a united ministry, again reopened the question. Grenville had fully concurred in a part at least of Bute's designs; and now that he found himself at the head of a strong administration he again turned his attention to the Colonies. On one important side his policy wholly differed from that of Townshend or Bute. With Bute as with the King the question of deriving a revenue from America was chiefly important as one which would bring the claims of independent taxation and legislation put forward by the colonies to an issue, and in the end—as it was hoped—bring about a reconstruction of their democratic institutions and a closer union of the colonies under British rule. Grenville's aim was strictly financial. His conservative and constitutional temper made him averse from any sweeping changes in the institutions of the Colonies. He put aside as roughly as Shelburne the projects which had been suggested for the suppression of colonial charters, the giving power in the Colonies to military officers, or the payment of Crown officers in America by the English treasury. All he desired was that the colonies should contribute what he looked on as their just share towards the relief of the burdens left by the war; and it was with a view to this that he proceeded to carry out the financial plans which had been devised for the purpose of raising both an external and an internal revenue from America.

If such a policy was more honest, it was at the same time more absurd than that of Bute. Bute had at any rate aimed at a great revolution in the whole system of colonial government. Grenville aimed simply at collecting a couple of hundred thousand pounds, and he knew that even this wretched sum must be immensely lessened unless his plans were cordially accepted by the colonists. He knew too that there was small hope of such an acceptance. On the contrary, they at once met with a dogged opposition; and though the shape which that opposition took was a legal and technical one, it really opened up the whole question of the relation of the Colonies to the mother-country. Proud as England was of her imperial position she had as yet failed to grasp the

difference between an empire and a nation. A nation is an aggregate of individual citizens, bound together in a common and equal relation to the state which they form. An empire is an aggregate of political bodies, bound together by a common relation to a central state, but whose relations to it may vary from the closest dependency to the loosest adhesion. To Grenville and the bulk of his fellow-countrymen the Colonies were as completely English soil as England itself, nor did they see any difference in political rights or in their relation to the Imperial legislature between an Englishman of Massachusetts and a man of Kent. What rights their charters gave the Colonies they looked on as not strictly political but municipal rights; they were not states but corporations; and as corporate bodies, whatever privileges might have been given them, they were as completely the creatures and subjects of the English Crown as the corporate body of a borough or of a trading company. Their very existence in fact rested in a like way on the will of the Crown; on a breach of the conditions under which they were granted their charters were revocable and their privileges ceased, their legislatures and the rights of their legislatures came to end as completely as the common council of a borough that had forfeited its franchise or the rights of that common council. It was true that save in matters of trade and navigation the Imperial Parliament or the Imperial Crown had as yet left them mainly to their own self-government; above all that it had not subjected them to the burden of taxation which was borne by other Englishmen at home. But it had more than once asserted its right to tax the colonies; it had again and again refused assent to acts of their legislatures which denied such a right; and from the very nature of things they held it impossible that such a right could exist. No bounds could be fixed for the supremacy of the King in Parliament over every subject of the Crown, and the colonist of America was as absolutely a subject as the ordinary Englishman. On mere grounds of law Grenville was undoubtedly right in his assertion of such a view as this; for the law had grown up under purely

national conditions, and without a consciousness of the new political world to which it was now to be applied. What the colonists had to urge against it was really the fact of such a world. They were Englishmen, but they were Englishmen parted from England by three thousand miles of sea. They could not, if they would, share the common political life of men at home; nature had imposed on them their own political life; what charters had done was not to create but to recognize a state of things which sprang from the very circumstances under which the Colonies had originated and grown into being. Nor could any cancelling of charters cancel those circumstances. No act of Parliament could annihilate the Atlantic. The political status of the man of Massachusetts could not be identical with that of the man of Kent, because that of the Kentish man rested on his right of being represented in Parliament and thus sharing in a work of self-government, while the other from sheer distance could not exercise such a right. The pretence of equality was in effect the assertion of inequality; for it was to subject the colonist to the burdens of Englishmen without giving him any effective share in the right of self-government which Englishmen purchased by supporting those burdens. But the wrong was even greater than this. The Kentish man really took his share in governing through his representative in Parliament the Empire to which the colonist belonged. If the colonist had no such share he became the subject of the Kentish man. The pretence of political identity had ended in the establishment not only of serfdom but of the most odious form of serfdom, a subjection to one's fellow-subjects.

The only alternative for so impossible a relation was the recognition of such relations as actually existed. While its laws remained national, England had grown from a nation into an empire. Whatever theorists might allege, the Colonies were in fact political bodies with a distinct life of their own, whose connexion with the mother-country had in the last hundred years taken a definite and peculiar form. Their administration in its higher parts was in the hands of the mother-country.

Their legislation on all internal affairs, though lightly supervised by the mother-country, was practically in their own hands. They exercised without interference the right of self-taxation, while the mother-country exercised with as little interference the right of monopolizing their trade. Against this monopoly of their trade not a voice was as yet raised among the colonists. They justly looked on it as an enormous contribution to the wealth of Britain, which might fairly be taken in place of any direct supplies, and which, while it asserted the sovereignty of the mother-country, left their local freedom untouched. The harshness of such a monopoly had indeed been somewhat mitigated by a system of contraband trade which had grown up between American ports and the adjacent Spanish islands, a trade so necessary for the Colonies, and in the end so beneficial to British commerce itself, that statesmen like Walpole had winked at its development. The pedantry of Grenville however saw in it only an infringement of British monopoly; and one of his first steps was to suppress this contraband trade by a rigid enforcement of the navigation laws. Harsh and unwise as these measures seemed, the colonists owned their legality; and their resentment only showed itself in a pledge to use no British manufactures till the restrictions were relaxed. But such a stroke was a mere measure of retaliation, whose pressure was pretty sure in the end to effect its aim; and even in their moment of irritation the colonists uttered no protest against the monopoly of their trade. Their position indeed was strictly conservative; what they claimed was a continuance of the existing connexion; and had their claim been admitted, they would probably have drifted quietly into such a relation to the crown as that of our actual colonies in Canada and Australasia.

What the issue of such a policy might have been as America grew to a population and wealth beyond those of the mother-country, it is hard to guess. But no such policy was to be tried. The next scheme of the Minister—his proposal to introduce internal taxation within the bounds of the Colonies themselves by reviving the

project of an excise or stamp duty, which Walpole's good sense had rejected—was of another order from his schemes for suppressing the contraband traffic. Unlike the system of the Navigation Acts, it was a gigantic change in the whole actual relations of England and its colonies. They met it therefore in another spirit. Taxation and representation, they asserted, went hand in hand. America had no representatives in the British Parliament. The representatives of the colonists met in their own colonial assemblies, and these were willing to grant supplies of a larger amount than a stamp-tax would produce. Massachusetts—first as ever in her protest—marked accurately the position she took. "Prohibitions of trade are neither equitable nor just; but the power of taxing is the grand banner of British liberty. If that is once broken down, all is lost." The distinction was accepted by the assembly of every colony; and it was with their protest and offer that they despatched Benjamin Franklin, who had risen from his position of a working printer in Philadelphia to high repute among scientific discoverers, as their agent to England. In England Franklin found few who recognized the distinction which the colonists had drawn; it was indeed incompatible with the universal belief in the omnipotence of the Imperial Parliament. But there were many who held that such taxation was unadvisable, that the control of trade was what a country really gained from its colonies, that it was no work of a statesman to introduce radical changes into relations so delicate as those of a mother-country and its dependencies, and that, boundless as was the power of Parliament in theory, "it should voluntarily set bounds to the exercise of its power." It had the right to tax Ireland but it never used it. The same self-restraint might be extended to America, and the more that the colonists were in the main willing to tax themselves for the general defence. Unluckily Franklin could give no assurance as to a union for the purpose of such taxation, and without such an assurance Grenville had no mind to change his plans. In February, 1765, the

Stamp Act was passed through both Houses with less opposition than a turnpike bill.

At this critical moment Pitt was absent from the House of Commons. "When the resolution was taken to tax America, I was ill and in bed," he said a few months later. "If I could have endured to be carried in my bed, so great was the agitation of my mind for the consequences, I would have solicited some kind hand to have laid me down on this floor, to have borne my testimony against it." He was soon however called to a position where his protest might have been turned to action. The Stamp Act was hardly passed when an insult offered to the Princess Dowager, by the exclusion of her name from a Regency Act, brought to a head the quarrel which had long been growing between the ministry and the King. George again offered power to William Pitt, and so great was his anxiety to free himself from Grenville's dictation that he consented absolutely to Pitt's terms. He waived his objection to that general return of the whole Whig party to office which Pitt had laid down in 1763 as a condition of his own. He consented to his demands for a change of policy in America, for the abolition of general warrants, and the formation of a Protestant system of German alliances as a means of counteracting the family compact of the house of Bourbon. The formation of the new ministry seemed secured, when the refusal of Earl Temple to join it brought Pitt's efforts abruptly to an end. Temple was Pitt's brother-in-law, and Pitt was not only bound to him by strong family ties, but he found in him his only Parliamentary support. The great commoner had not a single follower of his own in the House of Commons, nor a single seat in it at his disposal. What following he seemed to have was simply that of the Grenvilles; and it was the support of his brothers-in-law, Lord Temple and George Grenville, which had enabled him in great part to hold his own against the Whig connexion in the Ministry of 1757. But George Grenville had parted from him at its close, and now Lord Temple drew to his brother rather than to Pitt.

His refusal to join the Cabinet left Pitt absolutely alone so far as Parliamentary strength went, and he felt himself too weak, when thus deserted, to hold his ground in any ministerial combination with the Whigs. Disappointed in two successive efforts to form a ministry by the same obstacle, he returned to his seat in Somersetshire, while the King turned for help to the main body of the Whigs.

The age and incapacity of the Duke of Newcastle had placed the Marquis of Rockingham at the head of this section of the party, after it had been driven from office to make way for the supremacy of Bute. Thinned as it was by the desertion of Grenville and Townshend, as well as of the Bedford faction, it still claimed an exclusive right to the name of the Whigs. Rockingham was honest of purpose, he was free from all taint of the corruption of men like Newcastle, and he was inclined to a pure and lofty view of the nature and end of government. But he was young, timid, and of small abilities, and he shared to the full the dislike of the great Whig nobles to Pitt and the popular sympathies on which Pitt's power rested. The weakness of the ministry which he formed in July, 1765, was seen in its slowness to deal with American affairs. Rockingham looked on the Stamp Act as inexpedient; but he held firmly against Pitt and Shelburne the right of Parliament to tax and legislate for the Colonies, and it was probably through this difference of sentiment that Pitt refused to join his ministry on its formation. For six months he made no effort to repeal the obnoxious Acts, and in fact suffered preparations to go on for enforcing them. News, however, soon came from America which made this attitude impossible. Vigorously as he had struggled against the acts, Franklin had seen no other course for the Colonies, when they were passed, but that of submission. But submission was the last thing the colonists dreamed of. Everywhere through New England riots broke out on the news of the arrival of the stamped paper; and the frightened collectors resigned their posts. Northern and Southern States were drawn together by the new

danger. "Virginia," it was proudly said afterwards, "rang the alarm bell;" its assembly was the first to formally deny the right of the British Parliament to meddle with internal taxation, and to demand the repeal of the acts. Massachusetts not only adopted the denial and the demand as its own, but proposed a Congress of delegates from all the colonial assemblies to provide for common and united action; and in October 1765 this Congress met to repeat the protest and petition of Virginia.

The Congress was the beginning of American union. "There ought to be no New Englandman, no New Yorker known on this continent," said one of its members, "but all of us Americans." The news of its assembly reached England at the end of the year and perplexed the ministry, two of whose members now declared themselves in favor of repealing the acts. But Rockingham would promise at most no more than suspension; and when the Houses met in the spring of 1766 no voice but Shelburne's was raised in the Peers for repeal. In the Commons, however, the news at once called Pitt to the front. As a minister he had long since rejected a similar scheme for taxing the Colonies. He had been ill and absent from Parliament when the Stamp Act was passed. But he adopted to the full the constitutional claim of America. He gloried in a resistance which was denounced in Parliament as rebellion. "In my opinion," he said, "this kingdom has no right to lay a tax on the Colonies. . . . America is obstinate! America is almost in open rebellion! Sir, I rejoice that America has resisted. Three millions of people so dead to all the feelings of liberty as voluntarily to submit to be slaves would have been fit instruments to make slaves of the rest." "He spoke," said a looker-on, "like a man inspired," and he ended by a demand for the absolute, total, and immediate repeal of the acts. It is from this moment that the bitter hatred of George the Third to Pitt may be dated. In an outburst of resentment the King called him a trumpet of sedition, and openly wished for his death. But the general desire that he should return to office was quickened

by the sense of power which spoke in his words, and now that the first bitterness of finding himself alone had passed away, Pitt was willing to join the Whigs. Negotiations were opened for this purpose; but they at once broke down. Weak as they felt themselves, Rockingham and his colleagues now shrank from Pitt, as on the formation of their ministry Pitt had shrunk from them. Personal feeling no doubt played its part; for in any united administration Pitt must necessarily take the lead, and Rockingham was in no mood to give up his supremacy. But graver political reasons, as we have seen, co-operated with this jealousy and distrust; and the blind sense which the Whigs had long had of a radical difference between their policy and that of Pitt was now defined for them by the keenest political thinker of the day.

At this moment Rockingham was in great measure guided by the counsels of his secretary, Edmund Burke. Burke had come to London in 1750 as a poor and unknown Irish adventurer. But the learning which at once won him the friendship of Johnson, and the imaginative power which enabled him to give his learning a living shape, soon promised him a philosophical and literary career. Instinct, however, drew Burke not to literature but to politics. He became secretary to Lord Rockingham, and in 1765 entered Parliament under his patronage. His speech on the repeal of the Stamp Acts at once lifted him into fame. The heavy Quaker-like figure, the scratch wig, the round spectacles, the cumbrous roll of paper which loaded Burke's pocket, gave little promise of a great orator and less of the characteristics of his oratory—its passionate ardor, its poetic fancy, its amazing prodigality of resources; the dazzling succession in which irony, pathos, invective, tenderness, the most brilliant word-pictures, the coolest argument followed each other. It was an eloquence indeed of a wholly new order in English experience. Walpole's clearness of statement, Pitt's appeals to emotion, were exchanged for the impassioned expression of a distinct philosophy of politics. "I have learned more from him than from all the books I ever read," Fox cried at a later time, with a

burst of generous admiration. The philosophical cast of Burke's reasoning was unaccompanied by any philosophical coldness of tone or phrase. The groundwork indeed of his nature was poetic. His ideas, if conceived by the reason, took shape and color from the splendor and fire of his imagination. A nation was to him a great living society, so complex in its relations, and whose institutions were so interwoven with glorious events in the past, that to touch it rudely was a sacrilege. Its constitution was no artificial scheme of government, but an exquisite balance of social forces which was in itself a natural outcome of its history and development. His temper was in this way conservative, but his conservatism sprang not from a love of inaction but from a sense of the value of social order, and from an imaginative reverence for all that existed. Every institution was hallowed to him by the clear insight with which he discerned its relations to the past and its subtle connexion with the social fabric around it. To touch even an anomaly seemed to Burke to be risking the ruin of a complex structure of national order which it had cost centuries to build up. "The equilibrium of the constitution," he said, "has something so delicate about it, that the least displacement may destroy it." "It is a difficult and dangerous matter even to touch so complicated a machine."

Perhaps the readiest refutation of such a theory was to be found in its influence on Burke's practical dealing with politics. In the great question indeed which fronted him as he entered Parliament, it served him well. No man has ever seen with deeper insight the working of those natural forces which build up communities, or which group communities into empires; and in the actual state of the American Colonies, in their actual relation to the mother-country, he saw a result of such forces which only madmen and pedants would disturb. To enter upon "grounds of Government," to remodel this great structure of empire on a theoretical basis, seemed to him a work for "metaphysicians," and not for statesmen. What statesmen had to do was to take this structure as it was, and by cautious and delicate adjustment to accommodate from

time to time its general shape and the relations of its various parts to the varying circumstances of their natural development. Nothing, in other words, could be truer than Burke's political philosophy when the actual state of things was good in itself, and its preservation a recognition of the harmony of political institutions with political facts. But nothing could be more unwise than his philosophy when he applied it to a state of things which in itself was evil, and which was in fact a defiance of the natural growth and adjustment of political power. It was thus that he applied it to politics at home. He looked on the Revolution of 1688 as the final establishment of English institutions. His aim was to keep England as the Revolution had left it, and under the rule of the great nobles who were faithful to the Revolution. Such a conviction left him hostile to all movement whatever. He gave his passionate adhesion to the inaction of the Whigs. He made an idol of Lord Rockingham, an honest man, but the weakest of party leaders. He strove to check the corruption of Parliament by a bill for civil retrenchment, but he took the lead in defeating all plans for its reform. Though he was one of the few men in England who understood the value of free industry, he struggled bitterly against all proposals to give freedom to Irish trade, and against the Commercial Treaty which the younger Pitt concluded with France. His work seemed to be that of investing with a gorgeous poetry the policy of timid content which the Whigs believed they inherited from Sir Robert Walpole; and the very intensity of his trust in the natural development of a people rendered him incapable of understanding the good that might come from particular or from special reforms.

It was this temper of Burke's mind which estranged him from Pitt. His political sagacity had discerned that the true basis of the Whig party must henceforth be formed in a combination of that "power drawn from popularity" which was embodied in Pitt with the power which the Whig families drew from political "connexion." But with Pitt's popular tendencies Burke had no real sympathy. He looked on his eloquence as mere rant; he

believed his character to be hollow, selfish, and insincere. Above all he saw in him with a true foreboding the representative of forces before which the actual method of government must go down. The popularity of Pitt in face of his Parliamentary isolation was a sign that the House of Commons was no real representative of the English people. Burke foresaw that Pitt was drifting inevitably to a demand for a reform of the House which should make it representative in fact as in name. The full issues of such a reform, the changes which it would bring with it, the displacement of political power which it would involve, Burke alone of the men of his day understood. But he understood them only to shrink from them with horror, and to shrink with almost as great a horror from the man who was leading England on in the path of change.

At this crisis then the temper of Burke squared with the temper of the Whig party and of Rockingham; and the difference between Pitt's tendencies and their own came to the front on the question of dealing with the troubles in America. Pitt was not only for a repeal of the Stamp Acts, but for an open and ungrudging acknowledgment of the claim to a partial independence which had been made by the colonists. His genius saw that, whatever were the legal rights of the mother country, the time had come when the union between England and its children across the Atlantic must rest rather on sentiment than on law. Such a view was wholly unintelligible to the mass of the Whigs or the ministry. They were willing, rather than heighten American discontent, to repeal the Stamp Acts; but they looked on the supremacy of England and of the English Parliament over all English dependencies as a principle absolutely beyond question. From the union, therefore, which Pitt offered, Rockingham and his fellow-ministers stood aloof. They were driven, whether they would or no, to a practical acknowledgment of the policy which he demanded; but they resolved that the repeal of the Stamp Acts should be accompanied by a formal repudiation of the principles of colonial freedom which Pitt had laid down. A declar-

atory act was first brought in, which asserted the supreme power of Parliament over the Colonies "in all cases whatsoever." The declaration was intended no doubt to reassure the followers of the ministry as well as their opponents, for in the assertion of the omnipotence of the two Houses to which they belonged Whig and Tory were at one. But it served also as a public declaration of the difference which severed the Whigs from the Great Commoner. In a full house Pitt found but two supporters in his fierce attack upon the declaratory bill, which was supported by Burke in a speech which at once gave him rank as an orator; while Pitt's lieutenant, Shelburne, found but four supporters in a similar attack in the Lords. The passing of the declaratory act was followed by the introduction of a bill for the repeal of the Stamp Acts; and in spite of the resistance of the King's friends, a resistance instigated by George himself, the bill was carried in February 1766 by a large majority.

As the members left the House of Commons, George Grenville, whose resistance had been fierce and dogged, was hooted by the crowd which waited to learn the issue without. Before Pitt the multitude reverently uncovered their heads and followed him home with blessings. It was the noblest hour of his life. For the moment indeed he had "saved England" more truly than even at the crisis of the Seven Years' War. His voice had forced on the ministry and the King a measure which averted, though but for a while, the fatal struggle between England and her Colonies. Lonely as he was, the ministry which had rejected his offers of aid found itself unable to stand against the general sense that the first man in the country should be its ruler; and bitter as was the King's hatred of him, Rockingham's resignation in the summer of 1766 forced George to call Pitt into office. His acceptance of the King's call and the measures which he took to construct a ministry showed a new resolve in the great statesman. He had determined to break finally with the political tradition which hampered him, and to set aside even the dread of Parliamentary weakness which had fettered

hm three years before. Temple's refusal of aid, save on terms of equality which were wholly inadmissible, was passed by, though it left Pitt without a party in the House of Commons. In the same temper he set at defiance the merely Parliamentary organization of the Whigs by excluding Newcastle, while he showed his wish to unite the party as a whole by his offer of posts to nearly all the members of the late administration. Though Rockingham stood coldly aside, some of his fellow-ministers accepted Pitt's offers, and they were reinforced by Lords Shelburne and Camden, the young Duke of Grafton, and the few friends who still clung to the Great Commoner. Such a ministry however rested for power not on Parliament but on public opinion. It was in effect an appeal from Parliament to the people; and it was an appeal which made such a reform in Parliament as would bring it into unison with public opinion a mere question of time. Whatever may have been Pitt's ultimate designs, however, no word of such a reform was uttered by any one. On the contrary Pitt stooped to strengthen his Parliamentary support by admitting some even of the "King's friends" to a share in the administration. But its life lay really in Pitt himself, in his immense popularity, and in the command which his eloquence gave him over the House of Commons. His popularity indeed was soon roughly shaken; for the ministry was hardly formed when it was announced that its leader had accepted the Earldom of Chatham. The step removed him to the House of Lords, and for a while ruined the public confidence which his reputation for unselfishness had aided him to win. But it was from no vulgar ambition that Pitt laid down his title of the Great Commoner. The nervous disorganization which had shown itself three years before in his despair upon Temple's desertion had never ceased to hang around him, and it had been only at rare intervals that he had forced himself from his retirement to appear in the House of Commons. It was the consciousness of coming weakness that made him shun the storms of debate. But in the cabinet he showed all his old energy. The most

jealous of fellow-ministers owned his supremacy. At the close of one of his earliest councils Charles Townshend acknowledged to a colleague "Lord Chatham has just shown to us what inferior animals we are!" Plans were at once set on foot for the better government of Ireland, for the transfer of India from the Company to the Crown, and for the formation of an alliance with Prussia and Russia to balance the Family Compact of the House of Bourbon. The alliance was foiled for the moment by the coldness of Frederick of Prussia. The first steps toward Indian reform were only taken by the ministry under severe pressure from Pitt. Petty jealousies, too, brought about the withdrawal of some of the Whigs, and the hostility of Rockingham was shown by the fierce attacks of Burke in the House of Commons. But secession and invective had little effect on the ministry. "The session," wrote Horace Walpole to a friend at the close of 1776, "has ended triumphantly for the Great Earl;" and when Chatham withdrew to Bath to mature his plans for the coming year his power remained unshaken.

CHAPTER II.

THE INDEPENDENCE OF AMERICA.

1767—1782.

THE Chatham ministry marked a new phase in the relation of public opinion to the government of the State. In 1766 as in 1756 Pitt had been called into office by "the voice of the people" at large. But in his former ministry the influence he drew from popularity could only make itself effective through an alliance with the influence which was drawn from political connexion; and when the two elements of the administration became opposed the support of the nation gave Pitt little strength of resistance against the Whigs. Nor had the young King had much better fortune as yet in his efforts to break their rule. He had severed them indeed from Pitt; and he had dexterously broken up the great party into jealous factions. But broken as it was, even its factions remained too strong for the King. His one effort at independence under Bute hardly lasted a year, and he was as helpless in the hands of Grenville as in the hands of Rockingham. His bribery, his patronage, his Parliamentary "friends," his perfidy and his lies, had done much to render good government impossible and to steep public life in deeper corruption, but they had done little to further the triumph of the Crown over the great houses. Of the one power indeed which could break the Whig rule, the power of public opinion, George was more bitterly jealous than even of the Whigs themselves. But in spite of his jealousy the tide of opinion steadily rose. In wise and in unwise ways the country at large showed its new interests in national policy, its new resolve to have a share in the direction of it. It showed no love

for the King or the King's schemes. But it retained all its old disgust for the Whigs and for the Parliament. It clung to Pitt closer than ever, and in spite of his isolation from all party support raised him daily into a mightier power. It was the sense that a new England was thus growing up about him, that a new basis was forming itself for political action, which at last roused the great Commoner to the bold enterprise of breaking through the bonds of "connexion" altogether. For the first time since the Revolution a minister told the peers in their own house that he defied their combinations.

The ministry of 1766 in fact was itself such a defiance; for it was an attempt to found political power not on the support of the Whigs as a party, but on the support of national opinion. But as Parliament was then constituted, it was only through Chatham himself that opinion could tell even on the administration he formed; and six months after he had taken office Chatham was no more than a name. The dread which had driven him from the stormy agitation of the Lower House to the quiet of the House of Peers now became a certainty. As winter died into the spring of 1767 his nervous disorganization grew into a painful and overwhelming illness which almost wholly withdrew him from public affairs; and when Parliament met again he was unable either to come to town or to confer with his colleagues. It was in vain that they prayed him for a single word of counsel. Chatham remained utterly silent; and the ministry which his guidance had alone held together at once fell into confusion. The Earl's plans were suffered to drop. His colleagues lost all cohesion, and each acted as he willed. Townshend, a brilliant but shallow rhetorician whom Pitt had been driven reluctantly to make his Chancellor of the Exchequer, after angering the House of Commons by proposals for an increase of the land-tax, strove to win back popularity among the squires by undertaking to raise a revenue from America. That a member of a ministry which bore Pitt's name should have proposed to reopen the question of colonial taxation within a year of the repeal of the Stamp Acts was strange enough to the

colonists; and they were yet more astonished when, on its neglect to make provision for compensating those who had suffered from the recent outbreak in due conformity to an act of the British Parliament, the Assembly of New York was suspended, and when Townshend redeemed his pledge by laying duties on various objects brought into American ports. But these measures were the result of levity and disorganization rather than of any purpose to reopen the quarrel. Pitt's colleagues had as yet no design to reverse his policy. The one aim of the ministry which bore his name, and which during his retirement looked to the Duke of Grafton as its actual head, was simply to exist. But in the face of Chatham's continued withdrawal, of Townshend's death in 1767, and of the increasing hostility of the Rockingham Whigs, even existence was difficult; and Grafton saw himself forced to a union with the faction which was gathered under the Duke of Bedford, and to the appointment of a Tory noble as Secretary of State.

Such measures, however, only showed how far the ministry had drifted from the ground on which Pitt took his stand in its formation; and the very force on which he had relied turned at once against it. The elections for the new Parliament which met in 1768 were more corrupt than any that had as yet been witnessed; and even the stoutest opponents of reform shrank aghast from the open bribery of constituencies and the prodigal barter of seats. How bitter the indignation of the country had grown was seen in its fresh backing of Wilkes. Wilkes had remained in France since his outlawry; but he seized on the opening afforded by the elections to return and offer himself as a member for the new Parliament. To the surprise and dismay of the ministers he was returned for Middlesex, a county the large number of whose voters made its choice a real expression of public opinion. The choice of Wilkes at such a moment was in effect a public condemnation of the House of Commons and the ministerial system. The ministry, however, and the House alike shrank from a fresh struggle with the agitator. But the King was eager for the con-

test. After ten years of struggle and disappointment George had all but reached his aim. The two forces which had as yet worsted him were both of them paralyzed. The Whigs were fatally divided, and discredited in the eyes of the country by their antagonism to Pitt. Pitt on the other hand was suddenly removed from the stage. The ministry was without support in the country; and for Parliamentary support it was forced to lean more and more on the men who looked for direction to the King himself. At a moment when all hope of exerting any influence seemed crushed by the return of Chatham to power, George found his influence predominant as it had never been before. One force of opposition alone remained in the public discontent; and at this he struck more fiercely than ever. "I think it highly expedient to apprise you," he wrote to Lord North, "that the expulsion of Mr. Wilkes appears to be very essential, and must be effected." The ministers and the House of Commons bowed to his will. By his non-appearance in court when charged with libel, Wilkes had become an outlaw, and he was now thrown into prison on his outlawry. Dangerous riots broke out in London and over the whole country at the news of his arrest; and continued throughout the rest of the year. In the midst of these tumults the ministry itself was torn with internal discord. The adherents of Chatham found their position in it an intolerable one; and Lord Shelburne announced his purpose of resigning office. The announcement was followed in the autumn by the resignation of Chatham himself. Though still prostrated by disease, the Earl was sufficiently restored to grasp the actual position of the cabinet which traded on his name, and in October 1768 he withdrew formally from the ministry

The withdrawal of Chatham, however, if it shook the ministry, only rendered it still more dependent on the King; and in spite of its reluctance George forced it to plunge into a decisive struggle with the public opinion which was declaring itself in tumult and riot against the system of government. The triumph of Wilkes had been driven home by the election of a nominee of the great

agitator as his colleague on a fresh vacancy in the representation of Middlesex. The Government met the blow by a show of vigor, and by calling on the magistrates of Surrey to disperse the mobs; a summons which ended in conflicts between the crowd and the soldiers, in which some of the rioters were slain. Wilkes at once published the letter of the Secretary of State with comments on it as a cause of bloodshed; and the ministry accepted the step as a challenge to combat. If his comments were libellous, the libel was cognizable in the ordinary courts of law. But no sooner had Parliament assembled in 1769 than the House of Commons was called to take the matter into its own hands. Witnesses were examined at its bar: the forms of a trial were gone through; and as Wilkes persisted in his charge, he was expelled as a libeller. Unluckily the course which had been adopted put the House itself on trial before the constituencies. No sooner was the new writ issued than Wilkes again presented himself as a candidate, and was again elected by the shire of Middlesex. Violent and oppressive as the course of the House of Commons had been, it had as yet acted within its strict right, for no one questioned its possession of a right of expulsion. But the defiance of Middlesex led it now to go further. It resolved, "That Mr. Wilkes having been in this session of Parliament expelled the House, was and is incapable of being elected a member to serve in the present Parliament;" and it issued a writ for a fresh election. Middlesex answered this insolent claim to limit the free choice of a constituency by again returning Wilkes; and the House was driven by its anger to a fresh and more outrageous usurpation. It again expelled the member for Middlesex; and on his return for the third time by an immense majority it voted that the candidate whom he had defeated, Colonel Luttrell, ought to have been returned, and was the legal representative of Middlesex. The Commons had not only limited at their own arbitrary discretion the free election of the constituency, but they had transferred its rights to themselves by seating Luttrell as member in defiance of the deliberate choice of Wilkes by

the freeholders of Middlesex. The country at once rose indignantly against this violation of constitutional law. Wilkes was elected an Alderman of London; and the Mayor, Aldermen, and Livery petitioned the King to dissolve the Parliament. A remonstrance from London and Westminster mooted a far larger question. It said boldly that "there is a time when it is clearly demonstrable that men cease to be representatives. That time is now arrived. The House of Commons do not represent the people." Meanwhile a writer who styled himself Junius attacked the Government in letters, which, rancorous and unscrupulous as was their tone, gave a new power to the literature of the Press by their clearness and terseness of statement, the finish of their style, and the terrible vigor of their invective.

The storm however beat idly on the obstinacy of the King. The printer of the bold letters was prosecuted, and the petitions and remonstrances of London were haughtily rejected. The issue of the struggle verified the forebodings of Burke. If, as Middlesex declared, and as the strife itself proved, the House of Commons had ceased to represent the English people, it was inevitable that men should look forward to measures that would make it representative. At the beginning of 1770 a cessation of the disease which had long held him prostrate enabled Chatham to reappear in the House of Lords. He at once denounced the usurpations of the Commons, and brought in a bill to declare them illegal. But his genius made him the first to see that remedies of this sort were inadequate to meet evils which really sprang from the fact that the House of Commons no longer represented the people of England; and he mooted a plan for its reform by an increase of the country members, who then formed the most independent portion of the House. Further he could not go, for even in the proposals he made he stood almost alone. The Tories and the King's friends were likely to welcome proposals which would lessen the King's influence. On the other hand the Whigs under Lord Rockingham had no sympathy with Parliamentary reform. As early as 1769, in his first political

publication, their one philosophic thinker, Edmund Burke, had met a proposal to enlarge the number of constituents by a counter proposal to lessen them. "It would be more in the spirit of our constitution, and more agreeable to the fashion of our best laws," he said, "by lessening the number to add to the weight and independency of our voters." Nor did the Whigs shrink with less haughty disdain from the popular agitation in which public opinion was forced to express itself, and which Chatham, while censuring its extravagance, deliberately encouraged. It is from the quarrel between Wilkes and the House of Commons that we may date the influence of public meetings on English politics. The gatherings of the Middlesex electors in his support were preludes to the great meetings of Yorkshire freeholders in which the question of Parliamentary reform, rose into importance; and it was in the movement for reform and the establishment of corresponding committees throughout the country for the purpose of promoting it, that the power of political agitation first made itself felt. Political societies and clubs took their part in this quickening and organization of public opinion: and the spread of discussion, as well as the influence which now began to be exercised by the appearance of vast numbers of men in support of any political movement, proved that Parliament, whether it would or no, must soon reckon with the sentiments of the people at large.

But an agent far more effective than popular agitation was preparing to bring the force of public opinion to bear directly on Parliament itself. We have seen how much of the corruption of the House of Commons sprang from the secrecy of Parliamentary proceedings, but this secrecy was the harder to preserve as the nation woke to a greater interest in its own affairs. From the accessions of the Georges imperfect reports of the more important discussions began to be published under the title of "The Senate of Lilliput," and with feigned names or simple initials to denote the speakers. The best known reports of this kind were those contributed by Samuel Johnson to the *Gentleman's Magazine*. Obtained by stealth and

often merely recalled by memory, such reports were naturally inaccurate; and their inaccuracy was eagerly seized on as a pretext for enforcing the rules which guarded the secrecy of proceedings in Parliament. In 1771 the Commons issued a proclamation forbidding the publication of debates; and six printers, who set it at defiance, were summoned to the bar of the House. One who refused to appear was arrested by its messengers; but the arrest brought the House into conflict with the magistrates of London. The magistrates set aside its proclamation as without legal force, released the printers, and sent the messenger to prison for an unlawful arrest. The House sent the Lord Mayor to the Tower, but the cheers of the crowds which followed him on his way told that public opinion was again with the Press, and the attempt to hinder its publication of Parliamentary proceedings dropped silently on his release at the next prorogation. Few changes of equal importance have been so quietly brought about. Not only was the responsibility of members to their constituents made constant and effective by the publication of their proceedings, but the nation itself was called in to assist in the deliberations of its representatives. A new and wider interest in its own affairs was roused in the people at large, and a new political education was given to it through the discussion of every subject of national importance in the Houses and the Press. Stimulated and moulded into shape by free discussion, encouraged and made conscious of its strength by public meetings, and gathered up and represented on all sides by the journals of the day, public opinion became a force in practical statesmanship, influenced the course of debates, and controlled, in a closer and more constant way than even Parliament itself had been able to do, the action, of the Government. The importance of its new position gave a weight to the Press which it had never had before. The first great English journals date from this time. With the *Morning Chronicle*, the *Morning Post*, the *Morning Herald*, and the *Times*, all of which appeared in the interval between the opening years of the American War and the beginning of the war with the

French Revolution, journalism took a new tone of responsibility and intelligence. The hacks of Grub Street were superseded by publicists of a high moral temper and literary excellence; and philosophers like Coleridge or statesmen like Canning turned to influence public opinion through the columns of the Press.

But great as the influence of opinion was destined to become, it was feebly felt as yet; and George the Third was able to set Chatham's policy disdainfully aside and to plunge into a contest far more disastrous than his contest with the Press. In all the proceedings of the last few years, what had galled him most had been the act which averted a war between England and her colonies. To the King the Americans were already "rebels," and the great statesman whose eloquence had made their claims irresistible was a "trumpet of sedition." George deplored in his correspondence with his ministers the repeal of the Stamp Acts. "All men feel," he wrote, "that the fatal compliance in 1766 has increased the pretensions of the Americans to absolute independence." But in England generally the question was regarded as settled, while in America the news of the repeal had been received with universal joy, and taken as a close of the strife. On both sides however there remained a pride and irritability which only wise handling could have allayed; and in the present state of English politics wise handling was impossible. Only a few months indeed passed before the quarrel was again reopened; for no sooner had the illness of Lord Chatham removed him from any real share in public affairs than the wretched administration which bore his name suspended the Assembly of New York on its refusal to provide quarters for English troops, and resolved to assert British sovereignty by levying import duties of trivial amount at American ports. The Assembly of Massachusetts was dissolved on a trifling quarrel with its Governor, and Boston was occupied for a time by British soldiers. It was without a thought of any effective struggle however that the cabinet had entered on this course of vexation; and when the remonstrances of the Legislatures of Mas-

sachusetts and Virginia, coupled with a fall in the funds, warned the ministers of its danger, they hastened to withdraw from it. In 1769 the troops were recalled, and all duties, save one, abandoned. But with a fatal obstinacy the King insisted on retaining the duty on tea as an assertion of the supremacy of the mother-country. Its retention was enough to prevent any thorough restoration of good feeling. A series of petty quarrels went on in almost every colony between the popular Assemblies and the Governors appointed by the Crown, and the colonists persisted in their agreement to import nothing from the mother-country. As yet however there was no prospect of serious strife. In America the influence of George Washington allayed the irritation of Virginia; while Massachusetts contented itself with quarrelling with its Governor and refusing to buy tea so long as the duty was levied.

The temper of the colonists was in the main that of the bulk of English statesmen. Even George Grenville, though approving the retention of the duty in question, abandoned all dream of further taxation. But the King was now supreme. The reappearance and attack of Chatham at the opening of 1770 had completed the ruin of the ministry. Those of his adherents who still clung to it, Lord Camden, the Chancellor, Lord Granby, the Commander-in-Chief, Dunning, the Solicitor-General, resigned their posts. In a few days they were followed by the Duke of Grafton, who since Chatham's resignation had been nominally the head of the administration. All that remained of it were the Bedford faction and the dependents of the King; but George did not hesitate to form these into a ministry, and to place at its head the former Chancellor of the Exchequer, Lord North, a man of some administrative ability, but unconnected with any political party, steadily opposed to any recognition of public opinion, and of an easy and indolent temper which yielded against his better knowledge to the stubborn doggedness of the King. The instinct of the country at once warned it of the results of such a change; and the City of London put itself formally at the head of the public discon-

tent. In solemn addresses it called on George the Third to dismiss his ministers and to dissolve the Parliament; and its action was supported by petitions to the same effect from the greater counties. In the following year it fought, as we have seen, a battle with the House of Commons which established the freedom of the press. But the efforts of the country failed before the paralysis of political action which resulted from the position of the Whigs and the corruption of Parliament. The deaths of Grenville and Bedford broke up two of the Whig factions. Rockingham with the rest of the party held aloof from the popular agitation, and drew more and more away from Chatham as he favored it. The Parliament remained steady to the King, and the King clung more and more to the ministry. The ministry was in fact a mere cloak for the direction of public affairs by George himself. "Not only did he direct the minister," a careful observer tells us, "in all important matters of foreign and domestic policy, but he instructed him as to the management of debates in Parliament, suggested what motions should be made or opposed, and how measures should be carried. He reserved for himself all the patronage, he arranged the whole cast of administration, settled the relative places and pretensions of ministers of State, law officers, and members of the household, nominated and promoted the English and Scotch judges, appointed and translated bishops and deans, and dispensed other preferments in the Church. He disposed of military governments, regiments, and commissions; and himself ordered the marching of troops. He gave and refused titles, honors, and pensions." All this immense patronage was persistently used for the creation and maintenance in both Houses of Parliament of a majority directed by the King himself; and its weight was seen in the steady action of such a majority. It was seen yet more in the subjection to which the ministry that bore North's name was reduced. George was in fact the minister through the years of its existence; and the shame of the darkest hour of English history lies wholly at his door.

His fixed purpose was to seize on the first opportunity

of undoing the "fatal compliance of 1766." A trivial riot gave him at last the handle he wanted. In December, 1773, the arrival of some English ships laden with tea kindled fresh irritation in Boston, where the non-importation agreement was strictly enforced; and a mob in the disguise of Indians boarded the vessels and flung their contents into the sea. The outrage was deplored alike by the friends of America in England and by its own leading statesmen; and both Washington and Chatham were prepared to support the Government in its looked-for demand of redress. But the thought of the King was not of redress but of repression, and he set roughly aside the more conciliatory proposals of Lord North and his fellow-ministers. They had already rejected as "frivolous and vexatious" a petition of the Assembly of Massachusetts for the dismissal of two public officers whose letters home advised the withdrawal of free institutions from the colonies. They now seized on the riot as a pretext for rigorous measures. A bill introduced into Parliament in the beginning of 1774 punished Boston by closing its port against all commerce. Another punished the State of Massachusetts by withdrawing the liberties it had enjoyed ever since the Pilgrim Fathers landed on its soil. Its charter was altered. The choice of its Council was transferred from the people to the Crown, and the nomination of its judges was transferred to the Governor. In the Governor, too, by a provision more outrageous than even these, was vested the right of sending all persons charged with a share in the late disturbances to England for trial. To enforce these measures of repression troops were sent to America, and General Gage, the commander-in chief there, was appointed Governor of Massachusetts. The King's exultation at the prospect before him was unbounded. "The die," he wrote triumphantly to his minister, "is cast. The colonies must either triumph or submit." Four regiments would be enough to bring the Americans to their senses. They would only be "lions while we are lambs." "If we take the resolute part," he decided solemnly, "they will undoubtedly be very meek."

Unluckily the blow at Massachusetts was received with anything but meekness. The jealousies between colony and colony were hushed by a sense that the liberties of all were in danger. If the British Parliament could cancel the charter of Massachusetts and ruin the trade of Boston, it could cancel the charter of every colony and ruin the trade of every port from the St. Lawrence to the coast of Georgia. All therefore adopted the cause of Massachusetts; and all their Legislatures save that of Georgia sent delegates to a Congress which assembled on the 4th of September at Philadelphia. Massachusetts took a yet bolder course. Not one of its citizens would act under the new laws. Its Assembly met in defiance of the Governor, called out the militia of the State, and provided arms and ammunition for it. But there was still room for reconciliation. The resolutions of the Congress had been moderate, for Virginia was the wealthiest and most influential among the States who sent delegates, and though resolute to resist the new measures of the government, Virginia still clung to the mother-country. At home the merchants of London and Bristol pleaded loudly for reconciliation; and in January, 1775, Chatham again came forward to avert a strife he had once before succeeded in preventing. With characteristic largeness of feeling he set aside all half-measures or proposals of compromise. "It is not cancelling a piece of parchment," he insisted, "that can win back America: you must respect her fears and her resentments." The bill which he introduced in concert with Franklin provided for the repeal of the late acts and for the security of the colonial charters, abandoned the claim of taxation, and ordered the recall of the troops. A colonial assembly was directed to meet and provide means by which America might contribute towards the payment of the public debt.

Chatham's measure was contemptuously rejected by the Lords, as was a similar measure of Burke's by the House of Commons, and a petition of the City of London in favor of the Colonies by the King himself. With the rejection of these efforts for conciliation began the great

struggle which ended eight years later in the severance of the American Colonies from the British Crown. The Congress of delegates from the Colonial Legislatures at once voted measures for general defence, ordered the levy of an army, and set George Washington at its head. No nobler figure ever stood in the forefront of a nation's life. Washington was grave and courteous in address; his manners were simple and unpretending; his silence and the serene calmness of his temper spoke of a perfect self-mastery. But there was little in his outer bearing to reveal the grandeur of soul which lifts his figure with all the simple majesty of an ancient statue out of the smaller passions, the meaner impulses of the world around him. What recommended him for command was simply his weight among his fellow landowners of Virginia, and the experience of war which he had gained by service in border contests with the French and the Indians, as well as in Braddock's luckless expedition against Fort Duquesne. It was only as the weary fight went on that the colonists discovered, however slowly and imperfectly, the greatness of their leader, his clear judgment, his heroic endurance, his silence under difficulties, his calmness in the hour of danger or defeat, the patience with which he waited, the quickness and hardness with which he struck, the lofty and serene sense of duty that never swerved from its task through resentment or jealousy, that never through war or peace felt the touch of a meaner ambition, that knew no aim save that of guarding the freedom of his fellow-countrymen, and no personal longing save that of returning to his own fireside when their freedom was secured. It was almost unconsciously that men learned to cling to Washington with a trust and faith such as few other men have won, and to regard him with a reverence which still hushes us in presence of his memory. But even America hardly recognized his real greatness while he lived. It was only when death set its seal on him that the voice of those whom he had served so long proclaimed him "the man first in war, first in peace, and first in the hearts of his fellow-countrymen."

Washington more than any of his fellow-colonists represented the clinging of the Virginian landowners to the mother-country, and his acceptance of a military command proved that even the most moderate among the colonists had no hope now save in arms. The struggle opened with a skirmish between a party of English troops and a detachment of militia at Lexington on the nineteenth of April, 1775, and in a few days twenty thousand colonists appeared before Boston. The Congress reassembled, declared the States they represented "The United Colonies of America," and undertook the work of government. Meanwhile ten thousand fresh English troops landed at Boston. But the provincial militia, in number almost double that of the British force which prepared to attack them, seized a neck of ground which joins Boston to the mainland, and though on the 17th of June they were driven from the heights of Bunker's Hill which commanded the town, it was only after a desperate struggle in which their bravery put an end for ever to the taunts of cowardice which had been levelled against the colonists. "Are the Yankees cowards?" shouted the men of Massachusetts as the first English attack rolled back baffled down the hill-side. But a far truer courage was shown in the stubborn endurance with which Washington's raw militiamen, who gradually dwindled from sixteen thousand to ten, ill fed, ill armed, and with but forty-five rounds of ammunition to each man, cooped up through the winter a force of ten thousand veterans in the lines of Boston. The spring of 1776 saw them force these troops to withdraw from the city to New York, where the whole British army, largely reinforced by mercenaries from Germany, was concentrated under General Howe. Meanwhile a raid of the American General Arnold nearly drove the British troops from Canada; and though his attempt broke down before Quebec, it showed that all hope of reconciliation was over. The colonies of the south, the last to join in the struggle, had in fact expelled their Governors at the close of 1775; at the opening of the next year Massachusetts instructed its delegates to support a complete re-

pudiation of the King's government of the Colonies; while the American ports were thrown open to the world in defiance of the Navigation Acts. These decisive steps were followed by the great act with which American history begins, the adoption on the 4th of July, 1776, by the delegates in Congress, after a fierce resistance from those of Pennsylvania and South Carolina, and in spite of the abstention of those of New York, of a Declaration of Independence. "We," ran its solemn words, "the representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, appealing to the Supreme Judge of the world for the rectitude of our intentions, solemnly publish and declare that these United Colonies are, and of right ought to be, Free and Independent States."

But the earlier successes of the Colonists were soon followed by suffering and defeat. Howe, an active general with a fine army at his back, cleared Long Island in August by a victory at Brooklyn; and Washington, whose force was weakened by withdrawals and defeat and disheartened by the loyal tone of the State in which it was encamped, was forced in the autumn of 1776 to evacuate New York and New Jersey, and to fall back first on the Hudson and then on the Delaware. The Congress prepared to fly from Philadelphia, and a general despair showed itself in cries of peace. But a well-managed surprise and a daring march on the rear of Howe's army restored the spirits of Washington's men, and forced the English general in his turn to fall back on New York. England however was now roused to more serious efforts; and the campaign of 1777 opened with a combined attempt for the suppression of the revolt. An army which had assembled in Canada under General Burgoyne marched in June by way of the Lakes to seize the line of the Hudson. Howe meanwhile sailed up the Chesapeake and advanced on Philadelphia, the temporary capital of the United States and the seat of the Congress. The rout of his little army of seven thousand men at Brandywine forced Washington to abandon Philadelphia, and after a bold but unsuccessful attack on his victors to retire into winter quarters on the banks of the Schuyl-

kill, where the unconquerable resolve with which he nerved his handful of beaten and half-starved troops to face Howe's army in their camp at Valley Forge is the noblest of his triumphs. But in the north the war had taken another color. Burgoyne's movement had been planned in view of a junction with at least a part of Howe's army from New York; a junction which would have enabled him to seize the line of the Hudson and thus cut off New England from her sister provinces. But Howe was held fast by Washington's resistance and unable to send a man to the north; while the spirit of New England, which had grown dull as the war rolled away from its borders, quickened again at the news of invasion and of the outrages committed by the Indians employed among the English troops. Its militia hurried from town and homestead to a camp with which General Gates had barred the road to Albany; and after a fruitless attack on the American lines, Burgoyne saw himself surrounded on the heights of Saratoga. On the 17th of October his whole force was compelled to surrender.

The news of this calamity gave force to the words with which Chatham at the very time of the surrender was pressing for peace. "You cannot conquer America," he cried when men were glorying in Howe's successes over Washington. "If I were an American as I am an Englishman, while a foreign troop was landed in my country, I never would lay down my arms—never, never, never!" Then, in a burst of indignant eloquence he thundered against an outrage which was at that moment nerving New England to its rally against Burgoyne, the use of the Indian with his scalping-knife as an ally of England against her children. The proposals which Chatham brought forward might perhaps in his hands even yet have drawn America and the mother-country together. His plan was one of absolute conciliation. He looked forward to a federal union between the settlements and Great Britain which would have left the Colonies absolutely their own masters in all matters of internal government, and linked only by ties of affection and loyalty to the general body of the Empire. But the

plan met with the same scornful rejection as his previous proposals. Its rejection was at once followed by the news of Saratoga, and by the yet more fatal news that this disaster had roused the Bourbon Courts to avenge the humiliation of the Seven Years' War. Crippled and impoverished as she was at its close, France could do nothing to break the world-power which was rising in front of her; but in the very moment of her defeat, the foresight of Choiseul had seen in a future struggle between England and her Colonies a chance of ruining the great fabric which Pitt's triumphs had built up. Nor was Pitt blind to the steady resolve of France to renew the fight. In every attempt which he had made to construct a Ministry he had laid down as the corner-stone of his foreign policy a renewal of that alliance with the Protestant States of North Germany against the House of Bourbon which could alone save England from the dangers of the Family Compact. But his efforts had been foiled alike by the resistance of the King, the timid peacefulness of the Whigs, and at last by the distrust of England which had been rooted in the mind of Frederick the Great through the treachery of Lord Bute.

The wisdom of his policy was now brought home by the coming of the danger he had foreseen when the foresight of Choiseul was justified by the outbreak of strife between England and America. Even then for a while France looked idly on. Her king, Lewis the Sixteenth, was averse from war; her treasury was empty; her government, scared by the growth of new movements towards freedom about it, and fearful of endangering the monarchy by the encouragement these would receive from a union with the revolted Colonies, still doubted whether America had any real power of resisting Britain. It was to no purpose that from the moment when they declared themselves independent, the United States called on France for aid; or that Franklin pressed their appeal on its government. A year in fact passed without any decisive resolution to give aid to the Colonists. But the steady drift of French policy and the passion of the French people pressed heavier every day on the hesitation

of their government; and the news of Saratoga forced its hand. The American envoys at last succeeded in forming an alliance; and in February, 1778, a treaty offensive and defensive was concluded between France and America. Lord North strove to meet the blow by fresh offers of conciliation, and by a pledge to renounce for ever the right of direct taxation over the Colonies; but he felt that such offers were fruitless, that the time for conciliation was past, while all hope of reducing America by force of arms had disappeared. In utter despair he pressed his resignation on the King. But George was as obstinate for war as ever; and the country, stung to the quick by the attack of France, backed passionately the obstinacy of the King. But unlike George the Third, it instinctively felt that if a hope still remained of retaining the friendship of the Colonies and of baffling the efforts of the Bourbons, it lay in Lord Chatham; and in spite of the King's resistance the voice of the whole country called him back to power. The danger indeed which had scared Lord North into resignation, and before which a large party of the Whigs now advocated the acknowledgment of American independence, only woke Chatham to his old daring and fire. He had revolted from a war against Englishmen. But all his pride in English greatness, all his confidence in English power, woke afresh at the challenge of France. His genius saw indeed in the new danger a means of escape from the old. He would have withdrawn every soldier from America, and flung the whole force of Britain into the conflict with France. He believed that in the splendor of triumphs over her older enemy England might be brought to terms of amity which would win back the Colonies, and that the English blood of the Colonists themselves would be quickened to a fresh union with the mother-country by her struggle against a power from which she had so lately rescued them. Till such a trial had been made, with all the advantages that the magic of his name could give it in England and America alike, he would not bow to a need that must wreck the great Empire his hand had built up. Even at this hour there

was a chance of success for such a policy; but on the eve of Chatham's return to office this chance was shattered by the hand of death. Broken with age and disease, the Earl was borne to the House of Lords on the seventh of April to utter in a few broken words his protest against the proposal to surrender America. "I rejoice," he murmured, "that I am still alive to lift up my voice against the dismemberment of this ancient and noble monarchy. His Majesty succeeded to an Empire as great in extent as its reputation was unsullied. Seventeen years ago this people was 'the terror of the world.'" He listened impatiently to the reply of the Duke of Richmond, and again rose to his feet. But he had hardly risen when he pressed his hand upon his heart, and falling back in a swoon was borne home to die.

How well founded was Chatham's faith in the power of Britain was seen in the strife that opened. From the hour of his death England entered on a conflict with enemies whose circle gradually widened till she stood single-handed against the world. At the close of 1778 the Family Compact bore its full fruit; Spain joined the league of France and America against her; and in the next year the joint fleets of the two powers rode the masters of the Channel. They even threatened a descent on the English coast. But dead as Chatham was, his cry woke a new life in England. "Shall we fall prostrate," he exclaimed with his last breath, "before the House of Bourbon?" and the divisions which had broken the nation in its struggle with American liberty were hushed in the presence of this danger to its own existence. The weakness of the Ministry was compensated by the energy of England itself. For three years, from 1779 to 1782, General Elliott held against famine and bombardment from a French and Spanish army the rock fortress of Gibraltar. Although a quarrel over the right of search banded Holland and the Courts of the North in an armed neutrality against her, and added the Dutch fleet to the number of her assailants, England held her own at sea. In her Eastern dependency, where France sought a counterpoise to the power of Britain in

that of the Mahrattas, freebooters of Hindoo blood whose tribes had for a century past carried their raids over India from the hills of the Western coast, and founded sovereignties in Guzerat, Malwa, and Tanjore, the tenacity and resource of Warren Hastings, the first Governor-General of British India, wrested victory from failure and defeat. Though the wide schemes of conquest which he formed were for the moment frustrated, the annexation of Benares, the extension of British rule along the Ganges, the reduction of Oude to virtual dependence, the appearance of English armies in Central India, and the defeat of the Sultan of Mysore, laid the foundation of an Indian Empire which his genius was bold enough to foresee. Even in America the fortune of the war seemed for a while to turn. After Burgoyne's surrender the English generals had withdrawn from Pennsylvania, and bent all their efforts on the Southern States, where a strong Royalist party still existed. The capture of Charlestown and the successes of Lord Cornwallis in 1780 were rendered fruitless by the obstinate resistance of General Greene; but the United States remained weakened by bankruptcy and unnerved by hopes of aid from France.

Hardly a year, however, had passed when the face of the war in America was changed by a terrible disaster. Foiled in an attempt on North Carolina by the refusal of his fellow general, Sir Henry Clinton, to assist him, Cornwallis fell back in 1781 on Virginia, and entrenched himself in the lines of York Town. A sudden march of Washington brought him to the front of the English troops at a moment when the French fleet held the sea, and the British army was driven by famine in October to a surrender as humiliating as that of Saratoga. The news fell like a thunderbolt on the wretched Minister, who had till now suppressed at his master's order his own conviction of the uselessness of further bloodshed. Opening his arms and pacing wildly about the room, Lord North exclaimed, "It is all over," and resigned. At this moment indeed the country seemed on the brink of ruin. Humiliating as it was, England could have

borne fifty such calamities as the surrender at York Town. But in the very crisis of the struggle with America she found herself confronted with a danger nearer home. The revolt of one great dependency brought with it a threatened revolt from another. In Ireland, as in the Colonies, England had shrunk from carrying out either a national or an imperial policy. She might have recognized Ireland as a free nationality, and bound it to herself by federal bonds; or she might have absorbed it, as she had absorbed Scotland, into the general mass of her own national life. With a perverse ingenuity she had not only refrained from taking either of these courses, but she had deliberately adopted the worst features of both. Ireland was absolutely subject to Britain, but she formed no part of it, she shared neither in its liberty nor its wealth. But on the other hand she was allowed no national existence of her own. While all the outer seeming of national life was left, while Ireland possessed in name an army, a Parliament, a magistracy, the mass of the Irish people was as strange to all this life as the savages of Polynesia. Every Catholic Irishman, and there were five Irish Catholics to every Irish Protestant, was treated as a stranger and a foreigner in his own country. The House of Lords, the House of Commons, the magistracy, all corporate offices in towns, all ranks in the army, the bench, the bar, the whole administration of government or justice, were closed against Catholics. The very right of voting for their representatives in Parliament was denied them. Few Catholic landowners had been left by the sweeping confiscations which had followed the successive revolts of the island, and oppressive laws forced even these few with scant exceptions to profess Protestantism. Necessity indeed had brought about a practical toleration of their religion and their worship; but in all social and political matters the native Catholics, in other words the immense majority of the people of Ireland, were simply hewers of wood and drawers of water for Protestant masters, for masters who still looked on themselves as mere settlers, who boasted of their Scotch or English extraction, and who regarded the name of "Irishman" as an insult.

But small as was this Protestant body, one-half of it fared little better as far as power was concerned than the Catholics. The Presbyterians, who formed the bulk of the Ulster settlers, were shut out by law from all civil, military, and municipal offices. The administration and justice of the country were thus kept rigidly in the hands of members of the Established Church, a body which comprised about a twelfth of the population of the island, while its government was practically monopolized by a few great Protestant landowners. The rotten boroughs which had originally been created to make the Irish Parliament dependent on the Crown, had by this time fallen under the influence of the adjacent landlords, whose command of these made them masters of the House of Commons while they themselves formed in person the House of Peers. To such a length had this system been carried that at the time of the Union more than sixty seats were in the hands of three families alone—those of the Hills, the Ponsonbys, and the Beresfords. One-half of the House of Commons, in fact, was returned by a small group of nobles, who were recognized as "parliamentary undertakers," and who undertook to "manage" Parliament on their own terms. Irish politics were for these men a mere means of public plunder; they were glutted with pensions, preferments, and bribes in hard cash in return for their services; they were the advisers of every Lord-Lieutenant and the practical governors of the country. The results were what might have been expected. For more than a century Ireland was the worst governed country in Europe. That its government was not even worse than it was, was due to its connexion with England and the subordination of its Parliament to the English Privy Council. The Irish Parliament had no power of originating legislative or financial measures, and could only say "yes" or "no" to Acts laid before it by the Privy Council in England. The English Parliament too claimed the right of binding Ireland as well as England by its enactments, and one of its statutes transferred the appellate jurisdiction of the Irish Peerage to the English House of Lords. Galling as these restrictions were to

the plundering aristocracy of Ireland, they formed a useful check on its tyranny. But as if to compensate for the benefits of this protection England did her best from the time of William the Third to annihilate Irish commerce and to ruin Irish agriculture. Statutes passed by the jealousy of English landowners forbade the export of Irish cattle or sheep to English ports. The export of wool was forbidden lest it might interfere with the profits of English wool-growers. Poverty was thus added to the curse of misgovernment; and poverty deepened with the rapid growth of the native population, a growth due in great part to the physical misery and moral degradation of their lives, till famine turned the country into a hell.

The bitter lesson of the last conquest however long sufficed to check all dreams of revolt among the native Irish; and the outbreaks which sprang from time to time out of the general misery and discontent were purely social in their character, and were roughly repressed by the ruling class. When political revolt at last threatened English supremacy over Ireland, the threat came from the ruling class itself. Some timid efforts made by the English Government at the accession of George the Third to control its tyranny were resented by a refusal of money bills, and by a cry for the removal of the checks imposed on the independence of the Irish Parliament. But it was not till the American war that this cry became a political danger. The threat of a French invasion and the want of any regular force to oppose it compelled the Government to call on Ireland to provide for its own defence, and in answer to its call forty thousand volunteers appeared in arms in 1779. The force was wholly a Protestant one, commanded by Protestant officers, and it was turned to account by the Protestant oligarchy. Threats of an armed revolt backed the eloquence of two Parliamentary leaders, Grattan and Flood, in their demand for the repeal of Poyning's Act, which took all power of initiative legislation from the Irish Parliament, and for the recognition of the Irish House of Lords as an ultimate Court of Appeal. But the Volunteers were forced to bid for the support of the native Catholics,

who looked with indifference on these quarrels of their masters, by claiming for them a relaxation of the penal laws against the exercise of their religion and of some of their most oppressive disabilities. So real was the danger that England was forced to give way. The first demands were in effect a claim for national independence. But there were no means of resisting them, for England was without a soldier to oppose the Volunteers, while she was pressed hard by the league of Europe and America against her. In the face of such a rising close at home, it became plain even to the most dogged of Tories that it was impossible to continue a strife across three thousand miles of sea; and to deal with the attitude of Ireland became even a more pressing need of the Ministry which followed that of Lord North than the need of dealing with America.

The blow which had shattered the attempt of England to wield an autocratic power over her Colonies had shattered the attempt of its King to establish an autocratic power over England itself. The Ministry which bore the name of Lord North had been a mere screen for the administration of George the Third, and its ruin was the ruin of the system he had striven to build up. Never again was the Crown to possess such a power as he had wielded during the past ten years. For the moment however there was nothing to mark so decisive a change; and both to the King and his opponents it must have seemed only a new turn in the political game which they were playing when in March, 1782, the Whigs returned to office. Though the Tories and "King's friends" had now grown to a compact body of a hundred and fifty members, who still followed Lord North, the Whigs were superior to their rivals in numbers and political character, now that the return of the Bedford and Grenville sections to the general body of the party during its long and steady opposition to the war had restored much of its old cohesion. Rockingham was still its head; and on Rockingham fell the double task of satisfying Ireland and of putting an end, at any cost, to the war with the United States. The task involved in both quarters a

humiliating surrender; for neither Ireland nor America would be satisfied save by a full concession of their claims. It needed the bitter stress of necessity to induce the English Parliament to follow Rockingham's counsels, but the need was too urgent to suffer their rejection. The Houses therefore abandoned by a formal statute the judicial and legislative supremacy they had till then asserted over the Parliament of Ireland, and from this moment England and Ireland were simply held together by the fact that the sovereign of the one island was also the sovereign of the other. The grant of independence to the one great dependency made it easier to recognize the freedom of the other. Rockingham in fact took office with the purpose of winning peace by a full acknowledgment of the independence of the United States, and negotiations were soon entered into for that purpose.

But America was bound by its league with the Bourbon Courts to make no peace save one common to its allies, and from its allies peace was hard to win without concessions which would have stripped from England all that remained of her older greatness. With the revolt of Ireland and the surrender of Cornwallis the hopes of her enemies rose high. Spain refused to suspend hostilities at any other price than the surrender of Gibraltar; while France proposed that England should give up all her Indian conquests save Bengal. The triumph of the Bourbons indeed seemed secure. If terms like these were accepted the world-empire of Britain was at an end. Stripped of her Colonies in America, stripped of her rule in India, matched on the very ocean by rival fleets, England sank back into a European State, into the England of the first Georges. And yet there seemed little chance of her holding out against the demands of such a league as fronted her at a moment when her military power was paralyzed by the attitude of Ireland. But the true basis of her world-power lay on the sea. It was by her command of the sea that such an empire could alone be possible; nor was it possible so long as she commanded the sea for all the armies of the Bourbon powers to rob her of it. And at this moment the command of the seas

again became her own. On the 16th of January, 1780, Admiral Rodney, the greatest of English seamen save Nelson and Blake, encountered the Spanish fleet off Cape St. Vincent, and only four of its vessels escaped to Cadiz. At the opening of 1782 the triumphs of the French admiral De Grasse called him to the West Indies; and on the 12th of April a manœuvre which he was the first to introduce broke his opponent's line, and drove the French fleet shattered from the Atlantic. With Rodney's last victory the struggle of the Bourbons was really over, for no means remained of attacking their enemy save at Gibraltar, and here a last attack of the joint force gathered against it was repulsed by the heroism of Elliott. Nor would America wait any longer for the satisfaction of her allies. In November her commissioners signed the preliminaries of a peace in which Britain reserved to herself on the American continent only Canada and the island of Newfoundland; and acknowledged without reserve the independence of the United States.

The action of America ended the war, and the treaty of peace with the United States was a prelude to treaties of peace with the Bourbon powers. Their actual gains were insignificant. France indeed won nothing in the treaties with which the war ended; Spain gained only Florida and Minorca. Nor could they feel even in this hour of their triumph that the end at which they aimed had been fully reached. In half their great effort against the world-power of Britain they had utterly failed. She had even won ground in India. In America itself she still retained the northern dominion of Canada. Her West Indian islands remained intact. Above all she had asserted more nobly than ever her command of the sea, and with it the possibility of building up a fresh power in such lands as Cook had called her to. But at the close of the war there was less thought of what she had retained than of what she had lost. She was parted from her American Colonies; and at the moment such a parting seemed to be the knell of her greatness. In wealth, in population, the American Colonies far surpassed all

that remained of her Empire; and the American Colonies were irrecoverably gone. It is no wonder that in the first shock of such a loss England looked on herself as on the verge of ruin, or that the Bourbon Courts believed her position as a world-power to be practically at an end. How utterly groundless such a conception was the coming years were to show. The energies of England were in fact spurred to new efforts by the crises in her fortunes. The industrial development which followed the war gave her a material supremacy such as she had never known before, and the rapid growth of wealth which this industry brought with it raised her again into a mother of nations as her settlers built up in the waters of the Pacific Colonies as great as those which she had lost on the coast of America. But if the Bourbons overrated their triumph in one way, they immensely underrated it in another. Whatever might be the importance of American independence in the history of England, it was of unequalled moment in the history of the world. If it crippled for a while the supremacy of the English nation, it founded the supremacy of the English race. From the hour of American Independence the life of the English People has flowed not in one current, but in two ; and while the older has shown little signs of lessening, the younger has fast risen to a greatness which has changed the face of the world. In 1783 America was a nation of three millions of inhabitants, scattered thinly along the coast of the Atlantic Ocean. It is now a nation of forty millions, stretching over the whole continent from the Atlantic to the Pacific. In wealth and material energy, as in numbers, it far surpasses the mother country from which it sprang. It is already the main branch of the English People ; and in the days that are at hand the main current of that people's history must run along the channel not of the Thames or the Mersey, but of the Hudson and the Mississippi. But distinct as these currents are, every year proves more clearly that in spirit the English People is one. The distance that parted England from America lessens every day. The ties that unite them grow every day stronger. The social and

political differences that threatened a hundred years ago to form an impassable barrier between them grow every day less. Against this silent and inevitable drift of things the spirit of narrow isolation on either side the Atlantic struggles in vain. It is impossible that the two branches of the English people will remain for ever separate political existences. It is likely enough that the older of them may again break in twain, and that the English People in the Pacific may assert as distinct a national life as the two English Peoples on either side the Atlantic. But the spirit, the influence, of all these branches will remain one. And in thus remaining one, before half a century is over it will change the face of the world. As two hundred millions of Englishmen fill the valley of the Mississippi, as fifty millions of Englishmen assert their lordship over Australasia, this vast power will tell through Britain on the old world of Europe, whose nations will have shrunk into insignificance before it. What the issues of such a world-wide change may be, not even the wildest dreamer would dare to dream. But one issue is inevitable. In the centuries that lie before us, the primacy of the world will lie with the English People. English institutions, English speech, English thought, will become the main features of the political, the social, and the intellectual life of mankind.

CHAPTER III.

INDUSTRIAL ENGLAND.

1782—1792.

That in the creation of the United States the world had reached one of the turning points in its history seems at the time to have entered into the thought of not a single European statesman. What startled men most at the moment was the discovery that England herself was far from being ruined by the greatness of her defeat. She rose from it indeed stronger and more vigorous than ever. Never had she shown a mightier energy than in the struggle against France which followed only ten years after her loss of America, nor did she ever stand higher among the nations than on the day of Waterloo. Her internal development was as imposing as her outer grandeur. Weary and disgraceful indeed as was the strife with the Colonies, the years of its progress were years of as mighty a revolution for the mother country as for its child. The England that is about us dates from the American War. It was then that the moral, the philanthropic, the religious ideas which have moulded English society into its present shape first broke the spiritual torpor of the eighteenth century. It was then that with the wider diffusion of intelligence our literature woke to a nobler and larger life which fitted it to become the mouthpiece of every national emotion. It was then that by a change unparalleled in history the country laid aside her older agricultural character to develop industrial forces which made her at a single bound the workshop of the world. Amidst the turmoil of the early years of George the Third Brindley was silently covering Eng-

land with canals, and Watt as silently perfecting his invention of the steam-engine. It was amidst the strife with America that Adam Smith regenerated our economical, Gibbon our historical, and Burke our political literature; and peace was hardly declared when the appearance of Crabbe, Cowper, and Burns heralded a new birth of our poetry.

No names so illustrious as these marked the more silent but even deeper change in the religious temper of the country. It dates, as we have seen, from the work of the Wesleys, but the Methodists themselves were the least result of the Methodist revival. Its action upon the Church broke the lethargy of the clergy; and the "Evangelical" movement, which found representatives like Newton and Cecil within the pale of the Establishment, made the fox-hunting parson and the absentee rector at last impossible. In Walpole's day the English clergy were the idlest and the most lifeless in the world. In our own time no body of religious ministers surpasses them in piety, in philanthropic energy, or in popular regard. But the movement was far from being limited to the Methodists or the clergy. In the nation at large appeared a new moral enthusiasm which, rigid and pedantic as it often seemed, was still healthy in its social tone, and whose power showed itself in a gradual disappearance of the profligacy which had disgraced the upper classes, and the foulness which had infested literature even since the Restoration. A yet nobler result of the religious revival was the steady attempt, which has never ceased from that day to this, to remedy the guilt, the ignorance, the physical suffering, the social degradation of the profligate and the poor. It was not till the Wesleyan impulse had done its work that this philanthropic impulse began. The Sunday Schools established by Mr. Raikes of Gloucester at the close of the century were the beginnings of popular education. By writings and by her own personal example Hannah More drew the sympathy of England to the poverty and crime of the agricultural laborer. A passionate impulse of human sympathy with the wronged and afflicted raised hospitals, endowed charities, built

churches, sent missionaries to the heathen, supported Burke in his plea for the Hindoo, and Clarkson and Wilberforce in their crusade against the iniquity of the slave trade.

It is only the moral chivalry of his labors that amongst a crowd of philanthropists draws us most to the work and character of John Howard. The sympathy which all were feeling for the sufferings of mankind Howard felt for the sufferings of the worst and most hapless of men. With wonderful ardor and perseverance he devoted himself to the cause of the debtor, the felon, and the murderer. An appointment to the office of High Sheriff of Bedfordshire in 1774 drew his attention to the state of the prisons which were placed under his care; and from that time the quiet country gentleman, whose only occupation had been reading his Bible and studying his thermometer, became the most energetic and zealous of reformers. Before a year was over he had personally visited almost every English jail, and in nearly all of them he found frightful abuses which had been noticed half a century before, but which had been left unredressed by Parliament. Jailers who bought their places were paid by fees, and suffered to extort what they could. Even when acquitted, men were dragged back to their cells for want of funds to discharge the sums they owed to their keepers. Debtors and felons were huddled together in the prisons which Howard found crowded by the legislation of the day. No separation was preserved between different sexes, no criminal discipline was enforced. Every jail was a chaos of cruelty and the foulest immorality, from which the prisoner could only escape by sheer starvation or through the jail-fever that festered without ceasing in these haunts of misery. Howard saw everything with his own eyes, he tested every suffering by his own experience. In one prison he found a cell so narrow and noisome that the poor wretch who inhabited it begged as a mercy for hanging. Howard shut himself up in the cell and bore its darkness and foulness till nature could bear no more. It was by work of this sort and by the faithful pictures of such scenes which

it enabled him to give that he brought about their reform. The book in which he recorded his terrible experience and the plans which he submitted for the reformation of criminals made him the father, so far as England is concerned, of prison discipline. But his labors were far from being confined to England. In journey after journey he visited the jails of Holland and Germany, till his longing to discover some means of checking the fatal progress of the Plague led him to examine the lazarettos of Europe and the East. He was still engaged in this work of charity when he was seized by a malignant fever at Cherson in Southern Russia, and "laid quietly in the earth," as he desired.

In Howard's later labors the new sentiment of humanity had carried him far beyond the bounds of national sympathy; and forces at once of pity and religion told more and more in begetting a consciousness of the common brotherhood of man. Even at the close of the American war this feeling had become strong enough to color our political life. It told on the attitude of England towards its great dependency of India. Discussions over rival plans of Indian administration diffused a sense of national responsibility for its good government, and there was a general resolve that the security against injustice and misrule which was enjoyed by the poorest Englishman should be enjoyed by the poorest Hindoo. It was this resolve which expressed itself in 1786 in the trial of Warren Hastings. Hastings returned from India at the close of the war with the hope of rewards as great as those of Clive. He had saved all that Clive had won. He had laid the foundation of a vast empire in the East. He had shown rare powers of administration, and the foresight, courage, and temperance which mark the born ruler of men. But with him came rumors of tyranny and wrong. Even those who admitted the wisdom and glory of his rule shrank from its terrible ruthlessness. He was charged with having sold for a vast sum the services of British troops to crush the free tribes of the Rohillas, with having wrung half a million by extortion from the Rajah of Benares, with having extorted by torture and

starvation more than a million from the Princesses of Oude. He was accused of having kept his hold upon power by measures as unscrupulous, and with having murdered a native who opposed him by an abuse of the forms of English law. On almost all these charges the cooler judgment of later inquirers has acquitted Warren Hastings of guilt. Personally there can be little doubt that he had done much to secure to the new subjects of Britain a just and peaceable government. What was hardest and most pitiless in his rule had been simply a carrying out of the system of administration which was native to India and which he found existing there. But such a system was alien from the new humanity of Englishmen; and few dared to vindicate Hastings when Burke in words of passionate earnestness moved for his impeachment.

The great trial lingered on for years; and in the long run Hastings secured an acquittal. But the end at which the impeachment aimed had really been won. The attention, the sympathy of Englishmen had been drawn across distant seas to a race utterly strange to them; and the peasant of Cornwall or Cumberland had learned how to thrill at the suffering of a peasant of Bengal. And even while the trial was going on a yet wider extension of English sympathy made itself felt. The hero-seamen of Elizabeth had not blushed to make gain out of kidnapping negroes and selling them into slavery. One of the profits which England bought by the triumphs of Marlborough was a right to a monopoly of the slave trade between Africa and the Spanish dominions; and it was England that had planted slavery in her American colonies and her West Indian islands. Half the wealth of Liverpool, in fact, was drawn from the traffic of its merchants in human flesh. The horrors and iniquity of the trade, the ruin and degradation of Africa which it brought about, the oppression of the negro himself, had till now moved no pity among Englishmen. But as the spirit of humanity told on the people this apathy suddenly disappeared. Philanthropy allied itself with the new religious movement in an attack on the slave trade.

At the close of the American war its evils began to be felt so widely and deeply that the question forced itself into politics. "After a conversation in the open air at the root of an old tree, just above the steep descent into the Vale of Keston," with the younger Pitt, his friend, William Wilberforce, whose position as a representative of the evangelical party gave weight to his advocacy of such a cause, resolved to bring in a bill for the abolition of the slave trade. The bill which he brought forward in 1788 fell before the opposition of the Liverpool slave merchants and the general indifference of the House of Commons. But the movement gathered fresh strength in the country with every year; in spite of the absorption of England in the struggle with the French Revolution, it succeeded at last in forcing on Parliament the abolition of the traffic in slaves; and this abolition was followed a few years later by the abolition of slavery itself.

Time was to show how wide were the issues to which this religious development and the sentiment of humanity which it generated were to tend. But at the moment they told less directly and immediately on the political and social life of England than an industrial revolution which accompanied them. Though England already stood in the first rank of commercial states at the accession of George the Third, her industrial life at home was mainly agricultural. The growth of her manufactures was steady, but it continued to be slow; they gave employment as yet to but a small part of the population, and added in no great degree to the national wealth. The wool-trade remained the largest, as it was the oldest of them; it had gradually established itself in Norfolk, the West Riding of Yorkshire, and the counties of the south-west; while the manufacture of cotton was still almost limited to Manchester and Bolton, and though winning on its rival remained so unimportant that in the middle of the eighteenth century the export of cotton goods hardly reached the value of fifty thousand a year. There was the same slow and steady progress in the linen trade of Belfast and Dundee, and

the silks of Spitalfields. But as yet textile manufactures contributed little to the national resources; nor did these resources owe much to the working of our minerals. The coal trade was small, and limited by the cost of carriage as well as by ignorance of any mode of employing coal in iron-smelting. On the other hand the scarcity of wood, which was used for that purpose, limited the production of iron. In 1750 only eighteen thousand tons were produced in England; and four-fifths of its iron goods were imported from Sweden. Nor did there seem any likelihood of a rapid change. Skilled labor was scarce; and the processes of manufacture were too rude to allow any large increase of production. It was only where a stream gave force to turn a mill-wheel that the wool-worker could establish his factory; and cotton was as yet spun by hand in the cottages, the "spinsters" of the family sitting with their distaffs round the weaver's hand-loom.

But even had the processes of production become more efficient, they would have been rendered useless by the inefficiency of the means of distribution. The older main roads, which had lasted fairly through the middle ages, had broken down in later times before the growth of traffic and the increase of wagons and carriages. The new lines of trade lay often along mere country lanes which had never been more than horse-tracks, and to drive heavy wains through lanes like these was all but impossible. Much of the woollen trade therefore had to be carried on by means of long trains of pack-horses; and in most cases the cost of carriage added heavily to the price of production. In the case of yet heavier goods, such as coal, distribution was almost impracticable, save along the greater rivers or in districts accessible from the sea. But at the moment when England was just plunging into the Seven Years' War the enterprise of a duke and a millwright solved this problem of carriage, and started the country on a mighty course of industry which was to change both its social and its political character. Francis, Duke of Bridgewater, was a shy, dreamy man, whom a disap-

pointment in love drove to a life of isolation on his estates in the north. He was the possessor of collieries at Worsley whose value depended on their finding a market at the neighboring town of Manchester; and it was to bring his coal to this market that he resolved to drive a canal from the mine to the river Irwell. With singular good luck he found the means of carrying out his design in a self-taught mechanic, James Brindley. But in Brindley's mind the scheme widened far beyond the plans of the duke. Canals, as he conceived them, were no longer to serve as mere adjuncts to rivers; on the contrary, "rivers were only meant," he said, "to feed canals;" and instead of ending in the Irwell, he carried the duke's canal by an aqueduct across that river to Manchester itself. What Brindley had discovered was in fact the water-road, a means of carrying heavy goods with the least resistance, and therefore the least cost, from the point of production to the point of sale; and England at once seized on his discovery to free itself from the bondage in which it had been held. From the year 1767, when Brindley completed his enterprise, a network of such water-roads was flung over the country; and before the movement had spent its force Great Britain alone was traversed in every direction by three thousand miles of navigable canals.

To English trade the canal opened up the richest of all markets, the market of England itself. Every part of the country was practically thrown open to the manufacturer: and the impulse which was given by this facility of carriage was at once felt in a vast development of production. But such a development would have been impossible had not the discovery of this new mode of distribution been accompanied by the discovery of a new productive force. In the coal which lay beneath her soil England possessed a store of force which had hitherto remained almost useless. But its effects were now to make themselves felt. The first instance of the power of coal was shown in utilizing the stores of iron which had lain side by side with it in the northern counties, but which had lain there unworked through

the scarcity of wood, which was looked upon as the only fuel by which it could be smelted. In the middle of the eighteenth century a process for smelting iron with coal turned out to be effective; and the whole aspect of the iron-trade was at once revolutionized. In fifty years the annual production of iron in Great Britain rose from under twenty thousand to more than one hundred and seventy thousand tons. During the fifty years that followed it rose to six millions of tons. Iron was to become the working material of the modern world, and it is its production of iron which more than all else has placed England at the head of industrial Europe. But iron was not the only metal which coal drew from the soil to swell the national wealth. The increase in its production was rivalled by that of lead, copper, and tin; and the 'mining districts' soon gathered a population which raised them into social as well as economical importance.

But it was not in its direct application to metallurgy that coal was destined to produce its most amazing effects. What was needed to turn England into a manufacturing country was some means of transforming the force stored up in coal into a labor force; and it was this transformation which was now brought about through the agency of steam. Engines in which steam was used as a means of draining mines had long been in use; but the power relied on was mainly that of the weight of the air pressing on a piston beneath which a vacuum had been created by the condensation of steam; and the economical use of such engines was checked by the waste of fuel which resulted from the cooling of the cylinder at each condensation, and from the expenditure of heat in again raising it to its old temperature before a fresh stroke of the piston was possible. Both these obstacles were removed by the ingenuity of James Watt. Watt was a working engineer at Glasgow, whose mind had for some time been bent on the improvement of the steam-engine; but it was not till the spring of 1765, amidst the political turmoil which characterized the early reign of George the Third, that as he strolled on a Sunday afternoon

across the Green of Glasgow the means of effecting it burst on him. "I had gone," he says, "to take a walk on a fine Sabbath afternoon. I had entered the Green by the gate at the foot of Charlotte Street, and had passed the old washing-house. I was thinking upon the engine at the time, and had got as far as the herd's house, when the idea came into my mind that as steam was an elastic body it would rush into a vacuum, and if a communication were made between the cylinder and an exhausted vessel it would rush into it, and might there be condensed without cooling the cylinder. I had not walked farther than the Golf-House when the whole thing was arranged in my mind." The employment of a separate condenser, with the entire discarding of any other force in its action save that of steam itself, changed the whole conditions of the steam-engine. On the eve of the American war, in 1776, its use passed beyond the mere draining of mines; and it was rapidly adopted as a motive-force for all kinds of manufacturing industry.

The almost unlimited supply of labor-power in the steam-engine came at a time when the existing supply of manual labor was proving utterly inadequate to cope with the demands of the manufacturer. This was especially the case in textile fabrics. In its earlier stages the manufacture of cotton had been retarded by the difficulty with which the weavers obtained a sufficient supply of cotton yarn from the spinsters; and this difficulty became yet greater when the invention of the fly-shuttle enabled one weaver to do in a single day what had hitherto been the work of two. The difficulty was solved by a Blackburn weaver, John Hargreaves, who noticed that his wife's spindle, which had been accidentally upset, continued to revolve in an upright position on the floor, while the thread was still spinning in her hand. The hint led him to connect a number of spindles with a single wheel, and thus to enable one spinster to do the work of eight. Hargreaves' invention only spurred the wits of a barber's assistant, Richard Arkwright, to a yet greater improvement in the construction of a machine for spinning by rollers revolving at different rates of

speed; and this in its turn was improved and developed in the "mule" of a Bolton weaver, Samuel Crompton, The result of these inventions was to reverse the difficulty which hampered the trade, for the supply or yarn became so rapid and unlimited as to outrun the power of the hand-loom weaver to consume it; but a few years after the close of the American war this difficulty was met by the discovery of the power-loom, which replaced the weaver by machinery. Ingenious however as these inventions were, they would have remained comparatively useless had it not been for the revelation of a new and inexhaustible labor-force in the steam-engine. It was the combination of such a force with such means of applying it that enabled Britain during the terrible years of her struggle with France and Napoleon to all but monopolize the woollen and cotton trades, and raised her into the greatest manufacturing country that the world had seen.

How mighty a force this industrial revolution was to exert on Englsh politics and English society time was to show. By the transfer of wealth and population from southern to northern England, and from the country to the town, it was in the next fifty years to set on foot a revolution in both, the results of which have still to be disclosed. Of such a revolution no English statesman as yet had a glimpse; but already the growth of industrial energy and industrial wealth was telling on the conditions of English statesmanship. The manufacturer and the merchant were coming fast to the front; and their temper was more menacing to the monopoly of political power by the Whigs and the landed aristocracy whom the Whigs represented than the temper of the King himself. Already public opinion was finding in them a new concentration and weight; and it was certain that as the representatives of public opinion they would at last demand a share in the work of the government. Such a demand might have been delayed for a while had they been content with the way in which England was governed. But they were far from being content with it. To no class indeed could the selfishness, the corruption, the factiousness, and the administrative inefficiency of

the ruling order be more utterly odious. Their tone was moral, and they were influenced more and more by the religious and philanthropic movement about them. As men of business, they revolted against the waste and mismanagement which seemed to have become normal in every department of government. Their patriotism, their pride in England's greatness, alienated them from men who looked upon political eminence as a means of personal gain. Above all their personal energy, their consciousness of wealth and power, and to some extent the natural jealousy of the trader against the country gentleman, urged them to press for an overthrow of the existing monopoly, and for a fairer distribution of political influence. But such a pressure could only bring them into conflict with the Whigs whom the fall of Lord North had recalled to office. Though the Tories had now grown to a compact body of a hundred and fifty members, the Whigs still remained superior to their rivals in numbers and ability as well as in distinctness of political aim; for the return of the Bedford section to the general body of the party, as well as its steady opposition to the American war, had restored much of their early cohesion. But this reunion only strengthened their aristocratic and exclusive tendencies, and widened the breach which was steadily opening on questions such as Parliamentary Reform between the bulk of the Whigs and the small fragment of their party which remained true to the more popular sympathies of Chatham.

Lord Shelburne stood at the head of the Chatham party, and it was reinforced at this moment by the entry into Parliament of the second and youngest son of Chatham himself. William Pitt had hardly reached his twenty-second year; but he left college with the learning of a ripe scholar, and his ready and sonorous eloquence had been matured by his father's teaching. "He will be one of the first men in Parliament," said a member to Charles Fox, the Whig leader in the Commons, after Pitt's earliest speech in that house. "He is so already," replied Fox. Young as he was, the haughty self-esteem of the new statesman breathed in every

movement of his tall, spare figure, in the hard lines of a countenance which none but his closer friends saw lighted by a smile, in his cold and repulsive address, his invariable gravity of demeanor, and his habitual air of command. But none knew how great the qualities were which lay beneath this haughty exterior; nor had any one guessed how soon this "boy," as his rivals mockingly styled him, was to crush every opponent and to hold England at his will. There was only a smile of wonder when he refused any of the minor posts which were offered him in the Rockingham administration, and the wonder passed into angry sarcasms as soon as it was known that he claimed, if he took office at all, to be at once admitted to the Cabinet. But Pitt had no desire to take office under Rockingham. He was the inheritor of that side of his father's policy which was most distasteful to the Whigs. To him as to Chatham the main lesson of the war was the need of putting an end to those abuses in the composition of Parliament by which George the Third had been enabled to plunge the country into it. A thorough reform of the House of Commons was the only effectual means of doing this, and Pitt brought forward a bill founded on his father's plans for that purpose. But though a more liberal section of the Whigs, with Charles Fox at their head, were wavering round to a wish for reform, the great bulk of the party could not nerve themselves to the sacrifice of property and influence which such a reform would involve. Rockingham remained hostile to reform, and Burke, whose influence still told much upon Rockingham, was yet more hostile than his chief. Pitt's bill therefore was thrown out. In its stead the Ministry endeavored to weaken the means of corrupt influence which the King had unscrupulously used by disqualifying persons holding government contracts from sitting in Parliament, by depriving revenue officers of the elective franchise (a measure which diminished the weight of the Crown in seventy boroughs), and above all by a bill for the reduction of the civil establishment, of the pension list, and of the secret service fund, which was brought in by

Burke. The measures were to a great extent effectual in diminishing the influence of the Crown over Parliament, and they are memorable as marking the date when the direct bribery of members absolutely ceased. But they were utterly inoperative in rendering the House of Commons really representative of or responsible to the people of England.

The jealousy which the mass of the Whigs entertained of the followers of Chatham and their plans was more plainly shown however on the death of Lord Rockingham in July. Shelburne, who had hitherto served as Secretary of State, was called by the King to the head of the Ministry, a post to which his eminent talents and the ability which he was showing in the negotiations for the Peace clearly gave him a title. But Shelburne had been hampered in these negotiations by the jealousy of Charles Fox, who as joint Secretary of State with him claimed in spite of usage a share in conducting them, and who persisted without a show of reason in believing himself to have been unfairly treated. It was on personal grounds therefore that Fox refused to serve under Shelburne; but the refusal of Burke and the bulk of Rockingham's followers was based on more than personal grounds. It sprang from a rooted distrust of the more popular tendencies of which Shelburne was justly regarded as the representative. To Pitt, on the other hand, these tendencies were the chief ground of confidence in the new Ministry; and young as he was, he at once entered office as Chancellor of the Exchequer. But his tenure of this post was a brief one. The Shelburne Ministry in fact only lasted long enough to conclude the final peace with the United States on the base of their independence; for in the opening of 1783 it was overthrown by the most unscrupulous coalition known in our history, a union of the Whig followers of Fox united with the Tories who still clung to Lord North. In Parliament such a coalition was irresistible, and the resignation of Shelburne at once made way for an administration in which both the triumphant parties were represented. But the effect on England at large

was very different. Whatever new credit the Whigs had gained with the country during their long exclusion from office had been due to their steady denunciation of the policy and temper of Lord North's administration. That they should take office hand in hand with men whom they had for years denounced as the worst of Ministers shocked even their most loyal adherents; and the shock was the greater that a new seriousness in politics, a longing for a deeper and more earnest treatment of political questions, was making mere faction intolerable to Englishmen. But behind all this was the sense that something more than mere faction had really brought the two parties together. It was their common dread of the popular tendencies which Shelburne's Ministry represented, their common hatred of Parliamentary reform, which hushed for the moment the bitter hostility between the followers of Rockingham and the followers of North. Yet never had the need of representative reform been more clearly shown than by a coalition which proved how powerless was the force of public opinion to check even the most shameless faction in Parliament, how completely the lessening of royal influence by the measures of Burke and Rockingham had tended to the profit not of the people but of the borough-mongers who usurped its representation. The turn of public opinion was quick in disclosing itself. Fox was the most popular of the Whigs, but he was hooted from the platform when he addressed his constituents at Westminster. Pitt, on the other hand, whose attacks on the new union rose to a lofty and indignant eloquence, was lifted by it into an almost solitary greatness.

But in Parliament Pitt was as powerless as he was influential in the country. His renewed proposal of Parliamentary Reform, though he set aside the disfranchisement of rotten boroughs as a violation of private property, and limited himself to the disfranchisement of boroughs convicted of corruption, and to the addition of one hundred members to the county representation, was rejected by a majority of two to one. Secure in their

parliamentary majority, and heedless of the House of Commons, the new Ministers entered boldly on a greater task than had as yet taxed the constructive genius of English statesmen. To leave such a dominion as Warren Hastings had built up in India to the control of a mere Company of traders was clearly impossible; and Fox proposed to transfer its political government from the Directors of the Company to a board of seven Commissioners. The appointment of the seven was vested in the first instance in Parliament, and afterwards in the Crown; their office was to be held for five years, but they were removable on address from either House of Parliament. The proposal was at once met with a storm of opposition. The scheme indeed was an injudicious one; for the new Commissioners would have been destitute of that practical knowledge of India which belonged to the Company, while the want of any immediate link between them and the actual Ministry of the Crown would have prevented Parliament from exercising an effective control over their acts. But the real faults of this India Bill were hardly noticed in the popular outcry against it. It had challenged the hostility of powerful influences. The merchant-class was galled by the blow levelled at the greatest merchant-body in the realm: corporations trembled at the cancelling of a charter, the King viewed the measure as a mere means of transferring the patronage of India to the Whigs. But it might have defied the opposition of corporations and the King had it not had to meet the bitter hostility of the nation at large. With the nation the faults of the bill lay not in this detail or that, but in the character of the Ministry which proposed it. To give the rule and patronage of India over to the existing House of Commons was to give a new and immense power to a body which misused in the grossest way the power it possessed. It was the sense of this popular feeling which encouraged the King to exert his personal influence to defeat the measure in the Lords, and on its defeat to order his Ministers to deliver up the seals. The unpopularity of Shelburne stood in the way of his resumption of office, and in December 1783 Pitt

accepted the post of First Lord of the Treasury. His position would at once have been untenable had the country gone with its nominal representatives. He was defeated again and again by large majorities in the Commons; but the majorities dwindled as a shower of addresses from every quarter, from the Tory University of Oxford as from the Whig Corporation of London, proved that public opinion went with the Minister and not with the House. It was the general sense of this that justified Pitt in the firmness with which, in the teeth of addresses for his removal from office, he delayed the dissolution of Parliament for five months, and gained time for that ripening of the national sentiment on which he counted for success. When the election of 1784 came the struggle was at once at an end. The public feeling took a strength which broke through the corrupt influences that commonly governed its representation. Every great constituency, the counties and the large towns, returned supporters of Pitt. Of the majority which had defeated him in the Commons, a hundred and sixty members were unseated. Fox hardly retained his seat for Westminster, Burke lost his seat for Bristol, and only a fragment of the Whig party was saved by its command of nomination boroughs.

When Parliament came together after the overthrow of the Coalition, the Minister of twenty-five was master of England as no Minister had been before. Even George the Third yielded to his sway, partly through gratitude for the triumph he had won for him, partly from a sense of the madness which was soon to strike him down, but still more from a gradual discovery that the triumph which he had won over his political rivals had been won, not to the profit of the crown, but of the nation at large. The Whigs, it was true, were broken, unpopular, and without a policy; while the Tories, whom the Coalition had disgusted with Lord North, as it had estranged Fox from their opponents, clung to the Minister who had "saved the King." But it was the support of a new political power that really gave his strength to the young Minister. The sudden rise of English industry was push-

ing the manufacturer to the front, and the manufacturer pinned his faith from the first in William Pitt. All that the trading classes loved in Chatham, his nobleness of temper, his consciousness of power, his patriotism, his sympathy with a wider world than the world within the Parliament-house, they saw in his son. He had little indeed of the poetic and imaginative side of Chatham's genius, of his quick perception of what was just and what was possible, his far-reaching conceptions of national policy, his outlook into the future of the world. Pitt's flowing and sonorous commonplaces rang hollow beside the broken phrases which still make his father's eloquence a living thing to Englishmen. On the other hand he possessed some qualities in which Chatham was utterly wanting. His temper, though naturally ardent and sensitive, had been schooled in a proud self-command. His simplicity and good taste freed him from his father's ostentation and extravagance. Diffuse and commonplace as his speeches seemed to the reader, they were adapted as much by their very qualities of diffuseness and commonplace as by their lucidity and good sense to the intelligence of the classes whom Pitt felt to be his real audience. In his love of peace, his immense industry, his despatch of business, his skill in debate, his knowledge of finance, he recalled Sir Robert Walpole; but he had virtues which Walpole never possessed, and he was free from Walpole's worst defects. He was careless of personal gain. He was too proud to rule by corruption. His lofty self-esteem left no room for any jealousy of subordinates. He was generous in his appreciation of youthful merits; and the "boys" he gathered round him, such as Canning and Lord Wellesley, rewarded his generosity by a devotion which death left untouched. With Walpole's cynical inaction Pitt had no sympathy whatever. His policy from the first was a policy of active reform, and he faced every one of the problems, financial, constitutional, religious, from which Walpole had shrunk. Above all he had none of Walpole's scorn of his fellowmen. The noblest feature in his mind was its wide humanity. His love for England was as deep and per-

sonal as his father's love, but of the sympathy with English passion and English prejudice which had been at once his father's weakness and strength he had not a trace. When Fox taunted him with forgetting Chatham's jealousy of France and his faith that she was the natural foe of England, Pitt answered nobly that "to suppose any nation can be unalterably the enemy of another is weak and childish."

The temper of the time, and the large sympathy of man with man which especially marks the eighteenth century as a turning point in the history of the human race, was everywhere bringing to the front a new order of statesmen, such as Turgot and Joseph the Second, whose characteristics were a love of mankind, and a belief that as the happiness of the individual can only be secured by the general happiness of the community to which he belongs, so the welfare of individual nations can only be secured by the general welfare of the world. Of these Pitt was one. But he rose high above the rest in the consummate knowledge and the practical force which he brought to the realization of his aims. His strength lay in finance; and he came forward at a time when the growth of English wealth made a knowledge of finance essential to a great Minister. The progress of the nation was wonderful. Population more than doubled during the eighteenth century, and the advance of wealth was even greater than that of population. Though the war had added a hundred millions to the national debt, the burden was hardly felt. The loss of America only increased the commerce with that country, and industry, as we have seen, had begun that great career which was to make England the workshop of the world. To deal wisely with such a growth required a knowledge of the laws of wealth which would have been impossible at an earlier time. But it had become possible in the days of Pitt. If books are to be measured by the effect which they have produced on the fortunes of mankind, the "Wealth of Nations" must rank amongst the greatest of books. Its author was Adam Smith, an Oxford scholar and a professor of Glasgow. Labor, he contended, was

the one source of wealth, and it was by freedom of labor, by suffering the worker to pursue his own interest in his own way, that the public wealth would best be promoted. Any attempt to force labor into artificial channels, to shape by laws the course of commerce, to promote special branches of industry in particular countries, or to fix the character of the intercourse between one country and another, is not only a wrong to the worker or the merchant, but actually hurtful to the wealth of a state. The book was published in 1776, at the opening of the American war, and studied by Pitt during his career as an undergraduate at Cambridge. From that time he owned Adam Smith for his master; and he had hardly become Minister before he took the principles of the "Wealth of Nations" as the groundwork of his policy.

It was thus that the ten earlier years of Pitt's rule marked a new point of departure in English statesmanship. He was the first English Minister who really grasped the part which industry was to play in promoting the welfare of the world. He was not only a peace Minister and a financier, as Walpole had been, but a statesman who saw that the best security for peace lay in the freedom and widening of commercial intercourse between nations; that public economy not only lessened the general burdens but left additional capital in the hands of industry; and that finance might be turned from a mere means of raising revenue into a powerful engine of political and social improvement. That little was done by Pitt himself to carry these principles into effect was partly owing to the mass of ignorance and prejudice with which he had to contend and still more to the sudden break of his plans through the French Revolution. His power rested above all on the trading classes, and these were still persuaded that wealth meant gold and silver, and that commerce was best furthered by jealous monopolies. It was only by patience and dexterity that the mob of merchants and country squires who backed him in the House of Commons could be brought to acquiesce in the changes he proposed. How small his power was when it struggled with the prejudices

around him was seen in the failure of the first great measure he brought forward. The question of parliamentary reform which had been mooted during the American war had been coming steadily to the front. Chatham had advocated an increase of county members, who were then the most independent part of the Lower House. The Duke of Richmond talked of universal suffrage, equal electoral districts, and annual Parliaments. Wilkes anticipated the Reform Bill of a later time by proposing to disfranchise the rotten boroughs, and to give members in their stead to the counties and to the more populous and wealthy towns. William Pitt had made the question his own by bringing forward a motion for reform on his first entry in the House, and one of his earliest measures as Minister was to bring in a bill in 1785 which, while providing for the gradual extinction of all decayed boroughs, disfranchised thirty-six at once, and transferred their members to counties. He brought the King to abstain from opposition, and strove to buy off the borough-mongers, as the holders of rotten boroughs were called, by offering to compensate them for the seats they lost at their market-value. But the bulk of his own party joined the bulk of the Whigs in a steady resistance to the bill, while it received no effective support from the general opinion of the people without. The more glaring abuses, indeed, within Parliament itself, the abuses which stirred Chatham and Wilkes to action, had in great part disappeared. The bribery of members had ceased. Burke's Bill of Economical Reform had just dealt a fatal blow at the influence which the King exercised by suppressing a host of useless offices, household appointments, judicial and diplomatic charges, which were maintained for the purposes of corruption. But what was probably the most fatal obstacle to any pressure for reform was the triumph of public opinion to which Pitt owed his power. The utter overthrow of the Coalition, the complete victory of public opinion, had done much to diminish the sense of any real danger from the opposition which Parliament had shown till now to the voice of the nation. England, then as now, was indifferent to all but practical griev-

ances; and the nation cared little for anomalies in the form of representation so long as it felt itself represented. "Terribly disappointed and beat," as Wilberforce tells us Pitt was by the rejection of his measure, the temper of the House and of the people was too plain to be mistaken, and though his opinion remained unaltered, he never brought it forward again.

The failure of his constitutional reform was more than compensated by the triumphs of his finance. When he entered office public credit was at its lowest ebb. The debt had been doubled by the American war, yet large sums still remained unfunded, while the revenue was reduced by a vast system of smuggling which turned every coast-town into a nest of robbers. The deficiency in the revenue was met for the moment by new taxes, but the time which was thus gained served to change the whole face of public affairs. The first of Pitt's financial measures—his plan for gradually paying off the debt by a sinking fund—was undoubtedly an error; but it had a happy effect in restoring public confidence. He met the smuggler by a reduction of Custom-duties which made his trade unprofitable. He revived Walpole's plan of an Excise. Meanwhile the public expenses were reduced, and commission after commission was appointed to introduce economy into every department of the public service. The rapid development of the national industry which we have already noted no doubt aided the success of these measures. Credit was restored. The smuggling trade was greatly reduced. In two years there was a surplus of a million, and though duty after duty was removed the revenue rose steadily with every remission of taxation. Meanwhile Pitt was showing the political value of the new finance in a wider field. Ireland, then as now, was England's difficulty. The tyrannous misgovernment under which she had groaned ever since the battle of the Boyne was producing its natural fruit; the miserable land was torn with political faction, religious feuds, and peasant conspiracies; and so threatening had the attitude of the Protestant party which ruled it become during the American war that they had forced

the English Parliament to relinquish its control over their Parliament in Dublin. Pitt saw that much at least of the misery and disloyalty of Ireland sprang from its poverty. The population had grown rapidly, while culture remained stationary and commerce perished. And of this poverty much was the direct result of unjust law. Ireland was a grazing country, but to protect the interest of English graziers the import of its cattle into England was forbidden. To protect the interests of English clothiers and weavers, its manufactures were loaded with duties. To redress this wrong was the first financial effort of Pitt, and the bill which he introduced in 1785 did away with every obstacle to freedom of trade between England and Ireland. It was a measure which, as he held, would "draw what remained of the shattered empire together," and repair in part the loss of America by creating a loyal and prosperous Ireland; and struggling almost alone in face of a fierce opposition from the Whigs and the Manchester merchants, he dragged it through the English Parliament, though only to see it flung aside by the Protestant faction under Grattan which then ruled the Parliament of Ireland. But the defeat only spurred him to a greater effort elsewhere. If Ireland was England's difficulty, France had been looked upon as England's natural enemy. We have seen how nobly Pitt rebuked prejudices such as this; but he knew that nothing could so effectively dispel it as increased intercourse between nation and nation. In 1787 therefore he concluded a Treaty of Commerce with France which enabled subjects of both countries to reside and travel in either without licence or passport, did away with all prohibition of trade on either side, and reduced every import duty.

The immediate result of this treaty was a great increase of trade between France and England; and brief as its course was fated to be, it at once set Pitt on a higher level than any rival statesman of his time. But the spirit of humanity which breathed through his policy had to wrestle with difficulties both at home and abroad. No measure secured a warmer support from the young

Minister than the bill for the suppression of the slave trade; but in 1788 it was defeated by the vigorous opposition of the trading classes and the prejudice of the people at large. His efforts to sap the enmity of nation against nation by a freer intercourse encountered a foe even more fatal than English prejudice, in the very movement of which his measures formed a part. Across the Channel this movement was growing into a revolution which was to change the face of the world. That such a revolution must one day come, every observer who had compared the state of Europe with that of England had long seen to be inevitable. So far as England was concerned, the Puritan resistance of the seventeenth century had in the end succeeded in checking the general tendency of the time to religious and political despotism. Since the Revolution of 1688 freedom of conscience and the people's right to govern itself through its representatives in Parliament had been practically established. Social equality had begun long before. Every man from the highest to the lowest was subject to and protected by, the same law. The English aristocracy, though exercising a powerful influence on government, were possessed of few social privileges, and hindered from forming a separate class in the nation by the legal and social tradition which counted all save the eldest son of a noble house as commoners. No impassable line parted the gentry from the commercial classes, and these again possessed no privileges which could part them from the lower classes of the community. Public opinion, the general sense of educated Englishmen, had established itself after a short struggle, as the dominant element in English government. But in all the other great states of Europe the wars of religion had left only the name of freedom. Government tended to a pure despotism. Privilege was supreme in religion, in politics, in society. Society itself rested on a rigid division of classes from one another, which refused to the people at large any equal rights of justice or of industry.

We have already seen how alien such a conception of national life was from the ideas which the wide diffusion

of intelligence during the eighteenth century was spreading throughout Europe; and in almost every country some enlightened rulers were striving by administrative reforms to satisfy in some sort the sense of wrong which was felt around them. The attempts of sovereigns like Frederick the Great in Prussia and Joseph the Second in Austria and the Netherlands were rivalled by the efforts of statesmen such as Turgot in France. It was in France indeed that the contrast between the actual state of society and the new ideas of public right was felt most keenly. Nowhere had the victory of the Crown been more complete. The aristocracy had been robbed of all share in public affairs; it enjoyed social privileges and exemption from any contribution to the public burdens without that sense of public duty which a governing class to some degree always possesses. Guilds and monopolies fettered the industry of the trader and the merchant, and cut them off from the working classes, as the value attached to noble blood cut off both from the aristocracy. If its political position indeed were compared with that of most of the countries round it, France stood high. Its government was less oppressive and more influenced by public opinion, its general wealth was larger and more evenly diffused, there was a better administration of justice, and greater security for public order. Poor as its peasantry seemed to English eyes, they were far above the peasants of Germany or Spain. Its middle class was the quickest and most intelligent in Europe. Under Lewis the Fifteenth opinion was practially free, though powerless to influence the government of the country; and a literary class had sprung up which devoted itself with wonderful brilliancy and activity to popularizing the ideas of social and political justice which it learned from English writers, and in the case of Montesquieu and Voltaire from personal contact with English life. The moral conceptions of the time, its love of mankind, its sense of human brotherhood, its hatred of oppression, its pity for the guilty and the poor, its longing after a higher and nobler standard of life and action, were ex-

pressed by a crowd of writers, and above all by Rousseau, with a fire and eloquence which carried them to the heart of the people. But this new force of intelligence only jostled roughly with the social forms with which it found itself in contact. The philosopher denounced the tyranny of the priesthood. The peasant grumbled at the lord's right to judge him in his courts and to exact feudal services from him. The merchant was galled by the trading restrictions and the heavy taxation. The country gentry rebelled against their exclusion from public life and from the government of the country. Its powerlessness to bring about any change at home turned all this new energy into sympathy with a struggle against tyranny abroad. Public opinion forced France to ally itself with America in its contest for liberty, and French volunteers under the Marquis de Lafayette joined Washington's army. But while the American war spread more widely throughout the nation the craving for freedom, it brought on the Government financial embarrassments from which it could only free itself by an appeal to the country at large. Lewis the Sixteenth resolved to summon the States-General, which had not met since the time of Richelieu, and to appeal to the nobles to waive their immunity from taxation. His resolve at once stirred into vigorous life every impulse and desire which had been seething in the minds of the people; and the States-General no sooner met at Versailles in May 1789 than the fabric of despotism and privilege began to crumble. A rising in Paris destroyed the Bastille, and the capture of this fortress was taken for the dawn of a new æra of constitutional freedom in France and through Europe. Even in England men thrilled with a strange joy at the tidings of its fall. "How much is this the greatest event that ever happened in the world," Fox cried with a burst of enthusiasm, "and how much the best!"

Pitt regarded the approach of France to sentiments of liberty which had long been familiar to England with greater coolness, but with no distrust. For the moment indeed his attention was distracted by an attack of mad-

ness which visited George the Third in 1788, and by the claim of a right to the Regency which was at once advanced by the Prince of Wales. The Prince belonged to the Whig party; and Fox, who was travelling in Italy, hurried home to support his claim in full belief that the Prince's Regency would be followed by his own return to power. Pitt successfully resisted the claim on the constitutional ground that in such a case the right to choose a temporary Regent, under what limitations it would, lay with Parliament; and a bill which conferred the Regency on the Prince in accordance with this view, was already passing the Houses when the recovery of the King put an end to the long dispute. Foreign difficulties too, absorbed Pitt's attention. Russia had risen into greatness under Catharine the Second; and Catharine had resolved from the first on the annexation of Poland, the expulsion of the Turks from Europe, and the setting up of a Russian throne at Constantinople. In her first aim she was baffled for the moment by Frederick the Great. She had already made herself virtually mistress of the whole of Poland, her armies occupied the kingdom, and she had seated a nominee of her own on its throne, when Frederick in union with the Emperor Joseph the Second forced her to admit Germany to a share of the spoil. If the Polish partition of 1773 brought the Russian frontier westward to the upper waters of the Dwina and the Dnieper, it gave Galicia to Maria Theresa, and West Prussia to Frederick himself. Foiled in her first aim, she waited for the realization of her second till the alliance between the two German powers was at an end through the resistance of Prussia to Joseph's schemes for the annexation of Bavaria, and till the death of Frederick removed her most watchful foe. Then in 1788 Joseph and the Empress joined hands for a partition of the Turkish Empire. But Prussia was still watchful, and England was no longer fettered as in 1773 by troubles with America. The friendship established by Chatham between the two countries, which had been suspended by Bute's treachery and all but destroyed during the Northern League of Neutral Powers, had been restored

by Pitt through his co-operation with the successor of Frederick the Great in the restoration of the Dutch Statholderate. Its political weight was now seen in an alliance of England, Prussia, and Holland, in 1789, for the preservation of the Turkish Empire. A great European struggle seemed at hand. In such a struggle the sympathy and aid of France was of the highest importance; and it was only as weakening her in face of such a crisis that Pitt looked on the Revolution with any fear. But with the treaty the danger passed away. In the spring of 1790 Joseph died broken-hearted at the failure of his plans and the revolt of the Netherlands against his innovations; Austria practically withdrew from the war with the Turks; and the young Minister could give free expression to the sympathy with which the French movement inspired him.

In France indeed things were moving fast. By breaking down the division between its separate orders the States-General became a National Assembly, which abolished the privileges of the provincial parliaments, of the nobles, and the Church. In October 1789 the mob of Paris marched on Versailles and forced both King and Assembly to return with them to the capital; and a Constitution hastily put together was accepted by Lewis the Sixteenth in the stead of his old despotic power. To Pitt the tumult and disorder with which these great changes were wrought seemed transient matters. In January 1790 he still believed that "the present convulsions in France must sooner or later culminate in general harmony and regular order," and that when her own freedom was established, "France would stand forth as one of the most brilliant powers of Europe." But the coolness and good-will with which Pitt looked on the Revolution was far from being universal in the nation at large. The cautious good sense of the bulk of Englishmen, their love of order and law, their distaste for violent changes and for abstract theories, as well as their reverence for the past, were rousing throughout the country a dislike of the revolutionary changes which were hurrying on across the Channel; and both the political sense and the polit-

ical prejudice of the nation were being fired by the warnings of Edmund Burke. The fall of the Bastille, though it kindled enthusiasm in Fox, roused in Burke only distrust. "Whenever a separation is made between liberty and justice," he wrote a few weeks later, "neither is safe." The night of the fourth of August, when the privileges of every class were abolished, filled him with horror. He saw, and rightly saw, in it the critical moment which revealed the character of the Revolution, and his part was taken at once. "The French," he cried in January, while Pitt was foretelling a glorious future for the new Constitution, "The French have shown themselves the ablest architects of ruin who have hitherto existed in the world. In a short space of time they have pulled to the ground their army, their navy, their arts and their manufactures."

But in Parliament Burke stood alone. The Whigs, though distrustfully, followed Fox in his applause of the Revolution. The Tories, yet more distrustfully, followed Pitt; and Pitt warmly expressed his sympathy with the constitutional government which was ruling France. At this moment indeed the more revolutionary party in that country gave a signal proof of its friendship for England. Irritated by an English settlement at Nootka Sound in California, Spain appealed to France for aid in accordance with the Family Compact; and the French Ministry, with a party at its back which believed things had gone far enough, resolved on a war as the best means of checking the progress of the Revolution and restoring the power of the Crown. The revolutionary party naturally opposed this design; and after a bitter struggle the right of declaring war, save with the sanction of the Assembly, was taken from the King. With this vote all danger of hostilities passed away. "The French Government," Pitt asserted, "was bent on cultivating the most unbounded friendship for Great Britain," and he saw no reason in its revolutionary changes why Britain should not return the friendship of France. What told even more on his temper towards that country was a conviction that nothing but the joint action of France and

England would in the end arrest the troubles of Eastern Europe. His intervention foiled for the moment a fresh effort of Prussia to rob Poland of Dantzig and Thorn. But though Russia was still pressing Turkey hard, a Russian war was so unpopular in England that a hostile vote in Parliament forced Pitt to discontinue his armaments; and a fresh union of Austria and Prussia, which promised at this juncture to bring about a close of the Turkish struggle, promised also a fresh attack on the independence of Poland. To prevent a new partition without the co-operation of France was impossible; and in the existing state of things Pitt saw nothing to hinder the continuance of a friendship which would make such a co-operation inevitable.

But while Pitt was pleading for friendship between the two countries, Burke was resolved to make friendship impossible. In Parliament, as we have seen, he stood alone. He had long ceased, in fact, to have any hold over the House of Commons. The eloquence which had vied with that of Chatham during the discussions on the Stamp Act had become distasteful to the bulk of its members. The length of his speeches, the profound and philosophical character of his argument, the splendor and often the extravagance of his illustrations, his passionate earnestness, his want of temper and discretion, wearied and perplexed the squires and merchants about him. He was known nowadays as "the dinner-bell of the House," so rapidly did its benches thin at his rising. For a time his energies found scope in the impeachment of Hastings; and the grandeur of his appeals to the justice of England hushed detraction. But with the close of the impeachment his repute had again fallen; and the approach of old age, for he was now past sixty, seemed to counsel retirement from an assembly where he stood unpopular and alone. But age and disappointment and loneliness were forgotten as Burke saw rising across the Channel the embodiment of all that he hated—a Revolution founded on scorn of the past, and threatening with ruin the whole social fabric which the past had reared, the ordered structure of classes and ranks crum-

bling before a doctrine of social equality; a State rudely demolished and reconstituted; a Church and a Nobility swept away in a night. Against the enthusiasm of what he rightly saw to be a new political religion he resolved to rouse the enthusiasm of the old. He was at once a great orator and a great writer; and now that the House was deaf to his voice, he appealed to the country by his pen. The "Reflections on the French Revolution" which he published in October, 1790, not only denounced the acts of rashness and violence which sullied the great change that France had wrought, but the very principles from which the change had sprung. Burke's deep sense of the need of social order, of the value of that continuity in human affairs "without which men would become like flies in summer," blinded him to all but the faith in mere rebellion and the yet sillier faith in mere novelty which disguised a real nobleness of aim and temper even in the most ardent of the revolutionists. He would see no abuses in the past, now that it had fallen, or anything but the ruin of society in the future. He preached a crusade against men whom he regarded as the foes of religion and civilization, and called on the armies of Europe to put down a Revolution whose principles threatened every state with destruction.

The great obstacle to such a crusade was Pitt: and one of the grandest outbursts of the "Reflections" closed with a bitter taunt at the Minister. "The age of chivalry," Burke cried, "is gone; that of sophisters, economists, and calculators has succeeded, and the glory of Europe is extinguished for ever." But neither taunt nor invective moved Pitt from his course. At the moment when the "Reflections" appeared he gave a fresh assurance to France of his resolve to have nothing to do with any crusade against the Revolution. "This country," he wrote, "means to persevere in the neutrality hitherto scrupulously observed with respect to the internal dissensions of France; and from which it will never depart unless the conduct held there makes it indispensable as an act of self-defence." So far indeed was he from sharing the reactionary panic which was spreading around

him that he chose this time for supporting Fox in his Libel Act, a measure which, by transferring the decision on what was libellous in any publication from the judge to the jury, completed the freedom of the press; and himself passed in 1791 a bill which, though little noticed among the storms of the time, was one of the noblest of his achievements. He boldly put aside the dread which had been roused by the American war, that the gift of self-government to our colonies would serve only as a step towards their secession from the mother-country, and established a House of Assembly and a Council in the two Canadas. "I am convinced," said Fox, who gave the measure his hearty support, "that the only method of retaining distant colonies with advantage is to enable them to govern themselves!" and the policy of the one statesman as well as the foresight of the other have been justified by the later history of our dependencies. Nor had Burke better success with his own party. Fox remained an ardent lover of the Revolution, and answered a fresh attack of Burke upon it with more than usual warmth. Till now a close affection had bound the two men together; but no sooner had this defence been uttered than the fanaticism of Burke declared their union to be over. "There is no loss of friendship," Fox exclaimed, with a sudden burst of tears. "There is!" Burke repeated. "I know the price of my conduct. Our friendship is at an end."

Within the walls of Parliament, however, Burke as yet stood utterly alone. His "Appeal from the New to the Old Whigs," in June 1791, failed to detach a follower from Fox; while Pitt coldly counselled him rather to praise the English Constitution than to rail at the French. "I have made many enemies and few friends," Burke wrote sadly to the French princes who had fled from their country and were gathering in arms at Coblentz, "by the part I have taken." But the opinion of the people was slowly drifting to his side; and a sale of thirty thousand copies showed that the "Reflections" echoed the general sentiment of Englishmen. At this moment indeed the mood of England was singularly un-

favorable to any fair appreciation of the Revolution across the Channel. Her temper was above all industrial. Men who were working hard and fast growing rich, who had the narrow and practical turn of men of business, looked angrily at this sudden disturbance of order, this restless and vague activity, these rhetorical appeals to human feeling, these abstract and often empty theories. In England it was a time of political content and social well-being, of steady economic progress, as well as of a powerful religious revival; and an insular lack of imaginative interest in other races hindered men from seeing that every element of this content, of this order, of this peaceful and harmonious progress, of this reconciliation of society and religion, was wanting abroad. The sympathy which the first outbreak of the Revolution had roused among Englishmen grew cooler in fact with every step which the Revolution took. While the Declaration of the Rights of Man roused France to a frenzy of enthusiasm, it was set aside as a dream by the practical islanders who based their rights on precedent and not on theory. The abolition of all social privileges on the 12th of August, the most characteristic step in the French Revolution, was met with grave disapproval by a people more alien from social equality than any people in Europe. Every incident in the struggle between the French people and their King widened the breach of feeling. The anarchy of the country, the want of political sense in its Assembly, the paltry declamation of its clubs, the exaggerated sentiment, the universal suspicion, the suspension of every security for personal freedom, the arrests, the murders, the overthrow of the Church, the ruin of the Crown, were watched with an ever-growing severity by a nation whose chief instinct was one of order, whose bent was to practical politics, whose temper was sober and trustful, whose passionate love of personal liberty was only equalled by its passionate abhorrence of bloodshed in civil strife, and whose ecclesiastical and political institutions were newly endeared to it by a fresh revival of religious feeling, and by the constitutional attitude of its Government for a hundred years.

Sympathy in fact was soon limited to a few groups of reformers who gathered in "Constitutional Clubs," and whose reckless language quickened the national reaction. But in spite of Burke's appeals and the cries of the nobles who had fled from France and longed only to march against their country, Europe held back from any attack on the Revolution, and Pitt preserved his attitude of neutrality, though with a greater appearance of reserve. So anxious, in fact, did the aspect of affairs in the East make him for the restoration of tranquillity in France, that he foiled a plan which its emigrant nobles had formed for a descent on the French coast, and declared formally at Vienna that England would remain absolutely neutral should hostilities arise between France and the Emperor. But the Emperor was as anxious to avoid a French war as Pitt himself. Though Catharine, now her strife with Turkey was over, wished to plunge the two German powers into a struggle with the Revolution which would leave her free to annex Poland single-handed, neither Leopold nor Prussia would tie their hands by such a contest. The flight of Lewis the Sixteenth from Paris in June 1791 brought Europe for a moment to the verge of war; but he was intercepted and brought back: and for a while the danger seemed to incline the revolutionists in France to greater moderation. Lewis too not only accepted the Constitution, but pleaded earnestly with the Emperor against any armed intervention as certain to bring ruin to his throne. In their conference at Pillnitz therefore, in August, Leopold and the King of Prussia contented themselves with a vague declaration inviting the European powers to co-operate in restoring a sound form of Government in France, availed themselves of England's neutrality to refuse all military aid to the French princes, and dealt simply with the affairs of Poland. But the peace they desired soon became impossible. The Constitutional Royalists in France availed themselves of the irritation caused by the Declaration of Pillnitz to revive the cry for a war which, as they hoped, would give strength to the throne. The more violent revolutionists, or Jacobins, on the other hand, abandoned

their advocacy of peace. Under the influence of the "Girondists," the deputies from the south of France, whose aim was a republic, and who saw in a great national struggle a means of overthrowing the monarchy, they decided, in spite of the opposition of their leader, Robespierre, on a contest with the Emperor. Both parties united to demand the breaking up of an army which the emigrant princes had formed on the Rhine, and though Leopold before his death assented to this demand, France declared war against his successor, Francis, in April, 1792.

CHAPTER IV.

ENGLAND AND REVOLUTIONARY FRANCE.

1792—1801.

THAT the war with Germany would widen into a vast European struggle, a struggle in which the peoples would rise against their oppressors, and the freedom which France had won diffuse itself over the world, no French revolutionist doubted for an hour. Nor did they doubt that in this struggle England would join them. It was from England that they had drawn those principles of political and social liberty which they believed themselves to be putting into practice. It was to England that they looked above all for approbation and sympathy, and on the aid of England that they confidently counted in their struggle with a despotic and priest-ridden Europe. Absorbed in the mighty events about them, and utterly ignorant of the real set of English feeling or the real meaning of Pitt's policy, they were astonished and indignant at his firm refusal of their alliance and his resolve to stand apart from the struggle. It was in vain that Pitt strove to allay this irritation by demanding only that Holland should remain untouched, and promising neutrality even though Belgium should be occupied by a French army, or that he strengthened these pledges by a reduction of military forces, and by bringing forward in 1792 a peace-budget which rested on a large remission of taxation. To the revolutionists at Paris the attitude of England remained unintelligible and irritating. Instead of the aid they had counted on, they found but a cold neutrality. In place of the sympathy on which they reckoned they saw, now that they looked coolly across

the Channel, a reserve passing into disapproval. The pen of Burke was denouncing the Revolution as the very negation of those principles on which English liberty rested. The priests and nobles who had fled from the new France were finding pity and welcome on English shores. And now that France flung herself on an armed Europe to win freedom for its peoples from their kings, England stood coldly apart. To men frenzied with a passionate enthusiasm, and frenzied yet more with a sudden terror at the dangers they were encountering, such an attitude of neutrality in such a quarter seemed like a stab in the back.

But that this attitude was that of the English people as a whole was incredible to the French enthusiasts. Conscious as no Englishman could be conscious of the great evils they had overthrown, of the great benefits they had won for their country, they saw in the attitude of England only the sympathy of an aristocracy with the aristocracy they had struck down. The cries for a parliamentary reform which reached them across the Channel became in their ears cries of a people as powerless and oppressed as the people of France had been. They still clung to the hope of England's aid in the emancipation of Europe from despotism and superstition, but they came now to believe that England must itself be emancipated before such an aid could be given. Their first work therefore they held to be the bringing about a revolution in England which might free the people from the aristocracy and the aristocratic government which held it down. But this was far from being all the work they looked to accomplishing. The aristocracy which oppressed the people at home oppressed, as they believed, great peoples beyond the bounds of England itself. It was subjecting to its sway nation after nation in India. Its rule over Ireland was a masterpiece of tyranny. To rouse India, to rouse Ireland to a struggle which should shake off the English yoke, became necessary steps to the establishment of freedom in England itself. From the moment therefore that the opposition between the two countries

declared itself, French agents were busy "sowing the revolution" in each quarter. In Ireland they entered into communication with the United Irishmen. In India they appeared at the courts of the native princes, and above all at the court of Mysore. Meanwhile in England itself they strove through a number of associations, which had formed themselves under the name of Constitutional Clubs, to rouse the same spirit which they had roused in France, and the French envoy, Chauvelin, protested warmly against a proclamation which denounced this correspondence as seditious.

Such a course could only knit men of all parties together in a common resentment; and the effect of these revolutionary efforts on the friends of the Revolution was seen in a declaration which they wrested from Fox, that at such a moment even the discussion of parliamentary reform was inexpedient. A far worse result was the new strength they gave to its foes. Burke was still working hard in writings whose extravagance of style was forgotten in their intensity of feeling to spread alarm throughout Europe. He had from the first encouraged the emigrant princes to take arms, and sent his son to join them at Coblentz. "Be alarmists," he wrote to them, "diffuse terror!" But the royalist terror which he sowed would have been of little moment had it not roused a revolutionary terror in France itself. At the threat of war against the Emperor the two German Courts had drawn together, and reluctantly abandoning all hope of peace with France, gathered eighty thousand men under the Duke of Brunswick, and advanced slowly in August, 1792, on the Meuse. France, though she had forced on the struggle, was really almost defenceless; her forces in Belgium broke at the first shock of arms into shameful rout; and the panic, as it spread from the soldiery to the nation at large, took violent and horrible forms. At the first news of Brunswick's advance the mob of Paris broke into the Tuileries on the 10th of August; and at its demand Lewis, who had taken refuge in the Assembly, was suspended from his office and imprisoned in the Temple. In the following September,

while General Dumouriez by boldness and adroit negotiations was arresting the progress of the Allies in the defiles of the Argonne, bodies of paid murderers butchered the royalist prisoners who crowded the gaols of Paris, with a view of influencing the elections to a new Convention which met to proclaim the abolition of royalty. The retreat of the Prussian army, whose numbers had been reduced by disease till an advance on Paris became impossible, and a brilliant victory won by Dumouriez at Jemappes which laid the Netherlands at his feet, turned the panic of the French into a wild self-confidence. In November the Convention decreed that France offered the aid of her soldiers to all nations who would strive for freedom. "All governments are our enemies," cried its President: "all peoples are our allies." In the teeth of treaties signed only two years before, and of the stipulation made by England when it pledged itself to neutrality, the French Government resolved to attack Holland, and ordered its generals to enforce by arms the opening of the Scheldt.

To do this was to force England into war. Public opinion was already pressing every day harder upon Pitt. The horror of the massacres of September, the hideous despotism of the Parisian mob, did more to estrange England from the Revolution than all the eloquence of Burke. But even while withdrawing our Minister from Paris on the imprisonment of the King, to whose Court he had been commissioned Pitt clung stubbornly to a policy of peace. His hope was to bring the war to an end through English mediation, and to "leave France, which I believe is the best way, to arrange its own internal affairs as it can." No hour of Pitt's life is so great as the hour when he stood lonely and passionless before the growth of national passion, and refused to bow to the gathering cry for war. Even the news of the September massacres could only force from him a hope that France might abstain from any war of conquest and might escape from its social anarchy. In October the French agent in England reported that Pitt was about to recognize the Republic. At the opening of November

he still pressed on Holland a steady neutrality. It was France, and not England, which at last wrenched peace from his grasp. The decree of the Convention and the attack on the Dutch left him no choice but war, for it was impossible for England to endure a French fleet at Antwerp, or to desert allies like the United Provinces. But even in December the news of the approaching partition of Poland nerved him to a last struggle for peace; he offered to aid Austria in acquiring Bavaria if she would make terms with France, and pledged himself to France to abstain from war if that power would cease from violating the independence of her neighbor states. But desperately as Pitt struggled for peace, his struggle was in vain. Across the Channel his moderation was only taken for fear, while in England the general mourning which followed on the news of the French King's execution showed the growing ardor for the contest. The rejection of his last offers indeed made a contest inevitable. Both sides ceased from diplomatic communications, and in February 1793 France issued her Declaration of War.

From that moment Pitt's power was at an end. His pride, his immovable firmness, and the general confidence of the nation, still kept him at the head of affairs; but he could do little save drift along with a tide of popular feeling which he never fully understood. Around him the country broke out in a fit of passion and panic which rivalled the passion and panic over-sea. The confidence of France in its illusions as to opinion in England deluded for the moment even Englishmen themselves. The partisans of Republicanism were in reality but a few handfuls of men who played at gathering Conventions, and at calling themselves citizens and patriots, in childish imitation of what was going on across the Channel. But in the mass of Englishmen the dread of these revolutionists passed for the hour into sheer panic. Even the bulk of the Whig party believed property and the constitution to be in peril, and forsook Fox when he still proclaimed his faith in France and the Revolution. The "Old Whigs," as they called themselves, with the Duke of Portland, Earls Spen

ser and Fitzwilliam, and Mr. Wyndham at their head, followed Burke in giving their adhesion to the Government. Pitt himself, though little touched by the political reaction which was to constitute the creed of those who represented themselves as "Pittites," was shaken by the dream of social danger which was turning the wisest heads about him. For a moment at least his cool good sense bent to believe in the existence of "thousands of bandits" who were ready to rise against the throne, to plunder every landlord, and to sack London. "Paine is no fool," he said to his niece, who quoted to him a passage from the *Rights of Man*, in which that author had vindicated the principles of the Revolution. "He is perhaps right; but if I did what he wants I should have thousands of bandits on my hands to-morrow and London burnt." It was this sense of social danger which alone reconciled him to the war. It would have been impossible indeed for Pitt, or for any other English statesman to have stood idly by while France annexed the Netherlands and marched to annex Holland. He must in any case have fought even had France not forced him to fight by her declaration of war. But bitter as the need of such a struggle was to him, he accepted it with the less reluctance that war, as he trusted, would check the progress of "French principles" in England itself.

The worst issue of this panic was the series of legislative measures in which it found expression. The Habeas Corpus Act was suspended, a bill against seditious assemblies restricted the liberty of public meeting, and a wider scope was given to the Statute of Treasons. Prosecution after prosecution was directed against the Press; the sermons of some dissenting ministers were indicted as seditious; and the conventions of sympathizers with France were roughly broken up. The worst excesses of this panic were witnessed in Scotland, where young Whigs, whose only offence was an advocacy of parliamentary reform, were sentenced to transportation, and where a brutal Judge openly expressed his regret that the practice of torture in seditious cases should have fallen

into disuse. But the panic soon passed away for sheer want of material to feed on. The bloodshed and anarchy of the Jacobin rule disgusted the last sympathizers with France. To stanch Whigs like Romilly the French, after the massacres of October, seemed a mere "nation of tigers." The good sense of the nation discovered the unreality of the dangers which had driven it to its short-lived frenzy; and when the leaders of the Corresponding Society, a body which expressed sympathy with France, were brought to trial in 1794 on a charge of high treason, their acquittal told that all active terror was over. So far indeed was the nation from any danger of social overthrow that, save for occasional riots to which the poor were goaded by sheer want of bread, no social disturbance troubled England during the twenty years of struggle which lay before it. But though the public terror passed, it left a terrible legacy behind. The blind reaction against all reform which had sprung from the panic lasted on when the panic was forgotten. For nearly a quarter of a century it was hard to get a hearing for any measure which threatened change to an existing institution, beneficial though the change might be. Even the philanthropic movement which so nobly characterized the time found itself checked and hampered by the dread of revolution.

Easy however as Pitt found it to deal with "French principles" at home, he found it less easy to deal with French armies abroad. The very excellences of his character indeed unfitted him for the conduct of a war. He was at heart a Peace Minister; he was forced into war by a panic and enthusiasm which he shared in a very small degree; and he was utterly destitute of his father's gift of entering instinctively into the sympathies and passions around him, and of rousing passions and sympathies in return. At first indeed all seemed to go ill for France. When the campaign of 1793 opened she was girt in along her whole frontier by a ring of foes. The forces of the House of Austria, of the Empire, and of the King of Prussia, pressed her to the north and the east; those of Spain and Sardinia attacked her in the south;

and the accession of England to this league threatened to close the sea against her. The efforts of these foreign foes were seconded too by civil war. The peasants of Poitou and Brittany, estranged from the revolution by its attack on the clergy, rose in revolt against the government at Paris; while Marseilles and Lyons were driven into insurrection by the violent leaders who now seized on power in the capital. The campaign opened therefore with a series of terrible reverses. In spite of the efforts of General Dumouriez the French were foiled in their attack on Holland and driven, after a disastrous defeat at Neerwinden, from the Netherlands. At the moment when the Duke of York with ten thousand English troops joined the Austrian army on the northern border of France, a march upon Paris would have crushed the revolution. But the chance was lost. At this moment indeed the two German powers were far from wishing honestly for the suppression of the Republic and the re-establishment of a strong monarchy in France. Such a restoration would have foiled their projects of aggrandizement in Eastern Europe. The strife on the Rhine had set Russia free, as Pitt had foreseen, to carry out her schemes of aggression; and Austria and Prussia saw themselves forced, in the interest of a balance of power, to share in her annexations at the cost of Poland. But this new division of Poland would have become impossible had France been enabled by a restoration of its monarchy to take up again its natural position in Europe, and to accept the alliance which Pitt would in such a case have offered her. The policy of the German courts therefore was to prolong an anarchy which left them free for the moment to crush Poland, and which they counted on crushing in its turn at a more convenient time; and the allied armies which might have marched upon Paris were purposely frittered away in sieges in the Netherlands and the Rhine.

Such a policy gave France all that she needed to recover from the shock of her past disasters: it gave her time. Whatever were the crimes and tyranny of her leaders, the country felt in spite of them the value of the

Revolution, and rallied enthusiastically to its support. The strength of the revolt in La Vendée was broken. The insurrection in the south was drowned in blood. The Spanish invaders were held at bay at the foot of the Pyrenees, and the Piedmontese were driven from Nice and Savoy. At the close of the year a fresh blow fell upon the struggling country in the revolt of Toulon, the naval station of its Mediterranean fleet. The town called for foreign aid against the government at Paris; and Lord Hood entered the port with an English squadron, while a force of 11,000 men, gathered hastily from every quarter, was despatched under General O'Hara as a garrison. But the successes against Spain and Savoy freed the hands of France at this critical moment: the town was at once invested, and the seizure of a promontory which commanded the harbor, a step counselled by a young artillery officer, Napoleon Buonaparte, brought about the withdrawal of the garrison and the surrender of Toulon. The success was a prelude of what was to come. At the opening of 1794 a victory at Fleurus, which again made the French masters of the Netherlands, showed that the tide had turned. France was united within by the cessation of the Terror and of the tyranny of the Jacobins, while on every border victory followed the gigantic efforts with which she met the coalition against her. The coalition indeed was fast breaking up. Spain sued for peace. Prussia, more intent on her gains in the east than on any battle with the revolution on the west, prepared to follow Spain's example by the withdrawal of her armies from the Rhine. It was only by English subsidies that Austria and Sardinia were still kept in the field; and the Rhine provinces were wrested from the first, while the forces of Sardinia were driven back from the Riviera and the maritime Alps into the plain of Piedmont. Before the year ended Holland was lost. Pichegru crossed the Waal in midwinter with an overwhelming force, and the wretched remnant of ten thousand men who had followed the Duke of York to the Netherlands, thinned by disease and by the hardships of retreat, re-embarked for England.

In one quarter only had the fortune of war gone against

the French republic. The victories of Rodney at the close of the strife with America had concentrated English interest on the fleet. Even during the peace, while the army was sacrificed to financial distress, great efforts were made to preserve the efficiency of the navy; and the recent alarms of war with Russia and Spain had ended in raising it to a strength which it had never reached before. But France was as eager as England herself to dispute the sovereignty of the seas, and almost equal attention had been bestowed on the navy which crowded the great harbors of Toulon and Brest. In force as in number of ships it was equal in effective strength to that of England; and both nations looked with hope to the issue of a contest at sea. No battle marked the first year of the war; but, as it ended, the revolt of Toulon gave a fatal wound to the naval strength of France in the almost total destruction of her Mediterranean fleet. That of the Channel however remained unhurt; and it was this which Lord Howe at last encountered off Brest in 1794, in the battle which is known by the name of the day on which it was fought—The "First of June." The number of ships on either side was nearly the same, and the battle was one of sheer hard fighting, unmarked by any display of naval skill. But the result was a decisive victory for England, and the French admiral, weakened by a loss of seven vessels and three thousand men, again took refuge in Brest.

The success of Lord Howe did somewhat to counteract the discouragement which sprang from the general aspect of the war. At the opening of 1795 the coalition finally gave way. Holland had been detached from it by Pichegru's conquest, and the Batavian republic which he set up there was now an ally of France. In the spring Prussia bought peace at Basle by the cession of her possessions west of the Rhine. Peace with Spain followed in the summer, while Sweden and the Protestant cantons of Switzerland recognized the republic. These terrible blows were hardly met by the success of the Austrian army in relieving Maintz, or by the colonial acquisitions of England. The latter indeed were far from being in-

considerable. Most of the West-Indian Islands which had been held by France now fell into British hands; and the alliance of Holland with the French threw open to English attack the far more valuable settlements of the Dutch. The surrender of Cape Town in September gave England the colony of the Cape of Good Hope, the nucleus of what has since grown into a vast southern settlement which is destined to play a great part in the history of Africa. At the close of the year the Island of Ceylon was added to our Indian dependencies. Both of these acquisitions were destined to remain permanently attached to England, though at the moment their value was eclipsed by the conquest of the Dutch colonies in the Pacific, the more famous Spice Islands of the Malaccas and Java. But, important as these gains were in their issues, they had no immediate influence on the war. The French armies prepared for the invasion of Italy; while in France itself discord came well-nigh to an end. A descent by a force of French emigrants on the coast of Britanny ended in their massacre at Quiberon and in the final cessation of the war in La Vendée; while the royalist party in Paris was crushed as soon as it rose against the Convention by the genius of Napoleon Buonaparte.

But the fresh severities against the ultra-republicans which followed on the establishment of a Directory after this success indicated the moderate character of the new government, and Pitt seized on the change in the temper of the French government as giving an opening for peace. The dread of a Jacobin propagandism was now all but at an end. In spite of an outbreak of the London mob, whose cries meant chiefly impatience of dear bread, but which brought about a fresh suspension of the Habeas Corpus Act and the introduction of a Bill "for the prosecution of seditious meetings," the fear of any social disturbance or of the spread of "French principles" in England was fast passing away from men's minds. The new constitution which France accepted in 1795 showed that the tendencies of the French themselves were now rather to order than to freedom. The old grounds for

the struggle therefore had ceased to exist; while the pressure of it grew hourly more intolerable. Pitt himself was sick of the strife. The war indeed had hardly begun when he found himself without the means of carrying it on. The English navy was in a high state of efficiency; but the financial distress which followed the American war had brought with it a neglect of the army. The army was not only small, but without proper equipment; and the want of military experience among its soldiers was only equalled by the incapacity of their leaders. "We have no general," Lord Grenville wrote bitterly, "but some old woman in a red ribbon." Wretched, too, as had been the conduct of the war, its cost was already terrible; for if England was without soldiers she had wealth, and in default of nobler means of combating the revolution Pitt had been forced to use wealth as an engine of war. He became the paymaster of the coalition, and his subsidies kept the allied armies in the field. But the immense loans which these called for, and the quick growth of expenditure, undid all the financial reforms on which the young minister prided himself. Taxation, which had reached its lowest point at the outbreak of the contest, mounted ere a few years were passed to a height undreamed of before. The debt rose by leaps and bounds. In three years nearly eighty millions had been added to it, a sum greater than that piled up by the whole war with America, and in the opening of 1796 votes were taken for loans which amounted to twenty-five millions more.

Nor was this wreck of his financial hopes Pitt's only ground for desiring a close of the war. From the first, as we have seen, he had been keenly sensitive to the European dangers which the contest involved; nor had he shown, even in his moment of social panic, the fanatical blindness of men like Burke to the evils which had produced the revolution, or to the good which it had wrought. But he could only listen in silence while the Marquis of Lansdowne, the Lord Shelburne of earlier days and the successor of Chatham as the advocate of a more liberal policy, met the rhetoric of Burke by cool

demonstration of the benefit which the recent change had brought to the mass of the French people, and by pointing to the profit which Russia was drawing from the struggle in the west. In their wide-reaching view of European affairs, in their justice to the revolution, Shelburne and Pitt stood alone. Around them men were hardened and blinded by passion. The old hatred between nation and nation, which Pitt had branded as irrational, woke up fiercer than ever at the clash of arms, for with it was blended a resentment that had smouldered in English breasts ever since the war with America at the blow which France had dealt England in that hour of her weakness, and a disgust which only slowly grew fainter at her overthrow of every social and political institution that Englishmen held dear. On the dogged temper of the nation at large the failure of the coalition produced little effect. It had no fear of fighting France single-handed, nor could it understand Pitt's suggestion that a time had come for opening negotiations with a view to peace. Public opinion indeed went hotly with Burke in his denunciation of all purpose of relaxing England's hostility against the revolution, a denunciation which was embodied in his "Letters on a Regicide Peace," the last outcry of that fanaticism which had done so much to plunge the world in blood.

But though Pitt stood all but alone, he was firm in his purpose to bring the war, if he could, to a close. What specially moved him was not the danger on the Continent, whether that danger sprang from French victories or from aggression in the east. It was a danger in the west. Vain as the expectations of the French revolutionists had proved in the case of England, they had better ground for their hopes elsewhere. Even before the outbreak of the war Pitt had shown how keen was his sense of a possible danger from Ireland. In that wretched country the terrible fruits of a century of oppression and wrong were still to reap. From the close of the American war, when her armed Volunteers had wrung legislative independence from the Rockingham ministry, Ireland had continued to be England's diffi-

culty. She was now "independent;" but her independence was a mere name for the uncontrolled rule of a few noble families. The victory of the Volunteers had been won simply to the profit of "undertakers," who returned a majority of members in the Irish House of Commons, while they themselves formed the Irish House of Lords. The suspension of any effective control or interference from England left Ireland at these men's mercy, and they soon showed that they meant to keep it for themselves. When the Catholics claimed admission to the franchise or to equal civil rights as a reward for their aid in the late struggle, their claim was rejected. A similar demand of the Presbyterians, who had formed a good half of the Volunteers, for the removal of their disabilities was equally set aside. Even Grattan, when he pleaded for reform which would make the Parliament at least a fair representative of the Protestant Englishry, utterly failed. The ruling class found government too profitable to share it with other possessors. It was only by hard bribery that the English viceroys could secure their co-operation in the simplest measures of administration. "If ever there was a country unfit to govern itself," said Lord Hutchinson, "it is Ireland. A corrupt aristocracy, a ferocious commonalty, a disturbed Government, a divided people!"

The real character of this Parliamentary rule was seen in the rejection of Pitt's offer of free trade. In Pitt's eyes the danger of Ireland lay above all in the misery of its people. Although the Irish Catholics were held down by the brute force of their Protestant rulers, he saw that their discontent was growing fast into rebellion, and that one secret at any rate of their discontent lay in Irish poverty, a poverty increased if not originally brought about by the jealous exclusion of Irish products from their natural markets in England itself. One of his first commercial measures therefore, as we have seen, aimed at putting an end to this exclusion by a bill which established freedom of trade between the two islands. But though he met successfully the fears and jealousies of the English farmers and manufacturers he was foiled

by the factious ignorance of the Irish landowners, and his bill was rejected by the Irish Parliament. So utterly was he discouraged that for the moment he ceased from all further attempts to improve the condition of Ireland. But the efforts which the French revolutionists made to excite rebellion amongst the Irish roused him to fresh measures of conciliation and good government. The hopes of some reform of the Irish Parliament had been fanned by the eloquence of Grattan and by the pressure of the United Irishmen, an association which had sprung up in Ulster, where Protestant dissenters, who were equally excluded with Catholics from any share in political power, formed the strongest part of the population. These hopes however were growing every day fainter. To the Irish aristocracy parliamentary reform meant the close of a corrupt rule which had gone on unchecked since the American war. But to the Irish Catholic it meant far more, it meant his admission, not only to the electoral franchise, but in the end to all the common privileges of citizenship from which he was excluded, his "emancipation," to use the word which now became common, from the yoke of slavery which had pressed on him ever since the Battle of the Boyne.

To such an emancipation Pitt was already looking forward. In 1792, a year before the outbreak of war with France, he forced on the Irish Parliament measures for the admission of Catholics to the electoral franchise and to civil and military office within the island, which promised a new era of religious liberty. But the promise came too late. The hope of conciliation was lost in the fast rising tide of religious and social passion. As the dream of obtaining Parliamentary reform died away the United Irishmen of the North drifted into projects of insurrection and a correspondence with France. The news of the French Revolution fell with a yet more terrible effect on the Catholic peasantry, brooding over their misery and their wrongs. Their discontent broke out in social disorder, in the outrages of secret societies of "Defenders" and "Peep o' Day Boys," which spread panic among the ruling classes. It was only by sheer

terror and bloodshed that the Protestant landowners, who banded together in "Orange" societies to meet the secret societies about them, could hold the country down. Outrages on the one side, tyranny on the other, deepened the disorder and panic every day, and the hopes of the reformers grew fainter as the terror rose fast around them. The maddened Protestants scouted all notions of further concessions to men whom they looked upon as on the verge of revolt; and Grattan's motions for reform were defeated by increasing majorities. On the other hand the entry of the anti-revolutionary Whigs into Pitt's ministry revived Grattan's hopes, for Burke and his followers were pledged to a liberal policy towards Ireland, and Lord Fitzwilliam, who came over as Viceroy in 1794, encouraged Grattan to bring in a bill for the entire emancipation of the Catholics at the opening of the next year. Such a step can hardly have been taken without Pitt's assent; but the minister was now swept along by a tide of feeling which he could not control. The Orangemen threatened revolt, the Tories in Pitt's own cabinet recoiled from the notion of reform, and Lord Fitzwilliam was not only recalled, but replaced by Lord Camden, an avowed enemy of all change or concession to the Catholics. From that moment the United Irishmen became a revolutionary society; and one of their leaders, Wolfe Tone, made his way to France in the spring of 1796 to seek aid in a national rising.

It is probable that Tone's errand was known to Pitt; it is certain that Lord Edward Fitzgerald, another of the patriot leaders, who had been summoned to carry on more definite negotiations in Basle, revealed inadvertently as he returned the secret of his hopes to an agent of the English Cabinet. Vague as were the offers of the United Irishmen, they had been warmly welcomed by the French Government. Masters at home, the Directory were anxious to draw off the revolutionary enthusiasm which the French party of order dreaded as much as Burke himself to the channels of foreign conquest. They were already planning that descent of their army in the Alps upon Lombardy which was to give a fatal

blow to one of their enemies, Austria; and they welcomed the notion of a French descent upon Ireland and an Irish revolt, which would give as fatal a blow to their other enemy, England. An army of 25,000 men under General Hoche was promised, a fleet was manned, and preparations were being made for the expedition during the summer. But the secret was ill kept, and the news of such an attempt was, we can hardly doubt, the ground of the obstinacy with which Pitt persisted in the teeth of the national feeling and of Burke's invectives in clinging to his purpose of concluding a peace. In October, 1796, Lord Malmesbury was despatched to Paris and negotiations were finally opened for that purpose. The terms which Pitt offered were terms of mutual restitution. France was to evacuate Holland and to restore Belgium to the Emperor. England on the other hand was to restore the colonies she had won to France, Holland, and Spain. As the English Minister had no power of dealing with the territories already ceded by Prussia and other states, such a treaty would have left France, as her eastern border, the line of the Rhine. But even had they desired peace at all, the Directors would have scorned it on terms such as these. While Malmesbury was negotiating indeed France was roused to new dreams of conquest by the victories of Napoleon Buonaparte. The genius of Carnot, the French Minister of War, had planned a joint advance upon Vienna by the French armies of Italy and the Rhine, the one under Buonaparte, the other under Moreau. The plan was only partly successful. Moreau, though he pushed forward through every obstacle to Bavaria, was compelled to fall back by the defeat of a lieutenant: and was only enabled by a masterly retreat through the Black Forest to reach the Rhine. But the disaster of Moreau was more than redeemed by the victories of Buonaparte. With the army which occupied the Riviera and the Maritime Alps the young general marched on Piedmont at the opening of the summer, separated its army from the Austrian troops, and forced the King of Sardinia to conclude a humiliating peace. A brilliant victory at the bridge of

Lodi brought him to Milan, and drove the Austrians into the Tyrol. Lombardy was in the hands of the French, the Duchies south of the Po pillaged, and the Pope driven to purchase an armistice at enormous cost, before the Austrian armies, raised to a force of 50,000 men, again descended from the Tyrol for the relief of Mantua. But a fatal division of their forces by the Lake of Garda enabled Buonaparte to hurl them back broken upon Trent, and to shut up their general, Wurmser, in Mantua with the remnant of his men; while fresh victories at the bridge of Arcola and at Bassano drove back two new Austrian armies who advanced to Wurmser's rescue.

It was the success of Buonaparte which told on the resolve of the Directory to reject all terms of peace. After months of dilatory negotiations the offers of Lord Malmesbury were definitely declined, and the English envoy returned home at the end of the year. Every hour of his stay in Paris had raised higher hopes of success against England in the minds of the Directory. At the moment of his arrival Spain had been driven to declare war as their ally against Britain; and the Spanish and Dutch fleets were now at the French service for a struggle at sea. The merciless exactions of Buonaparte poured gold into the exhausted treasury; and the energy of Hoche rapidly availed itself of this supply to equip a force for operations in Ireland. At the opening of December he was ready to put to see with a fleet of more than forty sail and 25,000 men; and the return of Lord Malmesbury was the signal for the despatch of his expedition from Brest. The fleet at Toulon, which had sailed through the Straits of Gibraltar for that purpose, was driven into Port l'Orient by an English squadron: but contrary winds baffled the fleet which was watching Hoche, and the armanent slipped away with little hindrance towards the Irish coast. Had it reached Ireland unbroken and under such a general, the Island might well have been lost to the English Crown. But the winds fought against France, as they had fought against the Armada of Spain; and the ships were parted from one another by a gale which burst on them as they

put to sea. Seventeen reached Bantry Bay, but hearing nothing of their leader or of the rest, they sailed back again to Brest, in spite of the entreaties of the soldiers to be suffered to land. Another division reached the Shannon to be scattered and driven home again by a second storm. Twelve vessels were wrecked or captured, and the frigate in which Hoche had embarked returned to port without having seen any of its companions. The invasion had failed, but the panic which it roused woke passions of cruelty and tyranny which turned Ireland into a hell. Soldiers and yeomanry marched over the country torturing and scouring the "croppies," as the Irish peasantry were termed from their short-cut hair; robbing, ravishing, and murdering at their will. The lightest suspicion, the most unfounded charges, were taken as warrants for bloodshed. So hideous were these outrages that the news of them as it reached England woke a thrill of horror in the minds of even the blindest Tories; but by the landowners who formed the Irish Parliament they were sanctioned in a Bill of Indemnity and protected for the future by an Insurrection Act. The terror however only woke a universal spirit of revolt. Ireland drank in greedily that hatred of England and of English rule which all the justice and moderation of later government has failed to destroy; and the United Irishmen looked with more passionate longing than ever to France.

Nor had France abandoned the design of invasion, while her victories made such a design every day more formidable. The war was going steadily in her favor. A fresh victory at Rivoli, the surrender of Mantua, and an advance through Styria on Vienna, enabled Buonaparte to wring a peace from England's one ally, Austria. The armistice was concluded in April, 1797, and the final treaty which was signed at Campo Formia in October not only gave France the Ionian Islands, a part of the old teritory of Venice (whose Italian possessions passed to the Emperor), as well as the Neitherlands and the whole left bank of the Rhine, but united Lombardy with the Duchies south of the Po and the Papal States as far

as the Rubicon into a "Cisalpine Republic," which was absolutely beneath her control. The withdrawal of Austria left France without an enemy on the Continent, and England without an ally. The stress of the war was pressing more heavily on her every day. A mutiny in the fleet was suppressed with difficulty. The news of Hoche's expedition brought about a run for gold which forced on the Bank a suspension of specie payments. It was in this darkest hour of the struggle that Burke passed away, protesting to the last against the peace which, in spite of his previous failure, Pitt was again striving to bring about by fresh negotiations at Lille. Peace seemed more needful than ever to him now that France was free to attack her enemy with the soldiers who had fought at Hohenlinden and Rivoli. Their way, indeed, lay across the sea, and at sea Britain was supreme. But her supremacy was threatened by a coalition of naval forces such as had all but crushed her in the American war. Again the Dutch and Spanish fleets were allied with the fleets of France; and it was neccessary to watch Cadiz and the Scheldt as well as Brest and Toulon. A single victory of the three confederates, or even such a command of the Channel as they had held for months during the war with America, would enable the Directory to throw overwhelming armies not only on the shores of England, but on the shores of Ireland, and whatever might be the fate of the one enterprise, there could be little doubt of the success of the other. The danger was real; but it had hardly threatened England when it was dispelled by two great victories. The Spanish fleet, which put out to sea with twenty-seven sail of the line, was met on the fourteenth of February, 1797, by Admiral Jervis off Cape St. Vincent with a force of but fifteen; and driven back to Cadiz with a loss of four of its finest vessels. Disheartened as they were, however, their numbers still exceeded that of the force which blockaded them; and France counted with confidence on the fleet of Holland, which was ordered to join its own fleet at Brest. The aim of this union was to protect a fresh force in its descent upon Ireland, where the United Irishman now de-

clared themselves ready for revolt. But a yet sterner fortune awaited the Dutch than that which had fallen on the Spaniards. Their admiral, by De Winter, who had quitted the Texel during a storm with eleven sail of the line and four frigates, fell in on the eleventh of October with a far larger fleet under Admiral Duncan off Camperdown. The Hollanders fought with a stubborn courage worthy of their old renown, and it was only when their ships were riddled with shot into mere wrecks that they fell into the hands of the English.

The French project for an expedition to Ireland hung on the junction of the Dutch fleet with that of Brest, and the command of the Channel which this junction would have given them. Such a command became impossible after the defeat of Camperdown. But the disapointment of their hopes of foreign aid only drove the adherents of revolt in Ireland to a rising of despair. The union of the national party, which had lasted to some extent from the American war, was now broken up. The Protestants of Ulster still looked for aid to France. The Catholics, on the other hand, were alienated from the French by their attack on religion and the priesthood, and the failure of the French expedition, while it damped the hopes of the Ulstermen, gave force to the demands of the Catholic party for a purely national rising. So fierce was this demand that the leaders of the United Irishmen were forced to fix on the spring of 1798 for the outbreak of an insurrection, in which Lord Edward Fitzgerald, who had some small military experience, was to take the command. But while yielding on this point to the Catholic section of their party they concilated the Protestants by renewed appeals for aid to the Directory. In spite of its previous failures France again promised help; and a division was prepared during the winter for service in Ireland. But the passion of the nation was too intense to wait for its arrival. The government too acted with a prompt decision in face of the danger, and an arrest of Lord Edward Fitzgerald with three of their chief leaders in February, 1798, broke the plans of the insurgents. On the 23rd of May however, the day fixed

for the opening of the revolt, the Catholic peasantry of the south rose in arms. Elsewhere their disordely gatherings were easily dispersed by the yeomanry; but Wexford surrendered to 14,000 insurgents who marched on it, headed by a village priest, and the town at once became the centre of a formidable revolt.

Fortunately for the English rule the old religious hatred which had so often wrecked the hopes of Ireland broke out in the instant of this triumph. The Protestant inhabitants of Wexford were driven into the river or flung into prison. Another body of insurgents, frenzied by the cruelties of the royal troops, massacred a hundred Protestants in cool blood. The atrocities of the soldiers and the yeomanry were avenged with a fiendish ruthlessness. Loyalists were lashed and tortured, in their turn, and every soldier taken was butchered without mercy. The result of these outrages was fatal to the insurrection. The Ulster Protestants, who formed the strength of the United Irishmen, stood sullenly aloof from rebels who murdered Protestants. The Catholic gentry threw themselves on the side of the government against a rising which threatened the country with massacre and anarchy. Few in fact had joined the insurgents in Wexford when Lord Lake appeared before their camp upon Vinegar Hill with a strong force of English troops on the 21st of May. The camp was stormed, and with the dispersion of its defenders the revolt came suddenly to an end. But its suppression came only just in time to prevent greater disasters; for a few weeks after the close of the rebellion the long-expected aid arrived from France. The news of the outbreak had forced the armament which was being equipped in the French ports to put to sea with forces utterly inadequate to the task it had set itself, but fresh aid was promised to follow, and the nine hundred soldiers who landed in August under General Humbert on the coast of Mayo showed by their first successes how formidable a centre they would have given to the revolt had the revolt held its ground. But in the two months which had passed since Vinegar Hill all trace of resistance to the English rule had been trodden out in blood,

and Humbert found himself alone in a country exhausted and panic-stricken. He marched however boldly on Castlebar, broke a force of yeomanry and volunteers three times his number, and only surrendered when Lord Cornwallis, who had succeeded to the Lord Lieutenancy, faced him with thirty thousand men.

Of the threefold attack on which the Directory had relied for the ruin of England two parts had now broken down. Humbert's surrender and the failure of the native insurrection left little hope for future attack on the side of Ireland. The naval confederacy which was to rob England of the command of the seas had been foiled by the utter wreck of the Dutch fleet, and the imprisonment of the Spanish fleet in Cadiz. But the genius of Buonaparte had seized on the scheme for a rising against the English rule in Hindostan, and widened them into a project of all but world-wide conquest. At this time the strongest and most vigorous of the Indian powers was that of Mysore, at the southern extremity of the peninsula, where a Mussulman state had been built up by the genius of an adventurer, Hyder Ali. In the days when the English were winning their supremacy over the Carnatic, Hyder had been their chief difficulty; and his attack had once brought them to the verge of ruin. The hostility of his son Tippoo was even more bitter; but the victories of Lord Cornwallis had taught the Sultan of Mysore that he was no match for the British power single-handed; and his hopes, like those of the United Irishmen, were fixed upon France. He was striving to get aid from the Afghans and from the Nizam, but what he most counted on for the expulsion of the English from the Canartic was a force of thirty thousand French soldiers. Letters requesting such a force were despatched by him to France in 1797. Buonaparte had already fixed on Mysore as a basis of operations against the British rule in Hindostan; and after dismissing as impracticable a project suggested to him on his return from Italy after the treaty of Campo Formio for a descent upon England itself, he laid before the Directory a plan for the conquest and occupation of

Egypt as a preliminary to a campaign in Southern India. Utterly as this plan was foiled in the future, it was far from being the wild dream which it has often been considered. Both the Ministry and East Indian Directors were roused into anxiety by the first news of Buonaparte's expedition. Lord Wellesley, the Governor-General of British India, was warned of a possible attack from the Red Sea. Four thousand soldiers were hurried off to reinforce his army; while the English fleet watched anxiously in the Mediterranean. But so perfect was the secrecy with which the French plans were combined that Buonaparte was able to put to sea in May, 1798, with a force of 30,000 veterans drawn from the army of Italy, and making himself master of Malta as he passed to land near Alexandria at the close of June.

The conquest of Egypt proved as easy and complete as Buonaparte had hoped. The Mamelukes were routed in the battle of the Pyramids; Cairo was occupied; and the French troops pushed rapidly up the valley of the Nile. Their general meanwhile showed his genius for government by a masterly organization of the conquered country, by the conciliation of his new subjects, and by measures for the enrollment of native soldiers which would in a short time have placed him at the head of a formidable army. Of his ultimate aim there can be little doubt; for he had hardly landed at Alexandria when he despatched the news of his arrival and promises of support to Tippoo. All chance however of success in his projects hung on the maintenance of communications with France. With Italy, with the Ionian Islands, with Alexandria in French holding, it was all but impossible to prevent supplies of men and arms being forwarded to Egypt, so long as the French fleet remained in the waters of the Mediterranean and kept the English force concentrated by the necessity of watching its movements. But the French were hardly masters of Egypt when their fleet ceased to exist. The thirteen men of war which had escorted the expedition were found by Admiral Nelson in Aboukir Bay, moored close to the coast in a line guarded at either end by gunboats and batteries. Nelson resolved to thrust his ships

between the French and the shore. On the morning of the 1st of August his own flag-ship led the way in this attack; and after a terrible fight of twelve hours, nine of the French vessels were captured and destroyed, two were burned, and five thousand French seamen were killed or made prisoners. "Victory," cried Nelson, "is not a name strong enough for such a scene." Few victories indeed in history have produced more effective results then the battle of the Nile. The French flag was swept from the waters of the Mediterranean. All communication between France and Bonaparte's army was cut off; and his hopes of making Egypt a starting-point for the conquest of India fell at a blow. To hold Egypt itself soon became difficult, for a desperate revolt broke out at the news of Nelson's victory in the streets of Cairo, and a Turkish army advanced from Syria to recover the valley of the Nile.

Secure against invasion at home as against rebellion in Ireland, secure too against the dangers that threatened her rule in India, and mistress of the seas, England was free in her turn to attack the assailant who had so long threatened her very existence. And in such an attack she was aided at this moment by the temper of the European powers, and by the ceaseless aggressions of France. The treaties of Basle and Campo Formio were far from being accepted by the Directory as a final settlement of the relations of France with Europe. Some faint remnant of the older dreams of freeing oppressed peoples may have lingered in the aid which it gave to the rising of the subject districts of Basle and Vaud against their Bernese masters in the opening of 1798. But mere greed of gold was seen in the plunder of the treasury of Berne, a plunder which served to equip the army that sailed with Buonaparte to the shores of Egypt, and to recruit the exhausted treasury of the Directory; and an ambition, as reckless as this greed, broke out in an attack on the mountain cantons, states whose democratic institutions gave no such excuse for hostility as had been afforded by the aristocracy of Berne. A French decree abolished the Swiss Confederation, and the independence

of its several states, and established in their place an Helvetic Republic modelled on a plan sent from Paris, and placed under the protection of France. The mountain cantons rose against this overthrow of a freedom compared with which the freedom of France was but of yesterday; but desperate as was their struggle they were overwhelmed by numbers, and the men of Uri, of Unterwalden and of Schwytz bowed for the first time to a foreign conqueror.

The overthrow of this immemorial house of freedom opened the eyes of the blindest enthusiast to the real character of the French aggressions. Even in the group of young English poets, Coleridge, Wordsworth, and Southey, who had clung till now to the dream of the Revolution, of a Europe freed and regenerated by the arms of the new republic, all belief in such a dream passed finally away. But the France of the Directory would have cared little for this alienation of the peoples, had it not been backed by the revived hostility of their kings. What England counted on in her efforts for a revival of the coalition was the resentment of Austria at the aggressions which the Directory had ever since the peace of Campo Formio been carrying on in Italy. In the opening of 1798 a French force entered Rome, set up a Roman republic, and carried off Pius VI. a prisoner to Siena; while the King of Sardinia was driven to admit French garrisons into his fortresses. Austria however was still too weak after her defeats to listen to Pitt's advances, had Pitt stood alone. But Russia was now about to take a new part in European affairs. Under Catharine the Second this power had availed itself of the war against France in the west to carry out its own projects of conquest in eastern Europe; and, as we have seen, Pitt had watched its advance at the opening of the Revolution with far greater dread than the movements in France. It was in fact the need which the two German states felt of balancing the Russian annexations in Poland by annexations of their own which had paralyzed their armies on the Rhine and saved France at the moment of her greatest danger in 1793. It is probable that the

Directory still counted on the persistence of Russia in a similar policy, and believed that Catharine would see in their attack on Egypt and the Turks only a fresh opportunity for conquests on the Danube. But the sudden greatness of France had warned Russia that its policy of selfishness had been carried too far. It had allowed the Republic to tower into supremacy over the Continent, and if once such a supremacy was firmly established it would prove a fatal obstacle to the Russian advance, France would again, as under the monarchy, aim at the restoration of Poland; she would again bar the way to Constantinople; and her action would be backed by the weight of all western Europe, which had been thrown into her scale by the policy of the very state she defied. To avert such a result it was necessary to restore that balance of the Continent by which France and the German powers held one another in check; and with a view to this restoration Russia suddenly declared itself an enemy of France. Catharine's successor, the Czar Paul, set aside the overtures of the Directory. A close alliance was formed with Austria, and while an Imperial army gathered on the Bavarian frontier Russian troops hurried to the west.

The appearance of this new element in the struggle changed its whole conditions; and it was with renewed hope that Pitt lavished subsidies on the two allies at the close of 1798. But his preparations for the new strife were far from being limited to efforts abroad. In England he had found fresh resources in an Income-Tax, from which he anticipated an annual return of ten millions. Heavy as the tax was, and it amounted to ten per cent. on all incomes above £200 a year, the dogged resolution of the people to fight on was seen in the absence of all opposition to this proposal. What was of even greater importance was to remove all chance of fresh danger from Ireland. Pitt's temper was of too statesmanlike a mould to rest content with the mere suppression of insurrection or with the system of terrorism which for the moment held the country down. His disgust at "the bigoted fury of Irish Protestants" had backed Lord Cornwallis

in checking the reprisals of his troops and of the Orangemen; but the hideous cruelty which he was forced to witness brought about a firm resolve to put an end to the farce of "Independence" which left Ireland helpless in such hands. The political necessity for a union of the two islands had been brought home to every English statesman by the course of the Irish Parliament during the disputes over the Regency. While England repelled the claims of the Prince of Wales to the Regency as of right, the legislature of Ireland admitted them. As the only union left between the two peoples since the concession of legislative independence was their obedience to a common ruler, such an act might conceivably have ended in their entire severance; and the sense of this danger secured a welcome in England for the proposal which Pitt made at the opening of 1799 to unite the two Parliaments. The opposition of the Irish boroughmongers was naturally stubborn and determined, and when the plan was introduced into the Parliament at Dublin, it was only saved from rejection by a single vote. But with men like these it was a sheer question of gold; and their assent was bought with a million in money, and with a liberal distribution of pensions and peerages. Base and shameless as were such means, Pitt may fairly plead that they were the only means by which the bill for the Union could have been passed. As the matter was finally arranged in June, 1800, one hundred Irish members became part of the House of Commons at Westminster, and twenty-eight temporal with four spiritual peers, chosen for each Parliament by their fellows, took their seats in the House of Lords. Commerce between the two countries was freed from all restrictions and every trading privilege of the one thrown open to the other, while taxation was proportionately distributed between the two peoples.

While the Union was being pushed slowly forward, the struggle abroad was passing through strange vicissitudes. At the opening of 1799 the efforts of the new coalition were crowned with success in every quarter. Though Naples had been turned into a Parthenopean

Republic at the close of the previous year, and the French supremacy extended over the whole peninsula, the descent of an Austrian army from the Tyrol at the end of March, and a victory of the Russian and Austrian forces at Cassano, compelled the French army to evacuate Southern Italy and Lombardy, while a fresh defeat at Novi flung it back on the Maritime Alps. A campaign conducted with more varying success drove the armies which advanced into Germany back over the Rhine. In Switzerland however the stubborn energy of Massena enabled his soldiers to hold their ground against the combined attack of Russian and Austrian forces; and the attempt of a united force of Russians and English to wrest Holland from its French masters was successfully repulsed. Twelve of the thirty thousand men who formed this army consisted of English troops; and Sir Ralph Abercromby succeeded in landing at their head, in seizing what remained of the Dutch fleet at the Texel, and in holding General Brune at bay when he advanced with superior forces. But Abercromby was superseded in his command by the Duke of York; and in another month the new leader was glad to conclude a convention by which the safe withdrawal of his troops was secured.

In the East however England was more successful. Even had Buonaparte not been baffled in his plans of a descent on Southern India from the basis of Egypt by the battle of the Nile, they would have been frustrated by the energy of Lord Wellesley. Mysore was invaded, its capital stormed, and Tippoo slain, before a French soldier could have been despatched to its aid. But foiled as were his dreams of Indian conquest, the daring genius of the French general plunged into wilder projects. He conceived the design of the conquest of Syria and of the creation of an army among its warlike mountaineers. "With a hundred thousand men on the banks of the Euphrates," he said years afterwards, "I might have gone to Constantinople or India, I might have changed the face of the world." Gaza was taken, Jaffa stormed, and ten thousand French soldiers advanced under their young general on Acre. Acre was the key of Syria, and its

reduction was the first step in these immense projects. "Once possessed of Acre," wrote Napoleon, "the army would have gone to Damascus and the Euphrates. The Christains of Syria, the Druses, the Armenians, would have joined us. The provinces of the Ottoman Empire were ready for a change, and were only waiting for a man." But Acre was stubbornly held by the Turks, the French battering train was captured at sea by an English captain, Sir Sidney Smith, whose seamen aided in the defence of the place, and after a loss of three thousand men by sword and plague, the besiegers were forced to fall back upon Egypt.

Egypt indeed was more than ever their own, for their army had now penetrated to the cataracts of the Nile, and a Turkish force which landed near Alexandra was cut to pieces by Buonparte in the battle of Aboukir. But the news of defeat at home and the certainty that all wider hopes in the East were at an end, induced him only a month after his victory to leave his army. With a couple of frigates he set sail for France; and his arrival in Paris was soon followed by a change in the government. The Directors were divided among themselves, while the disasters of their administration made them hateful to the country; and a revolution brought about by the soldiery on the 10th of November put an end to their power. In the new system which followed three consuls took the place of the Directors; but the system only screened the government of a single man, for under the name of First Consul Buonaparte became in effect sole ruler of the country. His energy at once changed the whole face of European affairs. The offers of peace which he made to England and Austria were intended to do little more than to shake the coalition, and gain breathing time for the organization of a new force which was gathering in secrecy at Dijon, while Moreau with the army of the Rhine pushed again along the Danube. The First Consul crossed the Saint Bernard with this army in the spring of 1800, and on the 14th of June a victory at Marengo left the Austrian army, which had just succeeded in reducing Genoa, helpless in his hands. It was

by the surrender of all Lombardy to the Oglio that the defeated general obtained an armistice for his troops; and a similar truce arrested the march of Moreau, who had captured Munich and was pushing on to Vienna. The armistice only added to the difficulties of Buonaparte's opponents, for Russia, as anxious not to establish a German supremacy as she had been to weaken the supremacy of France, had withdrawn from the contest as soon as the coalition seemed to be successful; and Austria was only held back from peace by her acceptance of English subsidies. But though she fought on, the resumption of the war in the autumn failed to reverse the fortune of arms. The Austrians were driven back on Vienna; and on the second of December Moreau crushed their army on the Iser in the victory of Hohenlinden. But the aim of the First Consul was only to wrest peace from his enemies by these triumphs; while the expiration of her engagements with England left his opponent free to lay down her arms. In February, 1801, therefore the Continent War was brought suddenly to an end by the Peace of Luneville.

CHAPTER V.

ENGLAND AND NAPOLEON.

1801—1815.

THE treaty of Luneville was of far greater import than the treaties which had ended the struggle of the first coalition. It was in effect the close of the attack which revolutionary France had directed against the Continental powers. With it expired the outer energy of the Revolution, as its inner energy expired with the elevation of Buonaparte to the First Consulate. The change that the French onset had wrought in the aspect of Europe had no doubt been great. In the nine years which had passed since the earlier league of the powers against her, France had won all and more than all that the ambition of her older statesmen had ever aimed at. She had absorbed the Netherlands. She was practically mistress of Holland, Switzerland, and Piedmont, whose dependent republics covered her frontier; while she had revived that union with Spain which had fallen for a time with the Family Compact of the House of Bourbon. But in spite of this growth the dread of French aggression was far less keenly felt by her neighbor states than in the early years of the war. What they had dreaded then was not so much the political reconstruction of Europe as the revolutionary enthusiasm which would have pushed this political reconstruction into a social revolution. But at the opening of the nineteenth century the enthusiasm of France had faded away. She was again Christian. She was again practically monarchical. What her neighbors saw in her after all these years of change was little more than the old France with a wider

frontier; and now that they could look upon those years as a whole, it was clear that much of this widening of her borders was only a fair counterbalance for the widened borders of the states around her. If France had grown great, other powers had grown in greatness too. If France had pushed her frontier to the Rhine and established dependencies across the Rhone and the Alps, Russia during the same period had annexed the bulk of Poland, and the two great German powers had enlarged themselves both to the east and the west. The Empire had practically ceased to be; but its ruin had given fresh extension and compactness to the states which had profited by it. The cessions of Prussia had been small beside her gains. The losses of Austria had been more than counterbalanced in Italy by her acquisition of Venice, and far more than counterbalanced by secularizations and annexations within Germany itself.

Although therefore the old Europe and its balance of power had passed away, the new Europe which had taken its place presented a balance of power which might be regarded as even more effective; and the peace of Luneville was in reality the recognition on both sides of a European settlement on the basis of such a balance. But in the mind of Buonaparte it was far more than this. It was the first step in an entire reversal of the policy which Revolutionary France had pursued in her dealings with the world. It was a return to the older policy of the French monarchy. Under the guidance of the revolutionists France had striven for supremacy among the states of Europe. But for such a supremacy the First Consul cared comparatively little. What he cared for was what Choiseul and the statesmen who followed him cared for, the supremacy of the world. And he saw that with every year of war on the Continent such a supremacy grew more distant than ever. The very victories of France indeed were playing into the hands of England. Amidst all the triumphs of the revolutionary war the growth of the British Empire had been steady and ceaseless. She was more than ever mistress of the sea. The mastery of Holland by the French had only ended in the

removal of one of the obstacles to such a mastery by the ruin of the Dutch navy, and the transfer of the rich Dutch colonies to the British crown. The winning of Egypt had but spurred her to crush the only Mussulman power that could avert her rule over southern India. But her growth was more than a merely territorial growth. She was turning her command of the seas to a practical account. Not only was she monopolizing the carrying trade of the European nations, but the sudden uprush of her industries was making her the workshop as well as the market of the world. From the first the mind of Buonaparte had been set on a struggle with this growing world-power. Even amidst his earliest victories he had dreamed of wresting from England her dominion in the East; and if his Egyptian expedition had done nothing for India, it had secured in Egypt itself a stepping-stone for further efforts. But now that France was wholly at his disposal, the First Consul resolved to free his hands from the strife with the Continent, and to enter on that struggle with Britain which was henceforth to be the task of his life.

The significance then of the Peace of Luneville lay in this, not only that it was the close of the earlier revolutionary struggle for supremacy in Europe, the abandonment by France of her effort to "liberate the peoples," to force new institutions on the nations about her by sheer dint of arms; but that it marked the concentration of all her energies on a struggle with Britain for the supremacy of the world. For England herself the event which accompanied it, the sudden withdrawal of William Pitt from office which took place in the very month of the treaty, was hardly less significant. To men of our day the later position of William Pitt seems one of almost tragic irony. An economist heaping up millions of debt, a Peace Minister dragged into the costliest of wars, he is the very type of the baffled statesman; and the passionate loyalty with which England clung to him through the revolutionary struggle is one of the least intelligible passages of our history. But if England clung to Pitt through these years of gloom, it was because

then more than ever she saw in him her own representative. His strength had lain throughout in his reflection of public opinion: and public opinion saw itself reflected in him still. At the outset of his career the set of opinion had been towards a larger and a more popular policy than of old. New facilities of communication, new industrial energy, and a quick accumulation of wealth, as well as the social changes which followed hard on these economical changes, all pointed forward to political progress, to an adaptation of our institutions to the varied conditions of the time. The nation was quivering with a new sense of life; and it faced eagerly questions of religion, of philanthropy, of education, of trade, as one after another they presented themselves before it. Above all it clung to the young minister whose ideas were its own, who alien as his temper seemed from that of an innovator, came boldly to the front with projects for a new Parliament, a new finance, a new international policy, a new imperial policy, a new humanitarian policy. It was this oneness of Pitt's temper with the temper of the men he ruled that made him sympathize, in spite of the alarm of the court, with the first movements of the revolution in France, and deal fairly, if coldly, with its after-course. It was this that gave him strength to hold out so long against a struggle with it.

But as the alarm deepened, as the nation saw its social, political, and religious traditions alike threatened, the bulk of Englishmen swung round into an attitude of fierce resistance. The craving for self-preservation hushed all other cravings. What men looked for in Pitt now was not the economist or the reformer, but the son of Chatham, the heir of his father's courage, of his father's faith in the greatness of England. And what they looked for they found. Pitt was no born War Minister; he had none of the genius that commands victory, or of the passionate enthusiasm that rouses a nation to great deeds of arms. But he had faith in England. Even when she stood alone against the world he never despaired. Reading him, as we read him now, we see the sickness and the gloom of his inner soul; but no sign betrayed it to

the world. As the tempest gathered about them, men looked with trust that deepened into awe on the stately figure that embodied their faith in England's fortunes, and huddled in the darkness round "the pilot that weathered the storm." But there were deeper and less conscious grounds for their trust in him. Pitt reflected far more than the nation's resolve. He reflected the waverings and inconsistencies of its political temper in a way that no other man did. In the general swing round to an attitude of resistance, the impulse of progress had come utterly to an end. Men doubted of the truth of principles that seemed to have brought about the horrors of the Revolution. They listened to Burke as he built up his theory of political immobility on the basis of an absolute perfection in the constitution of things as they were. But even in this moment of reaction they still clung unconsciously to a belief in something better, to a trust that progress would again be possible, and to the man who reflected their trust. Like them, Pitt could understand little of the scene about him, that seething ocean of European change where states vanished like dreams, and the very elements of social life seemed to melt in a mist; his mind, like theirs, was baffled with doubt and darkness, with the seeming suicide of freedom, the seeming triumph of violence and wrong. But baffled and bewildered as he was, he never ceased to believe in liberty, or to hope that the work of reform which he had begun might yet be carried into effect.

It was as the representative of this temper of the people at large, of its mingled mood of terror at the new developments of freedom, and yet of faith in freedom itself, of its dread of progress and yet its hope of a time when a larger national life should again become possible, that Pitt had gathered the nation round him from the opening of the war. Much indeed of the seeming weakness and uncertainty of his statesmanship throughout the struggle sprang from the fidelity with which he reflected this double aspect of national opinion. He has been blamed for fighting the French Revolution at all, as he has been blamed for not entering on an anti-revolutionary crusade.

But his temper was that of the nation as a whole. He shrank from the fanaticism of Burke as he shrank from the fanaticism of Tom Paine: his aim was not to crush France or the Revolution, but to bring the struggle with them to such an end as might enable England to return in safety to the work of progress which the struggle had interrupted. And it was this that gave significance to his fall. It was a sign that the time had come when the national union which Pitt embodied must dissolve with the disappearance of the force that created it; when resistance had done its work, and the arrest of all national movement had come to an end with the attitude of mere resistance from which it sprang; when in face of a new France and a new French policy England could again return to her normal political life, and the impulses towards progress which had received so severe a check in 1792 could again flow in their older channels. In such a return Pitt himself took the lead; and his proposal of Catholic emancipation was as significant of a new æra of English life as the Peace of Luneville was significant of a new settlement of Europe.

In Pitt's mind the Union which he brought about in 1800 was more than a mere measure for the security of the one island; it was a first step in the regeneration of the other. The legislative connexion of the two countries was only part of the plan which he had conceived for the conciliation of Ireland. With the conclusion of the Union indeed, his projects of free trade between the two countries, projects which had been defeated a few years back by the folly of the Irish Parliament, came quietly into play; and in spite of insufficient capital and social disturbance the growth of the trade, shipping, and manufactures of Ireland has gone on without a check from that time to this. The change which brought Ireland directly under the common Parliament was followed too by a gradual revision of its oppressive laws and an amendment in their administration; while taxation was lightened and a faint beginning made of public instruction. But in Pitt's mind the great means of conciliation was the concession of religious equality. In proposing to

the English Parliament the union of the two countries he pointed out that when thus joined to a Protestant country like England all danger of a Catholic supremacy in Ireland, even should Catholic disabilities be removed, would be practically at an end. In such a case, he suggested that "an effectual and adequate provision for the Catholic clergy" would be a security for their loyalty. His words gave strength to the hopes of "Catholic Emancipation," as the removal of what remained of the civil disabilities of Catholics was called, which were held out by his agent, Lord Castlereagh, in Ireland itself as a means of hindering any opposition to the project of Union on the part of the Catholics. It was agreed on all sides that their opposition would have secured its defeat; and the absence of such a Catholic opposition showed the new trust in Pitt which was awakened by the hints of Lord Castlereagh. The trust had good grounds to go on. After the passing of the bill Pitt prepared to lay before his Cabinet a measure which would have raised not only the Irish Catholic but the Irish Dissenter to a perfect equality of civil rights. He proposed to remove all religious tests which limited the exercise of the franchise, or which were required for admission to Parliament, the magistracy, the bar, municipal offices, or posts in the army or the service of the State. An oath of allegiance and of fidelity to the Constitution was substituted for the Sacramental test; while the loyalty of the Catholic and Dissenting clergy was secured by a grant of some provision to both on the part of the State. To win over the Episcopal Church to such an equality measures were added for strengthening its modes of discipline, as well as for increasing the stipends of its poorer ministers, while a commutation of tithes was planned as a means of removing a constant source of quarrel between the Protestant clergy and the Irish people.

But the scheme was too large and statesmanlike to secure the immediate assent of the Cabinet; and before that assent could be won or the plan laid with full ministerial sanction before the King, it was communicated through the treachery of the Chancellor, Lord Lough-

borough, to George the Third "I count any man my personal enemy," George broke out angrily to Dundas, "who proposes any such measure." Pitt answered this outburst by submitting his whole plan to the King. "The political circumstances under which the exclusive laws originated," he wrote, "arising either from the conflicting power of hostile and nearly balanced sects, from the apprehension of a Popish Queen as successor, a disputed succession and a foreign pretender, a division in Europe between Catholic and Protestant Powers, are no longer applicable to the present state of things." But argument was wasted upon George the Third. In spite of the decision of the lawyers whom he consulted, the King declared himself bound by his Coronation Oath to maintain the tests; and his obstinacy was only strengthened by a knowledge that such a refusal must drive Pitt from office. George was weary of his minister's supremacy. He was longing for servants who would leave him more than a show of power, and he chose his ground for a struggle with all the cunning of his earlier years. It was by his command of public opinion that Pitt had been able to force his measures on the King. But in the question of Catholic Emancipation George knew that opinion was not with his minister, but with himself. On this point his bigotry was at one with the bigotry of the bulk of his subjects, as well as with their political distrust of Catholics and Irishmen. He persisted therefore in his refusal; and it was followed by the event he foresaw. In February, 1801, at the moment of the Peace of Luneville, William Pitt resigned his office into the hands of the King.

It was with a sense of relief that George found himself freed from the great minister whose temper was so alien from his own. But it was with a yet greater sense of relief that he saw him followed into retirement not only by Lord Grenville, but by nearly all the more liberal section of the ministry, by men like Wyndham and Lord Spenser, the representatives of the "Old Whigs" who had joined Pitt on the disruption of their party through the French Revolution. Such a union indeed could

hardly have lasted much longer. The terror which had so long held these Whigs in their alliance with the Tories who formed the bulk of the administration was now at an end; and we have already seen their pressure for a more liberal policy in the action of Lord Fitzwilliam as Lord Lieutenant of Ireland. But the question of Emancipation finally brought about a restoration of the natural position of parties; and from this moment the old Whigs, who accepted Lord Grenville as their head, fell into alliance with the more revolutionary Whigs who had remained faithful to Fox. The Whig party thus became again a powerful element in English politics, while in face of the reunited Whigs stood the Tories, relieved like themselves from the burden of an alliance which grew hourly more distasteful. The bulk of the old Ministry returned in a few days to office with Mr. Addington at their head, and his administration received the support of the whole Tory party in Parliament.

Without the walls of Parliament however the nation looked on such a change with dismay. Addington was regarded as a weak and narrow-minded man; and the favor with which the King welcomed him was due to a consciousness of their common bigotry. Of Lord Hawkesbury, who succeeded Lord Grenville in the conduct of foreign affairs, nothing was known outside the House of Commons. It was with anxiety that England found itself guided by men like these at a time when every hour brought darker news. The scarcity of bread was mounting to a famine. Taxes were raised anew, and yet the loan for the year amounted to five and twenty millions. The country stood utterly alone; while the peace of Luneville secured France from all hostility on the Continent. And it was soon plain that this peace was only the first step in a new policy on the part of the First Consul. What he had done was to free his hands for a decisive conflict with Britain itself, both as a world-power and as a centre of wealth. England was at once the carrier of European commerce and the workshop of European manufactures. While her mines, her looms, her steam-engines, were giving her almost a mon-

opoly of industrial production, her merchant ships sufficed not only to spread her own products through the world, but to carry to every part of it the products of others countries. Though the war had already told on both these sources of wealth, it was far from having told fatally. It had long closed France indeed to English exports, while the waste of wealth in so wide a strife had lessened the buying power of Europe at large. But in Europe the loss was to some extent made up for the moment by the artificial demand for supplies which war creates; the home market still sufficed to absorb a vast quantity of manufactures; and America, which was fast growing into the most important of English customers, remained unaffected by the struggle. Industry had thus suffered but little loss, while commerce believed itself to have greatly gained. All rivals save one had in fact been swept from the sea; the carrying trade of France and Holland alike had been transferred to the British flag, and the conquest of their wealthier settlements had thrown into British hands the whole colonial trade of the world.

To strike at England's wealth had been among the projects of the Directory: it was now the dream of the First Consul. It was in vain for England to produce, if he shut her out of every market. Her carrying-trade must be annihilated if he closed every port against her ships. It was this gigantic project of a "Continental System" that revealed itself as soon as Buonaparte became finally master of France. From France itself and its dependencies in Holland and the Netherlands English trade was already excluded. But Italy also was shut against her after the Peace of Luneville, and Spain not only closed her own ports but forced Portugal to break with her English ally. In the Baltic Buonaparte was more active than even in the Mediterranean. In a treaty with America, which was destined to bring this power also in the end into his great attack, he had formally recognized the rights of neutral vessels which England was hourly disputing; and in her disregard of them he not only saw the means of bringing the northern

powers into his system of exclusion, but of drawing on their resources for a yet more decisive blow. He was set upon challenging not only England's wealth but her world-empire; and his failure in Egypt had taught him that the first condition of success in such an enterprise was to wrest from her her command of the seas. The only means of doing this lay in a combination of naval powers; and the earlier efforts of France had left but one naval combination for Buonaparte to try. The Directory had been able to assail England at sea by the joint action of the French fleet with those of Holland and of Spain. But the Spanish navy had been crippled by the battle of Cape St. Vincent, and the Dutch fleet destroyed in the victory of Camperdown. The only powers which now possessed naval resources were the powers of the North. The fleets of Denmark, Sweden, and Russia numbered forty sail of the line, and they had been untouched by the strife. Both the Scandinavian states resented the severity with which Britain enforced that right of search which had brought about their armed neutrality at the close of the American war; while Denmark was besides an old ally of France, and her sympathies were still believed to be French. The First Consul therefore had little trouble in enlisting them in a league of neutrals, which was in effect a declaration of war against England, and which Prussia as before showed herself ready to join.

Russia indeed seemed harder to gain. Since Paul's accession she had been the moving spirit in the confederacy which had only been broken up by the victory of Marengo. But the spirit of revolutionary aggression which had nominally roused Paul to action, had, as the Czar believed, been again hushed by the First Consul. Buonaparte had yielded to his remonstrances in preserving the independence of Naples and Sardinia; and with Italian subtlety he now turned the faith in French moderation which these concessions created in the mind of Paul into a dread of the ambition of England and a jealousy of her sovereignty of the seas. But his efforts would have been in vain had they not fallen in with the general cur-

rent of Russian policy. From the first outbreak of the Revolutionary struggle Russia, as we have seen, had taken advantage of the strife among the Western nations to push forward her own projects in the East. Catharine had aimed at absorbing Poland, and at becoming the mistress of European Turkey. In the first she had been successful, but the second still remained unaccomplished when her empire passed to her son. For a time Paul had been diverted from the task by the turn of affairs in Western Europe, where the victories of the French Republic threatened an utter overthrow of the powers opposed to it, which would have foiled the plans of Russia by bringing about a European union that must have paralyzed her advance. The Czar therefore acted strictly in the spirit of Catharine's policy when he stepped in again to feed the strife by raising the combatants to a new equality, and when he withdrew his armies at the very moment that this was done. But successful as his diversion had been, Paul saw that one obstacle remained in the way of his projects upon Turkey. Pitt had never hidden his opposition to the Russian plans. His whole policy at the outbreak of the Revolution had been guided by a desperate hope of binding the powers again together to prevent the ruin of Poland, or of hindering it by a league of England and France alone. Foiled as he had been in these efforts, he was even more resolute to check the advance of Russia on Constantinople. Already her growing empire in India was telling on the European policy of England; and the security of Egypt, of Syria, of Turkey at large, was getting deemed to be essential to the maintenance of her communication with her great dependency. The French descent on Egypt, the attack on Syria, had bound Britain and Turkey together; and Paul saw that an attack on the one would bring him a fresh opponent in the other.

It was to check the action of Britain in the East that the Czar now turned to the French Consul, and seconded his efforts for the formation of a naval confederacy in the North, while his minister, Rostopchin, planned a division of the Turkish Empire in Europe between Russia

and her allies. Austria was to be satisfied with the western provinces of the Balkan peninsula; Russia gained Moldavia, Bulgaria, and Roumelia as far as Constantinople; while Greece fell to the lot of France, whose troops were already on the Italian shores, at a day's sail from the Illyrian coast. A squabble over Malta, which had been blockaded since its capture by Buonaparte, and which surrendered at last to a British fleet, but whose possession the Czar claimed as his own on the ground of an alleged election as Grand Master of the Order of St. John, served as a pretext for a quarrel with England; and at the close of 1800 Paul openly prepared for hostilities. In October he announced an armed neutrality; in December he seized three hundred English vessels in his ports, and sequestrated all English goods found in his Empire. The Danes, who throughout the year had been struggling to evade the British right of search, at once joined this neutral league, and were followed by Sweden in their course. It was plain that, as soon as the spring of 1801 opened the Baltic, the fleets of the three Powers would act in practical union with those of France and Spain. But the command of the seas which such a union threatened was a matter for England of life and death, for at this very moment the Peace of Luneville left Buonaparte without a foe on the Continent, and able to deal as he would with the whole military resources of France. Once master of the Channel he could throw a force on the southern coast of England which she had no means of meeting in the field. But dexterous as the combination was, it was shattered at a blow. On the first of April, 1801, a British fleet of eighteen men-of-war forced the passage of the Belt, appeared before Copenhagen, and at once attacked the city and its fleet. In spite of a brave resistance from the Danish batteries and gunboats six Danish ships were taken, and the Crown Prince was forced to conclude an armistice which enabled the English ships to enter the Baltic, where the Russian fleet was still detained by the ice. But their work was really over. The seizure of English goods and the declaration of war had bitterly

irritated the Russian nobles, whose sole outlet for the sale of the produce of their vast estates was thus closed to them; and on the twenty-fourth of March, nine days before the battle of Copenhagen, Paul fell in a midnight attack by conspirators in his own palace. With Paul fell the Confederacy of the North. The policy of his successor, the Czar Alexander, was far more in unison with the general feeling of his subjects; in June a Convention between England and Russia settled the vexed questions of the right of search and contraband of war, and this Convention was accepted by Sweden and Denmark.

The First Consul's disappointment was keen; but he saw clearly that with this dissolution of the Northern alliance the war came virtually to an end. He no longer had any means of attacking Britain save by the efforts of France itself, and even with the aid of Holland and Spain France was at this moment helpless before the supremacy of England at sea. On the other hand the continuance of the struggle would give triumph after triumph to his foes. One such blow had already fallen. Even in the midst of his immense schemes against Britain at home, Buonaparte had not abandoned the hope of attacking her in India. Egypt was needful to such a scheme; and from the first moment of his power he strained every nerve to retain Egypt in the hands of France. Menou, who commanded there, was ordered to hold the country; an expedition was fitted out in the Spanish ports for its relief; and light vessels were hurried from the Italian coast with arms and supplies. But at the very moment of the attack on Copenhagen, a stroke as effective wrecked his project in the East. England had not forgotten the danger to her dependency; ever since Buonaparte's expedition her fleet had blockaded Malta, the island fortress whose possession gave France a first stepping-stone in any enterprise against it; and the surrender of Malta left her unquestioned mistress of the Mediterranean. From Malta she now turned to Egypt itself. Triumphant as England had been at sea since the opening of the war, her soldiers had proved no match for the

French on land. Two expeditions had been sent against Holland, and each had ended in a disastrous retreat. But at this moment England re-appeared as a military power. In March, 1801, a force of 15,000 men under General Abercrombie anchored in Aboukir Bay. Deserted as they were by Buonaparte, the French had firmly maintained their hold on Egypt. They had suppressed a revolt at Cairo, driven back Turkish invaders in a fresh victory, and by native levies and reinforcements raised the number of their troops to 30,000 men. But their army was foolishly scattered, and Abercrombie was able to force a landing five days after his arrival on the coast. The French however rapidly concentrated; and on the 21st of March their general attacked the English army on the ground it had won with a force equal to its own. The battle was a stubborn one, and Abercrombie fell mortally wounded ere its close; but after six hours' fighting the French drew off with heavy loss; and their retreat was followed by the investment of Alexandria and Cairo, into which Menou had withdrawn his army. All hope however was over. Five thousand Turks, with a fresh division from England and India, reinforced the besiegers; and at the close of June the capitulation of the 13,000 soldiers who remained closed the French rule over Egypt.

Bitter as was the anger with which the First Consul received the news of this surrender, it only strengthened his resolve to suspend a war, of which Britain only could now reap the fruits, and whose continuance might in the present temper of Russia and its Czar disturb that peace of the Continent on which all his plans against England rested. It was to give time for such an organization of France and its resources as might enable him to re-open the struggle with other chances of success that the First Consul opened negotiations for peace at the close of 1801. His offers were at once met by the English Government. In the actual settlement of the Continent indeed England saw only an imperfect balance to the power of France, but it had no means of disputing the settlement, as France had no means of disturbing its supremacy at sea. If

Buonaparte wished to husband his resources for a new attack all but the wilder Tories were willing to husband the resources of England for the more favorable opportunity of renewing it which would come with a revival of European energy. With such a temper on both sides the conclusion of peace became easy; and the negotiations which went on through the winter between England and the three allied Powers of France, Spain, and the Dutch, brought about in March, 1802, the peace of Amiens. The terms of the Peace were necessarily simple; for as England had no claim to interfere with the settlement of the Continent, which had been brought about by the treaties of its powers with the French Republic, all that remained for her was to provide that the settlement should be a substantial one by a pledge on the part of France to withdraw its forces from Southern Italy, and to leave to themselves the Republics it had set up along its border in Holland, Switzerland, and Piedmont. In exchange for this pledge England recognized the French government, restored all the colonies which they had lost, save Ceylon and Trinidad, to France and its allies, acknowledged the Ionian Islands as a free republic and engaged to restore Malta within three months to its old masters, the Knights of St. John.

There was a general sense of relief at the close of so long a struggle; and for a moment the bitter hatred which England had cherished against France seemed to give place to more friendly feelings. The new French ambassador was drawn in triumph on his arrival through the streets of London; and thousands of Englishmen crossed the Channel to visit a country which had conquered the world, and to gaze on the young general who after wonderful victories had given a yet more wonderful peace to Europe. But amidst all the glare of success, shrewd observers saw the dangers that lay in the temper of the First Consul. Whatever had been the errors of the French Revolutionists, even their worst attacks on the independence of the nations around them had been veiled by a vague notion of freeing the peoples whom they invaded from the yoke of their rulers. But the

aim of Buonaparte was simply that of a vulgar conqueror. He was resolute to be master of the Western world, and no notions of popular freedom or sense of national right interfered with his resolve. The means at his command for carrying out such a design were immense. The political life of the Revolution had been cut short by his military despotism, but the new social vigor which the Revolution had given to France through the abolition of privileges and the creation of a new middle class on the ruins of the clergy and the nobles still lived on; and while the dissensions which tore the country asunder were hushed by the policy of the First Consul, by his restoration of the Church as a religious power, his recall of the exiles, and the economy and wise administration that distinguished his rule, the centralized system of government that had been bequeathed by the Monarchy to the Revolution and by the Revolution to Buonaparte enabled him easily to seize the national vigor for the profit of his own despotism. On the other hand, the exhaustion of the brilliant hopes raised by the Revolution, the craving for public order, the military enthusiasm and the impulse of a new glory given by the wonderful victories France had won, made a Tyranny possible; and in the hands of Buonaparte this tyranny was supported by a secret police, by the suppression of the press and of all freedom of opinion, and above all by the iron will and immense ability of the First Consul himself.

Once chosen Consul for life, he felt himself secure at home, and turned restlessly to the work of outer aggression. The pledges given at Amiens were set aside. The republics established on the borders of France were brought into mere dependence on his will. Piedmont and Parma were actually annexed to France; and a French army occupied Switzerland. The temperate protests of the English Government were answered by demands for the expulsion of the French exiles who had been living in England ever since the Revolution, and for its surrender of Malta, which was retained till some security could be devised against a fresh seizure of the island by the French fleet. Meanwhile huge armaments were

preparing in the French ports; and a new activity was seen in those of Spain. Not for a moment indeed had Buonaparte relinquished his design of attacking Britain. He had made peace because peace would serve his purpose, both in strengthening the tranquillity of the Continent, which was essential to his success in any campaign across the Channel, and in giving him time to replace by a new combination the maritime schemes which had broken down. Beaten as it had been, the Spanish fleet was still powerful; and a union with the French fleet which the First Consul was forming might still enable it to dispute the command of the sea. All that he wished for was time; and time was what the Peace gave him. But delay was as dangerous to England, now that it discerned his plans, as it was profitable to France; and in May, 1803, the British government anticipated his attack by a declaration of war.

The breach only quickened Buonaparte's resolve to attack his enemy at home. The difficulties in his way he set contemptuously aside; "Fifteen millions of people," he said, in allusion to the disproportion between the population of England and France, "must give way to forty millions;" and the invasion was planned on a gigantic scale. A camp of one hundred thousand men was formed at Boulogne, and a host of flat-bottomed boats gathered for their conveyance across the Channel. The peril of the nation forced Addington from office and recalled Pitt to power. His health was broken, and as the days went by his appearance became so haggard and depressed that it was plain death was drawing near. But dying as he really was, the nation clung to him with all its old faith. He was still the representative of national union; and he proposed to include Fox and the leading Whigs in his new ministry, but he was foiled by the bigotry of the King; and the refusal of Lord Grenville and of Wyndham to take office without Fox, as well as the loss of his post at a later time by his ablest supporter, Dundas, left him almost alone. But lonely as he was, he faced difficulty and danger with the same courage as of old. The invasion seemed imminent when Buonaparte, who now as-

sumed the title of the Emperor Napoleon, appeared in the camp at Boulogne. A slight experience however showed him the futility of his scheme for crossing the Channel in open boats in the teeth of English men-of-war; and he turned to fresh plans of securing its passage. "Let us be masters of the Channel for six hours," he is reported to have said, "and we are masters of the world." A skilfully combined plan, by which the British fleet would have been divided while the whole French navy was concentrated in the Channel, was delayed by the death of the admiral destined to execute it. But the alliance with Spain placed the Spanish fleet at Napoleon's disposal, and in 1805 he planned its union with that of France, the crushing of the squadron which blocked the ports of the Channel before the English ships which were watching the Spanish armament could come to its support, and a crossing of the vast armament thus protected to the English shore.

Though three hundred thousand volunteers mustered in England to meet the coming attack, such a force would have offered but small hindrance to the veterans of the Grand Army, had they once crossed the Channel. But Pitt had already found them work elsewhere. It was not merely the danger of Britain, and the sense that without this counterpoise they would be helpless before the new French Empire that roused the alarm of the Continental powers. They had been scared by Napoleon's course of aggression since the settlement at Luneville, and his annexation of Genoa brought their alarm to a head. Pitt's offer of subsidies removed the last obstacle in the way of a league; and Russia, Austria, and Sweden joined in an alliance to wrest Italy and the Low Countries from the grasp of the French Emperor. Napoleon meanwhile swept the sea in vain for a glimpse of the great armament whose assembly in the Channel he had so skilfully planned. Admiral Villeneuve, uniting the Spanish ships with his own squadron from Toulon, drew Nelson in pursuit to the West Indies, and then suddenly returning to Cadiz, hastened to form a junction with the French squadron at Brest and to crush the English fleet in the

Channel. But a headlong pursuit brought Nelson up with him ere the manœuvre was complete, and the two fleets met on the 21st of October, 1805, off Cape Trafalgar. "England" ran Nelson's famous signal, "expects every man to do his duty;" and though he fell himself in the hour of victory, twenty French sail had struck their flag ere the day was done. The French and Spanish navies were in fact annihilated. From this hour the supremacy of England at sea remained unquestioned; and the danger of any invasion of England rolled away like a dream.

Her allies were less fortunate. "England has saved herself by her courage," Pitt said in what were destined to be his last public words: "she will save Europe by her example!" But even before the victory of Trafalgar Napoleon had abandoned the dream of invading England to meet the coalition in his rear; and swinging round his forces on the Danube, he forced an Austrian army to capitulation in Ulm three days before his naval defeat. From Ulm he marched on Vienna, and at the close of November he crushed the combined armies of Austria and Russia in the battle of Austerlitz. "Austerlitz," Wilberforce wrote in his diary, "killed Pitt." Though he was still but forty-seven, the hollow voice and wasted frame of the great Minister had long told that death was near; and the blow to his hopes proved fatal. "Roll up that map," he said, pointing to a map of Europe which hung upon the wall; "it will not be wanted these ten years!" Once only he rallied from stupor; and those who bent over him caught a faint murmur of "My country! How I leave my country!" On the twenty-third of January, 1806, he breathed his last; and was laid in Westminster Abbey in the grave of Chatham. "What grave," exclaimed Lord Wellesley, "contains such a father and such a son! What sepulchre embosoms the remains of so much human excellence and glory!" So great was felt to be the loss that nothing but the union of parties, which Pitt had in vain desired during his lifetime, could fill the gap left by his death. In the new ministry Fox, with the small body of popular

Whigs who were bent on peace and internal reform, united with the aristocratic Whigs under Lord Grenville and with the Tories under Lord Sidmouth. All home questions, in fact, were subordinated to the need of saving Europe from the ambition of France, and in the resolve to save Europe Fox was as resolute as Pitt himself. His hopes of peace indeed were stronger; but they were foiled by the evasive answer which Napoleon gave to his overtures, and by a new war which he undertook against Prussia, the one power which seemed able to resist his arms. On the fourteenth of October, 1806, a decisive victory at Jena laid North Germany at the Emperor's feet. From Berlin Napoleon marched into the heart of Poland to bring to terms the last opponent now left him on the Continent; and though checked in the winter by the stubborn defence of the Russian forces on the field of Eylau, in the summer of 1807 a decisive victory at Friedland brought the Czar to consent to the Peace of Tilsit.

The peace of Tilsit marked an overthrow for the time of the European settlement and balance of power which had been established five years before by the Peace of Luneville. The change in his policy had been to a great extent forced on Napoleon; for the league of 1805 had shown that his plan of such a Continental peace as would suffer him to concentrate his whole strength on an invasion of Britain was certain to be foiled by the fears of the Continental states; and that an unquestioned supremacy over Europe was a first condition in the struggle with his great rival. Even with such a supremacy, indeed, his plans for a descent on Britain itself, or for winning the command of the sea, which was the necessary preliminary to such a descent, still remained impracticable. The battle of Trafalgar had settled the question of an invasion of England; and a thousand victories on land would not make him master, even for "six hours," of the "silver streak" of sea that barred his path. But Napoleon was far from abandoning his struggle against Britain; on the contrary, he saw in his mastery of Europe the means of giving fresh force and effectiveness to his

attack in a quarter where his foe was still vulnerable. It was her wealth that had raised up the European coalition against him which had forced him to break up his camp at Boulogne; and in his mastery of Europe he saw the means of striking at her wealth. His earlier attempt at the enforcement of a "Continental System" had broken down with the failure of the Northern League; but he now saw a yet more effective means of realizing his dream. It was this gigantic project which revealed itself as soon as Jena had laid Prussia at his feet. Napoleon was able to find a pretext for his new attack in England's own action. By a violent stretch of her rights as a combatant she had declared the whole coast occupied by France and its allies, from Dantzig to Trieste, to be in a state of blockade. It was impossible to enforce such an order as this, even with the immense force at her disposal; but it was ostensibly to meet this "paper blockade" that Napoleon issued from Berlin on the twenty-first of November, 1806, a decree which—without a single ship to carry it out—placed the British Islands in a state of blockade. All commerce or communication with them was prohibited; all English goods or manufactures found in the territory of France or its allies were declared liable to confiscation; and their harbors were closed, not only against vessels coming from Britain, but all who had touched at her ports. An army of inspectors spread along the coasts to carry out this decree.

But it was almost impossible to enforce such a system. It was foiled by the rise of a widespread contraband trade, by the reluctance of Holland to aid in its own ruin, by the connivance of officials along the Prussian and Russian shores, and by the pressure of facts. It was impossible even for Napoleon himself to do without the goods he pretended to exclude; an immense system of licences soon neutralized his decree; and the French army which marched to Eylau was clad in great-coats made at Leeds, and shod with shoes made at Northampton. Vexatious therefore as the system might be at once to England and to Europe, it told on British industry mainly by heightening the price of its products, and so

far by restricting the market for them. But it told far more fatally on British commerce. Trade at once began to move from British vessels, which were subject to instant confiscation, and to shelter itself under neutral flags, where goods had at least to be proved to be British before they could be seized. America profited most by this transfer. She was now entering on that commercial career which was to make her England's chief trading rival; and she rapidly availed herself of the Berlin decree to widen her carrying trade. But the British Government at once felt the pressure of the merchant class. As yet this class had profited above all others by the war and by the monopoly which war placed in its hands; and now that not only its monopoly but its very existence was threatened, it called on the Government to protect it. It was to this appeal that the administration of Lord Grenville replied in January, 1807, by an Order in Council which declared all the ports of the coast of France and her allies under blockade, and any neutral vessels trading between them to be good prize.

Such a step however, though it arbitrarily shut neutral vessels out from the coasting trade of most of Europe, was far from satisfying the British merchants, for it left the whole trade between Europe and other countries, which virtually included the colonial trade, untouched; and this passed as of old into American bottoms. But their appeal was no longer to Lord Grenville. The work which his ministry had set itself to do was to continue the double work of Pitt, his resolute maintenance of English greatness, and his endeavor to carry on even amidst the stress of the fight that course of philanthropic and political progress which was struggling back into renewed vigor after its long arrest through the French Revolution. But the forces of ignorance and bigotry which had been too strong for Pitt were too strong for the Grenville ministry, weakened as it was by the death of Fox at the close of the previous year. Its greatest work, the abolition of the slave trade, in February 1807, was done in the teeth of a vigorous opposition from the Tories and the merchants of Liverpool; and in March

the first indication of its desire to open the question of religious equality by allowing Catholic officers to serve in the army was met on the part of the King by the demand of a pledge not to meddle with the question. On the refusal of this pledge the Ministry was dismissed. Its fall was the final close of that union of parties in face of the war with France which had brought about the junction of the bulk of the Whig party with the Tories, and which had been to some extent renewed after the temporary breach in Pitt's last ministry by the junction of Lord Sidmouth and a large body of the Tories with the Whigs. The union had been based on the actual peril to England's existence, and on the suspension of all home questions in face of the peril. But with the break-up of the camp at Boulogne and the victory of Trafalgar the peril of invasion had disappeared. England again broke into the party that called for progress and the party that resisted it.

The last was still the stronger; for in the mass of the nation progress was still confounded with the destruction of institutions, the passion for war absorbed public attention, and the Tories showed themseves most in earnest in the prosecution of the war. From this time therefore to the end of the war England was wholly governed by the Tories. The nominal head of the ministry which succeeded that of Lord Grenville was the Duke of Portland; its guiding spirit was the Foreign Secretary, George Canning, a young and devoted adherent of Pitt, whose brilliant rhetoric gave him power over the House of Commons, while the vigor and breadth of his mind gave a new energy and color to the war. At no time had opposition to Napoleon seemed so hopeless as at the moment of his entry into power. From foes the two Emperors of Western and Eastern Europe had become friends, and the hope of French aid in the conquest of Turkey drew Alexander to a close alliance with Nopoleon. Russia not only enforced the Berlin decrees against British commerce, but forced Sweden, the one ally that England still retained on the Continent, to renounce her alliance. The Russian and Swedish fleets were thus

placed at the service of France; and the two Emperors counted on securing in addition the fleet of Denmark, and again threatening by this union the maritime supremacy which formed England's real defence. The hope was foiled by the decision of the new ministers. In July, 1807, an expedition was promptly and secretly equipped by Canning, with a demand for the surrender of the Danish fleet into the hands of England, on pledge of its return at the close of the war. On the refusal of the Danes the demand was enforced by a bombardment of Copenhagen; and the whole Danish fleet, with a vast mass of naval stores, was carried into British ports. It was in the same spirit of almost reckless decision that Canning turned to meet Napoleon's Continental System. The cry of the British merchant fell upon willing ears. Of trade or the laws of trade Canning was utterly ignorant; nor could he see that the interests of the country were not necessarily the interests of a class; but he was resolute at any cost to hinder the transfer of commerce to neutral flags; and he saw in the crisis a means of forcing the one great neutral power, America, to join Britain in her strife with France. In November, 1807, therefore he issued fresh Orders in Council. By these France and every Continental state from which the British flag was excluded, was put in a state of blockade, and all vessels bound for their harbors were held subject to seizure unless they had touched at a British port. The orders were at once met by another decree of Napoleon issued at Milan in December, which declared every vessel, of whatever nation, coming from or bound to Britain or any British colony, to have forfeited its character as a neutral, and to be liable to seizure.

The policy of Napoleon was at any rate a consistent one in these measures; for his sole aim was to annihilate the industry as well as the commerce of Britain; and he had little to fear from the indignation of America. But the aim of Britain was to find outlets for her manufactures; and of these outlets America was now far the most important. She took in fact ten millions of our exports every year, not only for her own consumption, but for the

illicit trade which she managed to carry on with the Continent. To close such an outlet as this was to play into Napoleon's hands. And yet the first result of Canning's policy was to close it. In the long strife between France and England, America had already borne much from both combatants, but above all from Britain. Not only had the English Government exercised its right of search, but it asserted a right of seizing English seamen found in American vessels; and as there were few means of discriminating between English seamen and American, the sailor of Maine or Massachusetts was often impressed to service in the British fleet. Galled however as was America by outrages such as these, she was hindered from resenting them by her strong disinclination to war, as well as by the profit which she drew from the maintenance of her neutral position; and she believed in the words of Jefferson, that "it will ever be in our power to keep so even a stand between France and England, as to inspire a wish in neither to throw us into the scale of his adversary." But the Orders in Council and the Milan Decree forced her into action, and she at once answered them by an embargo of trade with Europe.

Such a step was a menace of further action, for it was plain that America could not long remain in utter isolation, and that if she left it she must join one combatant or the other. But she had as yet shown no military power outside her own bounds, either by land or sea; and England looked with scorn on the threats of a state which possessed neither army nor fleet. "America," Lord Sidmouth wrote at this time, "is a bugbear: there is no terror in her threats!" Canning indeed saw in the embargo only a carrying out of his policy by the very machinery of the American Government. The commerce of America ceased to exist. Her seamen were driven to seek employment under the British flag; and Britain again absorbed the carrying-trade of the world. But what he really looked forward to was something far beyond this. He saw that the embargo was but a temporary expedient: and he believed that its failure would force the United States into union with England in her war

with France. Nothing shows the world-wide nature of the struggle more than such a policy as this; but for a while it seemed justified by its results. After a year's trial America found it impossible to maintain the embargo: and at the opening of 1809 she exchanged it for an Act of Non-intercourse with France and England alone. But this Act was as ineffective as the embargo. The American Government was utterly without means of enforcing it on its land frontier; and it had small means of enforcing it at sea. Throughout 1809 indeed vessels sailed daily for British ports. The Act was thus effective against France alone, and part of Canning's end was gained. At last the very protest which it embodied was given up, and in May, 1810, the Non-Intercourse Act was repealed altogether. All that America persisted in maintaining was an offer that if either Power would repeal its edicts, it would prohibit American commerce with the other.

What the results of this offer were to be, we shall see hereafter. But at the moment the attitude of America was one of utter submission; and the effect of the Continental system on Britain had thus been to drive it to a policy of aggression upon neutral states, which seemed to be as successful as it was aggressive. The effect of his system on Napoleon himself was precisely the same. It was to maintain this material union of Europe against Britain that he was driven to aggression after aggression in North Germany, and to demands upon Russia which threatened the league that had been formed at Tilsit. Above all, it was the hope of more effectually crushing the world-power of Britain that drove him, at the very moment when Canning was attacking America, to his worst aggression, the aggression upon Spain. Spain was already his subservient ally; but her alliance became every hour less useful. The country was ruined by misgovernment: its treasury was empty: its fleet rotted in its harbors. To seize the whole Spanish Peninsula, to develope its resources by an active administration, to have at his command not only a regenerated Spain and Portugal, but their mighty dominions in Southern and Central America,

to renew with these fresh forces the struggle with Britain for her empire of the seas, these were the designs by which Napoleon was driven to the most ruthless of his enterprises. He acted with his usual subtlety. In October, 1807, France and Spain agreed to divide Portugal between them; and on the advance of their forces the reigning House of Braganza fled helplessly from Lisbon to a refuge in Brazil. But the seizure of Portugal was only a prelude to the seizure of Spain. Charles the Fourth, whom a riot in his capital drove at this moment to abdication, and his son and successor, Ferdinand the Seventh, were alike drawn to Bayonne in May, 1808, and forced to resign their claims to the Spanish crown; while a French army entered Madrid, and proclaimed Joseph Buonaparte as King of Spain.

High-handed as such an act was, it was in harmony with the general system which Napoleon was pursuing elsewhere, and which had as yet stirred no national resistance. Holland had been changed into a monarchy by a simple decree of the French Emperor, and its crown bestowed on his brother Louis. For another brother, Jerome, a kingdom of Westphalia had been built up out of the Electorates of Hesse Cassel and Hanover. Joseph himself had been set as king over Naples before his transfer to Spain. But the spell of submission was now suddenly broken, and the new king had hardly entered Madrid when Spain rose as one man against the stranger. Desperate as the effort of its people seemed, the news of the rising was welcomed throughout England with a burst of enthusiastic joy. "Hitherto," cried Sheridan, a leader of the Whig opposition, "Buonaparte has contended with princes without dignity, numbers without ardor, or peoples without patriotism. He has yet to learn what it is to combat a people who are animated by one spirit against him." Tory and Whig alike held that "never had so happy an opportunity existed for Britain to strike a bold stroke for the rescue of the world;" and Canning at once resolved to change the system of desultory descents on colonies and sugar islands for a vigorous warfare in the Peninsula. Supplies were sent

to the Spanish insurgents with reckless profusion, and two small armies placed under the command of Sir John Moore and Sir Arthur Wellesley for service in the Peninsula. In July 1808 the surrender at Baylen of a French force which had invaded Andalusia gave the first shock to the power of Napoleon, and the blow was followed by one almost as severe. Landing at the Mondego with fifteen thousand men, Sir Arthur Wellesley drove the French army of Portugal from the field of Vimiera, and forced it to surrender in the Convention of Cintra on the 30th of August. But the tide of success was soon roughly turned. Napoleon appeared in Spain with an army of two hundred thousand men; and Moore, who had advanced from Lisbon to Salamanca to support the Spanish armies, found them crushed on the Ebro, and was driven to fall hastily back on the coast. His force saved its honor in a battle before Corunna on the 16th of January, 1809, which enabled it to embark in safety; but elsewhere all seemed lost. The whole of northern and central Spain was held by the French armies; and even Zaragoza, which at once heroically repulsed them, submitted after a second equally desperate resistance.

The landing of the wreck of Moore's army and the news of the Spanish defeats turned the temper of England from the wildest hope to the deepest despair; but Canning remained unmoved. On the day of the evacuation of Corunna he signed a treaty of alliance with the Junta which governed Spain in the absence of its King; and the English force at Lisbon, which had already prepared to leave Portugal, was reinforced with thirteen thousand fresh troops and placed under the command of Sir Arthur Wellesley. "Portugal," Wellesley wrote coolly, "may be defended against any force which the French can bring against it." At this critical moment the best of the French troops with the Emperor himself were drawn from the Peninsula to the Danube; for the Spanish rising had roused Austria as well as England to a renewal of the struggle. When Marshal Soult therefore threatened Lisbon from the north, Wellesley marched

boldly against him, drove him from Oporto in a disastrous retreat, and suddenly changing his line of operations, pushed with twenty thousand men by Abrantes on Madrid. He was joined on the march by a Spanish force of thirty thousand men; and a bloody action with a French army of equal force at Talavera in July, 1809, restored the renown of English arms. The losses on both sides were enormous, and the French fell back at the close of the struggle; but the fruits of the victory were lost by a sudden appearance of Soult on the English line of advance. Wellesley was forced to retreat hastily on Badajoz, and his failure was embittered by heavier disasters elsewhere; for Austria was driven to sue for peace by a decisive victory of Napoleon at Wagram, while a force of forty thousand English soldiers which had been despatched against Antwerp in July returned home baffled after losing half its numbers in the marshes of Walcheren.

The failure at Walcheren brought about the fall of the Portland ministry. Canning attributed this disaster to the incompetence of Lord Castlereagh, an Irish peer who after taking the chief part in bringing about the union between England and Ireland had been raised by the Duke of Portland to the post of Secretary at War; and the quarrel between the two Ministers ended in a duel and in their resignation of their offices in September, 1809. The Duke of Portland retired with Canning; and a new ministry was formed out of the more Tory members of the late administration under the guidance of Spencer Perceval, an industrious mediocrity of the narrowest type; while the Marquis of Wellesley, a brother of the English general in Spain, succeeded Canning as Foreign Secretary. But if Perceval and his colleagues possessed few of the higher qualities of statesmanship, they had one characteristic which in the actual position of English affairs was beyond all price. They were resolute to continue the war. In the nation at large the fit of enthusiasm had been followed by a fit of despair; and the City of London even petitioned for a withdrawal of the English forces from the Peninsula. Napoleon

seemed irresistible, and now that Austria was crushed and England stood alone in opposition to him, the Emperor determined to put an end to the strife by a vigorous prosecution of the war in Spain. Andalusia, the one province which remained independent, was invaded in the opening of 1810, and with the exception of Cadiz reduced to submission; while Marshal Massena with a fine army of eighty thousand men marched upon Lisbon. Even Perceval abandoned all hope of preserving a hold on the Peninsula in face of these new efforts, and threw on Wellesley, who had been raised to the peerage as Lord Wellington after Talavera, the responsibility of resolving to remain there.

But the cool judgment and firm temper which distinguished Wellington enabled him to face a responsibility from which weaker men would have shrunk. "I conceive," he answered, "that the honor and interest of our country require that we should hold our ground here as long as possible; and, please God, I will maintain it as long as I can." By the addition of Portuguese troops who had been trained under British officers, his army was now raised to fifty thousand men; and though his inferiority in force compelled him to look on while Massena reduced the frontier fortresses of Ciudad Rodrigo and Almeida, he inflicted on him a heavy check at the heights of Busaco, and finally fell back in October, 1810, on three lines of defence which he had secretly constructed at Torres Vedras, along a chain of mountain heights crowned with redoubts and bristling with cannon. The position was impregnable: and able and stubborn as Massena was he found himself forced after a month's fruitless efforts to fall back in a masterly retreat; but so terrible were the privations of the French army in passing again through the wasted country that it was only with forty thousand men that he reached Ciudad Rodrigo in the spring of 1811. Reinforced by fresh troops, Massena turned fiercely to the relief of Almeida which Wellington had besieged. Two days' bloody and obstinate fighting however in May, 1811, failed to drive the English army from its position at Fuentes d'Onore,

and the Marshal fell back on Salamanca and relinquished his effort to drive Wellington from Portugal. But great as was the effect of Torres Vedras in restoring the spirit of the English people, and in reviving throughout Europe the hope of resistance to the tyranny of Napoleon, its immediate result was little save the deliverance of Portugal. If Massena had failed, his colleagues had succeeded in their enterprises; the French were now masters of all Spain save Cadiz and the eastern provinces, and even the east coast was reduced in 1811 by the vigor of General Suchet.

While England thus failed to rescue Spain from the aggression of Napoleon, she was suddenly brought face to face with the result of her own aggression in America. The repeal of the Non-Intercourse Act in 1810 had in effect been a triumph for Britain; but the triumph forced Napoleon's hand. As yet all he had done by his attack on neutral rights had been to drive the United States practically to join England against him. To revenge himself by war with them would only play England's game yet more; and with characteristic rapidity Napoleon passed from hostility to friendship. He seized on the offer with which America had closed her efforts against the two combatants, and after promising to revoke his Berlin and Milan Decrees he called on America to redeem her pledge. In February, 1811, therefore the United States announced that all intercourse with Great Britain and her dependencies was at an end. The effect of this step was seen in a reduction of English exports during this year by a third of their whole amount. It was in vain that Britain pleaded that the Emperor's promises remained unfulfilled, that neither of the decrees was withdrawn, that Napoleon had failed to return the American merchandise seized under them, and that the enforcement of non-intercourse with England was thus an unjust act, and an act of hostility. The pressure of of the American policy, as well as news of the warlike temper which had at last grown up in the United States, made submission inevitable; for the industrial state of England was now so critical that to expose it to fresh

shocks was to court the ruin which Napoleon had planned.

During the earlier years of the war indeed the increase of wealth had been enormous. England was sole mistress of the seas. The war gave her possession of the colonies of Spain, of Holland, and of France; and if her trade was checked for a time by the Berlin Decree, the efforts of Napoleon were soon rendered fruitless by the smuggling system which sprung up along the southern coasts and the coast of North Germany. English exports indeed had nearly doubled since the opening of the century. Manufactures were profiting by the discoveries of Watt and Arkwright; and the consumption of raw cotton in the mills of Lancashire rose during the same period from fifty to a hundred millions of pounds. The vast accumulation of capital, as well as the vast increase of the population at this time, told upon the land, and forced agriculture into a feverish and unhealthy prosperity. Wheat rose to famine prices, and the value of land rose in proportion with the price of wheat. Inclosures went on with prodigious rapidity; the income of every landowner was doubled, while the farmers were able to introduce improvements into the processes of agriculture which changed the whole face of the country. But if the increase of wealth was enormous, its distribution was partial. During the fifteen years which preceded Waterloo, the number of the population rose from ten to thirteen millions, and this rapid increase kept down the rate of wages, which would naturally have advanced in a corresponding degree with the increase in the national wealth. Even manufactures, though destined in the long run to benefit the laboring classes, seemed at first rather to depress them; for one of the earliest results of the introduction of machinery was the ruin of a number of small trades which were carried on at home and the pauperization of families who relied on them for support. In the winter of 1811 the terrible pressure of this transition from handicraft to machinery was seen in the Luddite, or machine-breaking, riots which broke out over the northern and midland counties; and which were only sup-

pressed by military force. While labor was thus thrown out of its older grooves, and the rate of wages kept down at an artificially low figure by the rapid increase of population, the rise in the price of wheat, which brought wealth to the landowner and the farmer, brought famine and death to the poor, for England was cut off by the war from the vast corn-fields of the Continent or of America, which nowadays redress from their abundance the results of a bad harvest. Scarcity was followed by a terrible pauperization of the laboring classes. The amount of the poor-rate rose fifty per cent; and with the increase of poverty followed its inevitable result, the increase of crime.

The natural relation of trade and commerce to the general wealth of the people at large was thus disturbed by the peculiar circumstances of the time. The war enriched the landowner, the farmer, the merchant, the manufacturer; but it impoverished the poor. It is indeed from these fatal years that we must date that war of classes, that social severance between employers and employed, which still forms the main difficulty of English politics. But it is from these too that we must date the renewal of that progressive movement in politics which had been suspended since the opening of the war. The publication of the *Edinburgh Review* in 1802 by a knot of young lawyers at Edinburgh marked a revival of the policy of constitutional and administrative progress which had been reluctantly abandoned by William Pitt. Jeremy Bentham gave a new vigor to political speculation by his advocacy of the doctrine of Utility, and his definition of "the greatest happiness of the greatest number" as the aim of political action. In 1809 Sir Francis Burdett revived the question of Parliamentary Reform. Only fifteen members supported his motion; and a reference to the House of Commons in a pamphlet which he subsequently published, as "a part of our fellow-subjects collected together by means which it is not necessary to describe" was met by his committal to the Tower, where he remained till the prorogation of the Parliament. A far greater effect was produced by the perseverance with

which Canning pressed year by year the question of Catholic Emancipation. So long as Perceval lived both efforts at Reform were equally vain; but the advancing strength of a more liberal sentiment in the nation was felt by the policy of "moderate concession" which was adopted by his successors. Catholic Emancipation became an open question in the Cabinet itself, and was adopted in 1812 by a triumphant majority in the House of Commons, though it was still rejected by the Lords.

With social and political troubles thus awaking anew to life about them, even Tory statesmen were not willing to face the terrible consequences of a ruin of English industry such as might follow from the junction of America with Napoleon. They were in fact preparing to withdraw the Orders in Council, when their plans were arrested by the dissolution of the Perceval ministry. Its position had from the first been a weak one. A return of the King's madness made it necessary in the beginning of 1811 to confer the Regency on the Prince of Wales; and the Whig sympathies of the Prince threatened for a while the Cabinet with dismissal. Though this difficulty was surmounted their hold of power remained insecure, and the insecurity of the ministry told on the conduct of the war; for the apparent inactivity of Wellington during 1811 was really due to the hesitation and timidity of the Cabinet at home. But in May, 1812, the assassination of Perceval by a madman named Bellingham brought about the dissolution of his ministry; and fresh efforts were made by the Regent to install the Whigs in office. Mutual distrust, however, again foiled his attempts; and the old ministry returned to office under the headship of Lord Liverpool, a man of no great abilities, but temperate, well-informed, and endowed with a remarkable skill in holding discordant colleagues together. The most important of these colleagues was Lord Castlereagh, who became Secretary for Foreign affairs. Time has long ago rendered justice to the political ability of Castlereagh, disguised as it was to men of his own day by a curious infelicity of expression; and the instinctive good sense of Englishmen never showed itself more re-

markably than in their preference at this crisis of his cool judgment, his high courage, his discernment, and his will to the more showy brilliancy of Canning. His first work indeed as a minister was to meet the danger in which Canning had involved the country by his Orders in Council. On the 23rd of June, only twelve days after the ministry had been formed, these Orders were repealed. But quick as was Castlereagh's action, events had moved even more quickly. At the opening of the year America, in despair of redress, had resolved on war; Congress had voted an increase of both army and navy: and laid in April an embargo on all vessels in American harbors. Actual hostilities might still have been averted by the repeal of the Orders, on which the English Cabinet was resolved; but in the confusion which followed the murder of Perceval, and the strife of parties for office through the month that followed, the opportunity was lost. When the news of the repeal reached America, it came six weeks too late. On the 18th of June an Act of Congress had declared America at war with Great Britain.

Had Napoleon been able to reap the fruits of the strife which his policy had thus forced on the two English peoples, it is hard to say how Britain could have coped with him. Cut off from her markets alike in east and west, her industries checked and disorganized, a financial crisis added to her social embarrassment, it may be doubted whether she must not have bowed in the end before the pressure of the Continental System. But if that system had thrust her into aggression and ruin, it was as inevitably thrusting the same aggression and ruin on her rival. The moment when America entered into the great struggle was a critical moment in the history of mankind. Six days after President Madison issued his declaration of war, Napoleon crossed the Niemen on his march to Moscow. Successful as his policy had been in stirring up war between England and America, it had been no less successful in breaking the alliance which he had made with the Czar at Tilsit and in forcing on a contest with Russia. On the one hand, Napoleon was irritated

by the refusal of Russia to enforce strictly the suspension of all trade with England, though a suspension would have ruined the Russian landowners. On the other, Alexander saw with growing anxiety the advance of the French Empire which sprang from Napoleon's resolve to enforce his system by a seizure of the northern coasts. In 1811 Holland, the Hanseatic towns, part of Westphalia, and the Duchy of Oldenburg were successfully annexed, and the Duchy of Mecklenburg threatened with seizure. A peremptory demand on the part of France for the entire cessation of intercourse with England brought the quarrel to a head; and preparations were made on both sides for a gigantic struggle.

Even before it opened, this new enterprise gave fresh vigor to Napoleon's foes. The best of the French soldiers were drawn from Spain to the frontier of Poland; and Wellington, whose army had been raised to a force of forty thousand Englishmen and twenty thousand Portuguese, profited by the withdrawal to throw off his system of defence and to assume an attitude of attack. Ciudad Rodrigo and Badajoz were taken by storm during the spring of 1812; and at the close of June, three days before Napoleon crossed the Niemen, in his march on Moscow, Wellington crossed the Agueda in a march on Salamanca. After a series of masterly movements on both sides, Marmont with the French army of the North attacked the English on the hills in the neighborhood of that town on the twenty-second of July. While he was marching round the right of the English position his left wing remained isolated; and with a sudden exclamation of "Marmont is lost!" Wellington flung on it the bulk of his force, crushed it, and drove the whole army from the field. The loss on either side was nearly equal, but failure had demoralized the French army; and its retreat forced Joseph to leave Madrid, and Soult to evacuate Andalusia and to concentrate the southern army on the eastern coast. While Napoleon was still pushing slowly over the vast plains of Poland, Wellington made his entry into Madrid in August, and began the seige of Burgos. The town however held out gallantly for a month, till

the advance of the two French armies, now concentrated in the north and south of Spain, forced Wellington, in October, to a hasty retreat on the Portuguese frontier.

If Wellington had shaken the rule of the French in Spain in this campaign, his ultimate failure showed how firm a military hold they still possessed there. But the disappointment was forgotten in the news which followed it. At the moment when the English troops fell back from Burgos began the retreat of the Grand Army from Moscow. Victorious in a battle at Borodino, Napoleon had entered the older capital of Russia in triumph, and waited impatiently to receive proposals of peace from the Czar. But a fire kindled by its own inhabitants reduced the city to ashes; Alexander still remained silent; and the gathering cold bent even the stubborn will of Napoleon to own the need of retreat. The French were forced to fall back amidst the horrors of a Russian winter; and of the four hundred thousand combatants who formed the Grand Army at its first outset, only a few thousands recrossed the Niemen in December. In spite of the gigantic efforts which Napoleon made to repair his losses, the spell which he had cast over Europe was broken. Prussia rose against him as the Russians crossed the Niemen in the spring of 1813; and the forces which held it were at once thrown back on the Elbe. In this emergency the military genius of the Erench Emperor rose to its height. With a fresh army of two hundred thousand men whom he had gathered at Mainz he marched on the allied armies of Russia and Prussia in May, cleared Saxony by a victory over them at Lutzen, and threw them back on the Oder by a fresh victory at Bautzen. Disheartened by defeat, and by the neutral attitude which Austria still preserved, the two powers consented in June to an armistice, and negotiated for peace. But Austria, though unwilling to utterly ruin France to the profit of her great rival in the East, was as resolute as either of the allies to wrest from Napoleon his supremacy over Europe; and at the moment when it became clear that Napoleon was only bent on playing with her proposals, she was stirred to action by news that his

army was at last driven from Spain. Wellington had left Portugal in May with an army which had now risen to ninety thousand men; and overtaking the French forces in retreat at Vitoria on the twenty-first of June he inflicted on them a defeat which drove them in utter rout across the Pyrenees. Madrid was at once evacuated; and Clauzel fell back from Zaragoza into France. The victory not only freed Spain from its invaders; it restored the spirit of the Allies. The close of the armistice was followed by a union of Austria with the forces of Prussia and the Czar; and in October a final overthrow of Napoleon at Leipzig forced the French army to fall back in rout across the Rhine.

The war now hurried to its close. Though held at bay for a while by the sieges of San Sebastian and Pampeluna, as well as by an obstinate defence of the Pyrenees, Wellington succeeded in the very month of the triumph at Leipzig in winning a victory on the Bidassoa, which enabled him to enter France. He was soon followed by the Allies. On the last day of 1813 their forces crossed the Rhine; and a third of France passed, without opposition, into their hands. For two months more Napoleon maintained a wonderful struggle with a handful of raw conscripts against their overwhelming numbers; while in the south, Soult, forced from his entrenched camp near Bayonne and defeated at Orthes, fell back before Wellington on Toulouse. Here their two armies met in April in a stubborn and indecisive engagement. But though neither leader knew it, the war was even then at an end. The struggle of Napoleon himself had ended at the close of March with the surrender of Paris; and the submission of the capital was at once followed by the abdication of the Emperor and the return of the Bourbons.

England's triumph over its enemy was dashed by the more doubtful fortunes of the struggle across the Atlantic. The declaration of war by America seemed an act of sheer madness; for its navy consisted of a few frigates and sloops; its army was a mass of half-drilled and half-armed recruits; while the States themselves were divided

on the question of the war, and Connecticut with Massachusetts refused to send either money or men. Three attempts to penetrate into Canada during the summer and autumn were repulsed with heavy loss. But these failures were more than redeemed by unexpected successes at sea, where in two successive engagements between English and American frigates, the former were forced to strike their flag. The effect of these victories was out of all proportion to their real importance; for they were the first heavy blows which had been dealt at England's supremacy over the seas. In 1813 America followed up its naval triumphs by more vigorous efforts on land. Its forces cleared Lake Ontario, captured Toronto, destroyed the British flotilla on Lake Erie, and made themselves masters of Upper Canada. An attack on Lower Canada, however, was successfully beaten back; and a fresh advance of the British and Canadian forces in the heart of the winter again recovered the Upper Province. The reverse gave fresh strength to the party in the United States which had throughout been opposed to the war, and whose opposition to it had been embittered by the terrible distress brought about by the blockade and the ruin of American commerce. Cries of secession began to be heard, and Massachusetts took the bold step of appointing delegates from the other New England States "on the subject of their grievances and common concerns."

In 1814, however, the war was renewed with more vigor than ever; and Upper Canada was again invaded. But the American army, after inflicting a severe defeat on the British forces in the battle of Chippewa in July, was itself defeated a few weeks after in an equally stubborn engagement, and thrown back on its own frontier; while the fall of Napoleon enabled the English Government to devote its whole strength to the struggle with an enemy which it had ceased to despise. General Ross, with a force of four thousand men, appeared in the Potomac, captured Washington, and before evacuating the city burnt its public buildings to the ground. Few more shameful acts are recorded in our history; and it

was the more shameful in that it was done under strict orders from the Government at home. But the raid upon Washington was intended simply to strike terror into the American people; and the real stress of the war was thrown on two expeditions whose business was to penetrate into the States from the north and from the south. Both proved utter failures. A force of nine thousand Peninsular veterans which marched in September to the attack of Plattsburg on Lake Champlain was forced to fall back by the defeat of the English flotilla which accompanied it. A second force under General Packenham appeared in December at the mouth of the Mississippi and attacked New Orleans, but was repulsed by General Jackson with the loss of half its numbers. Peace, however, had already been concluded. The close of the French war, if it left untouched the grounds of the struggle, made the United States sensible of the danger of pushing it further; Britain herself was anxious for peace; and the warring claims, both of England and America, were set aside in silence in the treaty of 1814.

The close of the war with the United States freed England's hands at a moment when the reappearance of Napoleon at Paris called her to a new and final struggle with France. By treaty with the Allied Powers Napoleon had been suffered to retain a fragment of his former empire—the island of Elba off the coast of Tuscany; and from Elba he looked on at the quarrels which sprang up between his conquerors as soon as they gathered at Vienna to complete the settlement of Europe. The most formidable of these quarrels arose from a claim of Prussia to annex Saxony and that of Russia to annex Poland; but their union for this purpose was met by a counter-league of England and Austria with their old enemy, France, whose ambassador, Talleyrand, labored vigorously to bring the question to an issue by force of arms. At the moment, however, when a war between the two leagues seemed close at hand, Napoleon landed on the coast near Cannes, and, followed only by a thousand of his guards, marched over the mountains of Dauphiné upon Grenoble and Lyons. He counted, and

counted justly, on the indifference of the country to its new Bourbon rulers, on the longing of the army for a fresh struggle which should restore its glory, and above all in the spell of his name over soldiers whom he had so often led to victory. In twenty days from his landing he reached the Tuileries unopposed, while Lewis the Eighteenth fled helplessly to Ghent. But whatever hopes he had drawn from the divisions of the Allied Powers were at once dispelled by their resolute action on the news of his descent upon France. Their strife was hushed and their old union restored by the consciousness of a common danger. An engagement to supply a million of men for the purposes of the war, and a recall of their armies to the Rhine, answered Napoleon's efforts to open negotiations with the Powers.

England furnished subsidies to the amount of eleven millions, and hastened to place an army on the frontier of the Netherlands. The best troops of the force which had been employed in the Peninsula however, were still across the Atlantic; and of the eighty thousand men who gathered round Wellington only about half were Englishmen, the rest mainly raw levies from Belgium and Hanover. The Duke's plan was to unite with the one hundred and fifty thousand Prussians under Marshal Blucher who were advancing on the Lower Rhine, and to enter France by Mons and Namur while the forces of Austria and Russia closed in upon Paris by way of Belfort and Elsass. But Napoleon had thrown aside all thought of a merely defensive warfare. By amazing efforts he had raised an army of two hundred and fifty thousand men in the few months since his arrival in Paris; and in the opening of June 1815 one hundred and twenty thousand Frenchmen were concentrated on the Sambre at Charleroi, while Wellington's troops still lay in cantonments on the line of the Scheldt from Ath to Nivelles, and Blucher's on that of the Meuse from Nivelles to Liège. Both the allied armies hastened to unite at Quatre Bras; but their junction there was already impossible. Blucher with eighty thousand men was himself attacked by Napoleon at Ligny, and after a

desperate contest driven back with terrible loss upon Wavre. On the same day Ney with twenty thousand men, and an equal force under D'Erlon in reserve, appeared before Quatre Bras, where as yet only ten thousand English and the same force of Belgian troops had been able to assemble. The Belgians broke before the charges of the French horse; and only the dogged resistance of the English infantry gave time for Wellington to bring up corps after corps, till at the close of the day Ney saw himself heavily outnumbered, and withdrew baffled from the field.

About five thousand men had fallen on either side in this fierce engagement: but heavy as was Wellington's loss, the firmness of the English army had already done much to foil Napoleon's effort at breaking through the line of the Allies. Blucher's retreat however left the English flank uncovered; and on the following day, while the Prussians were falling back on Wavre, Wellington, with nearly seventy thousand men—for his army was now well in hand—withdrew in good order, followed by the mass of the French forces under the Emperor himself. Napoleon had detached thirty thousand men under Grouchy to hang upon the rear of the beaten Prussians, while with a force of eighty thousand he resolved to bring Wellington to battle. On the morning of the 18th of June the two armies faced one another on the field of Waterloo in front of the Forest of Soignies, on the high road to Brussels. Napoleon's one fear had been that of a continued retreat. "I have them!" he cried as he saw the English line drawn up on a low rise of ground which stretched across the high road from the château of Hougomont on its right to the farm and straggling village of La Haye Sainte on its left. He had some grounds for his confidence of success. On either side the forces numbered between seventy and eighty thousand men: but the French were superior in guns and cavalry, and a large part of Wellington's force consisted of Belgian levies who broke and fled at the outset of the fight. A fierce attack upon Hougomont opened the battle at eleven; but it was not till midday that the corps of

D'Erlon advanced upon the centre near La Haye Sainte, which from that time bore the main brunt of the struggle. Never has greater courage, whether of attack or endurance, been shown on any field than was shown by both combatants at Waterloo. The columns of D'Erlon, repulsed by the English foot, were hurled back in disorder by a charge of the Scots Greys; but the victorious horsemen were crushed in their turn by the French cuirassiers, and the mass of the French cavalry, twelve thousand strong, flung itself in charge after charge on the English front, carrying the English guns and sweeping with desperate bravery round the unbroken squares whose fire thinned their ranks. With almost equal bravery the French columns of the centre again advanced, wrested at last the farm of La Haye Sainte from their opponents, and pushed on vigorously though in vain under Ney against the troops in its rear.

But meanwhile every hour was telling against Napoleon. To win the battle he must crush the English army before Blucher joined it; and the English army was still uncrushed. Terrible as was his loss, and many of his regiments were reduced to a mere handful of men, Wellington stubbornly held his ground while the Prussians, advancing from Wavre through deep and miry forest roads, were slowly gathering to his support, disregarding the attack on their rear by which Grouchy strove to hold them back from the field. At half-past four their advanced guard deployed at last from the woods; but the main body was far behind, and Napoleon was still able to hold his ground against them till their increasing masses forced him to stake all on a desperate effort against the English front. The Imperial Guard—his only reserve, and which had as yet taken no part in the battle—was drawn up at seven in two huge columns of attack. The first, with Ney himself at its head, swept all before it as it mounted the rise beside La Haye Sainte, on which the thin English line still held its ground, and all but touched the English front when its mass, torn by the terrible fire of musketry with which it was received, gave way before a charge. The second, three thousand strong,

advanced with the same courage over the slope near Hougomont, only to be repulsed and shattered in its turn. At the moment when these masses fell slowly and doggedly back down the fatal rise, the Prussians pushed forward on Napoleon's right, their guns swept the road to Charleroi, and Wellington seized the moment for a general advance. From that hour all was lost. Only the Guard stood firm in the wreck of the French army; and though darkness and exhaustion checked the English in their pursuit of the broken troops as they hurried from the field, the Prussian horse continued the chase through the night. Only forty thousand Frenchmen with some thirty guns recrossed the Sambree while Napoleon himself fled hurriedly to Paris. His second abdication was followed by a triumphant entry of the English and Prussian armies into the French capital; and the long war ended with his exile to St. Helena, and the return of Louis the Eighteenth to the throne of the Bourbons.

INDEX.

A

Abbot, Archbishop, ii. 411; iii. 16, 97, 99, 105
Abercromby, Sir Ralph, in America, iv. 183; expedition to Holland, 327; to Egypt, 344; killed, *ib.*
Aboukir, battle of, iv. 328
Acadia, *see* Nova Scotia
Acre, defended by Sir Sidney Smith, iv. 328
Adam Marsh, his Letters, i. 183
Adam of Usk, i. 355
Adams, John, his lofty forebodings of the future of Americans, iv. 194
Addington, Mr., prime minister, iv. 338; forced from office, 347; *see* Sidmouth, Lord
Addison, iv. 112
Adrian VI. succeeds Leo X., ii. 117
Ælfgar, Ealdorman of Mercia, deserts to the Danes, i. 93
Ælfheah, Archbishop of Canterbury, i. 94
Ælfred, King, comes to the throne, i. 70; his wars with the Northmen, 71; his character and government, 72-75; his literary labors, 76, 77; sets Æthelred as Ealdorman over the Mercians, 78; his navy, *ib.*; his death, 78; a legendary worship gathers round him, 187
Ælfred, brother of Eadmund Ironside, murdered at Ely, i. 98
Ælla, first King of Deira, i. 36
Ælle, takes Anderida, i. 23; founds the Kingdom of the South Saxons, 33
Æthelbald, King of Mercia, i. 60, 64
Æthelberht, King of Kent, marries a Frankish wife, i. 38; his religious tolerance, 39; his conversion, 40; decline of his power, 42
Æthelflæd, Lady of the Mercians, i. 79; attacks the Five Boroughs, *ib.*; her strategy, *ib.*; her death, *ib.*
Æthelfrith, King of the Northumbrians, his victories over the Welsh, i. 41; his military power, 42
Ætheling, *see* Eorl
Æthelred, King of Mercia, i. 60
Æthelred, King of the Northumbrians, i. 69; makes peace at Nottingham with the Northmen, 70; his death, *ib.*
Æthelred II. succeeds Eadward, i. 92; buys off the Danes, *ib.*; meaning of his title "Redeless" or Unready, 93; his policy, *ib.*; marries the daughter of the Norman Duke, *ib.*; orders the massacre of St. Brice, *ib.*
Æthelstan, King of Wessex, incorporates Northumbria with Wessex, i. 80; his victory at Brunanburh, *ib.*
Æthelwine, Ealdorman of East Anglia, i. 92
Æthelwulf, King of Wessex, his struggle with the Northmen, 69
Africa, Cape Town, surrender of, to the English, iv. 309
Agenois, i. 368, 375, 381
Agincourt, battle of, i. 509, 510
Aidan, sent to Northumbria as a missionary, i. 47; blesses Oswald, *ib.*; his miracle against Penda, 48; seen in a vision by Cuthbert, 50
Aislabie, Chancellor, backs the frauds of the South Sea Company, iv. 135
Aix-la-Chapelle, the Peace of, iii. 373; iv. 160, 167
Albany, Duke of, called from France to take the Regency in Scotland, ii. 106; declared Protector of the Realm by the Parliament, 108; withdraws to France, is recalled, his cowardice, lays down the Regency, 116
Albemarle, Duke of, son of the Duke of York, i. 487, 494
Albemarle, Monk, Duke of, Admiral of the English fleet, iii. 364; his engagements with the Dutch under De Ruyter, 365
Alberoni, Cardinal, iv. 131, 132
Alcwine, or Alcuin, i. 4, 63
Alderman, nature of the office, i. 199
Aldfright, King of Northumbria, his peaceful reign, i. 61; his kingdom becomes the literary centre of Western Europe, *ib.*
Aldgate, the soke of the old English Cnichtenagild, site of the priory of the Holy Trinity, i. 149
Aldi, the Venetian, spread of Greek literature through, ii. 55
Alençon (Francis of Valois), Duke of, ii. 408; becomes Duke of Anjou, *see* Anjou
Alfred of Beverley, his treatment of the writings of Geoffrey of Monmouth, i. 164
Allen, Dr., founder of the Douay Seminary, ii. 388; a restless conspirator, 390;

encourages Philip to attack England, 419 ; his list of Philip's helpers, 422
Alleyn, iii. 354
Alva, Duke of, his interview with Catharine of Medicis, ii. 334 ; marches on the Low Countries, 355 ; his massacres, *ib.* ; effect of his presence in the Netherlands on Elizabeth, 356 ; hampered by Elizabeth, 359 ; his greed and persecution cause the Low Countries to revolt, 382 ; succeeded by Requesens, 384 ; his conquest of Portugal, 407
America, discovery of, ii. 77 ; the Colonies of, iv. 163–169, 183, 195, 213, 214, 219, 223, 226, 227, 231, 232 ; the Independence of, 235–263 ; her embargo of trade with Europe, 355 ; quarrel with, forced on England by Napoleon's policy, 361 ; war with England, 364 ; peace with, 370
Amerigo Vespucci, ii. 77
Amherst, General, in America, iv. 183, 185
Amiens, mise of, *see* Lewis IX.
Amiens, Peace of, iv. 357
Anderida, fall of, i. 23 ; now called Pevensey, *ib.*
Andredsweald, the, its extent, i. 23
Andrewes, Bishop, the model of the Laudian clergy, iii. 134
Angeln, or England, i. 7
Angers, recovered by John, i. 227
Angevin Kings of England, i. 173–180
Anglo-Saxon Chronicle, i. 3, 4, 115
Angus, Earl of, overthrows the English borderers at Ancrum Moor, ii. 205 ; at the head of the "Gospellers," opposes the Protector at Pinkie Cleugh, 221 ; his grandson Darnley married to Mary Stuart, 333
Anjou, materials for its history, i. 5 ; given to Fulk the Red by the Dukes of France, 140 ; first among the provinces of France, 141 ; connection of the Counts of, with Norman and English history, 140–143 ; end of its greatness, 142 ; passes into the hands of Philip of France, 180
Anjou, Francis, Duke of (*see* Alençon), chosen sovereign by the Netherlands, ii. 408 ; a candidate for the hand of Elizabeth, *ib.* ; end of his sovereignty in the Netherlands, 409 ; his death, 415
Anjou, Henry, Duke of, his warlike fame, ii. 383 ; succeeds to the throne as Henry III., *see* Henry III.
Anjou, Philip, Duke of, grandson of Lewis XIV., his accession to the throne of Spain, iv. 73 ; enters Madrid, 74 ; proclaimed King in Brussels, *ib.* ; *see* Philip
"Annales Monastici" of the Rolls series, i. 183
Anne of Beaujeu, daughter of Louis XI., her Regency, ii. 65 ; her policy in support of Henry Tudor, *ib.*
Anne Boleyn, *see* Boleyn
Anne of Cleves, *see* Cleves
Anne Hyde, daughter of Clarendon, married to the Duke of York, iii. 353
Anne Neville, second daughter of Earl Warwick, ii. 43, 45
Anne, Princess, second daughter of James II., leaves St. James's to join Danby at Nottingham, iv. 36 ; her attachment to the Duchess of Marlborough, 59 ; death of her son, the Duke of Gloucester, 75 ; her precarious health rouses the Jacobite hopes, 76 ; her weak and feeble nature, 81 ; assumes the name of "Mrs. Morley" in her intercourse with Lady Marlborough, *ib.* ; her accession, 82 ; entrusts full power to Marlborough at home and abroad, *ib.* ; her resolve to pursue the policy of William, *ib.* ; Marlborough and the Allies, 83 ; opening of the war, 85 ; Blenheim, 88 ; occasional conformity, 89 ; "Queen Anne's Bounty," *ib.* ; the Coalition ministry, *ib.* ; Ramillies, 90 ; assents to the Act of Union with Scotland, 91-93 ; Marlborough forces her to admit Sunderland to office, 94 ; Harley's intrigues at Court through Mrs. Masham, 95 ; the Queen's trust in Marlborough dies with his submission to the Whig triumph, *ib.* ; her Tory sympathies and stubborn resistance to the Whigs, *ib.* ; Oudenarde, and Lewis's offers of peace, 96 ; her longing for peace and hatred of party government, 97 ; peace rejected, *ib.* ; Sacheverell's impeachment, 98 ; she breaks with the Duchess, 99 ; dismisses the Whigs and names a Tory ministry, *ib.* ; fall of Marlborough, 100 ; Treaty of Utrecht, 101 ; failure of her health and question of the succession, 102 ; policy of Harley and Bolingbroke, *ib.* ; her strife with the Electress Sophia and death, 103
Anselm of Aosta, Prior of Bec, i. 129 ; his dream, 130 ; his teaching and philosophical speculations, *ib.* ; appointed to the see of Canterbury, 131 ; his dispute with William the Red, *ib.* ; leaves England, 132 ; recalled by Henry I., 134 ; pronounces in favor of his marriage with Matilda, *ib.*
Appeal, Court of, how created, i. 171 ; its later offshoots, *ib.*
Aquitaine, i. 151, 412, 422, 480
Architecture after the Conquest, i. 204 ; impulse given to it by the Jews, *ib.*
Arcot, Clive's surprise of, iv. 163
Argyle, Earl of, heads the Covenanters, ii. 262 ; joins the Queen of Scots, 338 ; rouses Edinburgh to insurrection on her marriage with Bothwell, 348 ; rallies to her banner on her escape from prison, 357 ; member of the Committee of Estates entrusted by the Parliament with the government, iii. 183 ; Charles lavishes titles upon, 198 ; charges Charles with treachery, 198 ; clings to the alliance with England, 244 ; Cromwell's convention with, 248 ; proclaims Charles II. King, 252 ; executed, 326
Argyle, Earl of, son of the preceding, condemned in 1682, and escapes to

Holland, iv. 13; his and Monmouth's risings, 14; failure and execution, *ib.*
Argyle, Duke of, his entrance into the Council Chamber on the death of Anne, iv. 104; fights the Campbells under Mar in the rising of 1715, 128
Arkwright, Richard, iv. 273, 362
Arlington (Henry Bennet), Earl of, in the Cabal ministry, iii. 370; in heart a Catholic, *ib.*; Charles's confidant in the Treaty of Dover, 377; presses Charles to assent to the Test Act, 388; dismissed from office, 392
Armada, the, gathers in the Tagus, ii. 412; sails, 421; commanded by Medina Sidonia, 424; its flight, 425
Armagh, Dowdall, Archbishop of, ii. 227
Armagnac, Count of, head of the Orleans faction, i. 500
Arminians, the, iii. 33, 120
Arms, Assize of, i. 171, 395
Army, the King's and the Parliament's, iii. 206-207; reorganization of, and the "Self-renouncing Ordinance," 229; the "New Model," 230; the army and the dissidents, 231; its struggle with the Parliament, 235, 251; disbands at Blackheath, 307; the Royal, established by Charles II., 327; disbanded, 337; Charles II.'s Guards form the nucleus of our standing, iii. 328, iv. 11; raised by James to twenty thousand, 16; control of Parliament over, established, 48
Arnold, General, in the American War, iv. 249
Arran, Earl of, Regent of Scotland during the minority of Mary Stuart, ii. 203; refuses to give up the infant Queen to Henry VIII., *ib.*; his Parliament consents to the marriage treaty, 204; proposed to Elizabeth as a candidate for her hand, 317
Arras, conferences at, i. 526
Arthur, the mystical history of, i. 164, 165
Arthur, son of Geoffrey, his descent, i. 174; acknowledged in Anjou, 179; his overthrow and murder, *ib.*
Arthur, eldest son of Henry VII., marries Catherine of Aragon, ii. 75; dies, 76
Articles, the, of 1536, drawn up by Henry VIII., ii. 175; immense doctrinal advance made in them, 176; burning made the penalty of their infraction, 182; their original and present number, 225; drawn up mainly by Cranmer, *ib.*; left in abeyance by Elizabeth. 292
Arundel, Bishop of Ely, carries the threat of the Houses to Richard II., i. 469; made Primate, 579; impeached, banished, and made Bishop of St. Andrews by the Pope, 482; persuades Henry of Lancaster to return, 483; places Henry on the throne, 491; the representative of religious persecution, 492; his hands strengthened by the Statute of Heresy, *ib.*; presses Henry to take Richard's life, 494; removed from the Chancellorship, 506
Arundel, Earl of, i. 470, 479, 481, 495
Arundel, Duke of, in Elizabeth's Council, ii. 301
Arundel, Lord, backs the Duke of Norfolk's marriage with Mary, ii. 361
Arundel, Lord, committed to the Tower by Buckingham, iii. 122
Arundel, Lord, Charles's confidant in the Treaty of Dover, iii. 377; sent to the Tower, 402
Arundel, Lord, Lord Privy Seal under James II., iv. 21
Ascham, succeeds Grindal and Cheke in the direction of Elizabeth's studies, ii. 275
Ashley (Cooper), Lord, chief of the Presbyterian party in the Royal Council, iii. 349; review of his life up to his fortieth year, 350; his policy of toleration, 351; forces on a war with the Dutch to ruin Clarendon's popularity, 355; in the Cabal Ministry, 369; outwitted by the King in the Treaty of Dover, 378; his Constitution for the County of Carolina, *ib.*; made Chancellor and Earl of Shaftesbury, 386; *see* Shaftesbury
Assandun, battle of, i. 95
Asser, i. 4
Associated Counties, origin of, iii. 213; their name, 220
Astley, Sir Jacob, Royalist leader, his words on the last defeat of his party at Stow, iii. 234, 235
Aston, Sir Arthur, commands the garrison of Drogheda, iii. 256
Athelney, Ælfred takes refuge in, i. 71; monastery and school of, 76
Athol, Earl of, ii. 335
Atterbury, Bishop, iv. 118
Augsburg, conferences at, in 1541, ii. 200; Treaty of, iv. 21
Augustine, lands at Ebbsfleet, i. 39; enters Canterbury, *ib.*; the tongue of Rome, returns with, to Britain, *ib.*; renews the union with the Western World, 40; consecrated to the see of Canterbury, *ib.*
Austerlitz, battle of, iv. 349
Australia, iv. 192
Austria, her union with the United Provinces, iii. 390; joins the Triple Alliance, iv. 131; drawn into the strife relative to the Polish throne, 149; question of the Austrian succession on the death of Charles VI., 152; her defeat at Austerlitz, 349; renews the struggle, 358; defeated at Wagram and sues for peace, 359; unites with Prussia and Russia and defeats Napoleon at Leipzig, 368; leagues with England and France against Prussia and Russia, 370; joins the other Powers to crush Napoleon on his return, *ib.*; her army with that of Russia closes in upon Paris, 371

Austria, Don John of, natural brother of Philip II. of Spain, governor of the Netherlands, ii. 390; his design of marrying Mary Stuart, *ib.*; is succeeded by the Prince of Parma, 391
Avaux, Count of, French envoy at the Hague; in Ireland with James II., iv. 47; advises a massacre of Protestants in Ireland, *ib.*
Avignon, the Papacy at, i. 379, 380, 383, 384, 385, 417, 432
Aylesford, first victory of the English over the Britons at, i. 22
Aylmer, Bishop, one of the Protestant exiles, ii. 265
Aymer, Bishop of Winchester, i. 258
Aymer de Valence, i. 351

B

Babington, Anthony, his plot in favor of Mary, ii. 418; his execution, *ib.*
Bacon, Francis, Lord, ii. 463, 465; his "Novum Organum," *ib.*, 469; Lord Chancellor and Baron Verulam, iii. 105; his fall, 106
Bacon, Sir Nicholas, member of Elizabeth's council, ii. 287
Bacon, Roger, his education, i. 245; teacher at Oxford, 247; friar of the Order of St. Francis, 248; his "Opus Majus," 249; his failure, 250
Badajoz, iv. 359, 366
Badbury, or Mount Badon, overthrow of the Saxons at, i. 23
Bæda, the monk of Jarrow, the Venerable Bede, i. 3; his birth, 61; his life and teaching, *ib.*; his ecclesiastical history of the English nation, 63; his death, 64
Baldewin Wake and the Disinherited, i. 292–295
Bale, the deprived Bishop of Ossory in exile, ii. 265, 271; his denunciations of Bonner, *ib.*
Ball, John, his levelling doctrine, i. 413, 446, 447, 448
Balliol, John, his claim, i. 326; his accession, 327; spurred to defiance by France, 329; his treaty with Philip, 342; surrenders to Edward, 343; Wallace heads the country in his name, 347; released and withdraws into France, 348
Balliol, Edward, son of the above, received as vassal-king of Scotland at the English court, i. 372; crowned at Scone, 376
Balmerino, Lord, iv. 160
Bamborough, fortified by Ida, i. 35; attacked by Penda, 48
Bancroft, Archbishop of Canterbury, personal character of his spiritual tyranny, ii. 411, iii. 61
Bank of England, *see* England, Bank of
Bannockburn, battle of, i. 364, 365
Baptists, rise of, iii. 225
Barbadoes, delinquents sent to the, iii. 256
Barbury Hill, victory of the West Saxons at, i. 25
Bardolf, Lord, i. 501
Barebones Parliament, iii. 269, 270
Barebones, Praise-God, iii. 269
Barillon, French ambassador, iii. 404
Barnet, battle of, effect of artillery at, ii. 18, 47, 48
Barnwell, the annals of, i. 116
Baronage, decline of, in the fifteenth century, and its causes, ii. 17–19; grant of liveries to, 19
Barons, War of the, i. 183, 257, 295; their power at its close, 311, 312; Edward I. and the, 313
Barons, the Greater and the Lesser, i. 332
Barrow, Isaac, mathematician, iii. 317
Bartholomew de Cotton, i. 183
Bartholomew's Day, St., *see* St. Bartholomew
"*Basilicon Dôron*," the, iii. 52
Basle, the Treaty of, iv. 308, 323
Batavia, Republic of, ally of France, iv. 308
Bath, Henry de, justiciary his corruption, i. 258
Battle Abbey, site of the high altar, i. 109
Bavaria, Electoral Prince of, claimant to the Spanish succession, iv. 69; joins France against the Allies, 85; Charles of, elected Emperor of Germany, 155
Baxter, Richard, Presbyterian minister, his address to Richard Cromwell, iii 303; his autobiography, 311; expelled, 362; his account of the sufferings of the expelled clergy, 346; refuses to accept James II.'s Act of Indulgence, iv. 23
Beachy Head, battle of, iv. 58
Beaton, Cardinal, counsellor of James V., Archbishop of St. Andrews, ii. 202, 205, 208
Beauchamp, Lord, representative of the House of Suffolk, iii. 37
Beauforts, their legitimation by Richard II., i. 480; representatives of, under Henry VI. and their policy, 528; the mainstay of the House of Lancaster, 529
Beaufort, Edmund, Duke of Somerset, *see* Somerset
Beaufort, Henry, Bishop of Winchester and Cardinal, i. 506, 517, 518, 519, 524, 526, 528
Bec, the school of, the most famous of Christendom, i 105
Beckford, Alderman, Pitt's political lieutenant, iv. 176
Bedford, John, Duke of, Regent of France, i. 515, 519, 519, 524, 526, 527
Bedford, Earl of, Parlimentary leader, iii. 209
Bedford, Duke of, in George III.'s time, iv. 167, 218, 237, 244
Bedloe, Oates's coadjutor, iii. 403
Beket, Gilbert, father of Thomas, i. 150
Beket, Thomas, of London, his corre-

spondence, i. 115; his parentage and education, 150; his relations with Theobald, *ib.*; invites Henry II. to England, 151; made Chancellor, 155; his favor with the king, *ib.*; his election to the See of Canterbury, 156; his change of attitude, *ib.*; his struggle with Henry, 157, 158; his second quarrel and death, 159, 161; canonized 161
Bellasys, Lord, Charles's confidant in the Treaty of Dover, iii. 377; sent to the Tower, 402; first Lord of the Treasury under James II., iv. 21
Bellingham, Sir Edward, Lord Deputy of Ireland, ii. 259; his rough handling of the Irish chiefs, *ib.*
Benedict Biscop, his visit to Rome, i. 53; his abbey of Jarrow at Wearmouth, 61
Benedict of Peterborough, i. 115, 163
Benedict XII., quarrel of England and Germany with, i. 381, 386
Benevolences, ii. 53, 69, 114, 120, 191, iii. 88, 111, 125, 143
Bengal, Clive's reduction of, iv. 179
Bennet, Henry, begins his political career, iii. 353; becomes Earl of Arlington, 370; *see* Arlington
Bensington, the West Saxons repulsed by Offa at i. 65
Bentham, Jeremy, iv. 363
Beorn, nephew of Godwine, Earl of the Middle Angles, i. 99; murdered by Swegen, 100
Beornwulf, King of Mercia, defeated at Ellandun by Ecgberht, i. 68
Beowulf, the Song of, the earliest of English poems, i. 17; reveals the moral temper of the English, *ib.*
Bercta, daughter of King Charibert wife of Æthelberht, i. 38
Berkley, Judge iii. 176, 190
Bernard André of Toulouse, his life of Henry VII., ii. 3
Berne, plunder of his treasury by the French, iv. 323
Bernicia, becomes a kingdom under Ælla, i, 31; united to Deira under Æthelric, 36
Berlin Decree, iv. 351; its results, 352,
Bertrand de Born, the troubadour, heads a revolt against Richard in Aquitaine, i. 175
Berwick, besieged, i. 342; the Scoth earls do homage to Edward I. at 343; garrisoned against Edward III. 377; becomes English territory, *ib.*; legally viewed as representing Scotland, *ib.*
Berwick, the pacification of, iii. 180, 182
Berwick, Duke of, natural son of James II., despatched against Portugal, iv. 86; his victory at Almanza, 96
Bible, Wyclif's, i. 463, 464, 465
Bible, the Geneva, ii. 271
Bible, Tyndale's translation of, printed at Köln, ii. 124; reaches England and is denounced by More and Wareham as heretical, 125; burned at St. Paul's, *ib.*; its rapid spread, *ib.*; its circulation forbidden by Henry, 145
Bible, the English, introduced into churches, ii. 180; its study, iii. 11; its literary, social, and religious influence, 12, 13, 14
Bigod, Roger, Earl of Norfolk, *see* Norfolk
Birmingham, growth of, under Walpole's ministry, iv. 137
Bishops, English, originally royal chaplains, i. 55, their diocese the kingdom, *ib.*; constitute a large part of the Witenagemote, 87; a standing check on the independence of the nobles, 90; appointment of foreign, in England, 125; their independence lessened, *ib.*; cease to sit in the temporal courts, *ib.*; synods of, convened, 149; subjection of, to the Crown by Cromwell's policy, ii. 156; power of their courts revived under Laud's patronage, iii. 155; War of the, 183; Parliament and the, 191; bill passed for excluding them from the House Lords, 206; protest of the Seven, against James II.'s Declaration of Indulgence, iv. 28; their trial and acquittal, 29
Black Death, its ravages, i. 404; its social results, 405; connection of the Statute of Laborers with 406; fresh swoop of, 413, 427
Blake, Colonel, in Ireland, iii. 257; as Admiral beats the Dutch, 263; bombards Algiers, 283; conquers Jamaica, *ib.*; beats the Spaniards at Santa Cruz, 288; his body torn from the grave by the Convention Parliament, 339
Blenheim, battle of, iv. 87
Blondel, i. 357
Blood-wite, compensation in money for personal wrong, i. 9; gives English justice, society, and warfare, their first forms, 10
Blücher, Marshal, iv. 371, 372, 373
Bohemia, troubles in, antecedent to the outbreak of the Thirty Years' War, iii. 101; James I.'s policy towards, 102, 103
Bohun, Earl of Hereford, *see* Hereford
Boleyn, Ann, her parentage, ii. 129; Henry's passion for, 129; her father made Lord Rochford, 130; Wolsey's part in the matter of the divorce, *ib.*; supported by the Dukes of Norfolk and Suffolk, 131; the legatine commission, 132; installed in the royal palace, 133; privately married, 154; crowned by Cranmer, *ib.*; her children declared lawful heirs to the crown, 163; charged with adultery, condemned, and beheaded, 166; her influence on the character of Elizabeth, 303
Boleyn, Sir Thomas, father of Anne, ii. 129; made Lord Rochford, 130; his son George, 129; created Earl of Wiltshire, 146
Bolingbroke, Henry St. John, Lord, Secretary of War under, and perfidy towards, Marlborough, iv. 100; his treaty of commerce, 102; his policy with regard to the succession of the

House of Hanover, 102; his breach with Harley, *ib.*; aims at trimming between the Court of Hanover and that of James, 103; powerless and neglected on the death of Anne, 104; Voltaire's intercourse with, 109; flies from England to take office under the Pretender, 118; his policy as Secretary of State to James, 128; returns from exile and joins the "Patriots," 142; returns into exile, *ib.*
Bombay, its cession to the Dutch, iii. 354; establishment of the E. India Company at, iv. 161
Boniface, the missionary, his Letters, i. 4
Boniface, Archbishop of Canterbury, uncle of Eleanor of Provence, his outrages, i. 258; gathers an army in France for an invasion, 284
Boniface VIII., Pope, his bull *Clericis Laicos*, i. 344
Bonner, Bishop, his persecutions, ii. 235, 245, 249, 250; sets up the first six Bibles in St. Paul's, iii. 11
Borodino, battle of, iv. 367
Boroughs, buy their liberty from the Crown, i. 118; represented in the Great Council, 336, 338, 339; restriction of their freedom, ii. 24, 25
Boscawen, Admiral, in America, iv. 183
Boston, in Lincolnshire, its connection with Boston in America, iii. 163
Boston, tea-riots in, iv. 246; its ports closed against commerce, 246; English troops land at, 249
Bosworth Field, battle of, places Henry VII. on the throne, ii. 66; Richard III. slain at, *ib.*
Bothwell, James Hepburn, Earl of, Catholic Lord of the Border, ii. 335; member of the Privy Council, 336; murders Darnley, 346; his union with Mary and subsequent flight, 348
Boulogne, besieged by Henry VIII., ii. 206; surrendered to France, 224; camp at, iv. 347
Bourbon, Cardinal, and the League, ii. 415; proclaimed King, *see* Charles X.
Bourbon, Duke of, Constable of France, ii. 115; sacks Rome, 130
Bourbon, the House of, iv. 148, 149, 150, 151
Bourges, i. 516, 519
Bouvines, battle of, i. 227, 228
Boyle, experimental chymist, iii. 317, 318
Boyne, battle of the, iv. 55
"Boys," the, headed by William Pitt, iv. 142, 157
Brabant, relations of Edward III. with, i. 380, 382, 387
Braddock, General, routed and slain at Fort Duquesne, iv. 169
Bradshaw, John, presides at trial of Charles I. iii. 250; President of Council of State, 266; his body torn from the grave by the Convention, 339
Bradwardine, his predestinarian Augustinianism, i. 419
Brandenburg, Elector of, on the question of the Mass and the Papal Supremacy, ii. 200; becomes Protestant, 207; lends troops to the States, iv. 32; Brandenburgers in William's army, 35; becomes King of Prussia, 84
Brandywine, battle of, iv. 250
Brecknock, stormed by Æthelflæd, i. 79
Breda, declaration of, iii. 306, 338; peace congress at, iii. 368
Brehon or native law, the, in Ireland, made an act of treason, i. 486; English jurisdiction substituted for, iii. 148
Bremen, iv. 132
Breslau, Peace of, iv. 155
Brest, right of holding, secured to England, i. 445; restored to the Duke of Brittany by Richard II., 480
Bretigny, Peace of, i. 411, 412; fatal to Edward's cause in the South of France, 421
Bridgeman, Sir Orlando, chief justice, on ministerial responsibility, iii. 329
Bridgewater, Francis, Duke of, iv. 270
Brigham, Treaty of, i. 326
Brihtnoth, Ealdorman of East Anglia, i. 92
Brindley, James, his canals, iv. 264, 271
Bristol, chief seat of the slave-trade, i. 121; stronghold of the western rebels against Stephen, 145; its prosperity stimulated by the conquest of Ireland, ii. 372; its West Indian trade under Walpole's ministry, iv. 137
Bristol, Earl of, attempts to impeach Clarendon, iii. 353
Britain, Roman conquest of, i. 20, 21; withdrawal of Roman legions from, 21; assailed by the Picts and Scots and Saxon pirates, *ib.*; the Jutes land in, *ib.*; York, the Roman capital of, 25; becomes England, 27-30; distinctive character of the English conquest of, 27; ceases to exist as a political body with the battle of Chester, 41
Britain, Great, union of England and Scotland under the name, iv 91
Britanny, Norman invasion of, i. 104; Henry III.'s campaign in, 269; passes to the Crown of France, ii. 71
Britanny, Arthur of, his descent, i. 174; murdered, 178
Britons, withdrawal of the, from English ground, i. 27; their stubborn courage, 28
Broglie, Marshal, iv. 182
Brooke, Lord, iii. 169, 192, 213
Brown, Robert, founder of the "Brownists," iii. 35
Browne, his pastorals, iii. 159
Brownists, the, their settlement in Massachusetts, iii. 162
Bruce the home of the, i. 102
Bruce, Robert, grandson of the original claimants of the Scottish Crown, i. 350; rising of, *ib.*; crowned at Scone, 351; his flight, *ib.*; his adventurous career, 358; Master of Scotland after the bat-

tle of Bannockburn, 365; backed by France, 367; owned as King by the treaty of Northampton, 373; his death, 376
Bruges, England's negotiations with the Pope at, i. 437
Brunanburh, battle of, i. 80
Brunswick, Ferdinand, Prince of, commands the English and Hanoverians on the Elbe and Rhine, iv. 181, 182; defeats the French at Minden, *ib.*; marches against France, 301; effect of his advance in Paris, *ib.*
Bucer, ii. 200; lectures at Cambridge, 220
Buchanan, the republican, and tutor to James VI., iii. 40
Buckingham, Duke of, supports Gloucester's claim of the Crown, ii. 61; is made Constable, 62; his treachery, *ib.*; beheaded, 63
Buckingham, George Villiers (the first), Duke of, favorite of James I., his character, iii. 95; accompanies Prince Charles to Madrid, 110; his constitutional aims, 113; his plans of war, 114; Charles I. clings blindly to him, 119; his administrative incapacity, 121; his impeachment, 123; the Commons' remonstrance against him, 131; his assassination, 133
Buckingham, George Villiers (the second), a type of the Restoration, iii. 315; his scientific pursuits, 317; his alliance by marriage with the Presbyterian party, 369; in the Cabal ministry, 370; counsels resistance to the Test Act, 388; dismissed from office, 392; his *Rehearsal*, 425; satirized by Dryden, 427
Buffon, influence of English science on, iv. 109
Bullinger, ii. 270
Bulmer, Lady, burned at the stake for her share in the *Pilgrimage of Grace*, ii. 168
Bunker's Hill, battle of, iv. 249
Bunyan, John, his history, iii. 381–383; his *Pilgrim's Progress*, *ib.*; refuses to accept James II.'s Act of Indulgence, iv. 23
Buonaparte, Napoleon, distinguishes himself at Toulon, iv. 307; crushes the royalist party in Paris, 311; his victories in Piedmont and the Tyrol, 315; advances on Vienna, 317; his designs on India, 321; expedition to Egypt, *ib.*; in Syria, 327; first consul, 329: his designs on England, 332, 338; his "Continental System" and its results, 339, 350, 351; his despotism, 345; his plans, 346; the camp at Boulogne, 347; assumes the title of Emperor, 348; at Ulm and Austerlitz, 349; the peace of Tilsit, *ib.*; issues the Berlin Decree, 351; the Milan Decree, 354; his designs upon Spain, 356; seizes Portugal, 357; provides his brothers with thrones, *ib.*; invades Spain, 358; at Wagram, 359; stirs up war between England and America, 361, 364–365; invades Russia, 366; his ruin, 367; his abdication, 368; his return, 370; final overthrow at Waterloo, 372; his exile, 374
Buonaparte, Jerome, King of Westphalia, iv. 357
Buonaparte, Joseph, King of Spain, iv. 357, 366
Buonaparte, Louis, King of Holland, iv. 357
Burdett, Sir Francis, and Parliamentary Reform, iv. 363
Burford, battle of, i. 64
Burgh-upon-Sands, death of Edward I. at, i. 352
Burghley, William Cecil, Lord, his descent, ii. 278; conforms to the Catholic worship, 279; one of the "Politicals," *ib.*; his counsels to Elizabeth, 281; member of her Council, 286; his share in the bill for restoring the royal supremacy, 290; his fears relative to the Scotch negotiations, 300; urges the recognition of Murray's government, 357; his zeal for a Protestant alliance, 359; Norfolk plots his overthrow, 363; holds the clue to Norfolk's negotiations with Philip, 366; backs the Puritan pressure, 379; protests against the High Commission, 411; his disgrace after Mary's execution, 418; his death, 474
Burgoyne, General, in Canada, iv. 250; his surrender at Saratoga, 251.
Burgundy, Philip the Good, Duke of, i. 512; his relations with Henry V., 513; his alliance with the Duke of Bedford, 515; grows lukewarm towards England, 517; recalls his soldiers from Orleans, 520; delivers Jeanne Darc into the hands of the English, 524; deserts the English cause and concludes a treaty with Charles, 526; surrenders Picardy to Louis XI., ii. 33; mediator of the Triple Alliance, 34; his death, 39
Burgundy, Charles of Charolais, Duke of (surnamed the Bold), son of the preceding, ii. 33; head of the League of the Public Weal, *ib.*; overthrows the Croys, 35; his connection with the House of Lancaster, 36, 45; becomes Duke, 39; marries Margaret, sister of Edward IV., 39; his fleet prisons Warwick in Normandy, 44; refuses to receive Edward at his Court, 44; secretly aids him with money and ships, 46; attacks Lewis, 48; mightiest among the princes of the Empire, 49; at the siege of Neuss, 50; falls at Nanci, 52
Burke, Edmund, on the repeal of the Stamp Act, iv. 228; his political philosophy, 229; his adhesion to the inaction of the Whigs, 230; his estrangement from Pitt, *ib.*; his speech in support of the Declaratory Bill, 232; his fierce attacks on Lord Chatham, 234;

his effort for conciliaton with the colonies, 247; regenerates our political literature, 265; his plea for the Hindoo, 266; moves for the impeachment of Hastings, 268; Bill tending to diminish the influence of the Crown over Parliament, 276; horror at the Revolution, 292; his *Reflections on the French Revolution*, 293, 295; his failure in Parliament, 294; his success with the country, 295; his *Letters on a Regicide Peace*, 311; his Irish policy, 314; his last protest against peace, 318
Burnet, Bishop, iii. 311, 318; iv. 51, 121
Burns, iv. 265
Burton, his diary, iii. 5
Busaco, battle, iv. 360
Bute, Earl of, Secretary of State, mouthpiece of George III., iv. 208, 210
Butler, Bishop, his *Hudibras*, iii. 314; his calm philosophy, 318
Butlers, the, Norman Lords of the Pale, ii. 169
Byng, Admiral, iv. 171
Byron, Commodore, his discoveries, iv. 192

C

Cabal, origin of the term, iii. 370, 407; members of the cabinet so called in the reign of Charles II., 369, 370, 407; Charles and the, 374; Parliament and the, 375
Cabinet, the, its origin and nature, iii. 369, 407
Cabot, Sebastian, ii. 77, 373, 404
Cade, John, takes the name of Mortimer and heads the rising in Kent, i. 532; slain by Iden, sheriff of Kent, 533
Cadiz, blockade of, iv. 155
Cadwallan, King of the Welsh, his alliance with Penda, i. 45; falls on the "Heaven's Field," *ib.*
Caedmon, the cowherd of Whitby, i. 52; the first English poet, *ib.*; his vision, 52
Caen, sacked by Edward III., i. 392, 403; university founded at, 526
Cahors, the bankers of, i. 307, 320, 362
Calabria, John of, son of Réné of Anjou, ii. 36
Calais, siege of, i. 397; Master Eustache de St. Pierre, Master Walter de Maunay, and Queen Philippa plead for its citizens, 398, 399; Warwick captain of, ii. 27, 28; taken by the Duke of Guise, 257, 258; the Treaty of, with reference to Câteau Cambrésis, 291
Calamy, his *Memoirs of the Ejected Ministers*, iii. 311
Calcutta, its origin, iv. 161; the Black Hole of, 180.
Calvin, John, his early history, ii. 267; his doctrine of the organization of the Church and its relation to the State, 268; draws the English exiles round him at Geneva, 269; results of this contact with him as shown in the troubles at Frankfort, 270; Scotch Calvinism, 297
Calvinism, growth of in England, iii. 14; triumph of James I. over, 51
Cambray, occupied by Philip V., i. 382, 387
Cambray, Treaty of, between France and Charles V., ii. 137
Cambridge University, charters of, burnt, i. 451; study of Greek at, ii. 85; Erasmus, Latimer, and Croke at, 86; wins a name for heresy, 125; Bilney and Latimer, teachers of, known as Lutherans, 126; *see also* Universities
Camden, William, ii. 189, 435; iii. 5
Camden, Lord, in the Chatham Ministry, iv. 244
Camden, Lord, Viceroy in Ireland, iv. 314
Camerons, the, iv. 42
Campbells, the, iv. 42, 43
Campeggio, Cardinal, joined with Wolsey in the Legatine Commission, ii. 133; Bishop of Salisbury, 134; opens the court at Blackfriars and adjourns it, 135
Camperdown, action of, iv. 319, 340
Campian, despatched from Douay to head the Jesuit mission in England, ii. 395; executed, 397
Campo Formio, Treaty of, iv. 317, 321, 323
Camulodunum, the predecessor of our modern Colchester, i. 24
Canada, the French in, iv. 161; conquest of, by the English, 185; retained by England after the peace with America, 261; establishment of its Constitution, 296; invaded by the Americans, 369
Canals, iv. 265, 270
Canning, George, influences public opinion through the press, iv. 243; his devotion to Pitt, 281; Foreign Secretary in the Portland ministry, 353; demands the surrender of the Danish fleet, 354; his Orders in Council, *ib.*; sends armies and supplies to Spain, 357; his treaty of alliance with the Junta, 358; his duel with Lord Castlereagh and his resignation, 359; presses for Catholic Emancipation, 364; his showy brilliancy, 365
Canterbury, the earliest royal city of German England, i. 40; the first Christian city in England, *ib.*; Augustine comes to, 39; a centre of Latin influence, 40; the see of, dioceses grouped round it by Theodore, and the political importance of his work, 55; taken and sacked by the Danes, 94; gild of Thanes in, 200; the Scriptorium of, 162; *Canterbury Tales*, *see* Chaucer
Canterbury, Warham, Archbishop of, his patronage of learning, ii. 82; his friendship with Erasmus, *ib.*; Erasmus dedicates his *Jerome* to him, 93; his approbation of the New Testament of Erasmus, 94; on the resistance of the

Kentish squires to benevolences, 118 ; his death, 153
Canterbury, Hubert Walter, Archbishop of, raises the ransom for Richard I. and secures order, i. 175
Canterbury, Abbot, Archbishop of, iii. 153 ; Edmund of Abingdon, Archbishop of, *see* Edmund; Edmund Rich, Archbishop of, *see* Edmund
Capel, Lord, leader of the Country party, enters the ministry, iii. 405
Capell, Lord, royalist commander, his execution, iii. 253
Capital punishment abolished by William, i. 121
Capuchins, institution and rise of the, ii. 252, 253
Caraffa, Cardinal, his fanaticism leads to the establishment of a tribunal of the Inquisition at Rome, ii. 206 ; the institution of the Capuchins and the Jesuits, 252 ; lays down his sees to found the order of Theatines, 253 ; issue of the impulse he gave to Catholic fervor, *ib.* ; aims at the reformation of the clergy, *ib.* ; becomes Pope, *ib.* ; *see* Paul IV.
Carew, Sir Peter, heads the western rising against Queen Mary, ii. 240
Carisbrook, Charles I. a prisoner at, iii. 245
Carlisle, conquered by the Northumbrians under Ecgfrith, i. 58 ; invested by the Scots, i. 342
Carlowitz, Treaty of, iv. 71
Carlyle, iii. 312
Carolina, South, opposes the Declaration of Independence, iv. 250
Carolinas, the, iv. 163, 166
Caroline of Anspach, Queen of George II., iv. 140
Carpenter, General, iv. 129
Carr, a Scotch page, favorite of James I., iii. 83 ; *see* Rochester, Viscount
Carte, his collection of the Ormond papers, iii. 5
Carteret, Lord, his continental policy on the fall of Walpole, iv. 155 ; becomes Earl Granville, 156 ; his fall and its bearing on foreign policy, *ib.* 157 ; *see* Granville
Cartwright, Thomas, his Calvinistic fanaticism and Presbyterian tenets, ii. 380, 381 ; driven from his professorship, 411 ; appointed by Lord Leicester to the mastership of an hospital at Warwick, 471
Casale, seized by Louis XIV., iii. 428
Casaubon, iii. 11
Cashel, synod of, i. 169
Cassel, victory of France over the Flemish communes at, i. 379 ; Flemings advance to, 398
Castille, the English campaign in, i. 423, 424
Castlemaine, Lady (Barbara Palmer), mistress of Charles II., iii. 323 ; the friend of Ashley Cooper, 350 ; opposes Clarendon, 353 ; parades her conversion to the Catholic faith, 387 ; her indictment by Shaftesbury, 415 ; deserts Charles, 419
Castlereagh, Lord (Lord Londonderry), Pitt's agent in Ireland, iv. 326 ; secretary at war, duel with Canning, iv. 359 ; foreign secretary, 364
Câteau Cambrésis, Treaty of, ii. 289, 291, 299, 301
Catesby, iii. 61, 62
Catharine of Aragon, daughter of Ferdinand and Isabella, married to Arthur, eldest son of Henry VII., ii. 75 ; betrothed on his death to Henry VIII., 89 ; the king resolves to break his marriage with her, 129 ; refuses to bow to his will, 131 ; her piteous appeal in court, 135 ; she is put away, 150 ; Henry's marriage with her annulled as void, 153
Catharine of Braganza, Queen of Charles II., iii. 334 ; her immense dowry, *ib.* ; political significance of the marriage, *ib.* ; charged by Oates with knowledge of the Popish plot, iii. 403
Catharine Howard, *see* Howard
Catharine of Medicis, Regent of France, ii. 311 ; her political temper compared to that of Elizabeth, *ib.* ; her edict of pacification, 324 ; her treaty with the Huguenots, 329 ; her policy of religious balance, 331, 335, 383 ; offers Elizabeth her son's hand, 382 ; the Massacre of St. Bartholomew, 383 ; returns to her policy of toleration, 385
Catharine Parr, *see* Parr
Catharine II. of Russia, her designs on Poland and Turkey, iv. 290
Catharine Swynford, mistress of John of Gaunt, i. 481, 517
Catholic reaction, the, 1553–1558, ii. 234, 282, iii. 75 ; the Catholic revival in Germany, 251, 253
Catholics, the English, ii. 315, 316 ; Acts of Parliament against, in the reign of Elizabeth, 328, 349 ; the Catholic earls and their revolt, 361, 363 ; their persecution, 364, 367 ; they support the Catholic College at Douay, 388 ; arrival of Parsons and Campian, 397 ; proclamation and new penal enactments against, 396, 398 ; their plots and their suppression, 416, 418 ; fresh breach between them and the Crown, under James I., iii. 61 ; the Gunpowder Plot, 62 ; excluded from the Court of Charles II., 395 ; their hopes excited by the Treaty of Dover, 400 ; admitted into civil and military offices by James II., iv. 18 ; their emancipation advocated by Pitt, 335, 364 ; adopted in the House of Commons, *ib.*
Catholics, the Irish, their covenant with Charles I., iii. 219, 222
Catinat, Marshal, defeats the Duke of Savoy, iv. 57
Cavaliers, origin of the name, iii. 203
Cavendish, his account of Wolsey, ii. 7
Cavendish, family of, rise of, from spoil

of monasteries, ii. 194 ; their dependence on the Crown, 213
Cavendish, Lord John, one of the leaders of the Country party, iii. 387 ; Chancellor of the Exchequer, 405 ; resists the Exclusion, 410 ; resigns, 415 ; becomes Earl of Devonshire, *see* Devonshire
Caxton, William, and his work, ii. 58-60
Ceadda, the St. Chad to whom Lichfield is dedicated, i. 49
Ceawlin, King of Wessex, i. 38
Cecil, Sir William, *see* Burleigh, Lord
Cecil, Robert, second son of the preceding, succeeds his father at the Council board, ii. 474 ; his rivalry with Essex, *ib.* ; his correspondence with James and its results. *ib.* ; his counsels to James, iii. 71; his adherence to the Elizabethan policy, 72, 80 ; his scheme of the "Great Contract," 75, 76 ; his death removes the last check on the policy of James, 80
Cecil, representative of the Evangelical movement, iv. 265
Centwine, King of Wessex, i. 60
Cenwalh, King of the West Saxons attacks the Welsh, i. 58 ; his victory at Bradford *ib.*
Cenwulf, King of the Mercians, i. 66
Ceolfrid, a scholar of Benedict Biscop, i. 61
Ceolwulf, King of Northumbria, his peaceful reign, i. 61 ; his kingdom the literary centre of Western Europe, *ib.*
Ceorl or *freeling*, his possible origin, i. 8; his position as compared with that of the *eorl*, 8
Cerdic, King of Wessex, i. 23, 33
Ceylon, added to the British possessions, iv. 309
Charford, victory over the Britons at, i. 23
Charles I., his proposed marriage with the Infanta, iii. 98, 107, 110 ; his journey to Madrid, 110 ; conditions of his marriage, 111 ; joy in England at the failure of the match, 112 ; his character and popularity, *ib.* ; his marriage with Henrietta Maria, 115; perfidy of his dealings with Spain and England, 118 ; generally distrusted *ib.* ; his policy, 119 ; Laud his adviser in ecclesiastical matters, 120 ; his jealousy of the constitutional demands of the Parliament of 1625, 121 ; the descent on Cadiz and its failure, *ib.* ; his answer to Parliament's call for an inquiry into the failure, 123; impeachment of Buckingham, 123 ; dissolves the Parliament, 125 ; levies a forced loan, 126; his relations with France, 127 ; sends a fleet to the relief of Rochelle, 129 ; forced to summon a new Parliament, *ib.* ; induced to consent to the Petition of Right in order to win a grant of subsidy, 130 ; presented by the Commons with a Remonstrance against Buckingham and upon the state of the realm, 132 ; effect of Buckingham's death upon him, 133 ; the Laudian clergy, 134 ; the Avowal of the Commons and its political significance, 135 ; tumults in the Commons on Sir J. Eliot's vindication of English liberty, 136 ; dissolution of Parliament, *ib.* ; his policy of peace and economy, 138, 140 ; his financial measures, 141, 142 ; his exaction of customs and benevolences, 143 ; the general prosperity of the country a ready argument to the friends of his system, 144 ; Sir Thomas Wentworth as minister, 146 ; Ireland under the Stuarts, 148 ; Wentworth in Ireland, 149 ; Laud and his persecution of the Puritans, 151, 157 ; the Puritan panic and fanaticism, 157, 160 ; grants a charter to the colony of Massachusetts, 162 ; his view of the union of Holland and France, 166 ; ship-money, 167, 168 ; the tax resisted by John Hampden, 169, 172 ; Charles's measures in Scotland, 172 ; orders the new Liturgy to be introduced, 173 ; its rejection, 174 ; the temper of England, 175 ; the judgment on ship-money, 176 ; the Covenant, 177 ; Charles and Scotland, 178 ; the Scotch Revolution, 179 ; the Scotch war, *ib.* ; the pacification at Berwick, 180 ; Scotland applies to France for aid, 181 ; the Short Parliament, 182 ; the Bishop's War, 183 ; the meeting of the Long Parliament, 188 ; impeachment of Strafford, 189 ; fall of the ministers, *ib.* ; work of the Houses. 190 ; Church reform, *ib.* ; the Bishops and Parliament, 191 ; trial of Strafford, 192 ; the Army plot, 194 ; fruitless attempt to establish a Parliamentary ministry, *ib.* ; death of Strafford, 195 ; the panic, 196 ; Charles in Scotland, 197 ; the Irish rising, 198 ; its effect on England, 199 ; the new Royalists, 200 ; the Remonstrance, 201 ; Cavaliers and Roundheads, 202 ; seizure of the five members, 204 ; Charles withdraws from London, 205 ; preparations for war, 206; outbreak of war, 207 ; the battle of Edgehill, 209 ; Charles at Oxford, 210 ; the Cornish rising in favor of the royal cause, 211 ; Hampden and the war, 212 ; Prince Rupert's raid, 214 ; death of Hampden, 215 ; the relief of Gloucester, the turning-point of the war, 217 ; league of the Parliament with Scotland, *ib.* ; England swears to the Covenant, 218 ; Pym's plan for 1644. 220 ; Marston Moor and the ruin of the royalist cause in the north, 221 ; the King's march on London checked at Newbury, 222 ; Cromwell and his Ironsides, 223, 224 ; the Independents, 225 ; the Parliament and Uniformity, 226 ; growth of dissidence, 227 ; Cromwell and the dissidents, 228; self-renouncing Ordinance, 229 ; the New Model, 230 ; the army and the dissidents, 231 ; negotiations at Uxbridge, 232 ; Naseby, *ib.* ;

close of the war, 233; the new struggle, 235; religious liberty, 236; Charles in the Scotch camp, 237; his hopes, 238; his surrender, 239; temper of the New Model, 240; seizure of the King, 241; the army negotiates with him, 242; his flight, 243; the second civil war, 245; the Houses and the Army, 246; the Scotch invasion, 247; demand of justice on the King, 248; Pride's Purge, 249; ruin of the Parliament, 261; death of the King, *ib.*; abolition of Monarchy, 251; question as to his being the author of the *Eikon Basilike*, 253

Charles II. proclaimed King at Edinburgh, iii. 252; the Scots send an embassy to him at the Hague, *ib.*; called on by Ormond to land in Ireland, 253; accepts the Presbyterian conditions and lands in Scotland, 257; crowned at Scone, 260; invades England and is put to flight at Worcester, *ib.*; draws to the coast of Flanders with Spanish troops, 301; his return, 305; his declaration from Breda, 306; modern England begins with his entry into Whitehall, 313; his scientific tastes 318, 322; relinquishes the prerogatives his father struggled for, 321; his temper and manners, 322, 323; his mistresses, 323; his view of Parliaments, 324; his policy, *ib.*; refuses to recognize the union, 326; his measures in Scotland and Ireland, *ib.*; establishes a royal army, 327; his position with regard to English politics and religion, 328, 329; state of Europe, 330–333; his policy binds England to France, 333; his marriage and that of his sister, 334; his first ministry, *ib.*; the Convention Parliament, 335; settlement of the nation, 336; settlement of the relations between the nation and the King, 337; England and the Church, 338; the constitutional royalists, 339; the Parliament of 1661, 340; the Parliament and the Church, 341; Clarendon's influence with, 342; assents to the Test and Corporation Act, 344; St. Bartholomew's Day, its religious and political results, 341–348; breaks from Clarendon's policy of intolerance, 348; Ashley Cooper's influence with, 349; issues the first Declaration of Indulgence, 351; resolves on Clarendon's ruin, 353; England and the Dutch, 354; the Dutch war, 356; England and France, 357; the religious persecution, 358; the naval war, 364; Parliament and the war, 365; the Dutch in the Medway, 367; peace with Holland, *ib.*; dismisses Clarendon, 368; comes to the front of public affairs, 369; the Cabal ministry, *ib.*; the Triple Alliance, 372; baffled by the Cabal, 374; Parliament and the Cabal, 375; his negotiations with France on the conversion of James, 376; secretly declares himself a Catholic, and concludes the Treaty of Dover, 377; outwits his ministers by the promise of toleration, 378; the Declaration of Indulgence and its effect, 380; closing of the Exchequer, *ib.*; the attack on Holland, 384; the Prince of Orange, 385; William and the French invasion, 386; Parliament and the war, *ib.*; the declaration recalled and the Test Act passed, 388; Shaftesbury's change of policy, 390; Charles dismisses him, 391; the public panic, 392; proceedings against Lauderdale, Buckingham, and Arlington, 392; peace with Holland, 393; Protestant Securities Bill, *ib.*; adopts Danby's policy, 394; marriage proposed between the Prince of Orange and the Duke's daughter, *ib.*; Danby and the Commons, 395; policy of corruption and persecution, 396; Charles turns to France, *ib.*; Danby's measures, 397; the cry for war, 398; marriage of William and Mary, *ib.*; peace of Nimegwen, 319; the Catholic hopes, 400; Titus Oates, 401; Shaftesbury and the plot, 402; Lewis and the plot, 403; dissolution of the Parliament, 404; the new ministry, 405; Temple and his council, 406; the cabinet, *ib.*; the Habeas Corpus Act, 408; the Bill of Securities, 409; the Exclusion Bill, 410; Shaftesbury and Monmouth, 411; dismisses Shaftesbury for the second time, 412; faces the danger with unscrupulous coolness, *ib.*; summons a new Parliament and prorogues it, 413; reaction in his favor begins, 414; "petitioners" and "abhorrers" known as Whigs and Tories, 415; listens to the projects of the Prince of Orange, 417; William's policy fails to bring the Commons round to the King's plans, 418; the trial and execution of Lord Stafford produces no effect upon him, 419; he turns again to France, 420; his object in summoning the Parliament to Oxford, 421; dissolves it and appeals to the justice of the nation, 421; outburst of loyalty, *ib.*; renews the persecution of the Nonconformists, 428; calls back James to Courts and arrests Monmouth, *ib.*; failure of the Rye House Plot against him and James, 429; his triumph, iv. 9; his despotism, 10; the new town charters and his object in granting them, *ib.*; gradual increase of his guards, the nucleus of our standing army, 11; his death, *ib.*

Charles Edward Stuart, his claims supported by France, iv. 158; the Highland clans rally to his standard, *ib.*; proclaimed as James VIII. in Edinburgh, *ib.*; defeats the English at Preston Pans, *ib.*; marches as far as Derby, *ib.*; his hopes of success come to an end, 159; conquest of the Highlands by the Duke of Cumberland, *ib.*

Charles the Great restores the Empire of

the West, i. 68; Egberht takes refuge at his court, *ib.*
Charles IV. of the Golden Bull, Emperor, his nomination by Clement VI., i. 393; his daughter married to Richard II., 467
Charles V., Emperor, ii. 90, 91; marries the sister of Francis I. and becomes King of Spain, 106; elected Emperor, 111; his visit to England, 112; his league with Henry and the Pope, 113; his wars with Francis, 113, 114, 117, 118, 119; his treachery towards Henry and Wolsey, 117, 121; summons Luther to the Diet of Worms, 120; Erasmus pleads with him for Luther, 122; marries a princess of Portugal, 128; his army sacks Rome and takes the Pope prisoner, 130; suspends the judgment of the Diet of Worms, 134; concludes peace with France at Cambray, 137; English alliance with, favored by the Duke of Norfolk, 143; his action in the matter of Henry's divorce, 141, 175, 185; the League of Schmalkalden formed as a check upon his power, 175; his diplomatic dealings with Luther, 198; his alliance with Henry, *ib.*, 200, 204; loses Hungary, 202; his treaty with France at Crepy, 208; takes the field against the League, 210; his triumph over it at Mühlberg, 219; the "Interim," 220; establishes the Inquisition in the Netherlands 225; his hold over the Empire broken, 238; offers his son in marriage to Mary, 239
Charles VI. of Austria, Emperor, renounces his claims on Spain, iv. 131; issues the Pragmatic Sanction, 139; his secret alliance with Spain, *ib.*; England guarantees the Pragmatic Sanction, 140; his death, 153, 156
Charles IV. of France, i. 368
Charles V. of France, his wars with Castille and England, i. 423, 427; summons the Black Prince to do homage as Duke of Aquitaine, 424
Charles VI. of France, marriage of his daughter to Richard II., i. 479; his madness the cause of peace with England, 493; marriage of his daughter with Henry V., 513; ratifies the treaty of Troyes, *ib.*; his death, 515
Charles VII. of France, i. 506; proclaims himself King, 514; nicknamed "King of Bourges" by the English, 516; at Chinon, 520; receives Jeanne Darc, 521; his coronation, 524; Gascony submits to, 537
Charles VIII. of France, son of Louis XI., his two betrothals as Dauphin, ii. 65; troubles at the court during his minority, *ib.*; marries Anne of Britanny 71; invades Italy, 75; wins the mastery over Italy, 88
Charles IX. of France, son of Henry II. and Catharine of Medicis, succeeds his brother, Francis II., Regency of the Queen-Mother, ii. 311; his temporary submission to the Huguenot leader; 383; Catharine's power over him, *ib.*; the Massacre of St. Bartholomew, *ib.* (for an account of the religious dissensions of this reign, *see* Huguenots)
Charles X. of France (*see* Bourbon, Cardinal of), proclaimed by the Leaguers, ii. 429; his death, 431
Charles the Bad, King of Navarre, his relations with Edward III., i. 407
Charles II. of Spain, joins the Grand Alliance against France, iv. 40; his expected demise without issue, 67; claimants of the succession, 69; bequeaths the whole of his dominions to the Duke of Anjou, 73
Charles III. of Spain, second son of the Emperor, his claim supported by the allies, iv. 91; forms the Family Compact with France, 150
Charles IV. of Spain, abdicates, iv. 337
Charles XII. of Sweden, iv. 128, 131, 132
Charolais, Charles, Count of, *see* Burgundy, Charles Duke of
Charter of Henry I., a direct precedent for the Great Charter, i. 153; limits the despotism of William, *ib.*; how it affected the Church, the baronage, and the people, *ib.*
Charter, the Great (1204-1291), i. 185, 352; the constitutional provisions, 231, 235; compared with former charters, 231; gives protection to all classes, 232; provision relative to the right of self-taxation omitted in the later issue, 233; legalizes the right of resistance to the King, 234; confirmed by Henry III., 238; by Edward I., 344; clause against arbitrary imprisonment receives fresh force from the Habeas Corpus Act. iii. 408
Charter House, site of, bought by Sir Walter Mauney, as a burial ground for the citizens of London, i. 404; foundation of, iii. 8; the governors refuse to admit a Catholic on the foundation, iv. 22
Charter Rolls, the, i. 116
Château Gaillard, its erection by Richard to secure the Norman frontier, i. 177, 178
Chatham (*see* Pitt), Lord, his plans with regard to Ireland, India, and alliance with Prussia and Russia, iv. 234; withdrawal, 236; resignation, 237; his reappearance in the House of Lords and plan for Parliamentary reform, 240; his policy set aside by the King, 243; action of his ministry in America, 244; his measures in favor of the colonies rejected, 247; presses for peace, 251; final protest against the surrender of America, 252; his death, *ib.*
Chatillon, Cardinal of, brother of Coligni, abjures the Catholic religion and marries, ii. 323
Chaucer, Geoffrey, his *Tales* as compared with *Piers Ploughman*, i. 415; depicts the worldliness of the clergy 423; his

work marks the settlement of the English tongue, 472; the new national life utters itself in his verse, 473; his early poems, 474; his *Canterbury Tales*, 476; buried in the Abbey Church of Westminster, 479
"Cheap-side," origin of the name i. 200
Cheke, his direction of Elizabeth's studies, ii. 275
Cherbourg, the right of holding, secured to England, i. 445; surrender to the King of Navarre by Richard II., 480; surrender of, to Charles VII., 530
Chester, battle of, and its political importance, i. 41; fleet equipped at, by Eadwine, 43; the last city of England to resist William, 112; William's march to, 112, 119
Chester, Earl of, head of the feudal baronage, rebels against Henry III., i. 239
Chancellor, Richard, ii. 273
Chancellor, title, first borne by the head of the Clerks of the Royal Chapel under Henry I., i. 139; of lower rank than the Justiciar, *ib.*; becomes a great officer of state in 1226, 257; Henry III., takes the appointment of, into his own hands, *ib.*; extension of and establishment of his powers under Edward I., 311; his seat in the House of Lords, 386
Chancery, Court of, its origin under Edward I., i. 311; under Wolsey's rule, ii. 110; its abolition proposed by the Convention, iii. 270; reformed by Cromwell, 279
Chancondylas, *see* Grocyn
Chesterfield, Lord, his letters to his son, iv. 120; turned out of Walpole's ministry in 1733, 141; in the Pelham ministry, 157; despairs of the nation, 172
Chettle, a playwright, his answer to Greene's attack on Shakspere, ii. 452
"Chevy Chase," ballad of, ii. 73
Chichester, Bishop of, Chancellor in 1226, Henry III., takes the seal from him, i. 257; his relations with De Montfort, 283
Chichester, Sir Arthur, Lord Deputy of Ireland, his pacific and conservative policy, iii. 148
Child, Sir Josiah, his political philosophy, iii. 319
Chillingworth, William iii. 294, 295, 296, 318
Chinon, i. 172, 520
Choiseul, Duc de, iv. 252
Christianity, introduced into England, i. 38; makes its way without violence, 39; reaction against, 44; received in Ireland, 45
Chronicle, The English or Anglo-Saxon, the earliest vernacular history of any Teutonic people, i. 79; thrown into its present form during the reign of Alfred, 76; closes with the account of the civil war, 147
Church of England, the work of Theodore of Tarsus, i. 54; William's organization of, 125; revenues of its lands appropriated by William the Red, 129; effect of the conquest on, 148; its efforts in Stephen's reign to rescue England from feudal anarchy, *ib.*; ecclesiastical councils convened 150; state of, under Henry III., 241; effect on, of the Pope's oppression, 254; its independent jurisdiction limited by Edward I., 314; its representation in Parliament definitely organized by Edward I., 340; its rights asserted by the statute of Provisors, 417; its wealth and weakness under Edward III., 430-433; hostility of the baronage towards, 431-436; its efforts to repress Lollardry, 465; its weakness at the close of the Wars of the Roses, ii. 14-17; attitude of its leaders towards the new learning, 78-87; attitude of Erasmus and More towards, 93-97; seized on by Thomas Cromwell, 147, 183; Henry VIII. claims the headship of, 148, 164; the Act of Supremacy passed, 154; confiscation of its lands by the Crown, 158; gagged by the enslavement of the clergy, 159; the Articles of 1534, 175; the Six Articles, 181; disuse of Latin in public worship, 218; introduction of the new Liturgy, 219; confiscation of her endowments, 229; Queen Mary and the religious persecutions, 235, 243-251; Paul IV. and the, 253; Cranmer, 254; under Elizabeth, 289-297, 312, 377-379, 385, 386, 471; the Act of Uniformity and the Test Act, 328, 386; Puritanism in the, iii. 16; Hooker's influence on, 31; Charles I., and the, 119, 184; Laud and the, 133, 151-157; the Avowal of the Commons, 135; the Long Parliament and the reform of the, 190, 225-228; Cromwell's reorganization of, 279; Charles II. and the, 327; the Convention-Parliament and the, iii. 339; the Cavalier-Parliament and the, 341; Test and Corporation Act, 344; the Act of Uniformity, *ib.*; expulsion of the Nonconformists and its results on, 345-348; the Five Mile Act, 358; Declaration of Indulgence, 379; the Test Act and its results on, 387-388; the High Commission, iv. 20; James II. and the, 22-25, 28, 29; the Toleration Act, 50; the Revolution and the, 51; the Whigs and the, 119; sinks into political insignificance, *ib.*; the Methodists and the religious revival, 143; the clergy of, in Walpole's day, 147; its liberal character recognized by Pitt, 179; its clergy as influenced by the Evangelical movement, 265; *see also* Convocation
Church, the Irish, under Henry VIII., ii. 176-179; the English Liturgy introduced in, 227; under Queen Mary, 258, 259
Churchill, John, favorite of James

Duke of York, iii. 419; iv. 16, 36; made Earl of Marlborough, 41; *see* Marlborough
Cinque Ports, the, i. 282, 292, 293, 330, 494, 370
Cintra, Convention of, iv. 358
Cissa takes Anderida, i. 23
Cistercians, growth of the order in Stephen's reign, i. 148; famous as woolgrowers, 307
Ciudad Rodrigo, taken, iv. 366
Civil War, the, iii. 209-234
Clair-on-Epte, Treaty of, compared with the Peace of Wedmore, i. 102
Clanrickard, MacWilliam, Lord, ii. 159
Clarence, Lionel, Duke of, second son of Edward III., i. 411; marries Isabella, Earl Warwick's daughter, ii. 41; flies with Warwick to Normandy, 42; dissatisfied with Warwick's policy relative to the succession, 43; joins Edward IV. against Henry VI., 46; impeached on a charge of treason, 60; his death in the Tower, *ib.*; his daughter Margaret, 183; *see* Salisbury, Countess of
Clarendon, Constitutions of, a renewal of the system of the Conqueror, i. 165. regulate the relations of Church and State, 158
Clarendon, Assize of, the fabric of our judicial legislation begins with, i. 158; its provisions for the repression of crime the origin of trial by jury 159
Clarendon, Edward Hyde, Earl of, Lord Chancellor, iii. 335; his theory of the State, 342; his struggle with Nonconformity, 344; his French policy, 348; his policy of intolerance, *ib.*; his alliance with the royal house, 353; his triumph, 355; his fruitless efforts to maintain peace, *ib.*; his fall, 367; takes refuge in France, 367; his *History of the Rebellion* and his *Life*, iii. 5, 311
Clarendon, Edward Hyde, second Earl of, Lord Lieutenant of Ireland, iv. 21; dismissed, 44; takes part in Jacobite plots, 58
Clarkson, iv. 266
Claverhouse, *see* Dundee
Clement V., Pope, absolves Edward I. from his promises, i. 350
Clement VI., Pope in the reign of Edward III., his French sympathies, i. 388
Clement VII., Pope, ii. 117; Henry VIII. demands a divorce of him, 130; helpless in the Emperor's grasp, *ib.*; a prisoner in the Castle of St. Angelo, 131; escapes to Orvieto, and consents to a legatine commission, 132; avokes the cause to Rome, 135; constant to Catharine's cause, 146; threatens Henry with excommunication, 153; annuls Cranmer's judgment, 154
Cleveland (Barbara Palmer), Duchess of, *see* Castlemaine
Cleves, inherited by Charles the Bold from the Duke of Lorraine, ii. 49
Cleves, Anne of, her marriage with Henry VIII., ii. 185; Henry's loathing for her, *ib.*; her repudiation, 197
Clifford, Lord, falls at St. Albans, i. 538; his son kills Lord Rutland at the battle of Wakefield, 541
Clifford, Thomas, Lord, in the Cabal ministry, iii. 370; a Catholic by conviction, *ib.*; Charles's confidant in the Treaty of Dover, 377; his advice with regard to loans advanced to the public treasury, 380; becomes Lord Treasurer, 386; counsels resistance to the Test Act, 388; owns to being a Catholic and resigns office, 389
Clinton, Sir Henry, general in America, iv. 255
Clive, Robert, iv. 161, 162, 163, 179. 180
Closter-Seven, Convention of, iv. 172, 181
Cnut, son of Swegen, i. 94; his vigor of temper, *ib.*; King of Denmark, 95; invades England, *ib.*; left master of the realm on the death of Eadmund, *ib.*; savage and bloodthirsty temper, *ib.*; his first acts of government, *ib.*; murders Eadwig, *ib.*; his later character and rule, *ib.*; his treatment of Danes and Englishmen, *ib.*; establishes the "huscarles," *ib.*; renews "Eadgar's Law," *ib.*; his reign compared with that of the Norman kings, *ib.*; translates Ælfheah's body to Canterbury, 96; his gifts to the religious houses, *ib.*; his grandeur of character, *ib.*; unparalleled internal peace of his reign, *ib.*; his relations with Scotland, 97; Malcolm does homage to, *ib.*; his death and its effect on his empire, *ib.*; his English system of government, 117
Cobham, Lord, *see* Oldcastle, Sir John
Cobham, Eleanor, mistress of Humphrey, Duke of Gloucester, i. 518, 528
Coifi, his argument for Christianity, i. 44
Coke, Sir Edward, chief justice, resists James's efforts to tamper with the law, iii. 91; dismissed, 92; made sheriff to prevent his sitting in Parliament, 122; his protest against Buckingham, 131
Coke, Roger, iii. 5
Colard Mansion, the press of, *see* Caxton
Colchester, *see* Camulodunum
Colchester, surrenders to Fairfax. iii. 248
Coleman, his part in the Popish plot and his execution, iii. 400, 401, 403
Colepepper, minister to Charles I., iii. 206. 207
Coleridge, iv. 243, 324
Colet, John, his Greek studies in Italy, ii. 78; basis of his faith, 79; rejects the "corruptions of the Schoolmen," *ib.*; severity of his outer life, 80; becomes Dean of St. Paul's, 82; founds a Grammar School beside St. Paul's, 85; his zeal for the reform of the Church, 86; charged with heresy, protected by Warham and Henry, 87; his protest against war, 91; foremost

among the preachers at the court, 121 ; Mr. Lupton's editions of his works, ii. 1
Coligni, ii. 323, 324, 325, 383
Colman, Aidan's successor at Holy Island, i. 53
Cologne, Electorate of, quarrel over the, iv. 32
Colonies, the American, iv. 220 ; Grenville and the, *ib.* ; the Stamp Act and the, 220, 224 ; the theory of the colonists, 222
Colonies, the Dutch, conquered by England, iv. 309
Columbia, founds the mission station of Iona, i. 46
Columban, the Irish missionary, i. 46 ; effect of his work, *ib.*
Columbus, ii. 77, 403
Commission, the High, declared illegal by an Act of the Long Parliament, iv. 20 ; the Act confirmed at the Restoration, *ib.*; reappointed by James II., *ib.* ; proceedings in against Bishop Compton, *ib.* ; proceedings against the Universities, 24 ; ordered to deprive the Bishops of their sees, 81 ; dissolved by James II., 34
Common Prayer, Book, of, composed and replaces the Missal and Breviary, ii. 219 ; set aside in many parishes, 236 ; restored by Elizabeth, 291 ; bill for the reform of, 379
Commons, House of, first summons of, by Earl Simon, i. 284 ; its position under Edward II., 362 ; estate of the Commons, 370, 372 ; weight of, increased by the division of Parliament into two Houses, 390 ; its advance, 433-436 ; first instance of its requesting a conference with the Lords, 437 ; its action relative to John of Gaunt's administration, 438 ; its sympathy with Lollardism, 503 ; restriction of borough and county franchise, and its effect on, ii. 24, 25 ; its attitude towards Wolsey in 1523, 114 ; growth of its vigor and independence under Elizabeth, 341 ; its struggle with the Crown, 342-344 ; its control over the revenue and army established by the Revolution, iv. 48-49 ; its inaction under Walpole, 136-138 ; its condition at the beginning of George III.'s reign, 200-201 ; question of its reform, *see* the two Pitts, Wilkes, Burdett
Commonwealth, the, its establishment, iii. 251 ; its dangers, 252 ; its difficulties at home, 253 ; appointment of the Council of State, *ib.* ; designs of the Rump, 254 ; Cromwell in Ireland, 255 ; Charles and the Scots, 257 ; Cromwell in Scotland, 258 ; break with Holland, 259 ; royalists defeated at Worcester, 261 ; activity of the Parliament, *ib.* ; war with Holland, 262 ; naval engagements between the English and Dutch, 263 ; the Parliament driven out, 264 ; *see* Protectorate and Cromwell
Communes, signification of the name, i. 282
Compurgation, trial by, i. 159, 208
Comyn, the Lord of Badenoch, head of the Scotch regency i. 349 ; murdered by Bruce. 351
Condé, ii. 324, 360 ; at Rocroi, iii. 333
Confiscation of land under William, i. 122
Congress, the American, first meeting, iv. 227 ; Washington at the head of their army, 248 ; the Declaration of Independence, 250
Connecticut, refuses to take part in the war with England, iv. 369
Constable, Sir Robert, hanged at Hull for his share in the "Pilgrimage of Grace," ii. 168
Constantine, King of Scots, organizes a league against Æthelstan, i. 80 ; his confederacy crushed at Brunanburh, 81
Constantinople, capture of, by the Turks, and result of the flight of its Greek scholars to Italy, ii. 77
Contades, Marshal, iv. 186
Contarini, Papal Legate, ii. 200
Continuator of the *Crowland Chronicle*, 363
Conventicle Act, the, iii. 350
Convention, the, as described by Cromwell, iii. 268 ; styled in derision the "Barebones Parliament," 269, 270 ; its work, 270 ; its close, *ib.* ; declares itself a Parliament at the Restoration, 336 ; dissolved, 340
Convention, the, summoned by the Prince of Orange in 1689, iv. 37 ; entrust provisional authority to William, *ib.* ; plan of a regency and Danby's scheme fiercely debated, 38 ; adopts the Declaration of Rights, *ib.* ; declares William and Mary King and Queen, 38 ; becomes a Parliament, 47 ; *see* Parliament
Convention, the Scotch, summoned by William III., iv. 42 ; proclaims William and Mary ; adopts the Claim of Right, *ib.* ; demands the abolition of prelacy, *ib.*
Convocation, in 1413, i. 502 ; Colet's address to, ii. 145 ; petition of the Commons against, *ib.* ; summoned in 1531 to acknowledge Henry VIII. head of the Church, 149, 157 ; votes Henry's marriage with Catharine void, 154 ; adopts the Thirty-nine Articles, 328 ; the Canons of 1604, iii. 60 ; its meetings suspended, iv. 121
Cook, Captain James, his voyages, iv. 192
Cooper, Ashley, member of the Constituent Convention, iii. 269 ; his sceptical temper, 300 ; his attack on Cromwell's system, 304 ; rewarded with a barony and made Lord Chancellor, iii. 337 ; opposes the Act of Uniformity, 344 ; *see* Ashley, Lord
Cope, Sir John, commands the English forces at Preston Pans, iv. 158
Copenhagen, attacked by the British fleet, iv. 342 ; bombardment of, 354
Copernicus, ii. 77

Corbeuil, death of Henry V. at, i. 513
Cornwall, resistance of its freeholders to the voluntary loan ordered by Charles I., iii. 143; rising in, in favor of Charles I. 211
Cornwallis, Lord, capitulates at York Town, 1780, iv. 255; Lord-Lieutenant of Ireland, 321, 325
Coronation chair, origin of, i. 343
Cortes, his conquest of Mexico, ii. 403
Corunna, battle, iv. 358
Cotentin, conquered by William Longsword and occupied by a Danish colony, i. 103; surrendered to Robert by Henry I., 135
Council of the North, its establishment, Wentworth becomes President of, iii. 147; its abolition by the Long Parliament, 197
Council of Officers, iii. 230, 239 241, 244, 248, 249, 254, 304
Council, Sir William Temple's, iii. 406, 407
"Country party," the, its appearance and its sympathies, iii. 387; organized and headed by Shaftesbury, 391, 392; the Prince of Orange's connection with, 394; its strength broken by Danby, 396; its dealings with France, 404; its members become known as Whigs, 415
Court of Appeal, *see* Appeal
Court of Chancery, *see* Chancery
Court of Common Pleas, nature of its jurisdiction, i. 309
Court of Exchequer, nature of its jurisdiction, i. 309
Court of High Commission, its civil and judicial jurisdiction abolished by the Long Parliament, iii. 197
Court, County, origin of, i. 335
Courtenay, Bishop of London, Wyclif summoned before, i. 441; the Duke of Lancaster's treatment of, *ib.*; becomes Primate, 461; his triumph over Lollardism, 462; his enactment concerning heretics, 465
Courtenays, the, ii. 47
Courts, weakening of local courts by means of the King's Court, i. 124; ecclesiastical and temporal, their separation, 125
Covenant, the first, its nature and importance, ii. 262, 263; renewal of, iii. 177; England swears to the, 218; burned in Westminster Hall, 342
Coventry, Sir William, in the Cabal ministry, iii. 370; heads the Country party in the Commons, 387; resist the exclusion, 410
Coverdale, Miles, his Bible, ii. 174
Cowell, his work on the absolute power of the King, iii. 69
Cowley, iii. 316
Cowper, iv. 265
Cowper, William, in Marlborough's coalition ministry, iv. 90
Cowper, Lord, lord chancellor, iv. 123
Cox, Bishop, one of the Protestant exiles, ii. 267
Coxe, Archdeacon, iii. 315
Crabbe, iv. 265
Craggs, member of Lord Stanhope's ministry, iv. 135
Cranfield, *see* Middlesex, Earl of
Cranmer, Thomas, chaplain to the Boleyns, ii. 133; his book in favor of the divorce, 146; counsels appeal to the universities of Europe, *ib.*; appointed to the see of Canterbury, 153; annuls the marriage with Catharine as void, 154; crowns Anne, *ib.*; his judgment reversed by the Pope, *ib.*; tenders to More the new oath of allegiance, 163; his struggle against the Six Articles Act, 182; saved from indictment by Henry's favor, *ib.*; his steps towards an English Liturgy, 216; his purely Protestant position, 218; welcomes the Protestant refugees to Lambeth, 221; his bold measures for enforcing the Protestant tenets, 225; reduces the bishops to the position of royal officers, 226; signs his assent to the will of Edward VI., 232; tried for treason, 235; his ecclesiastical position, 254; his political acts in connection with Mary, 255; a representative of the religious revolution, *ib.*; convicted of heresy, *ib.*; his recantation and death, 256
Crecy, the battle of, i. 393, 394; contrast between the efficiency of the French chivalry and the footmen at, and its connection with feudalism, 395; efficiency of the English bowmen at, and its connection with the failure of feudalism in England, 379
Crepy, Treaty of, ii. 207, 208
Creton, i. 356
Crewe, Chief Justice, refuses to acknowledge the legality of forced loans, iii. 126
Crompton, Samuel, iv. 274
Cromwell, Thomas, his youth one of adventure, ii. 140; employed by Wolsey in the suppression of the monasteries *ib.*; his consummate craft, 141; advice to Henry respecting the divorce, and favor with him, 142; his ruthless policy, 147; becomes secretary of state, 148; his statesmanship modelled on Machiavelli's ideal, *ib.*; advises Henry to declare himself Head of the Church, 151; his plan for securing the ecclesiastical independence of the kingdom, and bringing the clergy into subjection to the Crown, 149; Lord Privy Seal, 154; his clinging to Parliament *ib.*; Vicar-General, 155; his measure for the enslavement of the episcopate to the Crown, 156; his suppression of the lesser monasteries, 157; enslavement of the clergy, 159; his rule of terror, 160; his temper, 162; Sir Thomas More his first victim, 163; commits the

brethren of the Charter-house for refusing to acknowledge the Royal Supremacy, 164; death of More, 165; his struggle with the nobles, *ib.*; his ruthless severity in suppressing the "Pilgrimage of Grace," 167; his treatment of Ireland, 169; his reform of religion, 173; his efforts to bring about religious uniformity between the two island, 178; his last struggle, 182; the opposition to his system gathers round the Courtenays and the Poles, 183; his foreign policy forces on Henry the Lutheran marriage, 185; raised to the Earldom of Essex, *ib.*; arrested by the Duke of Norfolk on a charge of treason, attainted, and executed, 185; the Monarchy under, 191; the Parliament under, 192

Cromwell, Oliver, first grimpse of, iii. 25; said to have been prevented by royal embargo from emigrating to New England, 169, 202; his words relative to the adoption of Pym's Remonstrance, 202; with Hampden brings about the Association of the Eastern Counties, 213; his name famous in the army of the Associated Counties, 220, 221; his Ironsides at Marston Moor, 221, 223; his quarrel with Lord Manchester at Newbury, 222, 223; Sir P. Warwick's description of him, 223; his lineage, his natural melancholy, *ib.*; his dealings with the dissidents, 228; he and Vane introduce the Self-renouncing Ordinance, 229; the New Model, 230; his own account of Naseby battle, 232, 236; at Bristol, 236; negotiates with the King, 243; his courage averts open mutiny, 244; in Wales, 247; in Edinburgh, *ib.*; at Preston, *ib.*; one of the Council of State, 255; at Burford, *ib.*; in Ireland, *ib.*; in Scotland, 258; battle of Dunbar, *ib.*; Worcester battle, 260; presses the bill for dissolving the Parliament, 262; disbands the Rump, 265; as Captain-General, 267; the Constituent Convention and his account of it, *ib.*, 268; his uneasiness at his proceedings, 270; receives their abdication, 271; his reform of the basis of representation in Parliament, 271; made Lord Protector, *ib.*; his legal existence as Protector denied by the Long Parliament, 272; his administration, 274; his arguments against the union of legislative and executive power in the Parliament, 275; his dissolution of the Parliament fatal to liberty and Puritanism, *ib.*; appoints major-generals, 276; his tyranny, 277; his practical realization of the union of Scotland with England, 277; settlement of Ireland undertaken by his son Henry, 278; his settlement of England, 279; reorganizes the Church, *ib.*; his foreign policy, 280; his hatred of Spain, 281; war with Spain, 282; opposition of the Parliament of 1655 to his tyranny of the sword, 284; is offered the Crown, 285; installed Protector, 286; his triumphs, 287; his theory, 288; a Puritan state his ideal, 290; reaction against his system, 291; the pressure of his system gives birth to the scientific movement, 292; his consciousness of failure, 300; his failing health and irritable temper, 301; dissolves the Parliament, 302; his death, 303; his body torn from its grave by the Convention, 339

Cromwell, Henry (son of Protector), iii. 278

Cromwell, Richard (son of Protector), his peaceful succession, iii. 303; his character, *ib.*; set aside by the army, 304

Crowland, Abbey of, raised over the tomb of Guthlac, i. 58; burnt, 70

Croys, the, counsel the Duke of Burgundy to surrender Picardy to Louis XI., ii. 34; overthrown by Charles, 35

Crusades, the impulse they gave to Christendom marked by the establishment of the Universities, i. 189

Cuba, iv. 211

Cudworth, his *Intellectual System of the Universe*, iii. 319

Culloden Moor, battle of, iv. 159

Culpepper, iii. 329

Cumberland, Earl of, true to Henry VIII. in the "Pilgrimage of Grace," ii. 167

Cumberland, Duke of, in Flanders, iv. 159; at Fontenoy, *ib.*; his Highland campaign, 159; his command in Germany, 171; concludes the Convention of Closter-Seven, 172; his hatred of Pitt, 173

Cumbria, extent of the kingdom of, i. 43; Britons chased from, by Ecgfrith, 58

Currency, debased state of, iv. 66; reform of, *ib.*

Cuthbert, St., his early history, i. 50; called the Apostle of the Lowlands, 50; his wanderings among the Northumbrians, *ib.*; his character, 51; becomes Prior of Whitby, 59; Bishop of Lindisfarne, *ib.*; enters Carlisle, *ib.*; his forebodings, *ib.*

Cuthwulf, King of Wessex, i. 26, 38

D

Dacre, Lord, heads the "Pilgrimage of Grace," ii. 167

Dacres, Leonard, of Naworth, ii. 363, 364

Dalrymple, Sir John (Master of Stair), agent of William III. in Scotland, iv. 43; plans the massacre of Glencoe, *ib.*; his *Memoirs of Great Britain and Ireland*, iii. 311

Danby, Thomas Osborne, Earl of, his policy that of Clarendon, iii. 393; begins the bribery of members, 394, 395; his measures for rescuing Charles from his bondage to Lewis, 397; takes advantage of the cry for war, 398; aids Charles in his base negotiations with

Lewis, 399; impeached, 404; warns William not to consent to the repeal of the Test Act, iv. 27; signs the invitation to William, 31; rises for William in Yorkshire. 35; joined by the Princess Anne, 36; Lord President, 52, 54
Danegeld, or land-tax, i. 124; imposed by William, *ib.*; collected by the sheriffs and rendered to the barons of the Exchequer, 138; Stephen pledges himself to abolish the, 144; restored by John, i. 233; the first land-tax, 306
Danelagh, the, its name and extent, i. 71; conquest of, 82; ceases to be a force in English politics, 82
Daniel, the poet, English history begins in a new shape with him. ii. 435
Darcy, Lord, beheaded for joining in the "Pilgrimage of Grace," ii. 168
Darien, Scottish colonisation of, proposed, iv. 66
Darnley, Henry Stuart, Lord, his descent, ii. 331; intrigues of his mother with the Catholics, 332; the hopes of the English Catholics turn to him as Elizabeth's successor, *ib.*; his hand offered to Mary after her landing in Scotland, *ib.*; opposition of the Protestant Lords to the match, 333; the marriage a formidable danger to England, 334; married and proclaimed King of Scotland, *ib.*; Mary's refusal of his claim of the Crown matrimonial, 335; murders Rizzio, 336; takes Mary prisoner, 337; her seeming reconciliation with him, 338; his murder, 347
Dartmouth, Lord, takes part in Jacobite plots, iv. 58
Daun, General, iv. 181
Davenant, iii. 158
David, son of Robert Bruce, i. 373, 376, 378, 397, 411, 426
Davies, Sir John, "metaphysical" poetry, begins with, iii. 158
Deane, General, in Scotland, iii. 278
De Burghs, or Bourkes, the Norman Lords of the Pale, ii. 171
Declaration of Independence, American, iv. 250
Deira, foundation of the kingdom of, i. 24; its inhabitants sent as slaves to Rome, 36; united to Bernicia under Æthelric, 37
De la Mare, Sir Peter, speaker of the Commons, i. 438, 440, 442
De la Poers, the, Norman Lords of the Pale, ii. 169
Delhi, iv. 162
Denmark, Gorm founds the kingdom of, i. 85; Cromwell's treaty with, iii. 283; annexes Bremen and Verden with Schleswig and Holstein, 132
Deorham, English victory at, 26; its importance, 27
Derby, Lord, ii. 362, 363
D'Erlon, Marshal, iv. 372, 373
Dermod, King of Leinster, does homage to Henry II., i. 168; marries his daughter to Richard Strongbow, *ib.*
Derwentwater, Lord, heads the Catholics in Northumberland in the rising of 1715, iv. 129
Desborough, General, iii. 286
Desmond, the Earls of, i. 486, ii. 394, 473
Dettingen, battle of, iv. 155
Devon, Courtenay, Earl of, ii. 238
Devonshire, Earl of, signs the invitation to William, iv. 31; heads the rising in the North, 32, 35
D'Ewes Sir Simon, iii. 5
Digby, Sir Everard, iii. 62
Digby, Sir Kenelm, iii. 316
Digges. Sir Dudley, iii. 123, 124
Domesday Book, when ordered, i. 124; contents of, *ib.*; making of, *ib.*; its connection with the Danegeld, *ib.*; a record of William's confiscations, *ib.*
Dominic, the Black Friars of, i. 242, 245
Domremy, birth-place of Jeanne Darc. i. 520
Donne, one of the "metaphysical" poets, iii. 158
Dorset, Sackville, Lord, his tragedy of *Gorbodue*, ii. 447
Douay, seminary of, ii. 388, 395
Douglas, Sir James, his relations with Bruce, i. 359, 372, 373, 376; Sir Archibald, his regency, 377
Douglas, Earl of Morton, head of the House of, ii. 262
Douglas, the House of, its overthrow, iii. 42, 49
D'Oysel, commands the French in Scotland, ii. 301
Dover, besieged by Simon de Montfort, i. 281; surrenders to Henry III., 291; Treaty of, iii. 377, 400
Drake, Sir Francis, ii. 406, 413, 416, 420, 421, 424, 425, 428
Dresden, taken by Frederick II., iv. 171; surrenders to the Austrians, 181
Dreux, battle of, between the Catholics and the Huguenots, and its effect in England, ii. 325, 327, 328
Droghedа, stormed by Cromwell, iii. 256
Dryden, John, his Puritan descent and early training, iii, 421, founder of the school of critical poets, 422; his tragedies, 423; his comedies, *ib.*; the new criticism 424; effect of the Popish plot upon, 425; his *Absalom and Ahitophel*, 426, its political power, 427; progress of the reaction against Puritanism. *ib.*; his creation of a literary class, iv. 110; raises literature into a profession, *ib.*; his work in poetry, 111; his work in prose, 112
Dublin, founded by the Danes, i. 166; besieged and taken, 168; surrenders to the Parliamentary forces, iii. 255; James II. at, iv. 45; Irish Parliament at, 46; Bill of Attainder against the Protestants, 47
Dublin, Browne, Archbishop of, accepts the new English Liturgy, ii. 227
Dudley, Lord Guilford, marries Lady Jane Grey, ii. 232; tried for treason. 236; executed as a traitor, 242

Dudley, Lord Robert, his suit for Elizabeth's hand, ii. 314
Dudo of St. Quentin, i. 4
Dugdale, his *Monasticon*, i. 116
Du Guesclin, Bertrand, i. 422, 423, 424
Dumouriez, General, his first successes, iv. 302 ; driven from the Netherlands, 306
Duncan, Admiral, iv. 319
Dundee, John Graham, Viscount of (Claverhouse), his cruelties, iv. ; falls at Killiecrankie, *ib.*
Dunkirk, taken by Cromwell, iii. 288 ; sold by Charles II. to France, 348 ; dismantled by the terms of the Treaty of Utrecht, iv. 101
Dunning, Solicitor-General, in the Chatham ministry, iv. 244
Dunois, Count of, 522, 523, 532
Duns Scotus, 419
Dunstan, Saint, his biographies, i. 4 ; his scholar life, 81 ; the first ecclesiastical statesman, *ib.* ; becomes one of Eadmund's councillors, 82 ; Abbot of Glastonbury, *ib.* ; banished by Eadwig, 90 ; raised to the see of Canterbury, 91 ; character of his policy under Eadgar, *ib.* ; decline of his power, 93
Dupleix, governor of Pondicherry, iv. 162
Duquesne, French fort, resists the attack of Washington and Braddock, iv. 168, 169 ; taken by Washington and named Pittsburg, 184
Durham, suppression of the see of, ii. 230
Durie, John, iii. 49

E

Eadberht, King of Northumbria, i. 64 ; beats back the Mercians, 64 ; conquers Strathclyde, *ib.* ; seeks refuge at Lindisfarne, 65
Eadgar, succeeds Eadwig, peaceful character of his reign, i. 90 ; the direction of affairs in the hands of Dunstan, 91 ; Britain called Engla-land, *ib.* ; encourages intercourse with foreign countries, *ib.* ; commercial prosperity of London under his rule, 92 ; consequence of his death, *ib.*
Eadgar, the, Ætheling, son of Eadward, first mention of, i. 101 ; chosen King, 109 ; submits to William, *ib.* ; heads the Northumbrian revolt, 111 ; finds refuge in Scotland, 112 ; his sister Margaret married to Malcolm, *ib.*
Eadgyth, daughter of Godwine, her marriage with Eadward, i. 99.
Eadmer, a monk of Canterbury, i. 115
Eadmund, Saint, King of the East-Angles, i. 69 ; his martyrdom, *ib.* ; Abbey of St. Edmundsbury raised over his relics, 70
Eadmund, son of Æthelstan, his accession, i. 80 ; conquers Strathclyde and wins the Scots to his cause, 82 ; stabbed by Leofa, *ib.*
Eadmund Ironside, i. 95 ; his quarrel with Eadric breaks the strength of the realm, *ib.* ; raised to the throne, *ib.*
Eadred, King of Wessex, final submission of the Northmen to, i. 82 ; his death, 91
Eadric, made Ealdorman of Mercia, i. 94 ; his quarrel with Eadmund Ironside, *ib.* ; his desertion to Cnut, *ib.*
Eadward the Elder, his conquests, i. 78, 79 ; his supremacy over all Britain, *ib.*
Eadward the Martyr, his disputed election, i. 92 ; Dunstan's power during his reign, *ib.* ; his murder, *ib.*
Eadward the Confessor, son of Æthelred, called to the throne by the tide of popular feeling, i. 98 ; his exile at the court of Normandy, 99 ; the last king of the old English stock, *ib.* ; his personal character the origin of his name of "Confessor," *ib.* ; his marriage with Eadgyth, *ib.* ; his Norman sympathies, 100 ; stirred by his Norman favorites to attack Godwine, *ib.* ; visit of Eustace of Boulogne to, *ib.* ; bids Godwine exact vengeance from Dover, *ib.* ; summons the Witan to sit in judgment on him, 101 ; renews Swegen's outlawry, *ib.* ; outlaws Godwine, *ib.* ; once more forced to yield to him, *ib.*
Eadwig succeeds Eadred in Wessex, i. 90 ; his banishment of Dunstan, and uncanonical marriage, *ib.*
Eadwine, Earl of the Mercians, i. 106 ; the throne of Eadgar Ætheling rests for support on, 109 ; brought to submission by William's march to the North, 110 ; roused to a fresh rising, 112 ; falls in an obscure skirmish, *ib.*
Eadwine, King of the Northumbrians, extent of his dominions, i. 42 ; his civil government, *ib.* : his use of the *tufa*, 43 ; founds Edinburgh, *ib.* ; his death at Hæthfeld, 45
Ealdorman, or headman, i. 12 ; a temporary, not hereditary, office, 33 ; become delegates of the king, 87 ; rise into petty kings, 88 ; never independent of the Crown, 94 ; their place in the royal councils taken by court-thegns, 93
Ealdred, Archbishop of York, crowns William at Westminster, i. 109
Earldoms, William's policy as to, i. 124
Earls, set in the place of the older ealdormen, i. 97
East Anglia, i. 24, 40, 42
Easterlings, the, i. 202
East Saxons, *see* Essex
Ebbsfleet, first landing-place of the English in Britain, i. 21 ; landing-place of Augustine. 39
Ecclesiastical Courts, first mention of, i. 125
Ecgberht, King of the West Saxons, his relations with Charles the Great, i. 68 ;

submission of Northumbria and Mercia to, *ib.*; his death, *ib.*; under him the English race is knit together under one rule, 69
Egfrith, King of Northumbria, i. 58; his attacks upon the Britons, *ib.*; his reign marks the summit of Northumbrian power, *ib.*; his conquest of Carlisle, *ib.*; his march to Fife, 59; his fall at Nectansmere, *ib.*
Ecgwine, Bishop of Worcester, founds the Abbey of Evesham, i. 57
Eddi, i. 4
Edgar, the son of Margaret, made king of Scotland, i. 132
Edgehill, battle of, iii. 207
Edinburgh, founded by Eadwine of Northumberland, i. 43; the Kings of Scotland fix their seat at, 97; burned by the English under Lord Hertford, ii. 205; Treaty of, 302, 303
Edinburgh Review, the, started, iv. 363
Edmund, second son of Henry III., i. 275, 290, 294
Edmund Rich, Archbishop of Canterbury, comes to Oxford, i. 192; begs his way to Paris, *ib.*; first introduces Oxford to the logic of Aristotle, *ib.*; his secular learning, and his vision, 193
Edmund of Abingdon, Archbishop of Canterbury, i. 259; vainly appeals against the Papal taxation, and retires to an exile of despair, 264
Edmund Crouchback, Earl of Lancaster, second son of Simon of Montfort, i, 361
Edmundsbury, St., Abbey of, i. 70
Edward I., eldest son of Henry III., his first appearance in arms, i. 275; his friendly relations with Simon, 279; his march against Wales, 280; surrenders to Simon, 283, escapes from Hereford and joins the Earl of Gloucester, 286; defeats Simon at Evesham, 288; his passion for law and instinct of good government, 290; practically at the head of affairs, 294, 295; joins the Crusade, 296; called to the throne, *ib.*; a national king, 297; his English temper, 297, 299; influence of chivalry on, 299; influence of legality on, 300; his moral grandeur, 301; his political genius, 302; constitutional aspect of his reign, 303; his reorganization of finance, 304; extends indirect and direct taxation, 306; his grant of and raising of the wool-tax, 307, 345; his Welsh compaign 308; his judicial reforms. 309; establishes an equitable jnrisdiction, *ib.*; his policy in relation to the baronage, 312, 314; his policy in relation to the church, 314; his conquest of Wales, 315; his new legislation, 317; passes the statute "Quia Emptores," its purpose and result, 318, 319; his persecution and expulsion of the Jews, 319, 323; his claims as suzerain in Scotland, admitted 326; Balliol does homage to, 327; his greatness reaches its height, *ib.*, 328, 329; his struggle with France, 329, 331; his financial difficulties and their consequences, 337; summons burgesses to Parliament, 338; organizes the representation of the Church in, 340; his conquest of Scotland, 342; his confirmation of the charters, 344' 346; sails for Flanders, 346; his treaty with France, 349; his second conquest of Scotland, 348; introduce the "New Custom," 350; summoned to a fresh contest with Scotland under Robert Bruce, *ib.*; his death, 352
Edward II., of Carnarvon, his regency, i. 346; knighthood, 351; his accession, 357; his character, 359; marries Isabella of France, 360; his struggle with the Ordainers, 362; assents to and annuls the Ordinances, 363; his dissensions with the barons, *ib.*; defeated at Bannockburn, 364; his relations with the Despensers, 365, 366; his quarrel with France, 367, 368; his deposition and murder, 369
Edward (of Windsor) III., invested with the Duchies of Aquitaine and Gascony, i. 368; his accession, 369; leads his army against the Scots, 373; arrests Mortimer, 374; assumes the control of affairs, *ib.*; does homage to Philip, 375; war with Scotland, 376, 378; declares war with France, 378; his foreign allies, 380, 381; opening of the Hundred Years' War, 382; truce with Philip, 388; his financial difficulties, *ib.*; turns the wool-trade into a royal monopoly. *ib.*; his strife with his ministers, 390; his jealousy of the growing power of Parliament, 390; marches on Paris, 391; his position at the battle of Crecy, 393, 394; takes Calais, 397; at the height of his renown, 401; his restless diplomacy, 402; his false shallow temper and profligacy, *ib.*, 403; establishes the Order of the Garter, 404; renews the war with France, 407; his truce with France, 410; his disastrous march on Edinburgh and negotiations with King David, 411; reinvades France, 412; concludes a treaty at Bretigny, *ib.*; his appeal to Parliament relative to Papal provisors, 417; his difficulties in Aquitaine, 421; his treaty with Pedro the Cruel, 423; his renewed war with France, 425; loses Aquitaine, 426; his act of bankruptcy, 428; attempts to rid himself of the control of Parliament, 429; his policy of alliance with the baronage, 430; sinks into dotage, 436; swayed by Alice Perrers, 437; poll-tax established under his reign, 441; his death, 442
Edward IV. (*see* York, Edward. Duke of), proclaimed in London, i. 541; new strength of the Crown, ii. 11; bill of attainder against the Lancastrians, 11, 30; his confiscations and trading, 11, 12; his system of peace, 12; the inaction of Parliament under his reign, 12, 13; nature of his kingship, 14; ruin of

feudal organization under, *ib.*; weakness of the Church, 15, 16; his luxurious temper, 27; the Lancastrians defeated at Hexham, and Henry taken captive, 29; his military ability, 30; his appearance, *ib.*; his resolute will, 31; his hostility to the nobles, *ib.*; renews the truce with France, 32; proposed marriage-treaty with France, 35; discloses his marriage with Elizabeth Woodville, *ib.*; cuts the Earl of Warwick off from his counsels, *ib.*; his policy of inaction as regards the League and Louis XI., 36; war of factions within his court, 37; the greatness of the Woodvilles rivals that of the Nevilles, *ib.*; his matchless perfidy with regard to the two alliances with Burgundy and France, 37, 38; marriage of his sister with Charles of Burgundy, 39; surprised by the marriage of his brother Clarence with Warwick's daughter, 40; insurrection in Lancashire, 41; royalists defeated at Edgecote, *ib.*; made prisoner by Clarence and Warwick, *ib.*; obtains his release, *ib.*; insurrection in Lincolnshire in favor of Clarence, 42; Clarence and Warwick driven to flight, *ib.*; escapes to Holland on the return of Warwick and his declaring himself for Henry VI., 43, 44; privately assisted by the Duke of Burgundy, 46; returns to England, abjures his pretensions to the crown, *ib.*; is joined by Clarence, *ib.*; gains the battle of Barnet, 46; his claim secured by the battle of Tewkesbury, 48; alliance with Burgundy and Britanny against France, 50; lands at Calais, 51; accepts terms of peace from Lewis, *ib.*; founds a despotic rule on the creation of a new financial system, 52; deals a deadly blow at the liberty the Commons had won, 53; his "benevolences" exacted from the merchants of London form a precedent for the forced loans of Woolsey and Charles I., 54; color of his reign in the history of intellectual progress, *ib.*; patron of Caxton, *ib.*; popularization of knowledge, *ib.*; sends Clarence to the Tower, 60; strife with Scotland, *ib.*; his death, *ib.*

Edward V., his peaceful succession at the age of thirteen, ii. 60; his mother's efforts to obtain the regency foiled, *ib.*; the Woodvilles overthrown, *ib.*; is transferred to the charge of Gloucester, *ib.*; imprisoned in the Tower and believed to have been murdered with his brother, 62

Edward VI., son of Henry VIII. and Jane Seymour, his birth. ii. 168; his minority, 216; the Council of Regency, *ib.*; Hertford is made Protector, and raises himself to the dukedom of Somerset, 217; Somerset and the Protestants, *ib.*; bills for administering the Sacrament in both kinds, 218; the Common Prayer, 219; statute giving priests the right to marry, *ib.*; the fugitive Protestants in England, 220; the Protector invades Scotland *ib.*; the victory of Pinkie Cleugh, 221; the Scots' alliance with France, *ib.*; ecclesiatical changes, 222; Gardiner's opposition to them and his committal to the Tower, *ib.*; suppression of chauntries and gilds, *ib.*; revolts, *ib.*; introduction of foreign mercenaries, *ib.*; inclosures, evictions, and agrarian discontent, *ib.*; rise of prices and abasement of the coinage, 223; Sir T. Seymour, Lord High Admiral, marries the Queen-dowager, *ib.*; his execution, *ib.*; Somerset forced to resign, 224; Protectorate of the Earl of Warwick, *ib.*; peace with France, *ib.*; religious innovations continued by Cranmer and his colleagues, 225; forty-two articles of religion introduced, *ib.*; the religious disorder, 226; Ireland and the Reformation, 227; the Protestant misrule, 229; the bishopric of Durham suppressed, 230; the Parliament no longer slavishly subservient to the Crown, *ib.*; the packing of Parliament by Northumberland, *ib.*; Edward's temper, *ib.*; his waning health, 231; his zeal for Protestantism, *ib.*; his will, *ib.*; Cranmer at the head of the council remonstrates, 232; his death, *ib.*

Edward (the Black Prince), Prince of Wales, at Creçy, i. 393, 394; wins a disgraceful success in Guienne, 408; marches on the Loire, *ib.*; his victory at Poitiers and capture of the French king, 412; invested with the Duchy of Aquitaine, 421; crosses the Pyrenees and wins the day at Navarete, 424, 425; his death, 439

Edward, Prince, son of Henry VI., born, i. 536; betrothed to Anne Neville, Warwick's daughter, ii. 43; murdered after his surrender on the field of Tewkesbury, 48

Egypt, French in, iv. 322; Nelson and the battle of the Nile, *ib.*; the French lose, 343

Eikon Basilike, its authorship, iii. 253

Elba, iv. 370

Eleanor of Aquitaine, her marriage with Henry II., i. 151; her influence with John and Longchamp, 174; her death followed by the submission of Acquitaine to France, 180

Eleanor of Provence, wife of Henry III., i. 257; gathers an army in France for an invasion, 284

Eleanor, sister of Henry III., wife of Simon of Montfort, i. 260

Eleanor of Castile, wife of Edward I., i. 298

Eleanor, daughter of Simon of Montfort, married to Llewellyn, i. 309

Eliot, Sir John, the earliest struggle for Parliamentary liberty centres in, iii. 122; Vice-Admiral of Devonshire, *ib.*; denounces Buckingham's incompe-

tence and corruption, *ib.*; eloquence and directness of his attack upon him, 123; committed prisoner to the Tower, 125; released and deprived of his Vice-Admiralty, *ib.*; moves the presentation of a Remonstrance on the state of the realm and touches on Buckingham's removal, 131; checked by the Speaker, *ib.*; challenges the Commons to an avowal of the Articles, 135; his last vindication of English liberty, 136; the first martyr of English liberty, 139

Elizabeth, Queen, daughter of Henry VIII. and Anne Boleyn, ii. 216; her training, 231; suspected of complicity in Wyatt's insurrection and sent to the Tower, 242; her appearance, 275; her acquirements and love of learning, *ib.*; her first public act and momentary union with Mary, 276; her compromise in the matter of religion, *ib.*; rising in her favor headed by Wyatt and Suffolk, *ib.*; shows her Tudor Temper on her committal to the Tower, *ib.*; released, but kept close prisoner at Hampton Court, 277; Philip's designs with regards to her, *ib.*; change in her position on the final disappointment of Mary's hopes of childbirth, *ib.*; the people's love for her, 278; formation of her character through the years of waiting, *ib.*; her aim of re-uniting England, *ib.*; draws the "Politicals" to her, *ib.*; her wise patience ascribable to Cecil's counsels, 281; her accession, 283; low ebb of England's fortunes at the time, 283, 284; her religious policy, 284; her toleration, 285; religion unchanged, 287; her footing with Philip of Spain, 288; repudiation of the supremacy of the Papacy, 289; the royal supremacy re-established, 290; Prayer-book restored, 291; Pius IV. leans to a policy of conciliation, 292; Elizabeth's policy of patience in enforcing the oath on the clergy, *ib.*; the religious chaos, 293; Parker her agent in the reorganization of the Church, 295; England Protestant, 296; Scotch Calvinism, 297; her action with regard to Scotland, 299; the Huguenot rising in France, 301; Treaty of Edinburgh, 302; her character, 303, 309; issue of the Scottish war with regard to her position at home and abroad, 310; support of the Huguenots, 311; Philip's policy in continuing to support her, *ib.*; Pius IV. sends Parpaglia to open negotiations with her, 313; her embarrassment as to his reception, *ib.*; her refusal to send ambassadors to the Council of Trent, 314; disaffection of the English Catholics, 315; her position changes with Mary's landing in Scotland, 320; plays a waiting game between Catholic and Protestant with regard to the succession, 321; France and the Reformation, 322; civil war in France, 323; her treaty with the Huguenots, 324; import to English freedom of the strife between her and Mary, 326; sees danger to her throne in the French conflict, 327; issue of the Papal Brief and its consequences, *ib.*; passing of the Test Act and its effect, 328; establishment of the High Commission, *ib.*; the Thirty-nine Articles adopted in Convocation, *ib.*; hostilities with France, and the surrender of Tours, 329; treaty of peace, 330; the hopes of the English Catholics turn to Darnley, 331; the Darnley marriage a danger to England, 332, 333; Mary's resolve to restore Catholicism in Scotland threatens danger to Elizabeth, 334; her feelings at the birth of Mary's son, 338; the development of England during her reign, 339, 341; the advance of the Parliament, 340; her struggle with the Parliament, 341, 343; revolt of the North of Ireland and the Scots of Antrim, and its suppression by Sir Henry Sidney, 344; the murder of Darnley, Mary's union with Bothwell and consequent revolt of the whole of Scotland shatters the hopes of Catholicism, 345, 348; England and religious change, 349; effect of Alva's massacres in the Netherlands on her, 355, 356; vainly demands Mary's release from Lochleven, *ib.*; refuses to recognize Murray's government, 357; fresh negotiations of marriage with the Austrian archduke, *ib.*; her devices to get rid of the peril of Mary's presence in England, 358; her difficulties between the Catholics and Protestants, 359; again driven to temporize, *ib.*; Pius V. begin his attack on her, 360; revolt of the Catholic Earls, 361, 363; bull of deposition against her, 364; the Ridolfi plot, 365; Norfolk's execution, 366; Elizabeth and England, 367; the Poor Laws, *ib.*; growth of wealth, 369; growth of manufactures, 370; growth of commerce, 371; new trade routes, 373; general comfort, 373; architectural change, 374; Elizabeth and English order, 375; the religious truce, 377; the religious change, 378; the Puritan pressure, *ib.*; Elizabeth's resistance, 379; her proposed marriage with the Duke of Anjou, 382; her policy with regard to the Netherlands, 384; enforces the Act of Uniformity, 386; patriotism and Protestantism, *ib.*; her resentment at the arrival of the seminary priests, 389; rests her system of repression on political grounds, 390; Don John of Austria's designs on the English Crown foiled, *ib.*; allies herself with the States, 391; the Papal attack, 392; Ireland, 393; Ireland and the Papacy, 394; the Jesuit landing, 395; the Protestant terror, 396; the Catholic resistance, 397; unable to

resist the drift of popular passion towards war, 399 ; nature of the diplomatic contest between her and Philip 401 ; Philip's view of her welcome to Drake, 405 ; her proposed marriage with the Duke of Anjou, 408, 409 ; the Puritans and the Crown, 409 ; meets the growth of Puritanism by conferring new powers on the High Commission, 410 ; the Martin Marprelate controversy, 412 ; the gathering of the Armada, 413 ; expeditions of Frobisher, Sir Humphrey Gilbert, and Sir Walter Raleigh, *ib.* ; refuses the title of Protector of the Netherlands, 416 ; attacks Philip, *ib.* ; the Catholic plots, *ib.* ; statute against treasons, 417 ; Mary Stuart's connivance in Babington's conspiracy detected, 418 ; hesitates to sign the death-warrrnt, *ib.* ; Mary's execution, *ib.* ; punishes the ministers who had forced her hand, *ib.* ; Philip prepares to invade England, 419 ; Drake's attack on Spain, 420 ; the Armada sails, *ib.* ; the two fleets, 423 ; the fight, 424, 425 ; its effect on England, 426 ; results, 427 ; England watches the struggle of Henry IV. with keen interest, 430 ; Elizabeth aids him in the siege of Rouen, 431 ; effect on England of the restoration of the French monarchy to greatness, 432 ; England a Protestant power, 433 ; English literature under, 433, 449 ; Shakspere, 450, 462 ; Bacon, 463, 469 ; advance of the Parliament, 469 ; growth of Puritanism 471 ; revolt of Ulster, 473 ; her last years, 474, 476 ; England at her death, iii. 7

Elizabeth, Princess, daughter of Edward IV., ii. 41

Elizabeth Woodville, Queen of Edward IV., *see* Lady Elizabeth Grey

Elizabeth, daughter of the preceding, heiress of Edward IV., ii. 63 ; proposed marriage between her and Henry Tudor, *ib.*, betrothed to the Dauphin Charles, 65 ; married to Henry VII., 68

Elizabeth, daughter of James I., married to the Palatine Frederick, iii. 97

Elliott, General, defends Gibraltar, iv. 254

Elmham, i. 356

Elsass, ii, 49 ; iii. 416, 428, 155, 371

Ely, surrenders to William, i. 112 ; the Isle of, the Disinherited in, 295 ; Morton, Bishop of, ii. 63 ; Goodrich, Bishop of, 175 ; his sympathy with Lutheranism, *ib.*

Emma, daughter of Duke Richard, i. 93 ; her marriage with Æthelred, *ib.*

Emperor, men of the, or "Easterlings," a trading colony, i. 202

Engla-land, Britain called, i. 91

England, Early (449-1071), i. 7-112

England, Old, its situation, i. 7 ; its inhabitants, *ib.* ; social and industrial life in, 14 ; his relations with Rome, 15; dress and arms, 16 ; religion in, *ib.*

England, Christian, i. 39

England, New, the one German nation that rose upon the wreck of Rome, i. 30 ; a heathen country, 31 ; distribution of the land in, 32 ; its rise out of the English states, 37 ; admitted into the Commonwealth of nations at the coming of Augustine, 40 ; tendency to national unity in, 56 ; drift towards national unity in, arrested, 66

England, under foreign kings (1071-1214), i. 117, 118 ; first became really England, 118 ; enjoys almost unbroken peace, *ib.* ; administrative order, *ib.* ; social change, *ib.* ; creation of a middle class, *ib.* ; the boroughs buy their liberty from the Crown, *ib.* ; moral and religous revival, *ib.*

England, during the Wars of the Roses, ii. 21

England of Elizabeth, the (1558-1561), ii. 283-314

England and Mary Stuart (1561-1567), ii. 315-348

England and the Papacy, i. 383-486, 417, 432, ii. 349-398

England and Spain (1582-1593), ii. 399-432

England, Shakspere's (1593-1603), ii. 433-476

England, Puritan [1903-1660) iii. 7-308

England, New, iii 164

England modern, begins with the triumph of Naseby, iii. 235

England, the new, of the Restoration, iii. 313 ; the social revolt, 314 ; reflected in its King Charles the II., 322

England a mere dependency of the French King under Charles the II., iii. 406

England, her European position on the accession of the House of Hanover and its results, iv. 105-108 ; her intellectual influence on the Continent, 108, 109

England, New, the colonies of iv. 164 ; education and political activity in, 165

England and its empire (1760-1767), iv. 183-234 ; a world power, 190 ; in the Pacific, 191 ; in America, 198

England, Modern, iv. 189-374

England, industrial (1782-1792), iv. 264-298

England in the American War, iv. 264

England, her state in 1788 compared with that of Europe, iv. 286 ; her aristocracy compared with the French, 288

England and Revolutionary France (1792-1801), iv. 299-329

England, her colonial acquisitions in 1795, iv. 308

England and Napoleon (1801-1815), iv. 330-374

England, Bank of, founded iv. 65 ; attacks of the Tories on, 66 ; suspension of specie payment, 318

Engle or English, i. 7 ; conquest of the 24, 25

English boats, i. 19

English Church, *see* Church of England

English commerce and industry under I., i. 306, 308; its development in the fifteenth century, ii. 21, 22; Antwerp, the mart of, 356; its growth under Elizabeth, 371; extension and rise of, during the long peace of Charles I.'s reign, iii. 143; beginning of the trade with India and the Gold Coast, 354; silk trade established at Spitalfields, iv. 17; the Board of Trade established (1696), 66; revival of, after the Peace of Ryswick, 70; effect on the towns of its extension and prosperity, 112; its progress and prosperity under Walpole's ministry, 136; restrictions put upon, by Spain, 150; with the American colonies, 166; with America, 193; the industrial development after the American War, 262; manufactures, 269; as affected by canals, 270; by mineral development, 271; by the steam-engine, 272; by the power-loom, 273; Napoleon's project of a "Continental System" to check, 339, 350; the Berlin Decree and its results on, 351, 352; Grenville's Orders in Council and the Milan Decree relative to, 354; the American embargo and its effect on, 355; American Act of Non-intercourse and its effect on, 356; the *Edinburgh Review* and political progress, 363

English conquest, destructive character of the, i. 27-30

English Freedom, effect of the Wars of the Roses on, ii. 9, 10

English history, begins with the landing of Hengest, i. 25; new age opens in the submission of one English people to another, 37; drift towards national unity, main current of, 42

English justice, origin of the first rude forms of, i. 9

English kingdoms, the, i. 27-64

English kingship, special character of, 33-34

English law, codes of, being to be put in writing, i. 40; practically unaltered by the Conquest, i. 185

English language, unchanged by the Conquest, i. 186; used in the proclamation of Henry III., 277; in 1363, the Chancellor opens in Parliament with a speech in the, 435; final settlement of, marked by the work of Chaucer, 472; in Caxton's time, ii. 57, 58; first used in public worship, 219; its use in courts of justice enacted by bill, iv. 140

English literature, strikes its roots in Bæda, i. 63; in Ælfred's reign, 75-77; Ælfred's translations the first prose books, 77; the Church and, 161; its secular character and hostility to the Church, 161-166; William of Malmesbury represents the first distinctively English feeling in, 162; Walter de Map, 165; its survival after the Conquest, 186; the literature of political controversy begins with Bail's missives, 187; in the age of Elizabeth, ii. 433; historic literature in the sixteenth century, 434; the novelists, 437; influence of the age on, 438; the new English temper a source of poetic power, 439; the drama, 445; the theatre and the people, 447; the early dramatists, 448; Dryden and the school of critical poets, iii. 422; is studied on the continent, iv. 108; creation of a literary class by Dryden, 110; the new poetry, 111; the new prose, *ib.*; journalism begins to play a large part in political education, 112; the essayists, *ib.*; the urbanity of, 113; the ferocity of political controversy, 114; sudden extension of the world of readers, 201; enormous sale of Shakspere's works and the *Spectator*, *ib.*; book-making and the degradation of letters, 202; Pope and his *Dunciad*, 202-204: revival of letters, 205; rise of the school of novelists, Richardson, Smollett, and Fielding, *ib.*; extension of journalism, *ib.*: new birth of poetry heralded by Crabble, Cowper, and Burns, 265

English navy, its strength first seen in 1544, ii. 205; the *Mary Rose*, *ib.*; the ruin of its supremacy the object of the Family Compact between France and Spain, iv. 148, 149

English patriotism, rise of, after the Conquest, i. 187

English piracy, i. 18, 19

English peoples, drift of the, towards national unity arrested at the close of the eighth century, i. 66

English people, the boroughs lead the way in the silent growth and elevation of, i. 201; growth of, into a national unity and vigor, 216; the instinct of liberty and Protestantism drives them to a conflict with Philip of Spain, ii. 399; the political consequence of the merchant class and the lesser landowners, iii. 9; advance of, in knowledge and intelligence throughout Elizabeth's reign, *ib.*; influence of the spirit of religion on, 10; Puritanism and the, 16; peace-loving temper of, iv. 106, 107; brutality of their politics during the time of the Revolution and the Georges, 115; power of public opinion and its decline, 115, 117; social degradation of, at the accession of the House of Hanover, 120; Pitt's recognition of the power of the middle-class, 176; issue of the Revolution as regards the bulk of, 198; averse from and not represented by Parliament, 199; intellectual advance of, 201; their distrust and hatred of Parliament and the Crown, 215; Wilkes, in the *North Briton*, the organ of public opinion, 216, 218; the life of, begins to flow in two currents, 262

English race, fatherland of the, i. 7; statement of their origin, *ib.*; their energy, 18; their love of war, *ib.*; their love of the sea, *ib.*; threefold division

of, between three realms of equal power, 60
English science in the age of the Restoration, iii. 317, 318
English, settlements of, in Britain, i. 25; the Middle-English, *ib.*; the South-Engle, *ib.*; the West-English, or Mercians, *ib.*
English society, its earliest forms i. 9. 10; the right of self-defence modified by a sense of public justice, 9; sense of the value of the family bond in, *ib.*; the freeman the base of the new, 31; its primitive organization affected by the transfer to British soil, 33
English temper, nature of the, as revealed in the Song of Beowulf, i. 17, 18; fully formed when the English passed to Britain, 33
English village, the, i, 8; the freeman the base of its society, 9; the primary type of English life, 13
English worship, character of, i. 17
Eorl or *Æthéling*, his position as compared with that of the *Ceorl*, i. 8; his social distinction founded on purity of blood, 34; supplanted by the thegn, *ib.*
Episcopacy, restoration of Scotch, iii. 67; *see* Pym and Hampden and Falkland
Episcopate, its organization by Theodore, i. 55, 56, 57
Erasmus, his letters, ii. 7; his scholarship and his theology, 80; comes to Oxford, 81; his admiration for Colet, Linacre, Grocyn, and More, *ib.*; Warham's munificence and kindness towards, 82, 83; his irony as shown in the *Praise of Folly*, 84; quits Cambridge with a bitter satire against war, 92; his edition of the works of St. Jerome, 93; his edition of the Greek Testament, *ib.*; pleads for Luther with the Emperor, 122; defends the New Learning against Luther, *ib.*
Essayists, the, *The Rape of the Lock* the poetic counterpart of their work, iv. 204
Essex, territory of the East Saxons, i. 24; conversion of its kings, 40, 44; its share in the Peasant rising, 447; Richard's pledge to the men of, 449
Essex, Earl of, Elizabeth's favor to, ii. 305; his vanity and disobedience as deputy lieutenant of Ireland, 473; his rivalry with Cecil, *ib.*; his execution, *ib.*
Essex, Earl of, sides with the Parliament against Charles I., iii. 126; captain-general of the Parliamentary army, 209, 222
Essex, Lord, one of the leaders of the Country party, enters the ministry, iii. 405; resists the Exclusion, 409; opposes the project in favor of Monmouth, 413; resigns, 415; forced to accept the Exclusion, 418; implicated in the Rye House Plot, commits suicide, 420
Essex, Frances Howard, Lady, her passion for Rochester, iii. 84; obtains her divorce, *ib.*; her share in the murder of Sir T. Overbury, 85; sentenced to death, 93; commutation of the sentence, 94
Etherege, iii. 311, 425
Eugène, Prince of Savoy, Imperialist general, iv. 85; his junction with Marlborough, 87; his victory at Turin, 94; driven back into Italy from Provence, 96
Euphuism, ii. 435
Europe, general temper of, after the Treaty of Utrecht, iv. 109
Eustace, son of Stephen, his rivalry with Henry of Normandy, i. 151; the bishops resuse to swear fealty to him, *ib.*; his death, 151
Eustace II., Count of Boulogne, his visit to Edward, i. 100; his outrage at Dover, *ib.*; the Kentishmen seek his aid against Bishop Odo, 111
Evelyn, his diary, iii. 311
Evesham, Abbey of, founded by Bishop Ecgwine, i. 57
Exchequer, origin of the name and of the institution, i. 138; administrative and judicial branches of, *ib.*; ceases to work, 146; restored by Henry II., 155; court of, nature of its jurisdiction, 309
Exchequer, the, closed by the Cabal ministry, iii. 380
Excise in the reign of Charles II., iii. 338; Walpole's Excise Bill and its withdrawal, iv. 136, 140, 141; plan of, revived by Pitt, 285
Exclusion Bill, anticipated by Charles II., iii, 403; passes the Commons, 410; the Prince of Orange and the, 417; rejected by the Lords, *ib.*; reintroduced in the Commons, 421
Exeter, subdued by William I., i. 110
Exeter, Duke of, Lancastrian leader, ii. 45, 46
Exeter, Courtney, Marquis of, his opposition to Thomas Cromwell, ii. 183; arrested on a charge of treason and executed, 184
Exeter, Marquis of, beheaded by Henry VIII., ii. 238; his son, *ib.*
Eylau, battle of, iv. 350

F

Fabyan, i. 356
Fagius, lectures at Cambridge, ii. 220
Fairfax, Lord, Parliamentary leader, iii, 211, 220, 230, 239, 247. 249; his daughter marries the Duke of Buckingham, 369
"Fairfax Correspondence," iii. 5
Falkirk, battle or, i. 348; formation of the Scotch infantry at, compared to that of Waterloo, *ib.*; battle of, in 1746, iv. 159
Falkland, Lord, his views on Church Reform, iii. 191, 193; joins the King's cause, 201, 206, 207; his fall at New-

bury, 217; memorable in the history of religious thought, 294; gathers the Latitudinarians at his house at Great Tew, *ib.*, 318
Family Compact, concluded, iv. 149; brought into force by a treaty in 1761, 209; Pitt's plan of an alliance with Prussia and Russia to balance the, 234; bears its full fruit in 1778, 254
Fastolfe, Sir John, repulses the French in the so-called "Battle of the Herrings," i. 520; a lover of books, ii. 59
Faukes de Breauté, i. 239, 240
Fawkes, Guido (Guy), iii. 62, 63
Felton, John, stabs the Duke of Buckingham, iii. 133
Ferdinand of Aragon, ii. 75; his influence in the English council chamber, 89; forms the Holy League, 90; effect of his death on European politics, 107
Ferdinand of Austria, uncle to Philip II. of Spain, succeeds Charles V. as Emperor, 251
Ferdinand, Emperor of Germany, a champion of Catholicism, iii. 101
Ferdinand VII. of Spain, Napoleon and, iv. 357
Feudalism, thegnhood, the germ of, i. 35, 77; conflict of, with the monarchy, 83–112; strengthened by the Norman Conquest, 122; effects of the Norman Conquest on, *ib.*; William's inner checks upon, 123, 124; counterbalancing forces provided by William against, 124, 125; threatened by the democratic spirit of the universities, 193; fall of, as seen in the overthrow of the French feudal army at Crecy, 395; ruin of its organization under Edward IV., ii. 13, 14; ruined by the introduction of gunpowder, 18; artificial revival of, 20
Fief, use of the word, i. 123
Fielding, iv. 205
Filmer, Sir Robert, his *Theory of Patriarchal Government*, iii. 320
Finch, Chief Justice, iii. 183, 197
Fire of London, the Great, iii. 365
Fisher, *see* Rochester, Bishop of
Fitzgerald, Lord Edward, one of the United Irishmen, iv. 314, 315
Fitzgeralds, Norman Lords of the Pale, ii. 170; their fall, *ib.*
Fitz-Harris, his impeachment, iii. 421
Fitzmaurice, James, brother of the Earl of Desmond, ii. 394
Fitzpatricks, Norman Lords of the Pale, ii. 169; becomes Barons of Upper Ossory, 259
Fitz-Ralf, Chancellor of Oxford, i. 432
Fitz-Walter, Robert, commands the Barons, i. 228; enters London at their head, 230; taken prisoner at Lincoln, 238
Fitz-Warenne, *see* Fulk
Fitzwilliam, Lord, Lord Lieutenant of Ireland, iv. 314, 338
Fitzwilliams, the, ii. 213
Five Mile Act, the, iii. 358
Flambard, Bishop of Durham, his influence over William the Red, i. 129; thrown into the Tower, 134
Flamsteed, astronomer, at Greenwich, iii. 314
Flanders, relations of John with, i. 222, 223, 227; relations of Edward III. to, i. 386, 387, 391, 398, 426; end of the male line of the Counts of, 426; English expedition to, under the Bishop of Norwich, 467; its towns under Philip Van Artevelde, *ib.*; English troops sent under Lord Pembroke to Philip's aid, ii. 257; victory of St. Quentin, *ib.*; victory of Gravelines, 258; importance of its trade to England, iii. 356; occupied by Lewis XIV., 371; its deliverance from France completed by the battle of Ramillies, iv. 91; the Duke of Cumberland defeated in, 157
Fleetwood, General, against title of king, iii. 286
Fletcher, Phineas and Giles, iii. 159
Fleurus, battle of, iv. 307
Flood, Henry, and the Irish Parliament, iv. 258
Florence, the intellectual revival in, on the coming of Greek scholars to Italy, ii. 77
Florence of Worcester, i. 115, 187
Florida, surrendered to England, iv. 211
Foliot, the correspondence of, i. 115
Folk, military and civil organization of, i. 13; based on the principle of representation, 14
Folk-land, public, or unoccupied, land, i. 32
Fontenoy, iv. 157
Forest Laws, used as a means of extortion by Henry III., i. 259
Forests, a natural defence, i. 29
Forster, Mr., heads of the Jacobite rising of 1715, iv. 129
Fortescue, Sir Faithful, at the battle of Edgehill, iii. 210
Fort William, iv. 43; *see* also Calcutta
Fotheringay Castle, Mary Stuart executed at, ii. 418
Fox, *see* Winchester, Bishop of
Fox, George, Quaker, his prophecy of Cromwell's death, iii. 302
Fox, Charles, Whig leader in the Commons, his opinion of Pitt, iv. 275; his India Bill, 279; enthusiasm for the French Revolution, 289, 291; his Libel Act, 295; his friendship with Burke and its end, *ib.*; George the III.'s dislike to, 347; in Grenville's ministry, 349; his death, 352
Foxe, John, his *Book of Martyrs*, ii. 189, 190, 387
France, *see* the names of her kings and Napoleon, and the kings of England
France and the Reformation, ii. 322; Cromwell's treaty with, iii. 283; her wealth and power under Lewis XIV., iii. 331; state of, before the Revolution, iv. 288; the States-General in, 289; outbreak of the Revolution, 291; attitude of English statesmen towards, *see* Pitt, Fox, Burke

Francesco Accursi, jurist of Bologna, comes to England with Edward I., i. 304
Francis of Assisi, the Grey Friars of, i. 242, 246
Francis I. of France, his accession, ii. 106; conquers the Milanese, 107; his treaty with Henry VIII., *ib.*; rivalry between him and the Emperor, 110; conspiracy of the Duke of Bourbon against, aided by Henry and Charles, 115; evacuates Italy, 117; the Imperialist forces in France, 118; taken prisoner by the Imperialists, *ib.*; armistice with Charles, 119; treaty with Henry, 129; Treaty of Cambray, 137; influences the judgment of the Paris University in the question of Henry's divorce, 146; his lavish pledges of friendship to Henry, 153; attack on England proposed to him by Charles, 175; his intrigues with the Pope and the Protestants, 200; his daughter married to James V. of Scotland, 201; attacks the Emperor, 202; his influence in Scotland, 204; Charles marches on Paris, 206; Treaty of Crepy, 207; sends a fleet to Scotland, 221; succeeded by Henry II., 222
Francis II. of France, grandson of the preceding, marries Mary Stuart, ii. 221; with his wife assumes the arms of English sovereigns, 288; ruled by the Duke of Guise, 301; the Huguenot rising and its bloody repression, 301, 302; the House of Bourbon adopts the Reformed Faith, 302: terms of the Treaty of Edinburgh with regard to, *ib.*; refuses to confirm the act and the treaty, 310; assembly of the notables and gathering of the States-General, *ib.*; his death foils the projects of the Guises, 311
Francis, Emperor, iv. 298
Frankfort, Church of the Protestant exiles at, ii. 270; its minister, John Knox, 271.
Franklin, Benjamin, draws up a plan for the union of the colonies, iv. 168; his unsuccessful mission to England, 224, 226
Franks, relations of England with, i. 39, 68; their cession of territory to the Northmen, 102
Frederick II., Emperor, called the "World's Wonder," i. 195; his struggle with Rome, 241
Frederick III., Emperor, his mean and spiritless temper, ii. 49; his negotiations with Charles the Bold, *ib.*
Frederick, Elector Palatine, marries Elizabeth, daughter of James I., iii. 97; accepts the crown of Bohemia, 101; loses his dominions and flies to Holland, 111; present in the House of Commons on the seizure of the Five Members, 204.
Frederick (the Great) II. of Prussia, cession of Silesia to, iv. 153; war in Bohemia, 154; victory of Chotusitz, 155; George II.'s hostility to, 157; treaty with England and beginning of the Seven Years' War, 170; shows his grand genius in the campaign of 1760, 208; Pitt steadily supports him, *ib.*; deserted by George III. and Bute, and retires in the Treaty of Hubertsburgh, 211; his administrative reforms, 288; his death, 290
Freeling, *see* Ceorl
Freeman, the base of village society in Old England, i. 9; strictly the freeholder, as distinguished from the unfreeman or læt, 11; dies down into the *villein*, 88
Free trade, Walpole's Excise Bill an anticipation of its principles, iv. 141
French language, growing disuse of, in England, i. 472
French traders in England, i. 201, 202
Friars, the, their aim, i. 242; their work in the towns, 243, 258: forbidden by University Statute from admitting children into their order, 432
Friedland, battle of, iv. 350
Friesland, i. 13
Frith-gilds, i. 213
Frobisher, Martin, ii. 404, 413, 424
Froissart, i. 355, 401
Fulk Fitz-Warenne, his treatment ot the Papal collectors, i. 264
Fulk of Jerusalem, Count of Anjou, the one enemy Henry I. really feared, i. 142
Fulk Nerra (the Black), Count of Anjou, the greatest of the Angevins, i. 140; his pilgrimages, 141; his character and statesmanship, *ib.*; his dealings with Herbert Wakedog, 142
Fulk Rechin, Count of Anjou, his weak rule, i. 142
Fyrd, or militia, restored to the place it lost at the Conquest by the Assize of Arms, i. 170; regulated by the Statute of Winchester, 317; rise of its force, 395

G

Gaillard, *see* Château Gaillard
Gaimar, his romances, i. 115; his French version of the writings of Geoffrey of Monmouth, 164
Gale, ii, 435
Galileo, a contemporary of Bacon, ii. 469
Gardiner, Stephen, Wolsey's secretary, ii. 132; his embassy to the Pope, *ib.*; Bishop of Winchester, 150; his influence on Henry, 202; conservative in matters of faith, 213; excluded from the executors after Henry's death, 216; protests against Somerset's ecclesiastical changes, 222; sent to the Tower, *ib.*; made Chancellor, 235; opposes the Spanish match, 239; his attitude towards the Papacy, 244; represents the dominant English opinion,

ib.; his assertion relative to the heresy laws, 246; his death, 251
Garnet, the Jesuit, iii. 63
Gascony under Simon of Montfort, i. 262, 264; granted to Edward III. by the Peace of Bretigny, 413
Gates, General, Governor of Massachusetts, iv. 246, 251
Gauden, Dr., author of the *Eikon Basilike*, iii 253
Gaunt, John of, *see* John
Gavelkind, law of, i. 216
Gaveston, Piers, his regency, i. 360; banished, 361; appointed King's Lieutenant in Ireland, *ib.*; recalled, 362; beheaded, 363
Gay, on the statesmen of his day, iv. 114
Gelders, alliance of Edward III. with, i. 380
Genoa, bombarded by Lewis XIV., iii. 416; annexed by France, iv. 348
Geoffrey the Handsome, Count of Anjou, married to the Empress Matilda, i. 140; origin of his title, Plantagenet, 143; his intrigues with the Norman nobles, *ib.*
Geoffrey of Monmouth gives currency to the Arthurian legends in his History of the Britons, i. 164
Geoffrey Fitz Peter, Earl of Essex, Justiciar, i. 178, 225, 227; his assize of the forests, 178
George I., Elector of Hanover, his hatred of the Tories, iv. 102; becomes heir to the throne by his mother's death, 103; proclaimed King, 104; England's European position on his accession, 105; his temper and political insignificance, 122; accepts the position of constitutional King, *ib.*; England governed by the Whig ministers, *ib.*; indirect influence of the Crown still powerful, 123; the Whigs and Parliament, *ib.*; Robert Walpole and his policy, 125, 126; the Townshend ministry, 127; the rising of 1715, 128; alliance of England and France against Spain, 130; difficulties to England of the King's double position, 131; his electorate threatened by Sweden, 132; his resentment at Townshend's and Walpole's refusal to carry out a Hanoverian policy, *ib.*; the Stanhope ministry, 133; South Sea Bubble, 134; Walpole's ministry, his finance, and his policy of inaction, 135–138; fresh efforts of Spain, 139; his death, 140
George II., his temper and political insignificance, iv. 122; his accession, 140; Walpole's influence over, *ib.*; Excise Bill, 140; the "Patriots," 142; the Methodists, 143; the religious revival, 144; John Wesley, 145; results of the movement, 147; revival of France and its union with Spain, 148; the Family Compact in its bearing upon England, 149; eagerness of the King and Caroline for war, *ib.*; England and Spain, 150; war with Spain 153; the Austrian Succession, 152; fall of Walpole, 153; Carteret's continental policy, 154; Dettingen, 155; fall of Carteret, 156; the Pelham ministry, 156; Charles Edward Stuart, 157; conquest of the Highlands, 159; widening of the war, 160; Clive, 161; Dupleix, 162; Arcot, *ib.*; the American Colonies, 163, 164, 166, 167, 168; state of Europe, 169; alliance with Prussia, 170; the Seven Years' War, 171; 189; William Pitt, 172–179; Plassey, 179; Pitt and Frederick, 180; Minden and Quiberon, 182; Pitt in America, 183; conquest of Canada, 184; England a world-power, 190; Captain Cook, 192; Britain and its Empire, 193; England and America, 194; death of George II., 195
George III., his hatred of his father and his father's ministers, iv. 140; his accession, 196; his aim with regard to the American Colonies, *ib.*; his dull and petty temper, *ib.*; his effort to restore the power of the Crown, 197; importance of his action, *ib.*; issue of the revolution on which he claimed to take his stand, 198; Parliament and the nation, *ib.*; need of Parliamentary reform, 200; pressure of opinion, 201; the intellectual advance, *ib.*; revival of letters at his accession, 204; return of the Tories, 205; his friends, 206; assumes the character of a Patriot King, 207; Pitt and the Whigs, *ib.*; Pitt resigns, 208; he breaks with the Whigs, 210; the Peace of Paris, 211; his "management" of Parliament, 212; his plans for the regulation of America 213; Wilkes and the movement he headed, 215; Bute's fall, 216; George Grenville, 217; Grenville and Wilkes, 218; Grenville and the Colonies, 219; the Colonies and the Stamp Act, 220; the theory of the colonists, 222; the Stamp Act passed, 223; quarrel between Grenville and the King, 225; the Rockingham ministry, 226; Pitt and America, 227; the King's bitter hatred to Pitt, *ib.*; Edmund Burke, 228, 229; repeal of the Stamp Act, 231; the Chatham ministry, 232; growing influence of public opinion and the King's jealousy of, 235; Chatham's withdrawal, and resignation, 236, 237; Parliamentary reform, 240; publication of debates, 241; renewed strife with America, 243; the King supreme, 244; the North ministry subject to him, 245; the Boston tea riots, 246; the King's exultation, *ib.*; American resistance, 247; Washington 248; Declaration of Independence, 248; Saratoga, 250; France and America, 251; death of Chatham, 252; England against Europe, 254; America and Ireland, 255; Irish government, 257; the volunteers, 258; end of the war, 259;

ruin of the autocratic system the King had striven to build up, *ib.*; the peace, 260; England and the United States, 261; England in the American war, 264; the religious movement, 265; Howard, 266; trial of Hastings, 267; the slave trade, 268; English manufactures, 269; canals, 270; mineral development, 271; the steam-engine, 272; cotton manufactures, 273; William Pitt, 275; the Coalition and its fall, 277; 278; Pitt, 278–286; England and Europe, 286; France, 288; Pitt and Russia, 289; madness of the King, *ib.*; the French Revolution, 291; Pitt and France, 292; Burke and the Revolution, 293; his success with the country, 295; the Coalition against France, 297; England and the Revolutionists, 299; their efforts in England, 300; the Coalition attacks France, 301; France declares war with England, 302; the panic, 303; revival of France, 306; Howe's victory, 307; break-up of the Coalition, 308; Pitt's effort for peace, 309; the dogged temper of England, 310; the Irish danger, 311; Irish emancipation, 312; the United Irishmen, 313; France and Ireland, 314; the terror in Ireland, 316; the struggle for the sea, 317; the Irish rising and its failure, 319, 320; French designs on India, 321; battle of the Nile, 322; France and Europe, 323; Russia and France, 324; the Union with Ireland, 325; France and the Coalition, 326; Buonaparte in Syria, 327; the Peace of Luneville, 328; the new Europe, 330; France and Britain, 331; Pitt and the war, 333; Catholic emancipation, 335; Pitt's resignation, 337; the Addington ministry, 338; League of neutrals, 339; Russia's designs, 341; the League broken up, 342; the French lose Egypt 343; the Peace of Amiens, 344; Buonaparte and his designs, 345. 346; the camp at Boulogne, 347; Trafalgar, 348; the Peace of Tilsit, 349; the Continental System and its results, 350–351; fall of the Grenville ministry, 352; the Portland ministry, 353; the American Embargo, 354; Napoleon and Spain, 356; the Rising of Spain, 357; Wellesley in Portugal, 358; the Perceval ministry, 359; Torres Vedras, 360; the quarrel with America, 361; state of England, 362; political progress, 363; war with America, 364; Napoleon and Russia, 365; Salamanca, 366; ruin and abdication of Napoleon, 367, 368; American war, 368; peace with America, 369; return of Napoleon, 370; Waterloo, 372–374

George (IV.), Prince of Wales, claims the Regency, 290; his claims admitted by the Irish Parliament, 326

George, Duke of Cambridge (George II.), a writ of summons as peer to the Parliament demanded for him, iv. 103

Georgia, its settlement by General Oglethorpe, iv. 164; Lutherans and Moravians in, 165; sends no delegates to Congress, 247

Gerald of Wales, de Barri, i. 115, 163; usually called Giraldus Cambrenses, *ib.*; secular character of his writings, *ib.*; their modern tone, *ib.*; his *Itinerarium*, 184; on Welsh song, 269

Geraldines, Norman Lords of the Pale, ii. 169; their power broken, 170

German England, i. 30, 31, 40

Germany, Protestantism in, iii. 72; the French in, iv. 85; Marlborough in, 86; the recreation of, begins with the victory of Rossbach, 189

Gervais of Canterbury, chronicle of, i. 115

Gesith, *see* Thegn

Gesta Stephani, i. 115

Gewissas, a Saxon tribe, i. 23

Ghent, Edward I. confirms the Great Charter at, i. 346; John of Gaunt born at, 430; its reduction by the French, 468; "Pacification of," ii. 391, 392

Gibbon, iv. 265

Gibraltar, ceded to England in the Treaty of Utrecht, iv. 96; besieged by the Spaniards, 139

Gifford, Bonaventure, Roman Catholic Bishop, 25

Gilbert, of Clare, Earl of Gloucester, supports Simon, i. 281; charges him with aiming at the crown, 286; renders peace with the younger Simon impossible, 293; head of the Twelve Commissioners, 294; occupies London, 295

Gilbert, Sir Humphry, ii. 413

Gilbert, William, sent by Gregory XIII. to form a Catholic Association in England, ii. 395

Gilbert, discovers terrestial magnetism, iii. 293

Gildas, i. 3

Gilds, i. 198, 200, 209, 211; merchant-gilds, 198; knighten-gilds, 200; trade or craft-gilds, 209, 211; frith-gilds, 213; gild of the Staple, 437; gild of St. John at Bruges, ii. 54

Ginkell, General, commander of William III.'s forces in Ireland, seizes Athlone, iv. 56

Giraldus Cambrensis, *see* Gerald of Wales

Girondists, their aim, iv. 298

Glanvill, his treatise on Law, i. 115

Glastonbury, the monastery of, i. 80

Glencairn, Lord, ii. 338

Glencoe, Massacre of, iv. 43

Gloucester, besieged by Charles I., iii. 217; its relief the turning-point of the Civil War, *ib.*

Gloucester, Gilbert of Clare, Earl of, *see* Gilbert

Gloucester, Thomas of Woodstock, Duke of, youngest son of Edward III., i 430, 469, 470, 480, 481, 494

Gloucester, Humphrey, Duke of, brother to Henry V., named Regent of Eng-

land, i. 516; his patronage of learning and bequest of books to Oxford, *ib.*, and ii. 59; embodies the virtues and the vices of the Renascence, i. 517; set aside by the royal council, *ib.*; marries Jacqueline of Hainault, 517, 518; his quarrel with Beaufort, 518, 519; his marriage with Eleanor Cobham, 528; his intercourse with astrologers and its consequences, *ib.*; retires from public affairs, 528; arrested on a charge of conspiracy, 530; found dead, *ib.*

Gloucester, Richard, Duke of, brother of Edward IV., his temper and military renown, ii. 60; with Lord Hastings and the Duke of Buckingham overthrows the Woodvilles, *ib.*; Protector of the Realm, *ib.*; causes Hastings to be executed, 61; accepts the crown, *ib.*; *see* Richard the III.

Gloucester, Hooper, Bishop of, refuses to wear the episcopal habits, ii. 227; burned in his cathedral city, 246

Glyndwr, Owen, his descent, i. 495; takes the title of Prince of Wales, 496; defeats the English at Brynglas, 497; acknowledged by the French king, 498; Prince Henry takes the field against, 500; unconquered, 503

Godfrey, Sir Edmondsbury, Oates lays the story of the Popish Plot before, iii. 401; his murder, 402

Godolphin, Sidney, Lord, comes into office as a financier, iii. 415; in the Tory ministry, iv. 72; made Lord Treasurer on the accession of Anne, 82; backs the test against "occasional conformity," 89; Marlborough's closest friend, 100; his dismissal, *ib.*

Godwine, Earl of the West Saxons, his first appearance, i. 97; his obscure origin, 98; allied to Cnut by marriage, *ib.*; abandons the Danish cause, 99; his greed, *ib.*; obtains a hold on the throne by the marriage of his daughter Eadgyth to the King *ib.*; his policy, *ib.*; his influence on his son's behalf, 100; his relations with Eadward, *ib.*; refuses to exact vengeance from Dover, *ib.*; his quarrel with Eadward widens into open strife, *ib.*; his march to Gloucester, his demands, *ib.*; takes refuge in Flanders, 101; restored to his home by the Witan, *ib.*; Eadward once more yields to him, *ib.*; his death, *ib.*

Gondomar, Spanish ambassador at the Court of James I., iii. 107, 109

Goodman, one of the Protestant exiles, ii. 272; his seditious writings, a direct summons to rebellion, 272, 274, iii. 51

Gospellers, ii. 260, 281

Gowrie, Earl of, iii. 42, 49

Grafton, Duke of, head of Chatham's ministry after Chatham's withdrawal, iv. 237

Granby, Lord, Commander-in-Chief, iv. 244

Grand Alliance, the, iv. 40; the new, 78; Marlborough becomes its guiding spirit, 81; joined by Savoy, 82

Granville, Earl, *see* Carteret

Grattan, Henry, iv. 258, 286, 312, 313, 314

Gravelines, victory of Philip II. at, ii. 268

Great Council, transforms itself into the English Parliament, i. 331

Greek letters in Italy and England, revival of, ii. 77-86

Greene, Robert, the dramatist and novelist, ii. 437, 448, 451, 452, 453

Greene, General, in the American War, iv. 255

Greenvil, Sir Bevil, iii. 212

Greenwich, the national observatory at, iii. 317

Gregory the Great, his interview with the English slaves, i. 36; sends Augustine to England, 39

Gregory VII., Pope, demands fealty of William, i. 125

Gregory XIII. orders a Te Deum for the Massacre of St. Bartholomew, ii. 383; presses on Spain and France the invasion of England, 385, 387; his policy and that of Pius V., 388; sends seminary priests from Douay to England, 389; brings about a Catholic revolution in Scotland and Ireland. 392; failure of his military effort in Ireland, 394, 395; plans a missionary effort in England under William Gilbert, *ib.*; Campian and Parsons are sent to England, *ib.*

Grenville, minister of Philip II. of Spain, ii. 300

Grenville, Sir Richard, 430

Grenville, George, comes into office with Pitt, iv. 173; deserts Pitt and attaches himself to Bute, 208; his ministry, 217; his contest with Wilkes and the press, 218; his policy with regard to the colonies, 219; his aim strictly financial, 220; his rigid enforcement of the Navigation Laws, 223; the Stamp Act passed, *ib.*; abandons all thought of further taxation, 241; his death breaks up the Whig faction, 245

Grenville, Lord, withdraws from office with Pitt, iv. 337; his ministry, 350; action relative to the Berlin Decree, 352; abolition of the slave trade, 1807, 352; fall of his ministry, 353

Gresham, Sir Thomas, founds the Royal Exchange, ii. 371

Grey, Lord Leonard, Lord Deputy of Ireland, ii. 171

Grey, Lord, commands the forces sent by Elizabeth to the help of the lords of the Congregation, ii. 302

Grey, Lady Elizabeth, daughter of the Duchess of Bedford and Sir Richard Woodville, secretly married to Edward IV., ii. 35; her efforts to obtain the regency foiled, 60; takes sanctuary at Westminster with her daughter, 63; consents to Morton's scheme for the

marriage of her daughter with Henry Tudor, *ib.*; is reconciled to Richard and quits the sanctuary, 63; espouses Simnel's cause, 69
Grey, Lady Jane (grand-daugther of Mary Duchess of Suffolk, sister to Henry VIII.), marries Lord Guildford Dudley, ii. 232; the succession to the Crown altered in her favor by Edward VI. *ib.*; proclaimed Queen, 233; imprisoned in the Tower, 235; tried for treason with her husband and brothers, 236; rising in her favor, 240; sent to the block, 242
Grey, Catharine, sister to Lady Jane Grey, married to Lord Pembroke, ii. 232; her claim to the throne supported by the Commons, ii. 343; her marriage with Lord Hertford, iii. 37
Grimbald, scholar, summoned to Winchester by Ælfred, i. 76
Grindal, Archbishop, one of the Protestant exiles, ii. 265, 273; his direction of Elizabeth's studies, 275; suspended for Calvinistic fanaticism, 378
Grindecobbe, William, forces the abbot of St. Albans to give up the charters, i. 455; his last words a prophecy, 456, 457
Grocyn, his Greek studies under Chancondylas in Florence, and Greek lectures in Oxford, ii. 78; foremost among the preachers at the Court, 121
Grosseteste, Robert, bishop of Lincoln, his letters, i. 184; his constitutions, 241; lectures in the Grey Friars' school at Oxford, 244
Grouchy, Marshal, iv. 372, 373
Grow, vegetable physiologist, iii. 317
Gualo, Papal Legate, importance of his position, i. 237
Guienne, i. 328, 330, 331, 368, 388, 391, 392, 408, 412, 428; loss of, under Henry VI., 536
Guinea, traffic of the West India Company with, striking of the first "guineas," iii. 354
Guipuzcoa, iv. 73
Guise, Duke of, uncle to Mary, Queen of Scots, rules Francis II., ii. 301; his projects foiled by the young king's death, 310; rise of a Catholic party under his leadership, 322; Philip's intrigues with, 323; hires German mercenaries against the Huguenots, 324; besieges Orleans, 325; his success wakens Mary Stuart to new energy, 327; his assassination and its effect on the Catholic army, 329
Guise, Henry, Duke of, his struggle with Henry of Navarre, ii. 420; rouses the Paris populace to arms, takes the king prisoner, 421; is made lieutenant-general, *ib.*; stabbed in the king's presence, 428
Guisnes, surrender of, ii. 258
Gunpowder Plot, the, iii. 62
Gurdon, Adam, knight, i. 293, 299
Gustavus of Sweden, iii. 140
Guthlac, the hermit of Crowland, i. 4, 58
Guthrum, the Northman, becomes King of East Anglia, i. 70; makes peace with Ælfred at Wedmore, 71
Guy of Amiens, i. 5
Gwalchmai, the Welsh bard, his poetry, i. 271, 273
Gwent, or downs, English occupation of, i. 23; the West-Saxons in, 25
Gwynn, Nell, mother of the first Duke of St. Albans by Charles II., iii. 323
Gyrth, son of Godwine, killed at Senlac by William, i. 108

H

Habeas Corpus Act, its enactment, iii. 408, 409; suspended, iv. 129, 304, 309
Hadrian IV., Pope, i. 167; his bull approving Henry II.'s enterprise against Ireland, *ib.*
Hæthfeld, Eadwine of Northumberland killed at, i. 45
Hainault, relations of Edward III. with, i. 380, 382; strife between the Duke of Burgundy and Gloucester relative to, 518
Hakluyt, his collection of voyages, ii. 439
Hale, Sir Matthew, iii. 262, 270, 339
Hales John, Canon of Windsor, iii. 294, 296
Halidon Hill, battle of, i. 384
Halifax, Savile, Lord, one of the leaders of the Country party, iii. 404; enters the ministry, 405; opposes the Exclusion Bill, 410; opposes Shaftesbury's project in favor of Monmouth, 411; the mouthpiece of the Prince of Orange in opposing the Exclusion Bill, 418; his Limitation Bill, 418, 420; his advice to call a Parliament evaded by the King, 428; finds that he as been duped, iv. 10; dismissed on refusing to consent to the repeal of the Test Act, 17; counsels William to further the flight of James, 36; prays William and Mary to receive the Crown, 39; becomes Privy Seal, 52; his death, 127
Halifax, Duke of, founds the colony of Halifax, iv. 167; his plan for a union of the colonies, 168
Hall, Bishop, his satires, iii. 158, 226, 227
Hallam, iii. 311
Halle, his Chronicle, ii. 3
Halley, astronomer at Greenwich, iii. 317
Hamilton, one of the scholars who diffused Lutheran opinions in Scotland, ii. 260
Hamilton, the House of, next to Mary Stuart in succession to the throne, ii. 356; become the centre of a Catholic league, *ib.*; encouraged by Elizabeth in their designs of freeing Mary, 358; plans for Mary's marriage with their head, 359
Hamilton, Marquis of, aids Gustavus of Sweden in his conquest of the Palatinate, iii. 140; his action as Royal Commissioner in Scotland, 178, 179, 180, 245; becomes a Duke, 345; defeated at

Preston by Cromwell, 247 ; his execution, 253
Hamilton, Anthony, his *Memoirs of the Count de Grammont*, iii. 311 ; William III.'s general in Ireland, iv. 46
Hampden, John, resists the exaction of the forced local loan, iii. 126 ; his descent, 169 ; his noble aims and patriotism, *ib.* ; his home on the brow of the Chilterns, 170 ; the friend of Eliot and Prim, 171 ; his connection with Oliver Cromwell, *ib.* ; heads the resistance to the levy of "ship money," *ib.* ; the judgment on his case, 176 ; the judgment annulled, 190 ; his belief in the sufficiency of Strafford's impeachment 193 ; his part in the scheme for a Parliamentary ministry, 194 ; his coolness and tact in the debates on the Remonstrance, 202 ; accused of high-treason at the bar of the Lords, 204 ; a member of the Committee of Public Safety, 209; accused of high-treason with four other members of the Commons, 204 ; one of the leading spirits in the Committee of Public Safety, 209 ; his part in the war, 212 ; his "Greencoats," *ib.* ; forms the Association of the Eastern Counties, 213 ; his death, 215, 216
Hampton Court, built by Wolsey, ii. 109 ; given by him to Henry VIII., 119 ; the conference of, iii. 58
Hanover, its rivalry with Prussia, iv. 157 ; the Convention of, 160 ; made a kingdom with Hesse-Cassel, 357 ; the House of (1714–1760), 76, 102, 104, 185
Hanse merchants, i. 307
Hanseatic towns, annexed by France, iv. 358
Harald Hardrada, King of Norway, i. 106 ; invades England, defeated at Stamford Bridge, 107
Hardwicke, Lord, chancellor in Walpole's ministry, iv. 142 ; considers a league with Prussia indispensible as a check on France, 169
Hardyng, his chronicle, i. 356
Harfleur, capture of, i. 509
Hargreaves, John, inventor of the spinning-jenny, iv. 273
Harley, Robert, comes to the front as leader of the moderate Tories, iv. 75 ; in Marlborough's coalition ministry, 89 ; his intrigues at Court against the Whigs through Mrs. Masham, 95 ; dismissed from office by the Queen's unwilling consent, 96 ; placed by her at the head of the Tory ministry, 99 ; his measures for providing for the succession of the House of Hanover, 102 ; made Earl of Oxford, 103 ; *see* Oxford,
Harold, son of Cnut, surnamed Harefoot, king over all England, i. 97 ; his lawlessness and bloodshed, *ib.*
Harold, son of Godwine, Earl of East Anglia, i. 99 ; his advent to power, 101 ; follows his father's policy, *ib.* ; his military genius, *ib.* ; his campaign against Wales, *ib.* ; his oath to Duke William, 105 ; his accession, 106 ; defeats the Norwegians at Stamford Bridge, *ib.* ; his death at the battle of Hastings, 107
Harrington, ii. 189
Harrison, General, his warning to Cromwell on the expulsion of the Commons, iii. 265, 336
Harthacnut, son of Cnut, becomes king on Harold's death, i. 97 ; his savage character, 98 ; disinters his brother's body, *ib.* ; his huscarles killed at Worcester, *ib.* ; orders the town to be burnt, *ib.* ; his brutal death, *ib.*
Harvey teaches the circulation of the blood, iii. 293
Haselrig, Sir Arthur, one of the Five Members, iii. 204, 261, 264 ; in Cromwell's first Parliament, 273 ; in Cromwell's second Parliament, 284 ; excluded, *ib.* ; in Richard Cromwell's Parliament, 305
Hasting, the sea-king, enters the Thames. i. 78 ; withdraws across the Channel, *ib.*
Hastings, battle of, i. 107
Hastings, Lord, chief adviser of Edward IV., ii. 61 ; joins with Gloucester and the Duke of Buckingham to overthrow the Woodvilles, *ib.* ; his loyalty to the children of Edward IV., *ib.* ; charged with sorcery by Gloucester, *ib.* ; executed in the Tower, *ib.*
Hastings, Lord, marries the daughter of Northumberland, ii. 233
Hastings, Warren, first Governor-General of India, iv. 255 ; his trial, 267
Havre, its surrender exacted by Elizabeth in her treaty with the Huguenots, ii. 324
Hawke, Admiral, iv. 182
Hawkesbury, Lord, in the Addington ministry, iv. 339
Hawkins, John, the guilt of the slave trade rest with, ii. 374 ; sails with the fleet against the Armada, 424
Hawley, General, iv. 159
Hayward, ii. 189
Hearne, i. 355
Heinsius, the pensionary of Holland, iv. 86
Hengest and Horsa, Ealdormen of Jutland, land in Kent, i. 21
Henrietta Maria, Queen of Charles I., daughter of Henry IV. of France, her religious and political temper and its effect on the downfall of the Stuarts, iii. 117 ; her hatred of Wentworth, 147 ; spurs on Charles to violent courses, 194 ; persuades him to assent to the death of Strafford, 196 ; sails from Dover with the Crown jewels to buy munitions of war, 206 ; returns from Holland with arms, 211
Henrietta, Duchess of Orleans, daughter of Charles I., iii. 334 ; her daughter marries the Duke of Savoy, iv. 76
Henry I. of England seizes the Crown, i. 132 ; his charter, 133 ; his marriage

with Matilda, *ib.*; the first English sovereign, 134; the English support him against Robert, 135; his treaty with Robert, *ib.*; his conflict with the barons, *ib.*, 134, 135; his temper and bearing, 136; establishes his power in England, *ib.*; his campaign in Normandy, *ib.*; his rule, *ib.*, 136; his gradual conquest of Wales, *ib.*; his relations with the Scots, *ib.*; his administration, 137, 138; the Angevin marriage, 138; his grief at the loss of the White Ship, 139; his death in the Forest of Lyons, 151; called the Peace-loving King, 136

Henry II. Fitz-Empress, i. his temper, 151; master of Normandy and Anjou, *ib.*; marries Eleanor of Aquitaine, *ib.*; invited to England by Thomas, *ib.*; his treaty with Stephen at Wallingford, 152; homage done to him at Oxford, *ib.*; returns to Normandy, *ib.*; returns to England as King, 152, 153; his appearance and personal character, 153; his policy, 154; the King's Court and Exchequer restored, 155; his friendship with Thomas Beket, *ib.*; his repulse in Wales, *ib.*; his foreign possessions, *ib.*; his device of the Great Scutage, 155, 156; appoints Thomas of London to the see of Canterbury, 156; aims at abolishing clerical immunities, 156; proposes that clerical convicts should be subject to civil power, 157; his Constitutions of Clarendon, *ib.*; legal reforms, 157, 159; beginning of modern legislation under, 158; develops the jury, 159; has his son crowned, 160; invasion of Ireland, 166, 168; revolt of his son, 169, 170; his victories over William of Scotland, 170; his power at its height, *ib.*; later reforms, 170, 171; rebellions and wars of his later days, 171, 172; John rebels against, 172; his death at Chinon, *ib.*

Henry, son of Henry II., crowned in his father's lifetime, i. 169; his revolts, 169, 171; his death, *ib.*

Henry III., William Marshal his governor, i. 237; Hubert de Burgh, justiciar, 238; order restored, 239; assents to a fresh promulgation of the Charter as the price of a subsidy, 240; state of the Church under, 241; the Friars, 242; revival of theology, 244; Roger Bacon, 246; scholasticism and its political influence, 250, 251; his temper, 252; rebuilds Westminster Abbey, *ib.*; his policy that of John, 253; his adherence to Rome, *ib.*; his French campaign, 255; dismisses Hubert de Burgh, *ib.*; marries Eleanor of Provence, 257; surrounds himself with aliens, *ib.*; his prodigality and extortion, 259; his struggle with the Baronage, 257-295; takes steps to connect the earldoms more closely with the Crown, *ib.*; troubles in Gascony, 262, 264; his dealings with Wales, 266, 275, 281, 286, 288, 295; orders the Provisions of Oxford to be observed, 275; his Parliaments, 262, 275, 276, 284, 291, 295, 296; makes peace with France, 278; Lewis IX. supports him in resisting the Provisions, 281; his capture at the Battle of Lewes, 283; his rescue and the reaction in his favor, 288; enters London in triumph, 291; the power passes to his son, 295; his death, 296

Henry IV. of Lancaster, Earl of Derby, son of John of Gaunt, i. 469; his alliance with the House of Bohun, 481; joins the Crusade, *ib.*; made Duke of Hereford, 482; exiled for six years, 483; lands in England, 487; becomes master of the realm, 488; takes Richard II. prisoner, 489; challenges the Crown in Parliament, 491; his Parliamentary title, *ib.*; his policy toward the Church, 491-493; his French policy, 493; the Scotch King refuses to acknowledge him, 494; merciful treatment of the Lords Appellant and its consequences, 494, 495; marches into Scotland, 495; Wales revolts against, under Owen Glyndwr, 495, 496; his ill-success in Wales, 499, 500, 501; holds James, the son of King Robert, as hostage, 499; his popularity disappears, 504; owns his belief in the charges brought against his son, 505; his death, 506

Henry V., his military exploits as Prince of Wales, i. 496, 500, 503; proves his loyalty to the Church, 535; his persecution of the Lollards, *ib.*; head of the Continual Council, 504; charged with plotting against his father, 505; his accession, 506; his French policy, *ib.*; claims the crown of France, 508; heads his forces at Agincourt, 509-511; his conquest of Normandy, 511, 512; his marriage, 513; made Regent of France by the Treaty of Troyes, *ib.*; his death, *ib.*; his greatness reaches its height, 513-515

Henry VI. recognized as King, i. 515; his position as compared with that of his father, *ib.*; crowned at Paris, 526; his marriage with Margaret of Anjou, 529; loss of Normandy under, 529-531; revolt of Kent under, 531-534; the dissolution of the Houses announces his resolve to govern in defiance of the national will, 534; loss of Guienne under, 536; his madness and protectorate of York, 537; birth of his son, *ib.*; revolt of York against, *ib.*; takes arms against the rebels, 538; his capture, 539; his misrule shown by the attitude of the commercial class, 540; takes refuge in Scotland, 542; betrayed into his enemies' hands and imprisoned in the Tower, ii. 29; his mysterious death, 48

Henry VII. (*see* Richmond, Henry Tudor, Earl of), his accession, ii. 67; his temper, culture, and tastes, *ib.*; his chapel

at Westminister, *ib.*; his rule beset by dangers, *ib.*; his Parliamentary title, 68; his marriage with Elizabeth of York does not allay the Yorkists' wrath, 68; revolt of Simnel, 68, 69; his inner government, 69; revives benevolences and imitates Edward in his fines and exactions, whereby he tries to make himself independent of Parliament, 69; convenes Parliament but twice during the last thirteen years of his reign, *ib.*; imitates Edward in his civil government, 70; enforces the Statute of Liveries, *ib.*; establishes the Court of Star Chamber, *ib.*; his foreign policy one of peace, *ib.*; war of Britanny, 71; his action with regard to Ireland, 72; his dealings with Scotland, 73; marriage of his daughter Margaret with the Scot-King, through which the House of Stuart was to come to the English throne, 74, 75; the Spanish marriage-alliance, 75, 76; maintains a balanced policy between France and Spain, 77; Warbeck and Warwick executed, 76; the Renascence, 77, 78; John Colet, 79, 80; Erasmus, 80; revival of letters, 81; Archbishop Warham, 82; death of Henry VII., 83

Henry VIII., betrothed as Prince to his brother's widow, ii. 76; is made to protest against the contract, 77; his accession, 83; his personal appearance, *ib.*; his largeness and versatility of mind characteristic of the age, 84; his sympathies with the new learning, *ib.*; his temper, 87; political reasons for his marriage with Catharine of Aragon, 88, 89; joins the Holy League, 90; takes the field in France and routs the French in the battle of the Spurs, 92; Terouenne and Tournay are taken, *ib.*; James IV. of Scotland invades England, and is killed at the battle of Flodden, *ib.*; left alone by the dissolution of the League, and driven to conclude a peace, 91; protest of the New Learning against the spirit of war, *ib.*; his home a home of letters, 92; his sister Mary marries Lewis XII., 106; treaty with Francis I. who promises the Dauphin's hand to Henry's daughter Mary, 108; through Wolsey's policy becomes a great power in European affairs, 108; his concentration of secular and ecclesiastical power in Wolsey's hand, 109; becomes a candidate for the imperial Crown, 112; his schemes of a French Crown, 113; is visited by Charles V. at Canterbury, *ib.*; his interview with Francis on the plain of Guisnes, *ib.*; his secret confederacy with Charles V., who is contracted to the Princess Mary, *ib.*; arrest and execution of the Duke of Buckingham, *ib.*; league with Charles and the Pope, 113; benevolences exacted for the French Campaign, 114; Wolsey's struggle with the Parliament and his failure, 115; war with France, 115; furnishes Charles with supplies, 117; sees himself tricked by Charles, *ib.*; resistance to "Benevolences, 118; end of the Austrian alliance, 119; Wolsey's influence over him declines, *ib.*; Luther, 120; the policy of Henry at one with that of the Papacy until the break with Clement, 121; his "Assertion of the Seven Sacraments," for which he is rewarded by Leo with the title "Defender of the Faith," *ib.*; strong influence of the New Learning at his court, *ib.*; Tyndale and the translation of the Bible, 123; Wolsey and Lutheranism, 125; Henry's protection of Latimer, 126; concludes a secret treaty with France, 128; withdraws from all active part in the rivalry of Charles and Francis, *ib*; beginning of his attachment for Anne Boleyn, 129; presses the Roman See for a divorce, 130; Clement hesitates through fear of the Emperor, *ib.*; sends Wolsey to France, 131; his coldness to him on his return an omen of his fall, *ib.*; grant of a legatine commission, *ib.*; Cardinal Campeggio appointed legate with Wolsey, 133; growing hatred of Wolsey throughout the country, *ib.*; sweating sickness, *ib.*; trial of the divorce, 134; Henry's wrath at the adjournment of the court, 136; his citation to Rome, *ib*; visits his displeasure upon Wolsey, *ib.*; deprives him of the seals, 137; pardons him on surrender of his possessions to the Crown, and permits him to withdraw to his diocese of York, 138; the new despotism of the monarchy under Cromwell's rule, 139; Cromwell wins his favor by his advice respecting the divorce, 142; the rule of the Churchmen ceased with Wolsey, *ib.*; the rise of the Howards to power, *ib.*; the Parliament no longer looked on as a danger by the monarchy, but as a tool, 143; More made chancellor, 144; hopes of the New Learning as seen in the acts of More's ministry, 145; Henry forbids the circulation of the Bible as executed in a Protestant spirit, *ib.*; opinions of the universities as to the legality of the divorce, 146; regrets Wolsey, *ib.*; Cromwell's rise and policy, 147; Henry acknowledged as Head of the Church, 149; puts away Catharine, 150; embassy, with Gardiner at its head, sent to the Papal court, *ib.*; More resigns his office, 151; the revolt against the Papacy stimulated by the fresh sense of national greatness and unity, 152; Act of Appeals. 153; marriage of Anne Boleyn, 153; Act of Supremacy, 153; Cromwell made vicar-general, 155; subjection of the bishops, 156; visitation of the religious houses, 157; suppression of the lesser monasteries, 158; enslavement of the clergy, 159; Crom-

well's administration the English reign of terror, 160; the terror of Cromwell as compared with the terror of France, 161; More refuses to take the oath acknowledging the invalidity of the marriage with Catharine, 163; More sent to the Tower, 164; committal of the Brethren of the Charter-house, 165; Bishop Fisher and More executed, *ib.*; the nobles rise against Cromwell, 166; Anne Boleyn charged with adultery, and executed, 166; the "Pilgrimage of Grace," 167; the suppression and execution of its leaders, 168; Henry's marriage with Jane Seymour, 168; birth of Edward VI., 169; Ireland under the Norman Lords of the Pale, *ib.*; Cromwell's policy in Ireland, 170; conquest of Ireland, 171; Henry's Irish government, 171; Cromwell's reform of religion, 173; Miles Coverdale's Bible published under Henry's patronage, 174; the Lutheran Alliance, *ib.*; the Articles of 1536, 175; the Irish churches, 176; Ireland and the Supremacy, 177; Ireland and the religious changes, 178; the English Protestants and their zeal, 179; the Six Articles, 181; Cromwell's last struggle, 182; the opposition to Cromwell's system gathers round the Courtenays and Poles, 183; Paul III. publishes a bull of excommunication and deposition against Henry, 184; Reginald Pole presses the Emperor to carry the bull into execution, 184; arrest and execution of Pole's brothers and attainder of his mother, *ib.*; the Lutheran marriage forced on Henry by Cromwell, 185; execution of Cromwell, 186; the monarchy reaches the height of its power, 191; growth of Parliamentary power, 193; the new nobles, 194; the erection of six new bishoprics, 195; results of the religious changes, *ib.*; Norfolk's influence over Henry, 197; Henry repudiates Anne of Cleves, and marries Catharine Howard, *ib.*; the Imperial Alliance, *ib.*; the Emperor's proposal of a council, 198; Henry's advances welcomed by the Emperor, 200; hostile attitude of James V. of Scotland towards him, 201; Catherine Howard charged with adultery, attainted, and executed, 202; Henry marries Catharine Parr, *ib.*; Norfolk's influence over him gives way to Gardiner's, *ib.*; Scotland invaded under Norfolk, 202; defeat of the Scots at the Solway, 203; the Scotch Marriage Treaty, *ib.*; Henry's plans foiled by the influence of Francis in Scotland, 204; first appearance of an English fleet, 205; war with France, *ib.*; siege of Boulogne, 206; growth of Lutheranism and its effect on Charles' policy towards France and England, 207; the French fleet at the Isle of Wight, 208; peace with France and Scotland, *ib.*; poverty of the Crown, benevolences, confiscations, and debasement of the currency, 209; Henry's offers to the League refused, 210; effect on English religion of his religious government, *ib.*; his cry for brotherly love addressed to the Parliament, 211; the religious truce of his later years, 212; translation of the Creed, the Lord's Prayer, and the Commandments, 213; the Court factions, *ib.*; fall of the Howards, 215; death of Henry, 216; the Act of Succession and his will, *ib.*

Henry of Huntingdon as an authority, i. 3, 117; preserves the war-songs of the English conquerors, 162.

Henry, Bishop of Winchester, brother of Stephen, i. 149; half monk, half soldier, 150; appointed Papal legate, *ib.*; convenes synods of bishops, *ib.*; his office transferred to Theobald, *ib.*

Henry, Earl of Lancaster, head of the council, i. 372, 373; known afterwards as Earl of Derby, 391; shows the abilities of a great general, *ib.*; recovers Poitou, 397; created Duke of Lancaster, 408; heads a campaign in Normandy, *ib.*; carried off by the Black Death, 413; his daughter Blanche married to John of Gaunt, 426

Henry V., Emperor, his marriage with Matilda of England, i. 139

Henry VI., Emperor, Richard I. does homage to i. 175

Henry II. of France, succeeds Francis I., ii. 221; his son marries Mary Stuart, 221, 238; war with England, 257; Calais surrenders to the Duke of Guise, 258; his correspondence with Elizabeth, 277; plots a massacre of the Huguenots, 285; his son and Mary assume the arms of English sovereigns, 288; Treaty of Câteau Cambrésis, 289, 291, 299, 301; his death, 301

Henry III. of France (*see* Anjou), succeeds his brother Charles IX., ii. 385; his power overshadowed by that of the League, 415; affects to put himself at its head, 416; feeds the struggle between Henry of Guise and Henry of Navarre, 420; falls into the Duke's hands, 421; Guise summoned to his presence and stabbed, 428; the Leaguers make war upon him, *ib.*; assassinated by Jacques Clement, 429

Henry IV. of France (Navarre), chief of the House of Bourbon, ii. 302; his boast relative to the preaching of the Gospel, 322; leader of the Huguenot party, 415; his victory at Coutras, 420; comes to the aid of Henry III. with a Huguenot force, 428; his accession, *ib.*; his victory at Yvry, 429; lays siege to Paris, *ib.*; aided by Elizabeth in the siege of Rouen, 431; his conversion and end of the civil war, *ib.*; absolved by Clement VIII., *ib.*; restoration of the French monarchy to greatness, 432; his centralizing administration, iii. 281;

his grant of toleration to the Huguenots, 331
Henry of Trastamara, i. 428, 429, 430, 431, 432
Herbert, Sir Thomas, iii. 6
Herbert, George, iii. 32, 156
Herbert, Lord (afterwards Duke Beaufort), in Cromwell's first Parliament, iii. 273
Herbert, Admiral, carries the invitation to the Prince of Orange, iv. 31; becomes Earl Torrington and commander of the fleet, 45; *see* Torrington
Herbert, Sir William, created Earl of Pembroke, *see* Pembroke
Hereford, Fox, Bishop of, ii. 175; his sympathy with Lutheranism, *ib.*
Hereford, Humfrey de Bohun, Earl of, Constable, heads the opposition to Edward I., i. 345; pardoned, 346
Hereward, an outlawed leader, i. 112
Herford, Nicholas, Wyclif's scholar, i. 459, 461, 463
Herrick, the poet, iii. 159
Hertford, Lord Edward, brother to Jane Seymour and uncle to Edward VI., ii. 205; invades Scotland, *ib.*; made Protector, 217; raises himself to the dukedom of Somerset, 217; *see* Somerset
Hertford, Lord, his marriage with Lady Catharine Grey, iii. 37
Hervey, Lord, iii. 312
Hesse, Landgrave of, ii. 200, 210
Hexham Chroniclers, i. 115
Higden, on the use of the French language in England, i. 472
High Commission, Court of, its establishment by Elizabeth, ii. 410; *see* also Courts
Hitchinbrooke, the Cromwell house at, iii. 171, 224
Hobbes, Thomas, Bacon's secretary, iii. 297; his political speculations, 298; his bold scepticism, 319; his works condemned by Parliament, *ib.*
Hoche, General, iv. 315, 316
Hochkirch, battle of, iv. 181
Hocus-pocus origin of the term, ii. 181
Hohenfriedberg, iv. 157
Hohenlinden, battle of, iv. 329
Holbein, ii. 329
Holinshed, ii. 189
Holland, takes the lead in hostility to the Commonwealth, iii. 252; the English proposal of union with, refused, 257; war with, 259; Cromwell's treaty with, in 1654, 282; effect of the navigation Act on the policy of, 330; commercial relations with England under Charles II., 354; war with England, 356; Temple's proposal of a treaty with England and Spain against France, 357; naval war with England, 364, 387; conclusion of the Triple Alliance, 372; design of Lewis XIV. on, 373; overrun by Lewis, 384; saved by William's dauntless resolve, 386; union with Austria, 390; peace with England, 393; saved by the peace of Nimegwen, 400; Lord Townshend's Barrier Treaty with, iv. 127; joins in the war with Flanders, 157; alliance with England on behalf of Turkey, 291; restoration of the Stadtholderate, *ib.*; attacked by France, 302; Pichegru's conquest of, 307; now affected by the Peace of Amiens, 345; changed into a monarchy and its crown bestowed on Louis Buonaparte, 357; annexed by France, 366
Holland, New, discovery of, iv. 191
Holland, Lord, rising under, in favor of Charles I., iii. 247; his execution, 253
Holles, his memoirs, iii. 5
Holles, Lord, iii. 405
Hollis, one of the Five Members, iii. 201, 209, 239, 242
Homildon Hill, Henry Percy defeats the Scots at, i. 497
Honorius III., Pope, i. 237
Hood, Lord, iv. 307
Hooke, his microscopical researches, iii. 317
Hooker, Richard, his *Ecclesiastical Polity*, ii. 439, iii. 30, 31; his influence on the Church, *ib.*
Hooper, Bishop, his refusal to don his rocket, ii. 265
Hopton, Sir Ralph, Royalist general, iii 212
Horne, Bishop, one of the Protestant exiles, ii. 265
Hotham, Sir John, governor of Hull, refuses to open the gates to Charles I., iii. 208
Hounslow, camp established at, iv. 19
Howard, Catharine, niece of the Duke of Norfolk, ii. 197; the fifth wife of Henry VIII., *ib.*; charged with adultery, attainted, and executed, 202
Howard of Effingham, Lord, commands the fleet against the Armada, ii. 422, 423, 444
Howard, Francis, *see* Essex, Lady
Howard, John, the philanthropist, iv. 266
Howards, the, their origin and sudden rise, ii. 142, 143; their alliance with the houses of Arundel and Norfolk, *ib.*; *see* Norfolk, Thomas Howard, Duke of
Howe, refuses to accept James II.'s Act of Indulgence, iv. 23
Howe, Nonconformist, expelled from his church, iii. 346
Howe, General, in the War of Independence, iv. 249, 250
Howe, Lord, his victory, the "First of June," over the French fleet in 1794, iv. 308
Hubert de Burgh, justiciar, i. 238; his transitional temper, 239; thoroughly English, *ib.*; restores order, *ib.*; his stern justice, 240; his fall, 255
Hubert, Walter, archbishop of Canterbury, i. 175; his ability and firmness, *ib.*; his financial measures, 176; resigns, 178; opposes John, 219; his death, *ib.*

Hugh, Bishop of Lincoln, withstands Richard's demands of money, i. 178
Hugh Bigod, justiciar, i. 276; resigns, 279
Hugh Le Despenser, justiciar, supports Earl Simon, i. 279; deposed, 280; falls at Evesham, 288; his son Hugh, 366; his grandson Hugh, 368
Hugh Puiset, Bishop of Durham, i. 173
Huguenots, factious lawlessness of, ii. 252; their massacre plotted by Henry II., 285; assert a right of nonconformity, 286; their persecution by the Duke of Guise and Francis II., 301; nature of their Protestanism, *ib.*; headed by the House of Bourbon, 302; their demand for freedom of worship received by the French notables, 310; Elizabeth's alliance with, 311; their growing strength, 323; the *noblesse* and the clergy become Huguenot, *ib.*; call for a national council, *ib.*; the Civil War, 324; violence of the Huguenots, *ib.*; they retire to Normandy and the Cevennes, *ib.*; Elizabeth's treaty with, *ib.*; German Lutherans fight side by side with French Calvinists, 325; the edict of Amboise, 329; effect of their power on Calvinism in the Netherlands, 333; routed at Jarnac and Condé killed, 362; the Massacre of St. Bartholomew, 383; Church of the French Huguenots in the crypt of Canterbury Cathedral, 387; league of the French Catholics to oppose Henry's succession, 415; edicts of toleration in their favor revoked by Henry III., 416; their struggle with the Catholics under Henry of Guise, 420; accession of Henry of Navarre, 429; his victory of Yory and struggle with the Leaguers, *ib.*; his conversion and end of the Civil War, 431; English enthusiasm for, in the siege of Rochelle, iii. 128; their increasing persecution under Lewis XIV., 416, 417
Humbert, General, iv. 320
Humphrey de Bohun, *see* Hereford, Earl of
Hundreds, origin of the name, i. 14
Huntingdon, Lord, a Puritan, Mary Stuart committed to his custody, ii. 363
Huntly, Earl of, head of the Catholic lords of Northern Scotland, ii. 318; crushed by Murray, backed by Mary, 321; appears at Mary's court, 335; called to the Privy Council, 336
Huntly, Earl of, his part in the rising of the Scots against Charles, iii. 180
Hus-carles, Cnut's, i. 95
Huss, John, and the Hussite wars, i. 467
Hussey, Lord, beheaded for his share in the "Pilgrimage of Grace," ii. 168
Hutchinson, Major, iii. 5, 11, 21
Hutchinson, Lord, iv. 312
Hwiccas, country of the, on the Severn, belonging to Wessex, i. 45; taken by Penda, *ib.*
Hyde, Anne, marries Duke of York (James II.), iv. 21
Hyde, Sir Edward, his bill for perpetuating the Parliament, iii. 197; supports Stratford's attainder, *ib.*; organizes the party of the new Royalists, 200, 201; his state papers, 206; endeavors to check Charles in his project of war, 207; member of Charles II.'s first ministry, 334; becomes Earl of Clarendon, *see* Clarendon
Hyde, Laurence, younger son of the above, enters office, iii. 415; the confidant of Charles II., 428; becomes Earl of Rochester, iv. 10; *see* Rochester
Hyder Ali, iv. 321

I

Iceland colonized by Northmen, i. 85
Ida, King of the Bernicians, i, 35; fortifies Bamborough, *ib.*
Income tax, iv. 325
Independents, or Congregationalists, iii. 192, 225
India, the French attempt to expel the English from, iv. 161; Pitt's plans for its direct government by the Crown, 179; the Empire of England in, begins with Clive's victory at Plassey, 180; the French abandon all right to military settlement in, 211; first steps towards its transfer from the Company to the Crown, 234; its history under Warren Hastings, 255; Ceylon added to British possessions in, 309; French designs on, 321; British rule in, secured by the battle of the Nile, 323
Indulgence, Declaration of (under Charles II.), iii. 351; its popularity and withdrawal, 352; effect of; 380; published by James II., iv. 22; ordered to be read in every church 28
Ine, King of Wessex, founds Taunton, i. 60; driven from the throne, 61
Innocent III., his dispute with John, i. 219; his promotion of Stephen Langton, 220; annuls the Great Charter, 325
Instrument of government, the, iii. 271, 273, 275, 286
Iona, Monastery of, founded by Columba, i. 461; receives Colman and his Irish brethren from St Aidan, 531
Ionian Islands, become French, iv. 317; acknowledged as a free republic by the Treaty of Amiens, 346
Ireland, materials for the history of, i. 5; inhabitants of, called Scots, 21; its missionaries, 45. 46; the energy it drew from its conversion, 46; isolated position of its church, *ib.*; its relation to the Churches of the West, *ib.*; its missionaries, *ib.*; decline of its glory, 53; its want of organization, 54; its basis of government, the clan system, *ib.*; its long struggle with the Danes, 166; its conquest by Henry II., *ib.*; its ecclesiastical intercourse with England,

167; slave trade in, *ib.*; preparation for Henry II.'s invasion, *ib.*; the English Pale in, 483, 486; Richard II. in, 486, 488; Earl of Kildare, Lord Deputy, favors the pretensions of the pretended Earl of Warwick, ii. 68; dealings of Henry VII. with, 72, 73; state of, in the beginning of the sixteenth century, 169; feuds of the Irish Septs, *ib.*; Thomas Cromwell's policy in, 170; conquest of, 171; Henry VIII.'s government of, 173; the question of supremacy meets with general indifference, 177; dogged opposition to the religious changes introduced by Cromwell, 178; the Reformation and, 227; Queen Mary's rule in, 258; restoration of the Mass, *ib.*; Henry VIII.'s system of conciliation abandoned, 259; the English colonization of, by Lord Sussex, *ib.*; savage warfare between the planters and the dispossessed Septs, *ib.*; the country of the O'Connors made shire-land under the names of King's and Queen's counties, *ib.*; Sidney's victory in, followed by ten years of peace, 393; result of the Pope's attempt to bring about a Catholic insurrection in, 393, 394; revolt of Ulster under Hugh O'Neil, 473; Philip of Spain sends aid, *ib.*; Munster rises under Lord Desmond, *ib.*; the work of conquest brought to a close by Lord Mountjoy, *ib.*; under the Stuarts, iii. 148; Strafford's rule of terror, 149; an Irish Parliament summoned, 151; rising in, on the fall of Strafford, 198; its effect on England, 199; assembly of the confederate Catholics at Kilkenny, 218; Ormond defeated at Chester by Fairfax, 220; the Irish Catholics land soldiers in Argyle, 222; state of, on the death of Charles I., 253; Ormond calls on Charles II. to land, *ib.*; army set apart by the Commonwealth for the Irish war, *ib.*; Cromwell in, 255; members from, sit for the first time in the English Parliament, 273; Cromwell's pitiless policy in, 278; its representatives in Parliament, 279; Charles II. refuses to recognize the Union, 326; bishops restored, 327; the Protestant ascendancy unimpaired, *ib.*; government remains in Ormond's hands, *ib.*; rumors of a Catholic plot in, 418; policy of James II., iv. 19; Lord Tyrconnel at the head of the army, 20; Edward, Earl of Clarendon, Lord Lieutenant, 21; the Catholic rising, 44; siege of Londonderry, 45; James and Ireland, 46; repeal of the Act of Settlement, 47; Bill of Attainder, *ib.*; Schomberg in, 54; battle of the Boyne, 54, 55; conquered, 56; Lord Chatham's plans for the government of, 234; threatened revolt in, at the time of the American War, 256; Irish government, 257; the Volunteers, 258; independence conceded to its Parliament, 260; Pitt's view of its poverty and wrongs, 285; Pitt's offer of free trade rejected by the Irish Parliament under Grattan, 286, 312; nature of its independence, 311; Irish emancipation, 312; the United Irishmen, 313; France and, 314; the terror in, 316; Irish rising, 319; its failure, 320; union of England with, 325

Ireton, Henry, Cromwell's son-in-law, inclines to the Independents, iii. 237; moving spirit of the New Model, 240; negotiates with the King in the name of the army, 242, 243; his proposals refused by the Parliament, 244; claims a general amnesty, 259; his action in Ireland, 278; his body torn from the grave, 339

Isabel of Castile, ii. 75

Isabella of Angoulême, wife of John, i. 258

Isabella of France, wife of Edward II., i. 360; becomes the centre of a vast conspiracy against her husband, 368; Mortimer's influence over, 373; confined at Castle Rising, 374

Isabella, daughter of Charles VI. of France, married to Richard II., i. 480

Isabella, daughter of Philip II. of Spain, her claims to the English Crown, iii. 27

Itinerarium Regis, i. 116

J

Jackson, General, in the American army, iv. 370

Jacobities, the adherents of James II., formation of the party, iv. 52; their hopes on the death of the son of the Princess Anne, 76; their fervor abates during Walpole's administration, 138; revival and final ruin of their hopes, 158; the Tory party finally severed from, 159

Jacqueline of Hainault, married to Humphrey, Duke of Gloucester, i. 517; Hainault restored to her, *ib.*; holds Holland for three years, 518

Jamaica taken, iii. 283; trade with, iv. 137

James I. of Scotland, as a ruler and a poet, ii. 74; the Scotch Parliament organized under his reign, *ib.*; his violent death, *ib.*

James IV. of Scotland, his marriage with Margaret Tudor, daughter of Henry VII., ii. 75; slain at Flodden, 91

James V. of Scotland, comes to the throne in his twelfth year, ii. 116; his ability and policy, 201; his two marriages, *ib.*; his wars with England and defeat at the Solway, 203; birth of his daughter Mary Stuart, *ib.*; his death, *ib.*

James VI. of Scotland, son of Darnley and Mary Stuart, ii. 338; his coronation, 357; Murray's Regency, *ib.*; as-

sumes the government, 414; guided by Esme Stuart, *ib.*; courted by Essex and Cecil, 474; mentioned as her successor to Elizabeth on her death-bed, 476; *see* James I.

James I. (*see* James VI. of Scotland) owned as King by the Council, iii. 37; his youth, 38; his purpose, 40; sets himself to do the work of the Stuarts, *ib.*; his policy toward the nobles, 42; challenged by the Kirk, 45; his combat with the Kirk, 49; his struggle with Presbyterianism, 50; his struggle with the Church, 51; publishes his *Basilicom Dôron*, 52; his attitude and temper on being called to the English throne, *ib.*; his liking of the English Church, 53; his exterior, 54; his learning and shrewdness, *ib.*; his rule a foreign one, 55; resolved to undo Elizabeth's policy, 56; his action with regard to the Puritans, 57; his conference at Hampton Court, 58; his first Parliament, 59; approves the Canons of 1604, and raises Bancroft to the see of Canterbury, 60; his breach with the Catholics, *ib.*; the Gunpowder Plot, 61; his impositions, 63; wins a victory for his prerogative in the Bates case, 64; the naturalization of the "Postnati," 65; his action towards Scotland, *ib.*; reduces the Kirk to submission, 66; revives the Scotch Episcopacy, 67; efforts to assert his prerogative in England, 68; his claims, 69; his and the people's mutual distrust of each other, 70; his prodigality, 71; nature of the "great contract," 75; attitude of the Commons towards him, 76; dissolution of his first Parliament, 77; his claims to a purely personal rule, 79; becomes his own minister, 80; sets the council aside, 81; his favorites, 82; raises Carr to the peerage as Viscount Rochester, 83; his jealousy of Overbury and the murder of the latter, 85; raises Rochester to the earldom of Somerset, *ib.*; immorality of his Court, 86; induced by his distress for money to summon a new Parliament, surnamed the "addled," 87; makes a quarrel between the Houses a pretext for a dissolution, *ib*; failure of his attempt to raise money by benevolences, 88; his profuse creation of peers and its object, 89, 90; dismisses Coke, 91; the fall of Somerset, 92; rapid rise of Villiers, 95; his schemes for a Spanish marriage, 97; condemns Raleigh to death for the affront to Spain, 99; his embarrassment as to assisting the Palatine in Bohemia, 101; sends him an army, 102; summons the Parliament of 1621 and calls for supplies, 104; his conduct in regard to monopolies, *ib.*; Bacon impeached and fined, 105; clings to Spain, 107; his dispute with the Commons and dissolution of the Parliament, 108; Spain holds back from him, 109; end of the Spanish marriage, 110; the direction of English affairs passes into the hands of Prince Charles and Buckingham, 112; forced by Buckingham to meet the Parliament of 1624, 113; the persecution of the Catholics, *ib.*; Buckingham's plans, 114; the French marriage treaty, 115; his death and utter failure of his schemes at home, 115, 116; marriage of his son with Henrietta Maria the doom of his race, 117

James II., his main desire the establishment of Catholicism, iv. 12; his mind and temper, *ib.*; his declaration to the nation, *ib.*; summons Parliament, 13; a revenue granted him for life, *ib.*; Argyle's rising, *ib.*; Monmouth's rising, 14; avows and sanctions the Bloody Circuit, 15; renews his brother's secret treaty with France, 16; raises the standing army to twenty thousand, *ib.*; refuses to allow the Prince of Orange to visit England, *ib.*; his delight at the revocation of the Edict of Nantes, 17; his haughty declaration to Parliament and its prorogation, *ib.*; the test set aside, 18; his despotic course in Scotland and Ireland, *ib.*; appointment of the High Commission and its proceedings, 20; drives the High Church Tories from office and replaces them by Catholics, 21; resistance of the Tory nobles, 22; vainly turns to the Nonconformists for support, *ib.*; his fruitless efforts to secure a Parliament favorable to the repeal of the Test, 23; his attack on the universities, 24; his appeal to William and Mary in favor of the Catholics, 25; his hopes, 26; the trial and acquittal of the bishops, 27; the national discontent, 29; protest against his resolve to enrol Irish recruits among the troops, 30; announcement of the birth of a Prince and the invitations to William, *ib.*; his understanding with France, 32; William's acceptance, *ib.*; James gives way, 33; William's landing, 34; the national rising, 35; his flight, 36; his deposition by the Convention, 38; his reception at St. Germains, 40; fall of his tyranny in Scotland, 41; his hopes in Ireland, 44; lands at Kinsale, 45; the siege of Londonderry foils his hopes, 46; the Jacobites, 53; faces Schomberg at Drogheda, 54; receives French reinforcements under Lauzun, *ib.*; abandons his troops at the battle of the Boyne, 55; French descent on England, 58; battle of La Hogue and overthrow of the Jacobite hopes, 59

James, Prince of Wales (the Pretender), his birth, iv. 31; doubts as to his legitimacy, 34; sent to France, 36; proclaimed King of England by Lewis XIV., 78; bill of attainder against him, *ib.*; his expulsion from France by the terms of the Treaty of Utrecht, 101;

Harley's and Bolingbroke's delusive correspondence with, 102; Jacobite secession to, 118; his rising in 1715, 128
Jarrow, early history of, i. 61; fame of its school, *ib.*; library at, 62; the monk of, *see* Bæda
Jeanne Darc, her childhood, i. 520; her call, 521; her relief of Orleans, 522; brings the king to Rheims, 523; her capture, 524; her death, 525; looked on as a sorceress by the clergy, ii. 16
Jeffreys, Chief Justice, his Bloody Circuit, iv. 15; advises the prosecution of the seven bishops for libel, 28
Jehan le Bel, i. 355, 372, 398
Jamappes, battle of, iv. 302
Jena, battle of, iv. 350
Jenkinson (Lord Liverpool), secretary to Lord Bute, proposes the levy of a stamp tax in the colonies, iv. 214
Jersey, New, colonized by Presbyterians and Baptists, iv. 165
Jervis, Admiral, iv. 318
Jesuits, establishment of, ii. 206; become the directors, schoolmasters, missionaries, diplomatists of Catholicism, 252; their mission in England under Campian and Parsons, 395, 396; banished from England on pain of death, 417; set up a school in the Savoy, iv. 18
Jewel, Bishop, one of the Protestant exiles, ii. 265
Jews, Jewish traders in England, i. 125; their position in Oxford, 190, 204, 205; persecution, and expulsion of, by Edward I., 319, 323; their stone houses, 320; Cromwell connives at their settlement in London, iii. 280
Joan, sister of Edward III., i. 373
Joan of Kent, wife of the Black Prince, i. 430
Jocelyn of Brakelond, the Chronicle of, i. 116
John, King of England, his strife with Longchamp, i. 173; his succession sworn to, 174; his open revolt, 175; acknowledged as King, 179; defeats and murders Arthur, *ib.*; his loss of Normandy, *ib.*, 180; face to face with a new English people, 189; his character, 217; his dispute with Innocent III., 219; the interdict and his excommunication, 219, 220; his deposition, 221; his submission, 222; becomes vassal of Rome, 223; its results, 224; his promises to Stephen Langton, 225; Bouvines, 227; the English refuse to fight for him, *ib.*; rising of the baronage, 228; deserted, 229; yields and seals the Great Charter, 230, 231; Innocent annuls the Charter, 235; landing of Lewis, 236; his death, *ib*
John, King of Bohemia, i. 392, 396
John, King of France, his wars with Edward III., i. 407; anarchy of France under, 408, 411, 412; his capture and residence at the Savoy, 410; his son concludes the treaty of Bretigny, 412
John, Saint of Beverley, scholar of Whitby, i. 52
John of Salisbury, i. 115
John de Grey, Bishop of Norwich, appointed to the see of Canterbury by John, i. 219
John of Warenne, Earl of Surrey, Regent of Scotland, i. 343; retreats over the border, 347
John of Gaunt, Duke of Lancaster, third son of Edward III., his marriages, i. 426; his march through France, *ib.*, 429; born at Ghent, whence his name, 430; his vast English heritage, *ib.*; wields the actual power of the Crown, 436; supports Wyclif, 440, 441; failure of expedition against France under, 445; threatened with treason and sails for Spain, 468; his son, 469; Aquitaine granted to him, 480; his death, 483
John, Prior of Cambridge, i. 454
Johnson, Samuel, his reports of political debates, iv. 241
Jonson, Ben, iii. 158
Joseph II. of Austria, his love of mankind, iv. 282; his administrative reforms, 288; his alliance with Russia for the partition of Turkey, 290; his death, 291
Joyce, iii. 241
Juana, daughter of Ferdinand and Isabella, ii. 76; her madness leaves her son heir of Castile, 90
Judges, circuits of, their origin, i. 138
Juliers, alliance of Edward III. with, i. 380
Julius II., Pope, signs a bull legitimatizing the marriage of Catharine and Henry, iii. 76
Junius, Letters of, iv. 240
Junto, the, iv. 64; its fall, 72
Jury, trial by, origin of, i. 159; separation of the jurors from the witnesses, ii. 22
Justices, their circuits organized by Henry II., i. 170
Justiciar, or lieutenant-general, growth of the office, i. 137; dwindles into a presiding judge of the law-courts, 257
Jutes, their name, i.; their connection with the English and the Saxons, *ib.*; drawn by promises of land to Britain to fight against the Picts, 21; the smallest of the three tribes who blended in the English people, 23
Juxon, Bishop, Lord High Treasurer, iii. 155

K

Ken, Bishop, absolves Charles II. on his death-bed, iv. 11
Kenilworth, Ban of, i. 293, 295
Kennet, Bishop, iii. 311
Kent, the first English settlement in Britain, i. 22; its supremacy under Æthelberht, 38; the English kingdom to receive Christianity, 38–40; becomes subject to Wessex, 60; villeinage unknown in, 448; its share in the peasant

rising, *ib.*; revolt of, on the loss of Normandy, 531, 533; "Complaint of the Commons of Kent," 532; its political wrongs, *ib.*
Kent, Earl of, uncle of Edward III., i. 374
Kildare, Earl of, Deputy of Ireland, ii. 8; supports Simnel's cause, *ib.*; leader of the Lords of the Pale, 72; his seizure, *ib.*; returned as Lord Deputy to hold the country in Henry's name, 73; Lore Surrey's sonnets to his daughter Geraldine, 215; head of the Geraldine family, ii. 170; called to England and thrown into the tower, *ib.*
Killiecrankie, battle of, its effects, iv. 42
Kilmarnock, iv. 163
King, the, begotten by conquest, i. 33; hereditary character of the kingship, *ib.*; his power supreme in war, limited in peace, 34; change in the nature of his power, 86, 87; his consecration, 87; distinction between him and the ealdorman, *ib.*; his power still personal, *ib.*
King's Bench, nature of its jurisdiction, i. 309
King's Court, its jurisdiction strengthened by William, i. 124; nature of, under Henry I., 138; ceases to work under Stephen, 146; restored by Henry II., 155; divided into three tribunals, 309
Kirk, General Assembly of the, its organization, iii. 44; its sphere of action, 45; its attitude towards James, *ib.*; its triumph over James, 49; James asserts a right to convene and prorogue it, 66
Knolles, his *History of the Turks*, ii. 435.
Knollys, Sir Francis, one of the Protestant exiles at Frankfort, ii. 265; minister to Queen Elizabeth, his opinion of Mary Stuart, ii. 316
Knox, John, his history, ii. 261, 262; his remonstrances addressed from Geneva to the Scotch nobles the origin of the first Covenant, *ib.*; chosen minister by the Frankfort congregation, rejects the Communion Service, and draws up a new order of worship after the Geneva model, 271; driven from Frankfort on account of his outrage of the Emperor, *ib.*; his seditious writings against Queen Mary, 272; light in which Elizabeth regarded him, 300; withstands Mary's spell, 319; satisfied with her promise to "hear the preaching," *ib.*; sees through the veil of her dissimulation, 326; his formal doctrine of resistance, 330; breaks with Murray on account of his coolness, *ib.*; his burning appeal to the Lords, *ib.*; meets Mary's threats of vengeance with cool defiance, 331; calls for Mary's death as a murderess, 356; embodies the moral strength of the Reformation, iii. 44
Knyghton, canon of Leicester, i. 355, 356
Kolin, battle of, iv. 171
Kunersdorf, battle of, iv. 181
Kyd, ii. 448

L

Læt, or unfree man, the tiller of land owned by another, i. 10; free in the modern sense, 11; no part in the common land, *ib.*
Lafayette, Marquis de, with Washington's army, iv. 290
Lagos, English victory off, iv. 189
La Hogue, battle of, a death-blow to the Stuart restoration by help of foreign arms, iv. 59
Lake, Lord, defeats Irish rebels, iv. 320
Lambert, Parliamentary general, he and Cromwell defeat the Scots under the Duke of Hamilton, iii. 248; against title of king, 286; marches with to meet Monk, 305; in the Tower, 306; exempted at the Restoration from the general pardon, 336
Lambeth House, its chapel, iii. 17; restored by Laud, 156
Lancaster, House of, i. 490-513; Parliamentary title of, 508
Lancaster, Henry, Earl of, *see* Henry
Lancaster, Henry (IV.), Earl of, *see* Henry
Lancaster, Edmund Crouchback, Earl of, *see* Edmund
Land, primitive tenure of, in the early English settlements, i. 10; the accompaniment of full freedom with the German race, *ib.*; distribution of, in the new England, 32; folkland, public or unoccupied land, *ib.*; the merchant classes begin to invest in, ii. 22; value of, rises with the peace and firm government of the early Tudors, *ib.*; evictions and enclosures consequent on increase of rent, 23
Land-fyrd, or general levy of fighting men, i. 106
Land-tax, Walpole's effort to reduce it, iv. 140
Lanfranc, a Lombard scholar, monk, and prior of Bec, i. 105; censures William's marriage, *ib.*; his banishment and reconciliation, *ib.*; his favor with William, *ib.*; raised to the see of Canterbury, 125; his influence secures the English crown to William the Red, 128
Langside, defeat of Mary Stuart by Murray at, i. 358
Langton, Bishop, *see* Winchester
Langton, Simon, brother of the arch bishop, i. 141
Lansdowne, Marquis of (Lord Shelburne), his wide-reaching views of European affairs, iv. 310
Lateran, Council of (1216), abolishes the system of ordeal, i. 159
Latimer, Hugh, his soldierly training, ii. 126; flings himself into the New Learning at Cambridge, 127; his

preaching, *ib.*, 131; cited for heresy, 128; becomes Henry's chaplain, *ib.*; resigns the see of Worcester, 157; his Lutheran sympathies and insults to the old religion, 180; imprisoned, 182; denounces the prevailing greed of the Protestant upstart nobles, 224; as a representative of the extreme Protestants is sent by Mary to the Tower, 235; his triumphant cry at the stake, 247
Latimer, Lord Chamberlain, i. 437-439
Latimer, Lord, enlists in the "Pilgrimage of Grace," ii. 167; *see* also Parr, Catharine
Latin, disuse of, in public worship in England, ii. 218
Latin letters, revival of, ii. 81
Latitudinarians, iii. 294, 296, 318
Laud, Archbishop, personal character of his spiritual tyranny, ii. 411; his diary, iii. 5, 189; his positive and prosaic temper, 32; the centre of the Arminians and the King's adviser in ecclesiastical matters, 120; Bishop of London, 134; the Laudian clergy, *ib.*; his temper and his oneness of purpose, 151; his view of episcopal succession, 152; his persecution of the Puritans, 153; Primate, *ib.*; his declaration in favor of Sunday pastimes, 154; raises the clergy to a Catholic standard in doctrine and ritual, 155; his introduction of ritual, 156; his aggressive and revolutionary policy, 157; his sentence against Prynne, 160, 175; resolves on the conversion of the "ship-money" into a general tax, 168; causes the new Liturgy to be introduced into the Scotch Church, 172; presses for war with Scotland, 180; his imprisonment, 189
Lauderdale, Earl of, his government in Scotland, iii. 327, 370, 378
Lauzun, Count of, commands French auxiliaries at the battle of the Boyne, iv. 55
Laws, the, i. 4
Layamon, the priest of Earnley, now Areley, in Worcestershire, i. 186; character and value of his *Brut*, *ib.*, 116; few Norman words in it, *ib.*
League of Schmalkald, ii. 175, 207, 209
League, the Catholic, ii. 415, iii. 74
Leicester, struggle of its burgesses for emancipation, i. 209
Leicester, Robert Dudley, Lord, Elizabeth's love for, ii. 305, 306; his proposed marriage with Mary Stuart, ii. 322; sent to the Flemish coast with an army, 416; his disastrous skirmish at Zutphen, *ib.*; his strife with the Queen, *ib.*; his military incapacity, *ib.*; musters an army at Tilbury, 421; dies the year of the Armada, 474
Leighton, his denunciation of the prelates, iii. 160
Leipzig, battle of, iv. 368
Leith, siege of, ii. 299, 301, 302, 303
Le Mans, Henry II. born at, i. 172; surrenders to Charles VIII., 530
Lennox, Margaret Douglas, Countess of, mother of Darnley, ii. 352
Lennox, Esme Stuart, Duke of, ii. 414
Lennox, Lord, grandfather to James VI. of Scotland, killed in a fray, iii. 39
Lenthall, speaker of the House of Commons, iii. 205
Leo X. creates Wolsey a cardinal, ii. 105; appoints him papal legate, 110; his sentence against Luther, 120; his alliance bought by Charles V., *ib.*; bestows on Henry VIII. the title of "Defender of the Faith," 121
Leofric, Earl of Mercia, i. 99
Leopold, Duke of Austria, arrests Richard, i. 174
Leopold, Emperor, iv. 297, 298
Leslie, General, takes command of the Scotch forces, iii. 179; takes Earl of Huntly prisoner, 180; defeated by Cromwell at Dunbar, 258; taken prisoner at Worcester, 261
Lauffeld, battle of, iv. 160
Levant Company, the, iii. 64; Bates's case, *ib.*
Levellers, among Cromwell's Ironsides, iii. 228
Leven, Lord, iii. 220
Lever, the Protestant preacher in exile at Zürich, ii. 265, 270
Lewes, battle of, i. 282; mise of, 283
Lewis VIII. of France, invited to England by the barons, i. 236; invests Dover, 238; the treaty at Lambeth, *ib.*
Lewis IX. of France (Saint Lewis), the mise of Amiens and his decision relative to the Provisions of Oxford, i. 282
Lewis XI. of France, position of the French monarchy on his accession, ii. 31; eager for a renewal of the truce with England, 33; compelled to submit to the League, 36; terms of the peace, *ib.*; receives Warwick at Rouen, 38; league of England, Burgundy, and Britany against him, 39; paralyses the league by his quick blows, 40; supplies Warwick with money and men, 43; brings about a reconciliation between Margaret and Warwick, *ib.*; orders thanksgivings for the triumph of the Lancastrian cause, 45; makes peace with England, 51; his contest with the House of Austria, 52; troubles in Britany, 63; refuses on his deathbed to recognize the accession of Henry VII., 65
Lewis XII. of France, the duchy of Milan becomes subject to France, ii. 89; his treaty with VIII. and marriage with Mary Tudor, Henry's sister, 106; his death, *ib.*
Lewis XIII. of France, relations of Charles I. with, iii. 127-129; his minister, Cardinal Richelieu, 129; the seige of Rochelle, *ib.*; peace with England, 137
Lewis XIV. of France, power and

wealth of France under, iii. 331; his statesmen, Lionne, Louvois, Colbert, 332; aims at the ruin of Spain, *ib.*; his alliance with Charles II., 333; buys Dunkirk from Charles II., 348; occupies Flanders and Franche-Comte, 371; forced by the Triple Alliance to conclude peace at Aix-la-Chapelle, 373; his designs on Holland, *ib.*; Colbert's fleet, *ib.*; terms of secret alliance of Charles II. with, 377; attacks Holland, 384; defeated by William, 386; purchases the neutrality of Charles, 397; agrees to pay him a yearly pension, *ib.*; his success in Flanders, 398; the Peace of Nimegwen makes him the arbiter of Europe, 400; his action on the Popish Plot, 403, 404; his outrages on the powers of Europe, 416; Charles renews negotiations with, 419; vigor of his policy at home and abroad, 428; James II.'s pledge of subservience to, iv. 16; revocation of the Edict of Nantes, 17; Treaty of Augsburg concluded against, 26; mutual understanding between him and James, 32; opens the war by a campaign on the Rhine, 33; effect of the Revolution on, 39; the Grand Alliance against him, 40; receives James at St. Germains as King of England, *ib.*; his efforts to win command of the Channel, 53; sends French forces to Ireland under Lauzun, 54; the French descent on England, 57; the naval power of France declines after the battle of La Hogue, 59; takes Namur, 60; his desire for peace 61, 67; acknowledges William as King in the Peace of Ryswick, 68; the Partition Treaties, 69, 71; his grandson, the Duke of Anjou, becomes King of Spain, 73; seizes the Dutch barrier, 74; recognizes young James, 78; a new Grand Alliance against him, *ib.*; Marlborough opens the war against him, 85; his loss of Flanders, 86; defeated at Blenheim, 87; defeated at Ramillies under Villeroy, 90; the fortunes of France sink to their lowest ebb, 94; loss of Italy, *ib.*; defeat of Vendôme at Oudenarde and reduction of Lille, 96; offers terms of peace, *ib.*; his appeal to France on the Whigs' rejection of his proposals, 98; conclusion of the Treaty of Utrecht, 101; the French concessions, *ib.*; England's intellectual influence over France, 108; Voltaire's visit to England, *ib.*; death of Lewis XIV., 129

Lewis XV. of France, his minority, iv. 130; revival of France under, 147; its union with Spain, 148; the family compact against Britain's maritime supremacy, 149; his claims on the death of the Emperor, 153; his alliance with Frederick II. of Prussia, 154; leads an army into the Netherlands, 156; French aggression in the American Colonies, 167; alliance with Maria Theresa against Prussia, 170; beginning of the Seven Years' War, 171; the Peace of Paris and its terms, 211

Lewis XVI. of France, league with America against England, iv. 251, 252; Spain joins the league, 254; bombardment of Gibraltar, 255; French fleet off York Town, *ib.*; Pitt's Treaty of Commerce with, 286; summons the States-General, 289; outbreak of the Revolution, 291; right of declaring war taken from him, 292; his flight from Paris, 297; imprisoned and executed, 301

Lewis XVIII. restored, iv. 368; flies to Ghent, 371; his return to the throne, 374

Lewis of Bavaria, Emperor, relations with Edward III., i. 380; supported by Ockham, 419

Lewis, Duke of Orleans, rival of the Duke of Burgundy and head of the French war-party, i. 493; sends a defiance to Henry IV. as the murderer of Richard, 497; his murder, 500

Lexington, the War of Independence begins at, iv. 249

Liége surrenders to the Allies, iv. 85

Ligny, iv. 371

Lilburne, John, iii. 226, 255

Lille, its reduction by Marlborough, iv. 96

"Lillibullero," the ballad of, iv. 30

Lilly, placed at the head of Grammar School of St. Paul's, ii. 85

Linacre, physician to Henry VIII., his studies under Politian in Florence and his translation of Galen, ii. 78

Lincoln, Earl of (John de la Pole), his parentage, ii. 69; supports Simnel's cause and is slain at Stoke, *ib.*

Lincoln, Williams, Bishop of, iii. 126, 191

Lindesay, Lord, one of the Lords of the Congregation, ii. 334; deserts Murray, *ib.*; his share in Rizzio's murder, 337; flies over the border, 338

Lindisfarne, Aidan, fixes his see at, i. 47; forsaken by Colman, 54; Cuthbert its bishop, 59; Eadberht takes refuge there, 65

Lingard, iii. 311

Lionel, Duke of Clarence, second son of Edward III., his connection by marriage with the de Burghs, i. 430; his death, 436

Lisle, John Dudley, Lord, ii. 213; raised to the earldom of Warwick, *see* Warwick

Lisle, Alice, sent to the block, iv. 15; the judgment against her annulled, 52

Liverpool, its rise under Walpole's ministry, iv. 137

Liverpool, Lord, prime minister, iv. 364; repeals Canning's Orders in Council, 365

Llewelyn ap Jorwerth, the Lord of Snow-

don, i. 272; his victories sung by the bards, 273; the hopes of the Welsh under, 274, 275
Llewelyn, son of Gryffydd, defeats Henry III., i. 275; his revolt against Edward I., 315; his death and its consequences, 316
Locke, John, his political philosophy, iii. 319; his influence on Rousseau, iv. 109
Lollardry, rise of, i. 460; meaning of the word, 461; and Oxford, 461, 462; crumbles into a general spirit of revolt, 464; London fiercely Lollard, 465; faith in the sole authority of the Bible grows out of, *ib.*; and the Church, 466, 467; held at bay as a social danger, 472; sympathy of the Commons with, 503; suppressed, 506, 508; still lives on in scattered and secret groups under Edward IV., ii. 15; its smouldering embers fanned into flame by Tyndale, 123; legal prohibitions of, rescinded, 218; its survival north of the border, 260
London, holds the first rank as a commercial port, i. 20; rises to commercial greatness in Edgar's day, 91; Swegen and Olaf anchor off, 93; resists the Danes, 94; forced to submit to Swegen, *ib.*; submits to William, 109; secured by the erection of a fortress which grew into the Tower, *ib.*; its privileges recognized by a royal writ, 110; its zeal in the cause of Stephen, 143; its share in the Religious Revival, 148; materials for the municipal history of, 183; its guilds under Æthelstan, 199; notable part played by French immigrants in, after the Conquest, 201; freedom of, 202; Charter of Henry I. to, 203; civic organization, *ib.*; rises in support of Simon of Montfort, 282, 283; vengeance taken on it by Henry, 288, 291; opens its gates to the peasant insurgents, 448; calls Henry of Lancaster to its gates, 487; supports the House of York, 540; foundation of the Royal Exchange, ii. 371, 376; the general mart of Europe under Elizabeth, 371; offers Elizabeth thirty ships and ten thousand seamen against the Armada, 421; the royal council jealous of its rapid growth, iii. 8; supplied with pure water by Hugh Myddelton, *ib.*; increase of its buildings forbidden by proclamation, 89; James' illegal proclamation prohibiting the extension enforced by Charles I. as a means of extortion, 141; resistance of its merchants to the customs duties, 143; the corporation of, undertakes the colonization of Derry, 149; the Trained Bands of, 206, 211, 217; its fortification by the Parliamentary forces 211; the Presbyterian organization of, 239; the Great Plague, 356; the Great Fire, 365; the Dutch fleet sails up the Thames, 367; Shaftesbury's popularity in, 410; its disloyalty to Charles II., 420; its Whig sympathies, 429; restoration of the charter of, iv. 34; becomes more and more the centre of wealth and culture, 112
London, Compton, Bishop of, protests against the repeal of the Test Act, iv. 118; his suspension on refusing to suspend Dr. Sharp for controversy, 20; promises his support to William, 26; signs the invitation to William, 31
Londonderry, siege of, iv. 45
Longland, William, i. 414, 415
Lords, House of, helpless before the might of the Crown under Henry VIII. ii. 193; *see* also Parliament
Lorraine, seized by Charles the Bold in 1473, ii. 49; becomes a subject state of France, iii. 416, ; becomes finally French, iv. 150
Lorraine, Cardinal of, ii. 326, 327
Loughborough, Lord, Chancellor, iv. 337
Louisburg, captured by General Wolfe iv. 184
Louisiana, iv. 168
Louvois minister of Lewis XIV., iv. 33
Lovat, Lord, iv. 160
Lovelace, Lord, rises for William III. at Oxford, iv. 36
Luddite riots, iv. 362
Ludlow, general, his memoirs, iii. 5; in Ireland, 278
Lumley, Lord, one of the Catholic earls under Elizabeth, ii. 363
Lumley, Lord, signs the invitation to the Prince of Orange iv. 31; prepares for a rising in the North, 32
Luneville, Peace of, iv. 329, 330, 331
Luther, denounces the abuse of Indulgences at Wittenberg, ii. 120; his condemnation by a Papal bull, *ib.*; his position relative to the New Learning, 121; his adherents in England, 196; owes his security to the jealousy between Pope and Emperor, 198: refuses to believe in the concessions of the legates, 201
Luttrell, Colonel, iv. 239
Luttrell, iii. 311
Lützen, battle of, iv. 367
Luxembourg, Duke of, gains the battle of Fleurus, iv. 57; his victory over William at Steinkirk, 59
Luxemburg, seized by Lewis XIV., iii. 428
Lydgate, i. 501, 516
Lyly, John, ii. 435
Lyons, Richard, 437, 450

M

Mabinogion, Welsh poems, i. 270, 271
Macauley, Lord, iii. 311
Macclesfield, Lord, supports the cause of William of Orange, iv. 32
Macdonalds, the, iv. 42
Machyn's Diary, ii. 189
Mackay, General, sent by William III. to Scotland, defeated at Killiecrankie by Dundee, iv. 42; builds Fort William, 43

Macleans, the, iv. 42, 43
Macpherson, iii. 311
Madras, establishment of the East India Company at, iv. 161; besieged by Labourdonnais, *ib.*
Magdalen of Valois, daughter of Francis I., marries James V. of Scotland, ii. 201
Maine, conquered by William I., i. 105; invaded by William the Red, 132; taken by Fulk the Black, 142; ceded to René at Anjou, 530
Mainwaring, Dr., preaches passive obedience to the King, iii. 126; rewarded with a fat living, 134
Major-generals, the iii. 284; abolished, 285
Malcolm, King of Scots, invades England and wins the battle of Carham, i. 97; cession of Lothian to, *ib.*; does homage to Cnut, *ib.*; his marriage with Margaret, Eadgar's sister, 112; swears fealty to William, *ib.*; does homage to William the Red, 132
Malcolm, Sir John, iii. 312
Maldon, battle of, i. 92
"Malignants," royalists who took part in the civil war, iii. 238, 260, 272; excluded from voting, 335
Malmesbury, Lord, his embassy to Paris, iv. 315, 316
Malpighi, vegetable physiologist, iii. 317
Malplaquet, battle of, iv. 98
Malta, taken by the French, iv. 322; its surrender to England, 343; the Peace of Amiens and, 345
Manchester, growth of its population under Walpole's ministry, iv. 137
Manchester, Lord, head of the Association of the Eastern Counties, iii. 213, 217, 220, 223, 230
Mandeville, Lord, iii. 192, 213
Mansfield, Count, iii. 115
Manton, iii. 374
Maps, Britain and the English Conquest, i. 22; English Kingdoms in 600, 30; England and the Danelagh, 68; Dominions of the Angevins, 152; Ireland just before the English Invasion, 166; Scotland in 1290, 326; France at the Treaty of Bretigny, 416; Wars of the Roses, 540; America in 1640, iii. 162; Marston Moor, 222; Naseby Fight, 232; Europe with France as it was under Lewis XIV., 401
Mar, Earl of, a Jacobite, Scotland giveu in charge to, by Bolingbroke, iv. 103; gives the signal for revolt in 1715, 128; his incapacity and sluggishness as a leader, *ib.*
March, Earl of, proclaimed by Richard II. as his heir, i. 486; slain in battle, *ib.*; claims of his son Edmund Mortimer, 490, 497, 508
March, Edward, Earl of, i. 539, ii. 27; *see* York, Edward, Duke of, and Edward IV.
Marengo, battle of, iv. 338, 340
Margaret, daughter of Charles V. of France, marries Philip of Burgundy, i. 426
Margaret of Anjou, her marriage with Henry VI., i, 529; birth of her son, 536; becomes the King's chief adviser, 537; attempts to arrest the Nevilles, 538; flies to Scotland, 539; defeats the Yorkists at St. Albans, 541; after the defeat of the Lancastrians at Towton, takes refuge with Henry in Scotland, 542; brings about a new rising and defeated at Hexham, ii. 29; enabled by Lewis XI.'s help to renew the struggle, 33; called to Harfleur, 40; summoned to the French Court and reconciled to Warwick, 43; betrothes her son Edward to Anne Neville, Warwick's second daughter, *ib.*; feasted royally at Paris, 45; lands at Weymouth, 47; made prisoner at the battle of Tewkesbury, 48; her son murdered, *ib.*
Margaret, sister of Edward IV., marries Charles of Burgundy, ii. 39; her only daughter married to Maximilian, 51; a fanatic in the Yorkist cause, aids Simnel's revolt, 69; Perkin Warbeck finds refuge with, 72
Margaret Beaufort, mother of Henry Tudor (Henry VII.), her parentage, ii. 61; marries Henry Stafford, 62; marries Lord Stanley, 66
Margaret, daughter of Maximilian and Mary of Burgundy, ii. 65; betrothed to Charles VIII., her dower of Artois and Burgundy, *ib.*
Margaret Tudor, daughter of Henry VII., marries James IV. of Scots, ii. 75; raised to the regency, 105; marries Archibald Douglas, Earl of Angus, 106; takes refuge in England, 108; recalls Albany, resumes her power, 116; her strife with the Earl of Angus, 201; her grandson, Darnley, married to Mary Stuart and proclaimed King of Scotland, 334
Maria Theresa of Austria, issue of the Pragmatic Sanction in her favor, iv. 139, 153, 155, 156, 160, 170, 171
Marlborough, the Parliament of, i. 295; the Statute of, 304
Marlborough, John Churchill, Earl of, joins the Dutch army against Lewis XIV., iv. 41; sent to the Sambre, 47; his parentage, early training, and qualities, 79; his expedition to Ireland, 56; takes Cork and Kinsale, *ib.*; his treasonable designs against James and William, 58; his services and treason to James, 80; his designs against William and influence over Anne, 81; his imprisonment in the Tower. *ib.*; his return to favor and employment in Flanders, 82; named Captain-General by Anne, *ib.*; his negotiations with the allies, 83; his temper, *ib.*; his peculation and greed, 84; his skill and good fortune in war, 85; takes the field in Brabant, *ib.*; his march into Germany,

and junction with Prince Eugene, 86; wins the battle of Blenheim, 87; his name becomes a name of fear in France, 88; supports the Test against occasional conformity, 89; dissolves Parliament and brings about the Coalition ministry, *ib.*; wins the victory of Ramillies, 90; height of his greatness, 94; his difficulties at home, *ib.*; his submission to the triumph of the Whigs, 95; his victories at Oudenarde and Lille, 96; against his counsels peace is rejected by the Whigs, 97; his victory at Malplaquet, 98; warns the Whigs against the impeachment of Sacheverell, *ib.*; abuse heaped upon him by the press, 99; the Queen breaks with his wife, *ib.*; his fall, 100; withdraws from England, 101; his imbecility, 127

Marlborough, Sarah, Lady, her friendship with the Princess Anne, iv. 59; her husband's great love for her, 80; assumes the name of "Mrs. Freeman" in her intercourse with the Princess *ib.*

Marlowe, Christopher, his life and work, ii. 449, 450, 453

Marmont, General, iv. 366

Marseilles besieged by Charles V., ii. 117

Marsh, or de Marisco, Adam, his influence on the Franciscan school at Oxford, i. 245

Marshall, Stephen, the preacher, iii. 300

Marston, his satires, iii. 426

Marston Moor, battle of, iii. 221

Martin Marprelate, ii. 190, 412

Martin, Henry, member of the "Rump," iii. 265

Martin, M. Henri, his *Histoire de France*, iii. 312

Martinengo, papal nuncio, Elizabeth will not suffer him to land, ii. 313

Marvell, Andrew, iii. 425

Mary, only child of Charles the Bold, Duke of Burgundy, negotiations for her marriage, ii. 49; marries Maximilian of Austria, 52; her sudden death ends the war between Lewis and Maximilian, 64

Mary younger sister of Henry VIII., her marriage with Brandon, Duke of Suffolk, ii. 216; her daughters named by Henry next in succession to Elizabeth, *ib.*

Mary Tudor, daughter of Henry VIII., backed by the Emperor in refusing to conform with the new creed, ii. 225; proclaimed Queen, 233; her title rests on Parliamentary statute, 235; her personal appearance, *ib.*; her love of learning, *ib.*; the Catholic reaction, 234–284, Gardiner made Chancellor, 235; the deposed bishops restored, *ib.*; Latimer and Cranmer sent to the Tower, 236; her aim the restoration of the Catholic faith, *ib.*; the Emperor's cautious advice to, 237; warned by the strenuous resistance of Parliament, *ib.*; rejects Gardiner's proposal to marry the Earl of Devon, 238; the Spanish match and its political grounds, 238; opposition of Parliament, 239; Wyatt's rising, 240, 241; the marriage, 247; execution of Lady Jane Grey, her father, husband, and uncle, *ib.*; Philip, 243; the submission to Rome, 244; Pole comes as legate to London, 245; Mary's difficulties, *ib.*; the persecution, 246–250; failure of her hopes of childbirth, 251; the Catholic revival abroad and its consequences in England, 251–254; death of Gardiner and succession of Cardinal Pole to the chancellorship, 251; Cranmer convicted of heresy and burned, 254–256; she refounds the Abbey of Westminster and others which had been suppressed, 256; the war with France, *ib.*; loss of Calais, 257; her policy in Ireland, 258; the Irish war, 259; religious and political effect of her reign on the fortunes of Scotland, 260; result of her policy as seen in the uprise of Protestantism in Scotland and its spread abroad, 260–266, John Knox, 261; the First Covenant, 262; Scotland and Protestantism, *ib.*; the exiles, 264; the extreme Protestants, 265; Protestantism and the Supremacy, 266; Calvin and the exiles, 267–269; the troubles at Frankfort mark the first open appearance of English Puritanism, 270; denunciations of Knox and Goodman against her, 272; royal proclamation against Goodman's book, 274; her attitude towards Elizabeth, 276–278; William Cecil's position at Court, 279; her loneliness, 281; the persecutions continue, *ib.*; galled by the ill-will of the Pope, 282; Pole deprived of his legatine power, *ib.*; her demands for the restoration of Calais refused by France, *ib.*; her death, *ib.*

Mary of Guise, marries James V. of Scotland, ii. 201; birth of her daughter, Mary Stuart, 202; her policy as regent, 260; remonstrance of the bishops against the Covenanters in her presence, 264; her attitude towards the reformers on Elizabeth's accession, 297; her French body-guard, 298; besieged in Leith by the Lords of the Congregation, 299–303; the French come to her assistance, 301; her death followed by the Treaty of Edinburgh, 302, 303

Mary Stuart, daughter of James V., her birth, ii. 203; her proposed marriage with Edward, son of Henry VIII., *ib.*; crowned and the marriage treaty annulled, 205; removed to France and married to the Dauphin, 221; regency of her mother, 260; she and Francis assume the arms and style of English sovereigns, 288; her kingdom secretly conveyed by deed to the House of Valois, 298; death of the Regent and her

advent to power, 302; refuses to confirm the Treaty of Edinburgh and waive her claim to the English Crown, 310; death of her husband, 311; lands at Leith, 316; her personal appearance and temper, *ib.*, 317; her plans, *ib.*; forced to submit to the Lords of the Congregation, 318; creates their leader Earl of Murray and adopts his policy, *ib.*; her toleration, 319; effect of her presence in Scotland on Elizabeth's position, 220; her succession, 321; proposals for her marriage, 322; her intrigues and duplicity, *ib.*; her pledges of toleration towards Calvinism, 323; refuses to confirm the statutes on which the Protestantism of Scotland rested, 326; proposes to marry Don Carlos, 327; her hopes raised by the French and English hostilities, 329; murder of her uncle, Duke of Guise, *ib.*; Knox's formal doctrine of resistance, 330; effect on her of the treaty of peace between France and England, 331; her marriage with Darnley planned, 332-334; rising of the Lords of the Congregation headed by Murray, *ib.*; ruin of the English party, 334; greeted by the Pope as Champion of the Faith, 335; the murder of Rizzio, 336; her revenge, 337; conspiracy of the Earl of Bothwell, 345; Darnley's murder, 346; her fall, 347; her imprisonment, 318; her abdication, 356; coronation of her son as James VI., 357; her escape from prison a signal for civil war, 358; her defeat at Langside and escape to Carlisle, *ib.*; her presence in England puts pressure on Elizabeth, 359; charged by Murray with murder and adultery, *ib.*; plans for her marriage, *ib.*; her correspondence with the Duke of Norfolk and his project for their union, 362; the Catholic earls conspire in her cause, *ib.*; given in charge to Lord Huntingdon, 363; removed to Coventry, *ib.*; war between her adherents and her son's on the murder of Murray, 365; consents to wed Norfolk, *ib.*; the Ridolfi plot backed by the Pope, *ib.*; rising indignation against her in England, 366; Don John of Austria's plans for a marriage with her, 390; plots for restoring her to the throne, 414; threat aimed at her by a bill for the security of Elizabeth, 417; her connivance in Babington's conspiracy, 418; executed at Fotheringay, *ib.*

Mary of Modena, Queen of James II., her pregnancy, iv. 27; birth of her son, 31

Mary, eldest daughter of James II., married to the Prince of Orange, iii. 399; accepts the Crown with her husband, iv. 39; *see* William and Mary

Maryland, Catholics in, iv. 165

Masham, Mrs., bedchamber woman to Queen Anne, iv. 95

Massachusetts, establishment of the colony of, iii, 163; protests against the Stamp Act, iv. 224; its assembly, 244, 246; its liberties withdrawn, 246; General Gage appointed Governor of, *ib.*; repudiates the King's Government, 250; refuses to take part in the war, 369, 370; growth of its population, 163

Massacre of St. Bartholomew, in the reign of Charles IX., ii. 383

Massena in Switzerland, iv. 327; in Portugal, 360, 361

Matilda or Edith, wife of Henry I., her story, i. 133

Matilda or Maude, Empress, daughter of Henry I., policy of her marriage, i. 139; unpopularity of the marriage, 142; lands in England, 146; called "Lady," 147; holds Stephen prisoner, *ib.*; besieged at Oxford, *ib.*; withdraws to Normandy, *ib.*

Matthew Paris, chronicler of St. Albans, i. 183, 265; his independence and patriotism, 266

Matthias, Emperor of Austria, his anti-Protestant policy, iii. 74

Maunay, Sir Walter, i. 391, 399, 404

Maurice, Bishop of London, begins to rebuild the Cathedral of St. Paul, i. 149

Maurice, Prince, brother to Prince Rupert, iii. 216, 221

Maximilian, Emperor, son of Frederick III., ii. 49; his marriage with Mary of Burgundy, 52; his advice to the Elector of Saxony with regard to Luther, 198

Maximilian, Duke of Bavaria, head of the Catholic League, iii. 74, 103

Mayenne, Duke of, chief of the Leaguers, ii. 428, 431

Mayflower, the, iii. 162

Mayor, portreeve the predecessor of, i. 202

Mazarin, his centralizing administration, iii. 181; ends the Thirty Years' War by the Treaties of Westphalia and the Pyrenees, 332

Meaux, Henry V. besieges, i. 513

Medina Sidonia, Duke of, commands the Armada, ii. 424

Melancthon, his hopes of Church reform and unity, ii. 199

Melfort, Lord, iv. 19

Melrose visited by Cuthbert, ii. 50

Melville Andrew, ii. 339, iii. 47, 48, 66, 67

Members, the Five, their seizure, iii. 242 204; the Eleven, accused by Army, 242

Menou, General, in Egypt, iv. 343, 344

Mercenaries, German and Italian, introduced by Somerset, ii. 222; German in America, iv. 249

Mercia, the country of the Western English, colonization of, i. 25; the champion of the heathen gods, 44; its conquests in Penda's time, 48; governed by Northumbrian thegns under Oswiu, 49; its conversion, *ib.*; St. Chad's mission to, *ib.*; under Wulf-

here, 53, 57 ; its greatness under Æthelbald, 60, 61 ; under Offa, 65 ; pays tribute to the Northmen, 70 ; its place taken by the confederacy of the "Five Boroughs," 78
Mercians, or Men of the March, the West-English who held to the line of the Trent, i. 25
Methodists, the, iv. 143-149
Michael de la Pole, Earl of Suffolk, chancellor of Richard II., i. 468, 469, 470, 471 ; his grandson, 528
Michael Giovanni, ii. 189
Middle-English, their settlements in Britain, *see* English
Middlesex, Cranfield, Earl of, iii. 109, 114
Mignet, iii. 311
Military tenures, i. 31, 33, 88, 121 ; exempt from the law of *gravelkind*, i. 216
Militia, Lord Lieutenants of, appointed by Parliament, iii. 207 ; placed in the King's hands, 337
Mill, James, iii. 312
Milton, John, the completest type of Puritanism, iii. 22-24 ; at Horton. 158 ; his early poems, 159 ; the historic interest of his *Comus*, 160 ; his *Lycidas* shows the stern and bitter temper of the Puritans, 177 ; throws himself into the theological strife, 192 ; on free thought in London, 227 ; Wycherly the model for his Belial, 315 ; produces the *Paradise Lost*, 359 ; his life, 360 ; the *Paradise Lost* the epic of Puritanism, 361, 362 ; its defects, 363
Minden, defeat of the French at, iv. 182
Ministry, begins to exist in the modern sense of the term, iv. 63 ; chosen from the majority in the Lower House, *ib.* ; Marlborough's Coalition, 89
Minorca, iv. 101, 211, 261
Model, New, of the army, ii. 230, 231
Monarchy, the (1461-1540), ii. 9-186
Monasteries, rise of, in Northumbria, i. 51 ; suppression of the lesser in Henry VIII.'s reign, ii. 158 ; fall of six hundred of the greater, 181
Monk, Lord-General, in the Highlands, iii, 277 ; gathers a Convention at Edinburgh, 305 ; enters London, 306 ; negotiates with Charles II., 306 ; made Duke of Albemarle, 335 ; *see* Albemarle
Monmouth, Duke of, Shaftesbury's project in favor of his accession, iii. 411 ; his courage at Bothwell Brig, *ib.* ; deprived of his post of Captain-General of the Forces and ordered to leave the realm, 412 ; reappears at court, pamphlets in his favor, 414 ; his progress through the country, 415 ; strength of his party, 417 ; his growing popularity, 420 ; satirized by Dryden, 426 ; his arrest, 429 ; his flight, *ib.* ; his rising in the West, iv. 14 ; attainted in Parliament, 15 ; defeated at Sedgemoor, captured, and beheaded, *ib.*
Mons, besieged and taken by Lewis XIV., iv. 58
Montacute, Lord, brother of Reginald Pole, ii. 183 ; arrested and executed on a charge of treason, 184
Montagu, Lord, brother of the Earl of Warwick, receives the forfeited earldom of Northumberland, ii. 28, 29 ; loved by Edward IV., 43 ; the earldom of Northumberland taken from him, and nurses plans of revenge, 44 ; his treason, *ib.* ; killed at the battle of Barnet, 47
Montagu, Lord, a Puritan, becomes Earl Sandwich, iii. 335 ; *see* Sandwich
Montagu, Ralph, English ambassador at Paris, reveals the disgraceful negotiations of Danby and the King with Lewis, iii. 404
Montague, Lord, one of the Catholic earls who plot in favor of Mary Stuart, ii. 362
Montague, a court chaplain, advocates the Real Presence and divine right, iii. 120 ; summoned by the Commons and committed to prison, *ib.* ; released by Charles and promoted to a royal chaplaincy, 121 ; made a bishop, 134 ; in heart a convert to Rome, 155
Montague, Charles (afterwards Lord), comes forward with Paterson's plan for a national bank, iv. 65 ; made Chancellor of the Exchequer, 66 ; William calls for his resignation, 72 ; impeached 77
Montcalm, Marquis of, Governor of Canada, iv. 169, 172, 183, 184
Monteagle, Lord, iii. 62
Montesquieu, his study of English institutions, iv. 109
Montreal, the capture of, followed by the submission of Canada to England, iv. 185
Montrose, Earl of, "the Great Marquis," in the Scotch war against Charles, iii. 180, 183 ; deserts the Scotch cause, 195 ; rising of the Highlanders under, 219, 222 ; defeats Argyle at Inverlochie, 232 ; his victory at Kilsyth and crushing defeat at Philiphaugh, 233 ; his failure and death, 257
Moore, Sir John, at Corunna, iv. 358
Moot, the, the character, i. 12 ; the origin of our modern parliaments, 13 ; its talk the groundwork of English history, *ib.* ; the folk-moot, 13, 14 ; the hundred-moot, 13
Moravians, the earliest friends of Methodism, iv. 146
Moray, the Bishop of, iii. 173
More, Thomas, his *Utopia*, ii. 77 ; his lectures at St. Laurence, 83 ; his childhood in Cardinal Morton's household, 95 ; his influence over Colet and Erasmus at Oxford, *ib.* ; his portrait by Holbein, *ib.* ; the representative of the religious tendency of the new learning, *ib.* ; his outer bearing, 96 ; his stern inflexibility as shown in Parliament,

ib.; his *Life of Edward V.* the first work in modern English prose, *ib.*; his home at Chelsea, 97; his favor with Henry VIII., *ib.*; his *Utopia* and his treatment therein of the questions of Labor, Health, Crime, Religion, Political Liberty, 97, 103; as Speaker of the House of Commons resists Wolsey's demand of a property tax, 114; a privy councillor, 121; his reply to Luther's attack upon the King, 122; made chancellor on Wolsey's fall, 142; hopes of the New Learning as seen in the Acts of his brief ministry, 144; resigns the post of chancellor, 151; his silent disapproval of Cromwell's policy 162; accepts the Act of Succession, 163; summoned to take the oath acknowledging the marriage with Catharine to be invalid, *ib.*; sent to the Tower, 164; convicted of denying the King's titles, *ib.*; is beheaded, 165; his history of the Jesuits, ii. 190
Moreau, General, iv. 315, 328, 329
Morice, secretary of state to Charles II., iii. 335
Morkere, brother of Eadwine elected Earl of Northumbria on Tostig's deposition, i. 106; the throne of Eadgar Ætheling rests for support on, 109; brought to submission by William's march to the North, 110; roused to a fresh rising, 112; finds shelter with Hereward, *ib.*
Morrison, Robert, botanist, iii, 317
Mortemer, battle of, i. 105
Mortimer, the House of, its claims and fortunes in the reign of Henry IV., i. 490, 497, 498, 499, 508, 527, 539
Mortmain, statute of, i. 315
Morton, Earl of, head of the house of Douglas, ii. 262; one of the Lords of the Congregation, and chancellor of the realm, 334; won to Darnley's cause and deserts Murray, *ib.*; his share in Rizzio's murder, 337; flies over the border, 338; stands aloof from Bothwell's conspiracy against Darnley, 346; rallies the forces of the Congregation against Mary and Bothwell, 348; executed, 414
Morton, Dr., Papal envoy, ii. 361, 363
Morton, Earl of, his regency, iii. 39; though a Calvinist, supports the cause of Episcopacy, 48
"Morton's fork," ii. 69
Moscow, burnt, iv. 367
Mountjoy, Lord, Deputy Lieutenant in Ireland, brings the work of conquest to a close, ii. 473
Mountnorris, Lord, iii. 150
Murdin, ii. 189
Murimuth, i. 355
Murray, James Stuart, Earl of, bastard son of James V., ii. 262; his policy adopted by Mary, 318; wins for her the right of celebrating mass, 319; crushes the Earl of Huntly, 321; failure of his policy as regards Mary's succession to the English crown, 325; Knox breaks with him, 330; opposes the Darnley marriage, 333; calls the Lords of the Congregation, 334; driven over the border by Mary, *ib.*; recalled to court, 337; his share in Bothwell's conspiracy 346; withdraws to France after Darnley's murder, 347; his regency, 357; Elizabeth refuses to recognize his government, *ib.*; defeats Mary's force at Langside, 358; refuses to receive her back as Queen, *ib.*; charges her with murder and adultery, 359; his murder at Linlithgow, 365; its effect on Scotland, iii. 39
Murray, Sir Robert, iii. 316
Mutiny Act, the first, iv. 49

N

Namur, relations of Edward III. with, i. 382; captured by the alliance, iv. 66
Nantes, Edict of, revoked, iv. 17
Nantwich, Fairfax defeats Ormond's army at, iii. 220
Naples, turned into a republic, iv. 326; made a kingdom, 357
Napoleon, *see* Buonaparte
Nalson, iii. 5
Naseby, battle of, iii. 232
Nash, the dramatist and novelist, ii. 437, 448
National debt, origin of, iv. 65; its growth, 70; its steady reduction under Walpole's administration, 137; enormous rise in 1796, 310; after the Seven Years' War, iv. 213
Navarete, battle of, i. 424
Neal, iii. 311
Neerwinden, attack of William III. on Lewis at, iv. 61
Neill, Hugh, revolt of Ulster under, ii. 473; brought in triumph to Dublin, *ib.*
Nelson, Admiral, at the battle of the Nile, iv. 323; chases the French fleet, 348; at Trafalgar, *ib.*
Nennius, i. 3
Netherlands, the religious persecution in, ii. 220; establishment of the Inquisition in, 225; growth of heresy and of the spirit of independence threatens Philip's power, 239; heritage of, promised by Charles V. to the issue of Philip and Mary, *ib.*; by Charles V.'s resignation, pass to Philip, 251; their disaffection, 288; Alva's campaign in, 354, 356; revolt of, and its important results, 381, 383; Elizabeth and the Netherlands, 384; Don John of Austria's government of, 390; the "Pacification of Ghent," 391; Elizabeth's alliance with, *ib.*; the Prince of Parma's government of, 392; breaking up of the Pacification of Ghent, *ib.*; repudiate Philip's sovereignty, 408; choose the Duke of Anjou as their sovereign, *ib.*; their enthusiasm in the conflict with Spain, 423; their fleet

holds the Scheldt and prevents Parma from joining the Armada, *ib.*; trade of the, iii. 8; annexed by France, iv. 304
Neutrals, League of, against England, iv. 339; broken up, 341
Neville, the House of, assists in the overthrow of the Percies, i. 498; its power under Henry VI., 537, 538; its minor chiefs, ii. 28; its power overshadows that of the King, 29
Newark, death of John at, i. 236
Newbury, iii. 217, 222
Newcastle-upon-Tyne, erection of a fortress at, i. 126
Newcastle, Earl of, iii. 206, 211, 220; commands the Royalist forces at Marston Moor, 221; flies over sea, *ib.*
Newcastle, Duke of, member of the Whig ministry in 1708, iv. 96; in Walpole's cabinet, 155; true to Walpole's continental policy, 169; succeeds his brother as premier, 170; prepares for the Seven Years' War, 171; his incapacity, *ib.*, 172; forced to a compromise with Pitt, 173; bribery and borough-jobbing the base of his power, 200, 201, 207; excluded from the Chatham ministry, 233
New Forest, formation of, i. 120; death of William the Red in, 132.
Newfoundland, retained by Britain, iv. 261
New Model, the iii. 230, 231, 237, 238, 239, 240, 245, 307
Newton, Isaac, at Cambridge, his discoveries and his *Principia*, iii. 318
Newton, the Evangelical, iv. 265
New York, England gains the colony of, iii. 367; transfer of the district of, to British rule, and origin of its name, iv. 164
Ney, Marshal, iv. 372, 373
Niagara, the French fort of, iv. 169, 184
Nicholas, secretary of state to Charles II., iii. 335; retires from the Council, 369
Nicholas, Sir Harris, ii. 190
Nigel, Bishop of Ely, treasurer, i. 145; driven from the realm, 146
Nimegwen, Peace of, iii. 400
Nithing, use of the word, i. 100
Noailles, Duc de, iv. 155
Nonconformists, Clarendon's struggle with, iii. 344; expulsion of, on St. Bartholomew's Day, 345; become an organized body, 348; code of their persecution completed by the Five Mile Act, 358; the Cabal and the, 374; reopening of the Conventicles, *ib.*; Declaration of Indulgence, and effect on, 380; John Bunyan, 381; Shaftesbury their leader, 394; their renewed persecution, 428; James II. publishes a Declaration of Indulgence in their favor, iv. 22; Baxter, Howe, Bunyan, and others refuse to accept it, 23; repeal of the Schism and Occasional Conformity Acts, 129; laws against them remain inoperative under Walpole's administration, 138
Nonjurors, their arguments against taking the oaths, iv. 50; prelates and eminent divines among, 51; sees of the nonjuring bishops filled, *ib.*; result of the break, *ib.*
Nootka Sound, iv. 292
Norfolk, rising in, against the Protector Somerset, ii. 223
Norfolk, Roger Bigod, Earl of, Earl Marshal, heads the opposition, i. 345; pardoned, 346
Norfolk, Earl of, uncle of Edward III., i. 373
Norfolk, John Howard, Earl Marshal, Duke of, ii. 142; falls at Bosworth Field, 143
Norfolk, Thomas Howard, Duke of, supports the cause of his niece Anne Boleyn, ii. 131; Lord Treasurer, 142; his high position and influence, *ib.*; his sympathies with the House of Burgundy, 146; causes Wolsey to be arrested at York, 147; his policy of alliance with Charles overthrown by a league with France, 153; negotiates with the rebels enlisted in the "Pilgrimage of Grace," 167; arrests Cromwell at the council table, 185; returns to power, 197; hostile to the Lutheran movement, *ib.*; Henry marries his niece Catharine Howard, *ib.*; his recall to power 200; invades Scotland, 201; defeats the Scots at the Solway, 203; Conservative in matters of faith, 213; his son Lord Surrey, 214; *see* Surrey; committed to the Tower and saved by the death of Henry, 216; heads the royal guard and the trainbands of London against the rebels under Wyatt, 241; his grandson's plot for a marriage with Mary Stuart, 359
Norfolk, Duke of, son of Lord Surrey, appears at York to hold an inquiry into Mary's conduct, ii. 359; plans for Mary's marriage with him, *ib.*; plays a double game with the Protestant and Catholic parties, 361; his imprisonment in the Tower, 363; is released and presses forward to a marriage with Mary, 365; the Ridolfi plot, *ib.*; his execution, 366
Norfolk, Duke of, refuses to follow James II. to mass, iv. 22; heads the rising at Norwich, 35
Norman Kings of England, i. 135–160
Normandy grows into a great power, i. 93, 102, 103; its connection with English history, *ib.*; wrested from Charles the Simple by Rolf the Ganger, 102; its name, 103; becomes one of the most loyal fiefs of France, *ib.*; William's stern government in, 104; pledged to William by Robert, 132; its misgovernment by Robert, 135; conquered by England at the battle of Tenchebray, *ib.*; order restored in, by Henry I., 136; loss of, under John, 179; influence of the loss of, on the baronage, 216; conquest of, by Henry V., 511, 512; loss of, under Henry VI., 529, 531

Normans and English, fusion of, i. 134
Norris, Sir John, ii. 428
North, Lord Keeper, a servile tool of James II., iv. 15
North, Lord, heads a ministry formed of dependants of George III., iv. 244, 245; attempts to conciliate the Americans, 253; resigns, 255
Northallerton, Battle of the Standard near, i. 145
Northampton, Assize of, i. 170; Treaty of, independence of Scotland recognized by, 373; provisions of, relative to the restitution of estates, 376
Northampton, the royal army defeated by the Yorkists at, i. 538
Northmen, in Wessex, i. 67–82; conquest of the, 69; settled in Ireland, *ib.*; march under Hubba upon York, *ib.*; Northumbria becomes subject to them *ib.*; character of their attack on England as opposed to that of the English on Britain, 83; their fusion with the conquered, 83, 84
Northumberland, Earl of, banished with his son Henry Percy, or Hotspur, i. 487
Northumberland, Earl of, brother of Richard Neville, Earl of Warwick, *see* Montague, Lord
Northumberland, Henry Percy, Earl of, son of the above, restored to the title and estates of his father by Edward IV., ii. 44
Northumberland, John Dudley, Earl of Warwick, made Duke of, ii. 229; his project for the pillage of the see of Durham opposed by the Commons, 230; he "packs" the Parliament, *ib.*; persuades Edward VI. to alter the succession in favor of Lady Jane Grey, 231; marries his son to Lady Jane Grey, 232; marries his daughter to Lord Hastings, *ib.*; his fall and death 233
Northumberland, Earl of, heads a rising in the North against Elizabeth, ii. 363; executed, 367
Northumberland, Lord, in Charles I. reign, iii. 178, 183
Northumbria, kingdom of, growth of, under Æthelfrith, i. 40; reaches the hight of its greatness under Eadwine, 42; its conversion, 43; monasteries in, 51; its power under Ecgfrith, 58; its decline, 60; the literary centre of Western Europe, 61; owns the supremacy of Wessex, 69; becomes subject to the Northmen, *ib.*; incorporated with Wessex by Æthelstan, 79; harried by William, 111
Norway, growth of the kingdom of, i. 85; legend of Harold "Ugly-head," *ib.*
Norwich, French colony in, i. 202
Northelm, his assistance to Bæda, i. 63
Nottingham, Æthelred, makes peace with the Northmen at, i. 70; one of "Five Boroughs," 78
Nottingham, Earl of, his favor with Richard II., i. 482; made Duke of Norfolk, 483; banished for life, *ib.*; his death, 487
Nottingham, Lord, at the head of the High Tories opposes Malborough, iv. 89
Nova Scotia (Acadia), the French driven from, iv. 167
Noy, Attorney-General, iii. 349

O

Oates, Titus, and the Popish Plot, iii. 401, 404; his pardon and pension, iv. 52
Ockham, Wyclif's connection with, i. 419; supports the Emperor Lewis of Bavaria, *ib.*
Odo, Bishop of Bayeux, appointed viceroy in England, i. 110; his oppression in Kent, *ib.*; grants of land to, 122; heads the intrigues of the baronage against William, 126; arrested in the court, *ib.*
Offa, King of the Mercians, i. 65; greatness of Mercia under, *ib.*; his raids into Wales, *ib.*; his dyke, *ib.*; seizes East Anglia, *ib.*
Oglethorpe, General, founds the colony of Georgia, iv. 163
O'Hara, General, iv. 307
Ohio Company, foundation of, iv. 168
Olaf, King of the Norwegians, joins Swegen in invading England, i. 93
Oldcastle, Sir John, his skill in arms, i. 502; his Lollardry, *ib.*; becomes Lord Cobham, *ib*; his sanctity, 503; his conviction and imprisonment as a heretic, 507; organizes a revolt, *ib.*; burned as a heretic, 508
"Old Nick," origin of the name, i. 16
Oldys, ii. 189
Olney, treaty of partition between Eadmund and Cnut at, i. 95
O'Neal, Sir Phelim, organizes a conspiracy in Ulster, iii. 199
O'Neil, Hugh, iii. 258
O'Neil, Owen Roe, iii. 253
O'Neill, Shane, *see* Earl of Tyrone
O'Neills, the, required as a test of loyalty to use the English language and dress, ii. 173
Opdam, Admiral, commands the Dutch fleet, iii. 356; his vessel blown up in the engagement off Lowestoft, *ib.*
Orange, Prince of, *see* William
Orangemen, the, Protestant landowners in Ireland, their cruelties, iv. 314, 326
Orderic, i. 5, 115
Orleans, siege of, i. 519; relief of, 522
Orleans, Duke of, regent during the minority of Charles VIII., ii. 65; regent for Lewis XV., iv. 130; alliance with England against Spain, *ib.*
Ormond, Earl of, in the army of Charles I., iii. 219; defended by Fairfax at Nantwich, 220; invites Charles II. to land in Ireland, 253; his defeat before Dublin, 256, 257; the government in

his hands at the Restoration, 327; becomes a Duke and Lord Steward, 335; retires from the royal council, 369; supports the Dutch embassy, 380; welcomed back to the council by Shaftesbury, 391; Tyrconnell placed as a check on him by James II., iv. 20; made Warden of the Cinque Ports, 103; flies from England to take office under the Pretender, 118; lands in Devon and vainly calls on his party to rise, 129
Orthes, battle of, iv. 368
Osbern, Prior of Westminster, his lives of the English saints, i. 162; distinctively English feeling apparent in, *ib.*
Osborne, Sir Thomas, *see* Danby, Earl of
Osney, Abbey of, i. 189
Oswald, King of the Northumbrians, i. 45; invites missionaries to Northumbria, 47; defeated and slain at the battle of the Maserfeld, 48; effect of his victories, 53
Oswiu, King of the Northumbrians, 49; defeats and kills Penda, *ib.*; effect of his victories, 53; summons the Synod of Whitby, *ib.*; with the instinct of a statesman links England to Rome, 54
Otterbourne, his chronicle, i. 356
Otto IV., Emperor, son of Henry the Lion and Matilda, i. 176; his relations with John, 222, 225, 227
Oudenarde, battle of, iv. 96
Owen Glyndwr, *see* Glyndwr
Oxford, its rank among English towns, i. 189; strategic and political importance, *ib.* 190; its trade, *ib.*; its churches, *ib.*; its scholars, 190, 191, 192; owes its first introduction to the Logic of Aristotle to Edmund Rich, 192; the chancellor the local officer of the Bishop of Lincoln, 194; rise of, 203; suffering of, in the Norman Conquest, 204; the Jews in, 204, 322; legal connection with London, 205; customs and exemptions of its townsmen confirmed by Henry II., 206; charter of John, *ib.*; life of the town, 206, 207; the Friars, 243, 245; becomes a centre of scholasticism, 245; its resistance to Papal exactions and its claim of English liberty under the influence of the Friars, 251; the Provisions of, 275, 277, 285, 290; Wyclif condemned at, 489; Lollardry at, 461, 463; intellectual life disappears with religious freedom, 462; decline in the numbers and learning of the students, ii. 16; Grocyn's Greek lectures at, 78; revival of learning at, *ib.*; Colet's lectures on St. Paul, 80; Erasmus at, 81; contests of the Greeks and Trojans, 86; Cardinal College founded, *ib.*; the Lutherans in Cardinal College, 126; its fortification by Charles I., iii. 211; reorganized by the Puritan Visitors, 293; Parliament summoned to, by Charles II., 420; rises for William under Lord Lovelace, iv. 35; a hotbed of Jacobitism, 129; *see* also Universities
Oxford, Earl of, Lancastrian leader, ii. 46; visit of Henry VII. to, 70; his liveried retainers, *ib.*
Oxford, Lord Cecil's son-in-law, won back to Catholicism by the Jesuit missionaries, ii. 396
Oxford, Robert Harley, Earl of, his breach with Bolingbroke, iv. 102; dismissed from office as a partisan of the House of Hanover, 103; gives countenance to the South Sea Company, 134

P

Pace, Secretary of State, ii. 121
Packenham, General, attacks New Orleans, iv. 370
Paine, his *Rights of Man*, iv. 304
Palatinate, Lewis XIV. makes himself master of the, iv. 40
Pandulf, Papal legate, proclaims the deposition of John, i. 222; John swears fealty to, 224
Papacy, its hold on England begins to weaken, i. 383-386; growing strife between England and the, 417; compromise between it and the Crown, 432; Henry VIII. and the, ii. 148, 149, 154, 192; Mary Tudor and the, 243, 251, 253; England's struggle with, under Elizabeth, 289, 291, 312-314, 327, 351-398; Ireland and the, 393-396
Paris, university of, its glories, i. 95; English scholars and teachers at, 190, 191; decay of during the English wars, 419; Treaty of, iv. 211; surrender of, 368; entered by the allies, 374
Parish, organization of the system, i. 56
Parker, Matthew, Archbishop of Canterbury, ii. 295; his patience and moderation in reorganizing the church, *ib.*; his wife insulted by Elizabeth, 296; a new episcopate drawn from Calvinistic refugees gathers round, 312; his collection of historical manuscripts, 435
Parker Bishop of Oxford, iv. 25
Parkhurst, Bishop, one of the Protestant exiles, ii. 265
Parliament, the village-moots of Friesland, the origin of, i. 12, 13; under Edward I. identical with the present, i. 303; relation of the Great Council to, 331; the burgesses in, 338; the clergy and, 340; at Westminister, 341; from 1307 to 1461, 370-542; acquires unity of feeling and action by the welding of the knighthood, the baronage, and the burgesses into one, 371; nominates a council, 372; its protest against Papal intervention, 384-387; assembles year by year and acquires greater political influence, 389; its final division into two Houses, *ib.*; the principle of ministerial responsibility to, established, 390; increases the severity of its laws, 427; Edward III. attempts to resist its control, 428-487; gradual reduction of the Upper House,

430; advance of the Commons in, 433-435; the action of the Good marks a new period in Parliamentary history, i. 438; agreed that the great officers of state are to be named by the Lords in and removable only on their advice, 443; its startling assumption of executive power, *ib.*; action of the "wonderful" or "merciless" Parliament, 469, 470; the Lords Appellant in, 476, 482; triumph of, in the deposition of Richard II., elevation of Henry IV., 491; prominent part played by, before the Wars of the Roses, ii. 9; suspension of Parliamentary life from the accession of Edward IV., 10; restriction of the suffrage and its effect on the House of Commons, 24, 25; the ruin of the baronage, and the weakness of the prelacy weakens the power of the House of Lords, 25; its legislative powers usurped by the royal council, ii. 9; does not meet for five years, 53; raises the custom duties, now granted to the King for life, *ib.*; Edward IV. sets aside the usage of contracting loans by authority of, *ib.*; sets aside Edward IV.'s children as illegitimate, and beseeches Richard of Gloucester to accept the Crown, 61; convoked by Richard III. and passes numerous statutes, 64; recognizes Henry Tudor's claim of the Crown, 68; only summoned on rare and critical occasions by Henry VII., 69; Wolsey governs for seven years without assembling, 116; a conduct of the Commons, More being Speaker, on Wolsey's demand of a property-tax, 114; significance of its repeated assembly through Henry's reign, 144; its action with regard to ecclesiastical reform, 145; the petition of the Commons, *ib.*; the act of appeals, 152; the act of supremacy, 155; meets year after year, and shows its accordance with the royal will in the strife with Rome, *ib.*; act relating to the election of bishops, 156; bills of attainder, 161; the act of succession, 163; statute constituting the denial of the King's titles treason, 164; gathers at Pomfret and adopts the demands of the leaders of the Pilgrimage of Grace, 167; Act of the Six Articles, 182; attainder of Thomas Cromwell, 187; Cromwell and, 192; growth of its power, 193; servility of, to the will of the Crown, 194; revival of a spirit of independence in, 195; Henry VIII.'s cry for "brotherly love" addressed to, 211; provision made by, with regard to the successors of Henry VIII., 216; reasserts its independence under the protectorate of Warwick, 230; the Commons oppose the pillage of the see of Durham, *ib.*; the packing of, resorted to by the Protectorate, *ib.*; its power shown in the setting aside of Edward VI.'s will respecting the succession, 235; temper of, 235-237; opposition of, to the Spanish match, 239; its compulsory assent, 243; bribed by Philip and the council to submit to the Papacy, 245; absolved on its knees by Cardinal Pole from heresy, *ib.*; shows its growing independence in resisting any change in the order of succession, *ib.*; its enactment of the laws against heresy, *ib.*; its significant reluctance to restore the first-fruits to the Church, 246; acknowledges the legitimacy of Elizabeth and her title, 290; Act of Uniformity, restoring the Prayer-book, 291; passing of the Test Act, and establishment of the High Commission, 328; its advance during Elizabeth's reign, 340; her management of, 341; the struggle with, and virtual defeat of the Crown, 342-344; attaints the Northern Catholic earls, and declares the introduction of Papal bulls into England an act of treason, 366; the Calvinistic party predominant in, 378; Strickland's bill for liturgical reform, 379; admonition of Cartwright's party to, 381; as a Protestant body declares the landing of the seminary priests to be treason, 390; statute against the Jesuits, 396; advance of, during the reign of Elizabeth, 469. 470; wealth and political consequence of the members of the Lower House, iii. 9; the House of Commons refuse to transact business on a Sunday, 16; the Parliament of 1604 and its mood, 59; the Gunpowder Plot and its effect on the government, 62; protests against James's impositions, 63; adjourns on the question of the naturalization of the Post-nati, 65; mutual distrust of the King and, 70, 71; nature of the "Great Contract" proposed by Robert Cecil, 75; defiant attitude of the Commons, 76; the dissolution of the first Stuart Parliament proclaims a breach between the Parliament and the Crown, 79; the Parliament of 1614 called the "addled" Parliament, 87; the two Houses quarrel on a question of privilege, and are dissolved, *ib*; increase of the peerage, 89; the dismissal of Coke, 91; the Parliament of 1621, 103; impeachment of the monopolists, 104; fall of Bacon, 105; opposes the Spanish match, and demands war with Spain, 107; freedom of speech claimed by the Commons, 108; dissolution, 109; Parliament of 1624 and its demands, 113; growth of the power of, under James, 118; temper of the Parliament of 1625 in religious matters, 120; caution of the Commons in their grants to the Crown, 121; the adjournment and reassembling at Oxford, *ib.*; the struggle for its liberty centres in Eliot, 122; his views of the responsibility of the ministers to, 123; Buck-

ingham's impeachment voted in, *ib.*; Eliot's attack on him marks a new era in Parliamentary speech, 124; dissolution, 125; the Parliament of 1628 draws up a Petition of Right, 130; Eliot's bold speech moving the presentation of a Remonstrance on the state of the realm, 131; Coke's protest against Buckingham, *ib.*; the Commons grant a subsidy on the King's consenting to the Petition, 132; the Remonstrance and prorogation, *ib.*; the solemn avowal of the articles by the Commons and its political significance, 135; tumult in, on the interruption of the religious debates by the dissolution, 136; does not meet for eleven years, 138; Short, summoned, 182; dissolved, 183; Long, summoned, 184; Pym, leader of the Commons, 185; Strafford's impeachment voted, 189; work of the Houses, 190; the Triennial Bill, *ib.*; appointment of the Committee of Religion, 191; votes against bishops, 192; Bill of Attainder against Strafford, 193; discovery of the Army Plot, 194; scheme for a Parliamentary ministry, *ib.*; Bill for perpetuating the Parliament, 197; abolition of the Star Chamber and other tribunals, *ib.*; a Royalist party in, 200; adopts Pym's Remonstrance, 201; excitement consequent on its adoption, 202; strife on the reintroduction of the Bill for the exclusion of Bishops, 203; Charles I. attempts to seize the Five Members, 204; Pym's words on the position of the "Commons," 206; secures the Militia, 207; the Royalists withdraw from, *ib.*; last proposals to the King rejected, 208; raising of the Parliamentary army, 209; league of Parliament with Scotland, 217; takes the Scots' Covenant, 219; Oliver Cromwell in, 223; the Parliament and Uniformity, 226; the Self-renouncing Ordinance, 229; the rupture with the army, 230; its contest with the army, 235-251; the party of religious liberty in, 236; terms offered to the King, 238; the Scottish army surrender him to, 239; the Humble Presentation addressed to, by the army, 242, 243; purged by Pride, 249; its ruin, 250; the "Rump," *ib.*; Act for the Abolition of the Monarchy, 251; designs of the Rump, *ib* ; sends an embassy to the Hague, 259; Cromwell presses the Bill for dissolution, 261; the period deferred three years, *ib.*; its activity, *ib.*; the Amnesty Bill, *ib.*; the Navigation Act, 262; bill for a new Representative, 263; disbanded by Cromwell, 264; difficulties in choosing new one, 267; the Constituent Convention (the Barebones Parliament), *ib.*; its work, 269; its close, 270; the Instrument of Government, 271; first Protectorate, 272; the Parliament of 1654 convened on the reformed basis of representation, 273; its proceedings, 274; dissolved, 275; assembles 1655, and rejects the bill confirming the proceedings of the major-generals, 283; offers Cromwell the Crown, 285; inauguration of the Protector, 286; the Act of Government, *ib.*; second session of, 301; the two Houses disagree, *ib.*; dissolved by Cromwell, 302; summoned on the old system of election under the second Protectorate, 303; dissolved, 304; the "Rump" restored by the army, *ib.*; driven out, 305; the Presbyterian temper of the Parliament called the Convention, 306; the Restoration, *ib.*; gradual transfer of power from the Crown to the Commons reviewed, 321; Charles's jealousy of, 324; Bill of Indemnity and Oblivion, 336; settlement of the nation, *ib.*; settlement of the relations between nation and Crown, 337; fixes the revenue, *ib.*; reliefs and wardships abolished, 338; general excise established, *ib.*; fails in bringing about a settlement of the Church, *ib.*; dissolved, 339; the Cavalier Parliament of 1661, 340; ardent for Church and King, 341; the League and Covenant burnt, bishops restored to their seats, 342; Clarendon's view of, 343; Test and Corporation Act, 344; Act of Uniformity, *ib.*; opposition of, to the Declaration of Indulgence, 352; Conventicle Act passed, *ib.*; votes supplies to the Dutch war, 356; the Five Mile Act passed, 358; votes a subsidy to refit the fleet, 365; appoints a commission to examine into the royal expenditure, 366; Parliament and the Cabal, 375; Parliament and the war, 386; first appearance of the Country party, 387; passing of the Test Act and its startling results, 388; proceedings against Buckingham and Arlington, 392; policy of Danby and his party in, 394; Danby begins the bribery of members, 395; policy of corruption and persecution, 396; Danby's measures for reconciling King and, 397; the cry for war, 398; Catholics excluded from a seat in either house, 403; dissolution, 404; the bribery of constituents, 405; Temple's plan of a council to check the power of the Crown and Parliament, 406; freedom of the press established, 408; bill of securities, 409; passing of the Habeas Corpus Act, *ib.*; the Bill of Securities, 409; the Exclusion Bill passed in the Commons, 410; dissolution, 413; violence of the new, *ib.*; suddenly prorogued, *ib.* petitions for the sitting of, 414; the two factions of "petitioners" and "abhorrors" known as the Whigs and Tories, 415; William prompts Halifax to oppose the Exclusion Bill, 418; Shaftesbury's Bill of Divorce, 419; dissolution, *ib.*; Parliament at Oxford,

420; Halifax's Limitation Bill rejected, *ib.*; reintroduction of the Exclusion Bill in the Commons and the dissolution, 421; summoned by James II., iv. 13; its attachment to the court and liberal grant of revenue to James, *ib.*; attaints Monmouth, 14; protests against the infringement of the Test Act, 17; prorogued, 18; dissolved and a new one convoked, 23; Parliament of 1689 (*see* Convention) turns the Declaration of Rights into the Bill of Rights, 47; resolves to make the vote of supplies an annual one, 48; passes the Mutiny Act, 49; debates on the India trade, *ib.*; passes the Toleration Bill, 50; violence of the Whig faction, 52; dissolved, 53; Parliament of 1690, Tory, *ib.*; sovereignty of the Commons and its results, 61; William III.'s employment of the veto to defeat a Triennial Bill, 62; Sunderland's new ministerial system gives a new organization to the House of Commons, 63; the Whig party headed by the Junto, 64; Montague's Bank of England Bill, 65; the Whig majority in the Commons, 66; passing of the Triennial Bill, *ib.*; Parliament of 1695 assembles, *ib.*; forces William to resume lands granted to his Dutch favorites, *ib.*; claims a right to name members of the Board of Trade, *ib.*; rejects a proposal for the censorship of the press, *ib.*; the currency reform, *ib.*; the army and navy are cut down, 71; Tory majority in the Parliament of 1699 and fall of the Junto, 72; the peace Parliament of 1701 passes the Act of Settlement, 75; provisions of the Act, 76; opposed to the partition treaties and war, 77; dissolved, 78; Parliament of 1702, Tory in the main, votes supplies for the war, *ib.*; attaints the new Pretender, and swears to uphold the succession of the House of Hanover, *ib.*; the Tories introduce a test against "occasional conformity," 88; dissolved by Marlborough, 90; Parliament of 1705 and the Coalition ministry, *ib.*; a single Parliament henceforth represents the United Kingdom, 92; the Treaty of Union becomes a Legislative Act, 93; Marlborough's difficulties in Parliament, 94; the system of Party Government established, 95; triumph of the Whigs, *ib.*; dismissal of the Whigs, 98; Marlborough condemned by a vote of the Commons, 100; peace concluded, *ib.*; policy of Bolingbroke and Harley in, *ib.*; strife between Whig and Tory on the death of Anne, 102; first Parliament summoned by George I., 118; numbers fifty Tories, *ib.*; overwhelming majority of Whigs in George I.'s first Parliament, 118, 123; corruption and bribery in, 124; Walpole's administration and policy of peace, 125-127; Townshend's administration, passing of the Septennial Bill, 129; repeal of the Schism and Occasional Conformity Acts, *ib.*; resignation of Townshend, 132; the Stanhope ministry, 133; the Peerage Bill, *ib.*; Walpole's ministry, 135; his finance, 136; his policy of inaction, 137; bill rendering the use of the English tongue compulsory in courts of justice, 140; Walpole's Excise Bill and its rejection, 140-141; violence of the "Patriots," 142; their secession from, *ib.*; Jenkin's appearance at the bar of the Commons, 151; fall of Walpole, 153; Carteret's foreign policy, 154; fall of Carteret, 156; the Pelham ministry, *ib.*; William Pitt in office, 172-176; his power over, 177; his greater struggles and measures, 179; divorce between Parliament and the nation, 198; need of Parliamentary reform, 201; limitation, and inequality of the suffrage, 200; the "Kings" and the "close boroughs," *ib.*; bribery and barough-jobbing, *ib.*; return of the Tories, 205; the King's management of the House of Commons by means of patronage, 207; Pitt, and the Whigs, *ib.*; Pitt resigns, 208; the royal revenue employed to buy seats and votes, 212; change in the tone of, 213; the people's hatred and distrust of Parliament and the Crown, 215; corruption of the House of Commons, *ib.*; Wilkes's defence of the rights of constituencies against the despotism of the Commons, 216; the secrecy of Parliamentary proceedings put an end to, *ib.*; fall of Bute, *ib.*; the Grenville ministry *ib.*; to enforce the supremacy of Parliament, Grenville's one aim, 218; the press becomes a court of appeal from, *ib.*; assumes an arbitrary judicial power in the case of Wilkes, *ib.*; Pitt denounces the course of the Houses as unconstitutional, 219; Grenville and the Colonies, 219; the Colonies and the Stamp Act, 220; the Stamp Act passed, 226; the Rockingham ministry, 226; Pitt's demand for the repeal of the Acts, 227; Burke's speech on the repeal, 228; his Bill for Civil Retrenchment, 230; repeal of the Stamp Act, 231; Declaratory Act asserting the power of Parliament over the Colonies, 232; the Chatham ministry, *ib.*; Chatham's withdrawal and resignation, 236, 237; Wilkes's quarrel with the House of Commons, 237-240; movement for Parliamentary reform begins, 237; publication of Parliamentary debates, 241; renewed strife with America, 243; the dissolution called for by the country, 244; Parliament steady to the King, 246; cancels the Charter of Massachusetts, and closes the port of Boston, *ib.*; Chatham's and Franklin's Bill for the repeal of these Acts, 247; Chatham's protest against the sur-

render of America and his death, 254; independence of the Irish Parliament recognized by statute, 260; the younger Pitt in, 275; Reform Bill, 276; direct bribery of members ceases, *ib.*; the Coalition, 278; Pitt's renewed proposal of Parliamentary Reform, *ib.*; Fox's India Bill, 279; Fall of the Coalition, *ib.*; the Parliament of 1784, 280; Pitt's speeches, 281; Pitt abandons Parliamentary Reform, 283; Burke's Bill of Economical Reform, 284; Pitt's Bill in favor of Irish Trade, 286; Treaty of Commerce with France, *ib.*; Pitt's Bill for the Suppression of the Slave Trade defeated, 287; Fox's Libel Act, 294; Pitt's Bill establishing a House of Assembly and a Council in the Canadas, 295; party of the "Old Whigs," 303; Bill against seditious assemblies, 304, 309; Statute of Treasons, *ib.*; reaction against all reform, *ib.*; Pitt's free trade Bill for Ireland, 312; Act of Union, 326; the Tory party support the Addington ministry, 338; the Grenville ministry, 350–353; Abolition of the Slave Trade, *ib.*; the Portland ministry, 353; the Perceval ministry, 359

Parliament, Irish, its independence acknowledged, iv. 260

Parma, iv. 150, 346

Parma, Alexander Farnese, Prince of, nephew to Philip II. of Spain, made governor of the Netherlands, ii. 391; driven from Cambray by Anjou, 408; his work of reconquest, 415; his capture of Antwerp, 416; collects a fleet at Dunkirk, 420, 432, 424; raises the siege of Paris, 430; marches to the relief of Rouen, 431; his consummate generalship, *ib.*; his death, 432

Parpaglia, papal nuncio, a follower of Pole, ii. 313

Parr, Catharine, widow of Lord Latimer, ii. 202; sixth wife of Henry VIII., *ib.*; marries Sir Thomas Seymour, Lord High Admiral, 223

Parsons, despatched from Douay to head the Jesuit mission in England, ii. 395; forced to fly, 395

Partition Treaty, the first, iv. 69; the second, 71

Passau, Treaty of, ii. 229, 238; iii. 73

Paston Letters, as an authority, i. 356; describe the social state of England in the fifteenth century, ii. 20; their fluency and grammatical correctness, 54;

Paterson, William, his plan of a National Bank, iv. 65

Patrick, the first missionary of Ireland, i. 46

"Patriots," the, iv. 142; reinforced by the "Boys" headed by Pitt, *ib.*; secede from Parliament, *ib.*; in the Pelham Ministry, 157

Paul III., his bull of excomunication and despotism against Henry VIII., ii. 184; his jealousy of Charles V., ii. 229

Paul IV. (Caraffa), denounces Charles V. as a patron of heretics, ii. 198; the representative of the rally of Catholicism, 251; result of his summons to Elizabeth to submit her claims to his tribunal, 289; his wrath at the passing of the Act of Uniformity, 292; his death, *ib.*

Paulet, created Marquis of Winchester, ii. 229; *see* Winchester

Paulinus, one of Augustine's followers, goes to Northumbria, i. 43; converts Eadwine, 44; flies from Northumbria, 45

Pavia, besieged by Francis I., ii. 117

Peasant revolt, i. 401, 402; results of, 457

Pedro the Cruel, treaty between Edward III. and, i. 423

Peele, the dramatist, ii. 448, 449

Pelham, Henry in Walpole's cabinet, iv. 152; his ministry, and policy of conciliaton, 157; his death, 170; his opinion of Pitt, 172

Pembroke, William Marshal, Earl of, stands by John, i. 230; wisest and noblest of the Barons, *ib.*; counsels acceptance of the Charter, 231; governor of the young King, 237; his death, 238

Pembroke, Jasper Tudor, Earl of, ii. 40, 47, 62

Pembroke, Sir William Herbert, created Earl of, ii. 229; marries Catharine, sister to Lady Jane Grey, 232; heads the English forces at St. Quentin, 268

Penda, King of Mercia, his wars, i. 45; his supremacy, 48; heathenism triumphs with, *ib.*; his fall ends the struggle between heathendom and Christianity, 49

Peninsular War, the, iv. 357-361

Penn, John, gives his name to Pennsylvania, iii. 429

Penn, William, in Pennsylvania, iv. 163

Pennsylvania, settlement of, iii. 428; exports to, iv. 137; a state of Quakers, 163, 165; opposes the Declaration of Independence, 250

Pepys, iii. 311, 322

Perceval, Spencer, his ministry, iv. 359; his assassination and the dissolution, 3 4

Percies, the rebellion and banishment of, under Richard II., i. 487; their connection with Mortimer, 497; Henry IV.'s distrust of, *ib.*; conspiracy of and overthrow, 498, 501

Percy, origin of the name, i. 102

Percy, Thomas, cousin of the Earl of Northumberland, his part in the Gunpowder Plot, iii. 62

Perth, Lord, iv. 19

Perrers, Alice, her influence over Edward III., i. 437, 439, 440, 467

Peter des Roches, Bishop of Winchester, appointed justiciar, i. 227; his return to the royal councils, 257; dismissed from court, 259

Peter Martyr, at Oxford, ii 220

Peter the Great, iv. 132
Peterborough, Abbey of, founded by Wulfhere, i. 57 ; burnt, 70
Peterborough, Lord, his romantic exploits in Spain, iv. 91 ; removed from his command, 96
Peters, Hugh, head of the Independents, iii. 225 ; at the House on the occasion of Pride's purge, 250
Petition of Right, the, drawn up by the Parliament of 1628, iii. 130–132
Petre, Father, a Jesuit, called to the Privy Council by James II., iv. 21
Petty, Sir William, economist, iii. 293 ; his political philosophy, iii. 319
Pevensey, formerly Anderida, i. 23 ; the Normans land at, 107
Philadelphia, Congress at, iv. 250
Philip II. of France, his intrigues against Richard I., i. 174, 176 ; wins Normandy from John, 179 ; charged with the deposition of John by Innocent III., 222 ; his victory over the allies at Bouvines, 228
Philip the Fair, King of France, his struggle with Edward I., i. 329, 330 ; his truce with Edward, 348 ; his daughter married to Edward II., 360.
Philip (of Valois) V. of France, Edward III. does homage to, i. 375 ; his wars with England, 378–382 ; his army reinforced by German and Genoese troops, 392, 393 ; defeated at Creçy, 394
Philip II. of Spain, son of Charles V., his proposed marriage with Mary Tudor, ii. 238, 241 ; married at Winchester, 243 ; his personal appearance, *ib.* ; his conciliatory policy, 245 ; leaves England, 251 ; his father resigns his dominions to him, *ib.* ; war with France, 256 ; victor at St. Quentin, 257 ; his views with regard to Elizabeth, 276 ; his auto-da fé 285 ; his relations with Elizabeth, 288, 289, 303, 311, 313, 315 ; his relations with Mary Stuart, 321, 323, 324, 327, 332, 333 ; his system of government in the Netherlands, 354 ; Alva's campaign, 354–355 ; his power at its height, 382 ; revolt of the Netherlands, *ib.* ; laughs for joy on hearing of the Massacre of St. Bartholomew, 384 ; his half-brother, Don John of Austria, made governor of the Netherlands, 390 ; Elizabeth's alliance with the Netherlands, 391 ; his nephew, Prince of Parma, succeeds Don John, *ib.* ; drifts into hostility towards England, 392 ; the greatness of Spain, 400 ; his bigotry and despotism, 401 ; his temper compared with Elizabeth's, 402 ; Spain and the New World under him, 403 ; the "sea-dogs" defy his claims to America, 404 ; requests Drake's surrender, 406 ; effect of Alva's conquest of Portugal on his position, 407 ; the Netherlands repudiate his sovereignty, 408 ; gathering of the Armada, 413 ; his relations with Scotland, 414 ; Prince of Orange murdered by his order, 415 ; his attitude towards France, 415, 420 ; towards England, 418 ; the Armada, 421, 423, 425, 427 ; English attack on Spain, 428 ; recognized as Protector of France by the Leaguers, 429 ; sends Parma to the relief of Rouen, 431 ; overthrow of his hopes in France, 432 ; overthrow of his hopes at sea, 472 ; his relations with Ireland, *ib.* ; his daughter's claims to the English crown, iii. 37
Philip IV. of Spain, Spain sinks into decrepitude under iii. 333 ; Spain recovered for him in 1707, iv. 96 ; Lewis XIV. withdraws his aid from, *ib.* ; his concessions in the Treaty of Utrecht, 101 ; alliance of France and England against, 130 ; renounces his claims on the Milanese and the Sicilies, 131
Philip, Duke of Burgundy, married to the daughter of the Count of Flanders, i. 426 ; France falls into the hands of, 493 ; his policy goes hand in hand with that of Richard, *ib.*
Philip, Archduke of Austria, son of Maximilian and Mary of Burgundy, ii. 65 ; marries Juana, daughter of Ferdinand and Isabella, ii. 76 ; his Yorkist sympathies, *ib.*
Philippa of Hainault, wife of Edward III., i. 380, 400, 403
Phillips, Sir Richard, iii. 122
Pichegru, iv. 307
Picts, name of the British tribes, i. 21 ; scattered by the Jutes, 22
Piedmont and the Peace of Amiens, iv. 345 ; annexed to France, 346
Piers the Ploughman, Complaint of, as compared with the *Canterbury Tales*, i. 414 ; its deep undertone of sadness, 415 ; picture of John of Gaunt in, 416
Pilgrim Fathers, sail in the *Mayflower*, and settle in Massachusetts, iii. 162
"Pilgrimage of Grace," ii. 167, 168
Pillnitz, conference of, iv. 325
Pinkie Cleugh, Somerset's victory at, ii. 221
Pitt, William, the spokesman of the "Boys," iv. 142 ; in the Pelham ministry, 157 ; presses for a treaty with Prussia, opposes the treaty with Russia against Frederick II. and dismissed from the ministry, 171 ; his temper and early history, 172 ; secretary of state, 173 ; his lofty spirit, *ib.* ; his patriotism, 176 ; called the "great commoner," *ib.* ; his eloquence, 177 ; his statemanship, 179 ; his recognition of the genius of Frederick II., 179, 181 ; the year of his greatest triumphs, 182 ; his action in America and the conquest of Canada, 183-185 ; Fort Duquesne called Pittsburg in his honor, 183 ; his belief in Englishmen the basis of his statesmanship, 191 ; the Whigs revolt against his supremacy, 207 ; deserted by Grenville and Townshend, 208 ; his motion against the peace, 209 ; he resigns, 210 ; appealed to to form a ministry and indignant rejection of his terms, 217 ; de

nounces the course of the Houses against Wilkes as unconstitutional, 218; absent from the House at the passing of the Stamp Act, 224; his vain efforts to form a ministry, 225; glories in the resistance of America and demands the repeal of the Acts, 227; called a "trumpet of sedition" by the King, *ib.*; reason of Burke's want of sympathy with, 230; his power due to his popularity, 231; lays down principles of colonial freedom, *ib.*; his fierce attack upon the Declaratory Bill, 232; repeal of the Stamp Acts, *ib.*

Pitt, William, the younger enters Parliament, iv. 275; advocates Parliamentary Reform, 276, 283, 284; Chancellor of the Exchequer, 277; Reform Bill rejected, 278; prime minister, 280; his temper, *ib.*; his policy of active reform, 281; his statesmanship, 282; abandons Parliamentary Reform, 285; his finance 285; bill for freedom of trade between Ireland and England, 286; his Treaty of Commerce with France, *ib.*; looks on the French Revolution with goodwill, 291; pleads for friendship between France and England, 292; supports Fox's Libel Act, 294, struggles to avert the war, 302, 303, 309; his relations with the peerage, 311; with Ireland, 311, 314; with the Catholics, 312, 314; his terms of peace rejected by France, 315; the income tax, 325; advocates Catholic Emancipation, 326; resigns on the King's refusal, 337; his attempts to prevent the ruins of Poland and check Russia's advance on Constantinople, 341; his last public words and death, 349

Pittsburg, *see* Duquesne

Pius II., Æneas Sylvius, his admiration of the learning of John Tiptoft, Earl of Worcester, ii. 59

Pius IV., successor to Paul IV., his policy of conciliation, ii. 292; his vain endeavors to avert a break with Elizabeth, 312; forced again to summon the Council of Trent, 313; his invitation to Elizabeth to send envoys to the council refused, 314; his brief pronouncing join ing in the Common Prayer schismatic, and its effect on Elizabeth's system of religious conformity, ii. 327

Pius V. greets Mary Stuart as champion of the Faith, ii. 335; his early life, 351; his warfare against heresy, 352; England the special object of his attack, 353; determines to make a decisive attack on Elizabeth, 360; sends Dr. Morton to England to denounce her as a heretic, *ib.*; encourages the revolt of the Catholic earls, 363; publishes the Bull of Deposition, 364; the plots of his agents threaten Elizabeth's life, 365; backs the Ridolfi plot for the marriage of Mary and Norfolk, 366

Pius VI. carried prisoner to Siena, 324

Pizarro, his conquest of Peru, ii. 403

Plague, the Great, in London, 1665, iii. 354

Plassey, with the victory of, the empire of England in the East begins, iv. 180; with it the influence of Europe on the nations of the East begins, 189

Plattsburgh attacked by the English, iv. 370

Plauen, battle of, iv. 181

Poggio, an Italian scholar, on the intellectual state of the clergy, ii. 16; on the importance the noble owed to his wealth, 21

Poinet, the deprived Bishop of Winchester, in exile, ii. 264; his seditious writings, 272

Poitiers, battle of, i. 409

Poitou, i. 179, 227, 255, 380, 397, 413

Poland, the contested election to the throne of, iv. 149; Austria and France drawn into the strife, *ib.*; her independence threatened, 290; new division of, 306; Napoleon in, 350

Pole, Sir Richard, father of Reginald Pole, ii. 189

Pole, Reginald, his opinion of Henry's nature at the beginning of his reign, ii. 83; bidden by Thomas Cromwell to take "the Prince" for his manual in politics, 148; his parentage, 183; condemns Henry's divorce, 184; takes refuge at Rome, *ib.*; his book, *The Unity of the Church*, *ib.*; execution of his brothers and attainder of his mother, *ib.*; his theological sympathies with Lutheranism, 199; comes as legate to London, and absolves the realm from heresy, 245; fails to get Elizabeth excluded from the succession, *ib.*; becomes chancellor, 261; suspected by the Pope of a leaning to heresy, 251; the Pope demands a restoration of all Church property, 254; deprived of his legatine power, 282

Politian, *see* Linacre

Poll tax, imposition of the, i. 441, 444, 445, 446

Polydore, Vergil, i. 356

Poors Laws, establishment of the, ii. 367

Pope, his secluded life, iv. 202; his high sense of literary dignity, 203; his *Dunciad* and *Rape of the Lock*, *ib.*

Popish Plot, the iii. 369, 429; Titus Oates, 401; Shaftesbury and the 402; Lewis XIV. and the, 403

Portland, Weston, Earl of, iii. 141; a Papist in heart, maintains the system of fines for recusancy, *ib.*; his renewal of monopolies, 142; reduction of the debt and increase of the crown revenue under, 143; his death, 167

Portland, Duke of, iv. 303; his ministry, 353; retires, 359

Portmannimote, i. 204, 206

Port-meadow, i. 197, 204, 205

Port-reeve, or mayor, 202, 203, 210

Portugal, its conquest by Philip II. of Spain, ii. 407; English alliance with, through the marriage of Charles II., iii.

334; its seizure by France and Spain, iv. 356; its deliverance by Wellington, 361
Post Office, rise in the revenue of the, after the Peace of Ryswick, iv. 70
Powell, Vavasour, the apostle of Wales, one of the expelled Nonconformists, iii. 354
Powys, King of, driven from Pengwern, his capital, by Offa, i. 65
Poynings, Sir Edward, deputy of Ireland, ii. 72
Poynings' Act, ii. 72; its repeal demanded by Grattan and Flood, iv. 258
Præmunire, Act of, settles the relations of England to the Roman court, i. 418, 471
Pragmatic Sanction, iv. 139, 140, 155
Prague, taken by Frederick II., iii. 156; victory of Frederick at, 171
Prayer-book, replaces the Missal and Breviary, ii. 219; issued in a revised form, 225; set aside under Mary Tudor 237; its use allowed by Elizabeth, 287; restored, 291; its enforced introduction into the Scotch Church and subsequent rejection, iii. 173, 174
Presbyterian Church, establishment of, in Scotland, iv. 50
Presbyterianism, Thomas Cartwright and, ii. 380, 381, 471; its establishment, iii. 48; James's struggle with, 50; becomes identified with patriotism, 68; a formidable force among the middle classes, 192; Calamy and Marshall form a committee for its diffusion, *ib.*
Press, proposed censorship of, rejected, iv. 66; becomes an engine of political attack, 99; liberty of, defended by Pitt, 179; the contest of George III. with, 241; appearance of the *Morning Chronicle*, *Morning Post*, *Herald*, and *Times*, 242; prosecution of the, 304
Preston, Cromwell's victory over the Scots at, iii. 247
Preston Pans, battle of, iv. 158
Pride, Colonel, his "purge" of the House of Commons, iii. 249, 254
Printing, introduction of, ii. 54; restricted to London and the Universities, and all applicants for licence to print placed under the supervision of the Company of Stationers, 412; statute for the regulation of, after the Restoration, iii. 408
Prior, Matthew, his abuse of Marlborough and the war, iv. 95
Privy Council, its origin, i. 171; all public business required to be done in, iv. 76
Protectorate, iii. 267-308; *see also* Cromwell, Oliver, and Richard
Protestant Union, the, iii. 97, 102, 103
Protestantism in Scotland, ii. 263; and the Supremacy, 266; in Germany, iii. 72; the Protestant Union, 74; *see* Reformation
Provisions, of Oxford, i. 275, 276; of Westminster, 277
Prussia, Elector of Brandenburg, becomes king of, iv. 84; relations with England, 290; war with France, 306; cession of the west of the Rhine to France, 308; war with Napoleon, 350; rises against Napoleon in 1813, 367; defeated at Lützen, *ib.*; victorious at Leipzig, 368; her army under Blücher at Ligny, 371; at Waterloo, 373; *see also* Frederick II.
Prynne, his *Histrio-mastix* iii. 160 Laud's sentence against, 161, 175; recalled from prison, enters London in triumph, 190
Pulteney, heads the "Patriots," iv. 142; his secession from Parliament, *ib.*
Puritanism, possible origin of the term, ii. 271; gathers strength in 1571, 378; becomes a support to the Presbyterians, 471, 472; in England, iii. 5-36; and the people, 16; in the Church, *ib.*; and politics, 17; and the Crown, 18; and society, 19; and human conduct, 20; and culture, 21; Milton the completest type of, 22; the narrowness of, 24; its extravagance, 25; belief in witchcraft a marked feature of, 26; its intolerance, 27; the doctrinal bigotry of, 33; its hatred of sectaries, 34; its wish for reforms, 35; in the manufacturing towns of Yorkshire, 211; under the Protectorate, 290; its failure, 291; fall of, 307; its work and history, 308; the *Paradise Lost*, the epic of, 361
Puritans, at Basle and Geneva, ii. 271; possible origin of their name, *ib.*; their struggle with the Crown, 410; James I. and the, iii. 57; their Millenary Petition discussed at the Hampton Court Conference, 58; final breach between them and the Crown, 60; their persecution by Laud, 153; their view of the Lord's day, 154; panic among, caused by Laud's policy, 157; Puritan fanaticism, 160; the Puritan migration, 161, 163; expulsion of their clergy on St. Bartholomew's day, 345
Purveyance, claims of the Crown to, abolished, iii. 338
Pym, John, one of the great figures that embody the national resistance, iii. 122; his words on the Petition of Right, 130; his friendship with Hampden, 171; leader of the Commons during the Long Parliament, 185; his political theory, 186; his political genius, 187; called "King Pym" by his enemies, 188; carries Strafford's impeachment to the Lords, 189; demands the expulsion of the Bishops from the House of Lords, 191; the Committee of Religion report in favor of the reforms proposed by, 192; the army plot becomes known to, 195; lays the Grand Remonstrance before the Commons, 201; accused of high treason, with four other members, 204; his bold announcement of the position taken by the House of Commons, and its effect, 206;

one of the Committee of Public Safety, 209; his firmness and spirit, 217; consents to the league with Scotland, *ib.*; his plan for 1644, 220; his death, *ib.*; his body torn from the grave by the Convention Parliament, 339

Q

Quakers, find protection in Cromwell, iii. 280; special Act for their repression, 259; effect of the Declaration of Indulgence on, 380; their settlement in Pennsylvania, 428, iv. 164, 165
Quarles, his gloomy allegories, iii. 158
Quatre-Bras, iv. 372
Quebec, the taking of, iv. 184; with its capture the history of the United States begins, 189
Queensberry, Duke of, leader of the High Church Tories in Scotland, iv. 21
Querouaille, Louise de, mother of the first Duke of Richmond, becomes Duchess of Portsmouth, iii. 324, *see* Portsmouth
Quiberon ruin of the French fleet at, iv. 183; massacre of French emigrants at, 310

R.

Rædwald, King of East Anglia, i. 40
Raikes, Mr., of Gloucester, his schools the beginning of popular education, iv. 265
Raleigh, Sir Walter, his *History of the World*, ii. 435; sends an expedition to Pamlico Sound, ii. 413; the country they discover is named Virginia, *ib.*; in prison iii. 81; his death, 100; the capital of North Carolina named after him, 161
Ralf de Guader, Earl of Norfolk, i. 126; conspires against William, *ib.*; thrown into prison, *ib.*
Ralph Niger, Chronicle of, i. 115
Ralph of Coggeshall, i. 115; his additions to the chronicle of Ralph Niger, *ib.*
Ramillies, battle of, iv. 90
Randolph, Sir Thomas, i. 372, 376
Ranke, iii. 311, 312
Ranulf de Glanvill, justiciar, author of the earliest work on English law, i. 163; England peaceful in his hands, 173
Ranulf, Earl of Chester, stands by John, i. 229; counsels acceptance of the Charter, 231
Rastadt, Peace of, iv. 100
Ratæ, the predecessor of our Leicester, i. 25; the centre of the Middle-English settlement, *ib.*
Ray, John, zoologist and botanist, iii. 317
Reformation, Germany shaken by the outburst of, ii. 120; divorce of the New Learning from, 121; the movement sheltered in England by Wolsey's indifference to all but politics, 128; its progress in Germany, 134; the League of Schmalkald, 175; the English Church and the, 175-184; Charles V. and the, 198; conferences at Augsburg, 200; Henry VIII. and the, 210; progress of, under Cranmer, 225; Ireland and the, 227; the Peace of Passau and the, 229; Edward VI. and the, 230; Queen Mary and the religious persecutions, 236-251; its prostitution to political ends, 252; Scotland and the, 260-264; John Knox and Goodman, 261, 272, 274; Calvin and Calvinism, 265-270, 297; Elizabeth's religious policy and toleration, 276, 285; bitterness of the Reformers at Elizabeth's compromise, 294; Calvinistic Confession of Geneva, accepted in Scotland, 310; England definitely sides with, 314; Mary Stuart a friend to, in her own despite, 317-320; France and the, under Catharine of Medicis, 323-385; Mary and Protestantism in Scotland, 325, 329, 330, 335; Calvinism in the Netherlands, 333, 355; progress of, 350; warfare of Pius V. against Protestantism, 351; England the key of the Reformed position, 353; establisment of the Court of High Commission and its effect on, 328, 410; the Stuarts and the, iii. 40; its work in Scotland, 43
Reginald, sub-prior of Canterbury, chosen as Archbishop by the convent, i. 219
Reliefs, claims of the Crown to, abolished, iii. 336
Requesens, succeeds Alva as governor of the Netherlands, ii. 384; adopts a policy of pacification, 385; his death followed by a mutiny of the Spanish troops, 390
Reresby, iii. 311
Restoration, the, 1660–1667, iii. 313-368; the comedy of the, 315; the new rationalism, 316; English science, 331; the latitudinarian theology, political philosophy, 319; the period of transition, 321
Revenue, fixed by the Convention, iii. 337
Revolution, the Protestant, 1540-1553, ii. 191-233
Revolution, the, 1660–1760, iii. 309; iv. 9-185; Lewis XIV. and the, 40; Scotland and the, 41; the Monarchy and, 47; Parliament and the, 49; the Church and the, 50; place assigned to England in Europe by, 106; the revolution the crowning triumph of public opinion, 114; the issue of, as regards the nation, 198
Reynolds, Dr., a Puritan, accepts a bishopric, iii. 339
Rheims, King Charles VII. at, i. 523
Richard I., his accession and coronation, i. 173; goes on the crusade, and entrusts his realm to Longchamp, *ib.*; hastens home at the news of Philip's intrigues with John, 174; taken

captive, *ib.*; his ransom, 175; his homage to Henry VI., *ib.*; his character, *ib.*; his enmity with Philip, *ib.*; his mercenary soldiers, 176; his extortions, *ib.*; builds Château Gaillard, 177; his death, 179
Richard II., doubts as to his legitimacy, i. 439; acknowledged heir of the Crown, *ib.*; his accession, 442; his action in the Peasant rising, 449, 450; religious reaction under, 458; rise of Lollardry under, 460, 466; his marriage with Anne of Bohemia, 467; temper of his court, 468; submits to the nomination of a Continual Council, 470; his rule, 470, 472; his second marriage, and renewal of the truce with France, 479; his Irish campaign, *ib.*; his tyranny, 481; banishes Henry of Lancaster, 483; his expedition to Ireland, 486–488; his capture, 488; his resignation of the Crown confirmed by an Act of Deposition, 490; his death in prison, 495; buried at Westminster, 508
Richard III. (see Gloucester, Duke of) shows his vigor and ability, ii. 61; his nephew supposed to have been murdered by his orders in the Tower, 63; Buckingham's conspiracy against him, *ib.*; causes Buckingham to be executed, *ib.*; convokes Parliament, and by his measures of reform seeks to win the favor of the nation, 64; the levy of benevolences declared illegal, *ib.*; his mercantile enactments, *ib.*; his love of literature, *ib.*; his religious foundations, *ib.*; revives the schemes of a war with France, 65; levies benevolences, *ib.*; throws off the pretence of a constitutional rule, *ib.*; contemplates a marriage with the Princess Elizabeth, *ib.*; the Stanleys conspire against him in favor of Henry, *ib.*; killed at the battle of Bosworth, 66
Richard the Fearless, Duke of the Normans, son of William Longsword, his daughter Emma married to Æthelred, i. 93; his long reign, 103; under him the Northmen pirates become French Christians and feudal at heart, *ib.*
Richard of Clare, Earl of Pembroke and Striguil, nicknamed Strongbow, i. 168; lands in Ireland, *ib.*; becomes master of Leinster, *ib.*; does homage for it to Henry, *ib.*
Richard, Earl of Cornwall, stands with Simon at the head of the Barons, i. 260; allied with Gloucester against Simon, 287; owes his life to the younger Simon, 289; welcomes the younger Simon at Court, 293
Richard of Devizes, Chronicle of, i. 116
Richard Fitz-Neal, his *Dialogue on the Exchequer*, i. 115; treasurer of Henry II., author of the earliest work on English government, 163
Richard, Bishop of London, carries on the re-building of the Cathedral of St. Paul, i. 149
Richard, Earl Mareschal, demands the expulsion of the aliens, i. 259
Richard Neville, Earl of Salisbury, *s. c* Salisbury
Richardson, iv. 205
Richelieu, Cardinal, iii. 128; his negotiations with Charles I. and with the Scots, 181; his centralizing administration, 281
Richmond, Henry Tudor, Earl of, his pedigree, ii. 58; his troubled childhood, *ib.*; conspiracy in his favor against Richard III., 59; marriage agreed upon between him and the Princess Elizabeth, *ib.*; failure of his first expedition, *ib.*; encouraged by Anne of Beaujeu to renew the attack, and lands at Milford Haven, 66; Stanley's treason in his favor, 67; his victory at Bosworth, *ib.*; *see* Henry VII.
Richmond, Duke of, iv. 254
Ridley, Bishop of London, expelled from his see, ii. 235; burnt at Oxford, 247
Ridolfi, agent of the Catholic earls in their plot against Elizabeth, ii. 365
Rishanger, chronicler of St. Alban's, i 183
Rivers, Earl, *see* Woodville, Sir Richard
Rizzio, David, ii. 332, 336
Robert, Duke of the Normans, father of William the Conqueror, i. 104; intervenes on behalf of Æthelred's children, *ib.*; his unsuccessful attempt to invade England, *ib.*
Robert, Duke of the Normans, eldest son of William, outbreak of the Norman baronage under, i. 126; Normandy bequeathed to him by William's will, 128; pledges Normandy to William the Red, 132; joins the Crusade, *ib.*; conspiracy in his favor at the accession of Henry I., 133; invades England, 134; his treaty with Henry I., 135
Robert of Avesbury, i. 355
Robert of Belesme, Earl of Shrewsbury, story of, i. 135
Robert Fitz-Stephen, lands in Ireland, i. 168
Robert, Earl of Gloucester, son of Henry I., i. 144; joins Stephen, *ib.*; his revolt, 145, 146; his descent from Flanders and defeat near St. Edmundsbury, 169
Robert Redman, i. 356
Robert de Vere, his favor with Richard II., i. 468; Duke of Ireland, 486
Robert III., King of Scotland, i. 494; capture of his son James, 531; dies of grief, *ib.*
Roberts, Lord, representative of the Presbyterian party, enters the ministry, iii. 405
Robespierre, iv. 298
Rochelle, the Spanish fleet defeats an English convoy at, i. 426; costly attempt to relieve, 429; siege and fall of, iii. 131
Rochester, starved into submission by John, i. 236

Rochester, Fisher, Bishop of, patronizes the New Learning, ii. 86; entertains Erasmus at his house, 95; charged with treason, 164; beheaded, 165
Rochester, Hilsey, Bishop of, ii. 175; his sympathy with Lutheranism, *ib.*
Rochester, Viscount (*see* Carr), his rapid rise to honor, iii. 83; his intrigues with Frances Howard, Lady Essex, 84; aided by the councils of Sir Thomas Overbury, *ib*; his supposed share in the murder of Overbury, 85; union with Frances Howard, *ib.*; a fashionable poet, 314; his epigram on Charles II., 322; raised to the Earldom of Somerset, *see* Somerset
Rochester, Laurence Hyde, Earl of, made Lord Treasurer by James II., iv. 21; refuses to becomes Catholic and deprived of his office, *ib.*; come in with the Tory ministry, 72
Rochford, Lord, *see* Boleyn, Sir Thomas
Rockingham, Marquis of, his ministry, iv. 226; guided by his secretary, Burke, 228; his resignation, 232; draws away from Chatham, 244; again prime minister, 260; his death, 278
Rodney, Admiral, victory at Cape St. Vincent, iv. 260; defeats De Grasse, *ib.*
Roger Bacon witnesses to the obstacles against which science won its way, i. 196
Roger Bigod, *see* Norfolk, Earl of
Roger, Bishop of Salisbury, supports Stephen, i. 144; justiciar, 145; regarded with jealousy and ill-will, 146
Roger the Chancellor, i. 145, 146
Roger of Howden, his record, i. 115; his position at court and its effect on his writings, 163; his purely political temper, *ib.*
Roger Mortimer, i. 279, 286, 294, 295
Roger Mortimer, Earl of March, his influence over Isabella, i. 368, 373; arrested and executed as a traitor, 374; his great-grandson marries the daughter of Edward III.'s second son, 490
Roger Walden, Archbishop of Canterbury, i. 482
Roger of Wendover, chronicler of St. Albans, i. 183
Rogers, prebendary of St. Paul's, burnt at the stake, ii. 247; a fellow-worker with Tyndale in the translation of the Bible, 249; his courage at the stake, *ib.*
Rohese, mother of Thomas of London, i. 154
Rolf, forms of his name, i. 105; wrests Normandy from Charles the Simple, *ib.*; treaty with, at Clair-on-Epte, *ib.*; baptized, 106; marries the king's daughter, and becomes his vassal, *ib.*
Roman de Rou, the, i. 3
Roman wall, i. 21.
Rome, relations of, with Old England, i. 15
Rome, the French enter, in 1798, iv. 324
Romilly, iv. 305
Roper, his Life of Sir Thomas More, ii. 9
Rosbecque, battle of, i. 467
Roses, Wars of the, begin, i. 540; origin of the name, *ib.*; results of, ii. 10
Ross, General, captures Washington, iv. 369
Rossbach, victory of, creates the unity of Germany, iv. 181
Rostopchin, Russian minister, iv. 341
Roucoux, battle of, iv. 166
Rouen, William's death in, i. 127; Henry V. lays siege to, 511, 512; Jeanne Darc burned at, 525; court of Henry VI. at 526
Roundheads, origin of the name, iii. 202
Rousseau, iv. 289
Royal Council, i. 310, 314
Royal Society, the, iii. 293, 311, 316
Rudolf, Emperor of Austria, his anti-Protestant policy, iii. 74
"Rump," origin of the term, iii. 250; designs of the, 254
Runnymede, the Great Charter sealed at, i. 231
Rupert, Prince, nephew of Charles I., his success at Edgehill, iii. 210; his raid on the army of Essex, 214; Bristol surrenders to, 216; sent to gather forces on the Welsh border, 221; his troopers beaten at Marston Moor by Cromwell's "Ironsides," *ib.*; at Naseby 232; part of the English sails under his command from the Hague, 252; anchors at Kinsale, 257; Prince Rupert's drops, 316; supports the Dutch embassy, 380; his unsuccessful attempt to clear the way for a descent on Holland, 391; welcomed back to the royal council by Shaftesbury, *ib.*
Rushworth, iii. 5
Russell, Lord, head of the Country party in the Commons, iii. 387; money entrusted to him by Barillon for the bribery of members, 404; enters the new ministry, 405; follows Shaftesbury in his plan of exclusion, 409; supports Shaftesbury in his project in favor of Monmouth, 412; resigns, 415; convicted on a charge of sharing in the Rye House Plot, and beheaded, 429; his attainder reversed, iv. 52
Russell, (afterwards Lord) Edward, cousin to the above, invites William to England, iv. 31; goes to the Hague, 32; succeeds Torrington as Admiral of the Fleet, and takes part in Jacobite plots, 59; defeats Tourville at La Hogue, *ib.*; becomes Lord of the Admiralty, 66; William forced to call for his resignation, 72; impeached, 77
Russells, the, ii. 213
Russia, alliance with Sweden, iv. 132; hostile to England through the quarrel with Hanover, 139; alliance with Maria Theresa, 156, 170; under Catharine II., 290; under the Czar Paul, the enemy of France, 335; designs on

Poland and Turkey, 341; seconds France in forming the Confederacy of the North, *ib.*; declares war against England, 342; murder of Paul, and convention between England and, 343; under Alexander, *ib.*; 344, 366; at Austerlitz, 349; at Eylau and Friedland, 350; the Peace of Tilsit, *ib.*; invaded by Buonaparte, 366; retreat of the Grand Army from, 367; defeated at Lutzen, *ib*; victorious at Leipzig, 368; leagues with Prussia, and claims to annex Poland, 370; combines with the other powers to crush Napoleon, 371
Ruthven, Lord, uncle to Darnley, one of the Lords of the Congregation, ii. 334; deserts Murray, *ib.*; his share in Rizzio's murder, 537; flies over the border, 338
Rutland, Duke of, son of Richard, Duke of York, i. 481; killed at St. Albans, 541
Ruyter, De, Admiral, iii. 263; reorganizes the Dutch fleet, 38; his engagements with the English under the Duke of Albemarle, 282, 364; holds the English fleet at bay off the coast of Suffolk, 384
Rye House Plot, the, iii. 429
Rygge, Robert, chancellor of Oxford, i. 462
Rymer, his *Fœdera*, i. 184, 355
Rymer, the critic of the Restoration, iii. 417
Ryswick, Peace of, iv. 68

S

Sacheverell, Dr., his sermon at St. Paul's on non-resistance, iv. 98; impeached by the Whigs, *ib.*; his acquittal welcomed as a Tory triumph, 99
Sackville, Lord John, at the battle of Minden, iv. 182
Sæberht, King of the East Saxons, i. 42; suffers a Christian church to be built in London, *ib.*
St. Albans, abbey of, scene at, during the Peasant rising, i. 455, 456; the Chroniclers of, 166; first battle at, between the Yorkists and the Lancastrians, 538; second battle at, 541
St. Albans, Viscount, *see* Bacon, Sir Francis
St. Bartholomew, beside Smithfield, priory of, raised by Rahere, i. 149
St. Bartholomew, Massacre of, in Paris, ii. 383
St. Bartholomew's Day, expulsion of the Nonconformists on, iii. 345; its religious results, 346; its political results, 347
St. David's, Barlow, Bishop of, ii. 175; his sympathy with Lutheranism, *ib.*
St. David's, Ferrar, Bishop of, burnt at Caermarthen, ii. 247
St. Edmundsbury, history of, i. 207, 208; under the three Edwards, 480; under Prior John of Cambridge, 454, 455
St. Frideswide, the priory of, i. 190, 203
St. Gervais, convent of, William's death at, i. 127
St. Giles' at Cripplegate built by Alfune, i. 149
St. Helena, 374
St. Hugh of Lincoln, Life of, i. 115
St. John, Henry, in Marlborough's Coalition ministry, iv. 89; intrigues against the Whigs, 95; dismissed from office, 96; his abuse of Marlborough, and the war through the press, 99; at the head of the Tory ministry, 100; becomes Lord Bolingbroke, *ib.*; *see* Bolingbroke, Lord
St. John, Oliver, iii. 171, 183, 193, 259
St. Ledger, Sir Anthony, deputy of Ireland under Edward VI., ii. 226
St. Paul's, London, rebuilding of, i. 149
St. Paul's, the grammar-school of, foundation of, by Colet, ii. 84; the grammar-schools of Edward VI. and Elizabeth, the outcome of, 85
St. Ruth, Marshal, in Ireland, iv. 56; killed in the battle of Aughrim, *ib.*
St. Vincent, Cape, battle off, iv. 318, 340
Saladin tithe, decreed by Henry II., i. 171; tax on personalty dates from, 442
Salamanca, battle, iv. 358
Salisbury, cathedral church of, completed, i. 306
Salisbury, Shaxton, Bishop of, ii. 175; his sympathy with Lutheranism, *ib.*; imprisoned, 183
Salisbury, William, Earl of, stands by John, i. 229
Salisbury, Earl of, his death transfers the leadership of the Lancastrian party to Sir John Oldcastle, i. 502; at the siege of Orleans, 519
Salisbury, Richard Neville, Earl of, son of the Earl of Westmoreland, his power, 537; created Chancellor, *ib.*; beheaded, 541; his son Richard, *see* Warwick, Earl of; his sister Cecily Neville married to Duke of York, 538; his sons, Lord Montague and George Neville, ii. 28
Salisbury, Margaret, Countess of, her descent and marriage, and the opposition of her house to the system of Thomas Cromwell, ii. 183; attainted in Parliament and sent to the Tower, 184
Sancroft, Archbishop, heads the protest of the bishops against James II.'s Declaration of Indulgence, iv. 28; becomes a nonjuror, 51
Sandwich, Lord, proposes surrender of Dunkirk, iii. 348
Sandys, Archbishop, one of the Protestant exiles, ii. 265
San Graal, poem of the, i. 115, 165
Saratoga, iv. 251
Sardinia, ceded to Charles of Austria, by the Treaty of Utrecht, iv. 101; recovered by Spain, 131; gives the Duke of Savoy the title of King, 132

Sarsfield, Patrick, insists on defending Limerick, and surprises the English artillery, iv. 55; commands at Aughrim, 56; forced to surrender, and retires to France, *ib.*; destined to take part in the French invasion of England, 59
Sautre, William, first victim of the Statute of Heresy, i. 492
"Savoy," the origin of the name, i. 258; the palace of, burnt, i. 448
Savoy, joins the Grand Alliance, iv. 86; Sicily ceded to, by the Treaty of Utrecht, 101
Savoy, Duke of, takes from Sardinia the title of King, iv. 131
Saxe, Marshal, iv. 157, 160
Saxons, the Westphalian and Eastphalian, i. 7; their connection with the English and Jutes, 7, 8; first of the three English tribes to land in Britain, 19, 21
Saxony, joins the league of the four powers against Prussia, iv. 170
Saxony, Elector of, shelters Luther, ii. 120; taken prisoner at Mühlberg by the Emperor, *ib.*
Saxony, Elector of, ii. 210
Saxony, Maurice, Duke of, secedes from the League of Schmalkald, ii. 221; concludes a secret treaty with France, 228; turns his forces against the Emperor, *ib.*
Saye and Sele, Lord, iii. 169, 192; made Lord Privy Seal, 335
Scales, Anthony Woodville, Lord, brother of Edward IV.'s Queen, ii. 39, 40
Scandinavian realms, rise of the three, i. 85
Schmalkald, the League of, ii. 175; secession of Duke Maurice of Saxony from, 219
Schomberg, Duke of, in Ireland, iv. 54; falls at the battle of the Boyne, 55
Scone, the sacred stone removed from, i. 343
Scotland, bridled by the erection of a fortress at Newcastle, i. 126; held in friendship by Henry I., 136; materials for the history of, 184; relations of Edward I. with, 327, 331; his conquests of, 342, 343, 349, 350; wars of Edward II. with, 363, 364, 365, 367, 372; the independence of, recognized by the Treaty of Northampton, 373; civil strife in, 375; freedom of, secured, 378; its connection with France in its refusal to acknowledge Henry IV., 494; supports Owen Glyndwr, 496; story of, after the capture of David II. on the field of Neville's Cross, ii. 73; effect of the struggle between the Lords of the Border on the internal development of, *ib.*; James I. the ablest of her rulers, 74; the Scotch Parliament organized, *ib.*; Perkin Warbeck received by James IV. *ib.*; treaty with Henry VII. *ib.*; James IV. marries Margaret Tudor, 75; war with Henry VIII., James slain at Flodden, 91; his widow marries the Earl of Angus, 106; the Duke of Albany made regent, *ib.*; the Scots encouraged by Francis I. threaten to invade England, 116; Albany's final withdrawal to France and Margaret's resumption of power, *ib.*; years of strife between Margaret and her husband, 201; James V.'s reign secures rest to the country (*see* James V.); Henry VIII.'s project of a union of the two realms and the answer of the Scotch Parliament, 203; the influence of France in, 204; the English invasion under Lord Hertford and the burning of Edinburgh, 205; peace with England after the murder of Cardinal Beaton, 208; Somerset's unsuccessful policy in, 220; the union with France by the marriage of Mary Stuart with the son of Henry II. 221; religious and political effect of Mary's reign on the fortunes of, 260; the Gospellers, *ib.*; John Knox, 261, 263; the first Covenent of the Scotch nobles, 263; Scotch Calvinism, 297; jealousy of French conquest, 298; the Lords ask help from Elizabeth's action with regard to, 300; the two treaties of Edinburgh, 302, 303; its independence upon France broken, 309; perpetual peace between England and the Scots sworn, 310; the Genevan Confession accepted by Parliament as the religion of, *ib.*; James Stuart is proclaimed as Elizabeth's successor, iii. 38; work of the Stuarts in, 40; the Stuarts and the Reformation, 41; James and the nobles, 42; the Scotch people, 43; the Kirk and the people, 44; the Kirk and the King, 45; Presbyterianism established, 48; James the I. and the Kirk, 49; James and Presbyterianism, 50; the struggle with the Church, 51; naturalization of the Post-nati, 65; James asserts the right to convene and prorogue the General Assembly, 66 submission of the Kirk, 67; restoration of Scotch Episcopacy, *ib.*; Charles's policy in, 171; enforcement of the new Liturgy in, 173; its rejection, 174; establishment of the "Tables," 176; renewal of the Covenant, 177; the Scotch Revolution, 179; Presbyterianism re-established, the Scotch war, *ib.*; applies to France for aid, 180; effect of her attitude in the struggle against tyranny on the Presbyterian party, 192; jealousies between the nobles, 195; pacification between the two countries, 197; Charles in, *ib.*; league of the Parliament with, 218; England swears to the Covenant, 227; the Scottish army, under Lord Leven, crosses the border, 220; Marston Moor, 221; Montrose's successes over the Covenanters, 222, 232, 233; his irretrievable defeat at Philiphaugh, 233; Scotland presses for religious uniformity, 236; Charles in the Scotch camp,

237; the Scottish army surrenders him to the Parliament and returns to Scotland, 239; the army under the Duke of Hamilton invades England, 247; insists on the acceptance of the Covenant by Charles II., 253; Charles II.'s negotations with, 257; Cromwell in, 258-161; Charles lands in, *ib.*; power of Argyle and his party comes to an end, 260; Charles is crowned at Scone, *ib.*; intestine feuds, *ib.*; members from Scotland sit for the first time in the English Parliament, 273; union of Scotland with England completed, 274; settlement of Scotland by the Protector, 277; Charles II. refuses to recognize the Union, 326; the Covenant abolished, *ib.*; the drunken Parliament annuls the proceedings of its predecessors for twenty-eight years past, *ib.*; Argyle's trial and execution, *ib.*; bishops restored, *ib.*; government in the hands of Lauderdale, 327; on the formation of the Cabal ministry the direction of affairs left to Lauderdale, 369; rising of the Covenanters called, and the Whigs' defeat at Bothwell Brig by Monmouth, 411; James II.'s despotism in, iv. 18; puts Catholics in command, 19; Scotch troops in the service of the States, 32, 35; Scotland and the Revolution, 41; Killiecrankie, 42; the Massacre of Glencoe, 43; final union of England with, and its results, 91, 94; given in charge to the Jacobite Earl of Mar by Bolingbroke, 103; rising of the Highland clans in favor of Charles Edward Stuart, 158; conquest of the Highlands, 159

Scots, once the name of the inhabitants of Ireland, i. 21

Scrope, Archbishop of York, i. 501

Scutage, or shield-money, a device of Henry II. to disarm the boronage, i. 156; a subtitution for military service, provision of the Great Charter relative to, 234

"Sea-dogs," the, ii. 404

Securities, Bill of, iii. 409; opposed by the Commons, 418

Sedgemoor, James II.'s victory at, iv. 14

Sedley, iii, 160, 171

"Self-renouncing Ordinance," its nature and introduction, iii. 229

Senlac, description of the place, i. 107; battle of, *ib.*; the Norman bowmen at, 108, 396

Septennial, Bill, iv. 129

Settle, his tragedies, iii. 425

Settlement, Act of, its provisions, iv. 75, 77

Seven Years' War, its disastrous beginning, iv. 171, 181, 182; unrivalled in the importance of its triumphs, 189; the Peace of Paris, 211

Seymour, Edward, *see* Hertford, Lord

Seymour, Jane, married to Henry VIII., ii. 168; dies in giving birth to her son (Edward VI.), *ib.*

Seymour, Sir Thomas, brother to the above and the Protector, Lord High Admiral, ii. 217; his secret marriage with the Queen-Dowager, Catharine Parr, 223; attainted for treason, and executed, *ib.*

Shaftesbury, Ashley Cooper, Earl of, iii. 387; his fiery address in favor of the war, 388; effect of the discovery of the King's perfidy on, 389; his change of policy, 390; his dismissal, 391; fans the public panic to carry out his plans, 392; heads the Country party, and presses the Protestant Securities Bill, *ib.*; intrigues in the city, and corresponds with William of Orange, 393; committed to the Tower for contempt of the House, 398; takes the lead in investigating the charges of Oates, 402; his bill excluding Catholics from Parliament, 403; attains his end, the dissolution of Parliament, 404; President of the Council, 405; advocates the Exclusion, 410; his project in favor of Monmouth, 411; his second dismissal, 412; his struggle, *ib.*; heads the "petitioners" or Whigs, 414; indicts the Duke of York and the Duchess of Portsmouth, 415; brings on the impeachment of Lord Strafford, 419; appeal to Charles to recognize Monmouth as his successor; 420; arrested for suborning false witnesses to the plot, 421; Dryden's portrait of him as Ahitophel, 426; discharged from the Tower, 427; his flight to Holland, and death, 429

Shakspere, little known of his life, ii. 450; his actor's life, 451; his early work, 452; his first plays, 453; his earlier comedies, 454; his historical plays, 455; his religious sympathies, 456; his political sympathies, 456; his prosperity, 459; his gloom, 460; his passion plays, 461; sale of his work in the 18th century, iv. 202

Shelburne, Lord, in the Greenville ministry, iv. 217; his protest against the prosecution of Wilkes, 218; his attack upon the Declaratory Bill, 232; in the Chatham ministry, 233; prime minister, 277; *see* Lansdowne, Marquis of.

Sheldon, Archbishop, iii. 317

Sheriffs, or shire-reeves, royal officers who curtail the authority of the ealdormen, i. 87; royal nomination of, 124; under William, 124; their office, *ib.*

Sheriffmuir, battle of, iv. 128

Ship-money, Noy's plan for raising, iii. 167; its levy declared legal, 168; resisted by Hampden, 170, 171; the judgment on, 176; declared illegal, 190

Shire, courts, i. 335; knights of the, 336

Shire-reeves, *see* Sheriffs

Shirley, iii. 158

Shrewsbury, Pengwern the Welsh name of, i. 65; conquered by Offa, *ib.*

Shrewsbury, battle of, i. 498

Shrewsbury, Earl of, ii. 357, 358; signs the invitation to the Prince of Orange

to land in England, iv. 31 ; contributes to the expenses of the expedition, 32 ; secretary of state, 52 ; takes part in Jacobite plots, 58 ; in the Whig ministry, 66
Shrewsbury, Duke of, adherent of the Hanoverian succession, Lord Treasurer, iv. 104
Sicily, i. 275, 278, 279, iv. 131
Sidmouth, Lord (Addington), heads the Tories, iv. 350 ; his opinion of America, 355
Sidney, Sir Henry, Lord Deputy of Ireland, ii. 342 ; Elizabeth's general in the North, 358
Sidney, Sir Philip, ii. 436, 440
Sidney, Algernon, iii. 237, 358, 404, 429, iv. 52
Sidney, Henry, brother of the above, invites William of Orange to England, iv. 31
Sigismund, Duke of Austria, ii. 49, 51
Silesia, iv. 155
Simeon of Durham, i. 3, 115, 162
Simnel, Lambert, personates the Earl of Warwick, son of the Duke of Clarence, ii. 69 ; his supporters, *ib.* ; his defeat at Stoke, *ib.*
Simon de Montfort, Earl of Leicester, his foreign blood and marriage causes of jealousy, i. 259 ; his early action, 261 ; his rule in Gascony, 262 ; his temper, 263 ; his measure with regard to the Charter, 276 ; his breach with the baronage, 278 ; foiled by a counter-revolution, 279 ; his rising, 280 ; at the head of the state, 283 ; his rule 284 ; his summons of the Commons, *ib.* ; his difficulties, 285 ; his fall at Evesham, 287, 288 ; his house banished, 291 ; miracles at his tomb, *ib.*
Simon de Montfort, the younger, 283, 287, 288, 289, 290, 292, 293
Siward, Earl of Northumbria, i. 99
Sixtus V. offers to aid Philip II. in his invasion of England, ii. 419
Skeffington, Lord Deputy of Ireland in 1535, ii. 170
Skelton, poet of the New Learning, the bitter assailant of Wolsey, ii. 133 ; his rough doggrel, 119
Slave, his position due to debt or crime, i. 11 ; nothing to do with the public life of the village, *ib.*
Slave-trade, its prevalence at Bristol, i. 121 ; William's edict against, *ib.* ; the Treaty of Utrecht and the, iv. 134 ; abolished, 148, 268, 352
Sleswick, its relation with Old England, i. 7 ; Roman remains in, 15
Sluys, naval victory of, i. 387
Smith, Adam, iv. 265, 283
Smollett, iv. 205
Solway, defeat at the, ii. 202
Somers, Lord, president of the Royal Society, iii. 316
Somers, John (afterwards Lord), counsel for the Bishops, frames the Declaration of Rights, iv. 39 ; Lord Keeper in the Whig ministry, 66 ; withdraws, 72 ; impeached, 77 ; brings the question of the Union of Scotland to an issue, 92 ; President of the Council, 96 ; his death, 127
Somerset, Edmund Beaufort, Duke of, loss of Normandy laid to his charge, i. 530 ; at the head of the Royal Council, 534 ; his strife with the Duke of York, 534-538 ; falls at St. Albans, 538
Somerset, Duke of, flies after the battle of Towton Field, i. 542
Somerset, Duke of, head of the Lancastrian party, ii. 45, 47 ; his fall at Tewkesbury extinguished the male branch of the House of Beaufort, 50
Somerset, Duke of (Hertford), made Protector, ii. 217 ; creates new peers, 218 ; overturns Henry VIII.'s will, *ib.* ; invades Scotland, 228 ; victor at Pinkie Cleugh, *ib.* ; his policy unsuccessful abroad and at home, 221 ; agrarian discontent under, 222 ; sends Gardiner to the Tower, *ib.* ; revolts of the people against his measures, *ib.* ; rise of prices and debasement of the coinage, 223 ; sends his brother, the Lord High Admiral, to the block, *ib.* ; executed, 230
Somerset, Earl (*see* Rochester, Viscount), his union with Frances Howard, iii. 85 ; Lord Chamberlain, 92 ; his intrigues with Spain, *ib.* ; his fall, 93
Somerset, Duke of, refuses to introduce the Papal nuncio into the presence chamber of James II., iv. 22 ; takes his place in the council chamber on the death of Queen Anne, 104
Sophia, Princess (granddaughter of James I.), wife of the Elector of Hanover, iv. 76 ; the Crown vested in her by the Act of Settlement, *ib.* ; Queen Anne's letter to, relative to the Duke of Cambridge, 103 ; her death, *ib.*
Soranzo, ii. 189
Soult, Marshal, in Portugal, iv. 358, 359, 368
Southampton, Earl of, expelled from the Chancellorship by Somerset, ii. 217
Southampton, Lord, in Charles I.'s first ministry, iii. 334
South-Engle, *see* English
Southey, iii. 312, iv. 305
South Sea Bubble, iv. 134
Spain, her contest with England, position in Europe in Elizabeth's reign, ii. 399-432 ; her empire in the New World, 403 ; conquers Portugal, 406 ; James I.'s relations with, iii. 97-99, 106-112 ; Charles I. and, 118, 120, 140 ; war with, under Cromwell, 282-283 ; Charles II.'s attempted alliance with, 330 ; sinks into decrepitude under Philip IV., 332 ; Lewis XIV.'s policy with regard to, 333, 372 ; joins the Grand Alliance, iv. 40 ; question of the succession, 67, 69 ; the Partition Treaties, 70, 71 ; accession of the Duke of Anjou, 72 ; Lord Peterborough in, 90 ; alliance of

France and England against, 130; her secret alliance with the Emperor Charles VI., 139; signs the Treaty of Seville, 140; the Family Compact, 149; war with England, 150, 151; alliance with Maria Theresa, 170; terms of the Peace of Paris with regard to, 211; war with England, 316; Buonaparte's alliance with, 347, 348, 349; invaded by Buonaparte, 356; rising against Buonaparte, 357; Wellesley's campaign in, 360, 366
Spenser, Edmund, his life and early works, ii. 440, 441; his *Faerie Queen*, 441-445; Elizabeth's delight in, 276
Spenser, Earl, iv, 303, 337
Spinola, the Spanish general, iii. 103
Spires, Diet of, ii. 198, 263
Spitalfields, silk trade established in, iv. 17
Sprat, Bishop, first historian of the Royal Society, iii. 293
Sprigge, his *Anglia Rediviva*, iii. 5
Stafford, fortified by Æthelflæd i. 79
Stafford, Lord, leader of the Catholic party, iii. 419; his trial and execution, 420
Stair, Lord, iv. 159
Stamford Bridge, overthrow of the Norwegians at, i. 107
Stamp Act, the, originates with Lord Liverpool, iv. 214; the colonies and the 221; passed, 223; considered inexpedient by Rockingham, 226; the repeal of, demanded by Pitt, 227; Burke's speech on the repeal of, 228; repeal of, 231
Standard, Battle of the, i. 145
Standard, Harold's, at Senlac, i. 107, 108
Stanhope, Lord, iii. 311
Stanhope, Lord, member of Lord Townshend's ministry, iv. 127; his ministry, 133; the crash of the South Sea Company brings him to the grave, 134
Stanley, Lord, foremost amongst Richard III.'s adherents, ii, 66; made Constable, *ib.*; marries Margaret Beaufort, *ib.*; conspires against Richard in favor of Henry Tudor, *ib.*; betrays him at Bosworth, 66
Star Chamber, Court of the, an offshot of Henry II.'s Court of Appeal, i. 171; systematized by Henry VII., ii. 70; its process under Charles I., iii. 142; its civil and judicial jurisdiction abolished by the Long Parliament, 197
Statutes, the first Statute of Westminster, i. 304; of Mortmain, 315; of Apparel, 532; of Heresy, 491-493; of Kilkenny, 486; of Laborers, 406, 417, 427, 428, 436, 458, ii. 24; of Liveries, 20, 31, 70; of Maintenance, i. 471; of *Præmunire*, i. 418, 471; of Provisors, 417, 471; of Staples, 429, 436; of Treason, 429; of Uses, 471; Libel Act, iv. 295
Steam-engines, iv. 272
Steele, Richard, iv. 112
Steelyard, German traders of the ii. 125; import Luther's bitter invectives and Tyndale's Bible, *ib.*; submit to a penance in St. Paul's, *ib.*; its extinction, 371.
Steinkirk, battle of, iv. 60
Stephen, king, son of Stephen, Count of Blois and Adela, i, 143; married to Matilda of Boulogne, *ib.*; his popularity, *ib.*; his welcome at London and Winchester, *ib.*, 144; his coronation, 144; his charter, *ib.*; secures Normandy against Anjou, *ib.*; Robert of Gloucester's revolt against him and its consequences, 145; his cession of Carlisle to the King of Scots, *ib.*; his wars with the King of Scots, *ib.*; Battle of the Standard, *ib.*; his conduct towards the Bishops of Salisbury and Lincoln, 146; taken prisoner, 147; England given up to civil war, 146-148; the royal power comes to an end, 147; the Treaty of Wallingford, 152; Religious Revival, 148; recognized as king, and recognizes Henry of Anjou as his heir, 152; his death, *ib.*
Stephen of Albemarle, conspiracy of Robert Mowbray on behalf of, i. 129
Stephen Langton, Archbishop of Canterbury, his election, i. 219; banished by John, 220; his return to England, 225; John's promises to him, *ib.*; calls a council at St. Paul's, 227; suspended by Innocent III., 235; returns forgiven from Rome, 238; wins s confirmation of the Great Charter, 240
Stigand, Archbishop of Canterbury, i. 106; the Norman Conquest indirectly connected with his appointment, *ib.*; receives the pallium from an uncanonical Pope, *ib.*; deposed, 125
Stillingfleet, the divine, iii. 374; iv. 21
Strafford, Thomas Wentworth, Earl of (*see* Wentworth), made Lord Lieutenant of Ireland, iii. 182; counsels the summons of Parliament, *ib.*; procures subsidies from the Irish Parliament for the attack on the Scots, *ib.*; takes command of the royal army, 183; his warlike bluster breaks down, 184; his impeachment, 189; his trial, 193; his death, 196; his letters, iii. 5
Strassburg, its seizure by Lewis XIV., iii. 428
Strathclyde, extent of, i. 41; invaded by Æthelfrith, *ib.*; conquered by Eadmund, 82
Stroneshalh, original name of Whitby, i. 52
Strode, one of the Five Members, iii. 204, 213
Strype, ii. 3, 189, 190
Stuart, Lord James, bastard son of James V., ii. 262; prior of St. Andrew's, *ib.*; leader of the Protestant lords, 318; created Earl of Murray, *see* Murray
Stuart, Esme, cousin to James VI. of Scotland, iii. *see* Lennox, Duke of
Stuart, Lady Arabella, her descent and claims to the English crown, iii. 38
Stuarts, the claims of the, iii., 37, 38;

the work of the, 40; the Stuarts and the Reformation, 41; their rule a foreign one, 55; the fall of the, 1683-1714, iv. 5-101
Stubbs, a Puritan lawyer, author of *The Discovery of a Gaping Gulf*, ii. 408
Stukely, an Irish refugee, ii. 394
Suchet, General, iv. 361
Sudbury, Archbishop of Canterbury, beheaded in the Peasant Rising, i. 448, 450
Suffolk, Michael de la Pole, Earl of, Chancellor under Richard II., ii. 468; appealed on a charge of high-treason and flies to France, 470
Suffolk, William de la Pole, Earl of, his descent, i. 528; his conduct in the marriage treaty, 529, 530; hatred against and its causes, *ib.*; impeached and beheaded, 531
Suffolk, Duke of, marries a sister of Edward IV., ii. 69
Suffolk, Duke of, heads the English forces in the war with Francis I., ii. 116; supports the cause of Anne Boleyn, 131; his wrath against the legates, 135; president of the Council, 142
Suffolk, Charles Brandon, Duke of, his marriage with Mary, sister of Henry VIII., ii. 216; his daughters named as next in the succession to Elizabeth, *ib.*
Suffolk, Lord Dorset (father of Lady Jane Grey), made Duke of, ii. 229; endeavors to excite a rebellion at Leicester, 241; imprisoned, *ib.*; he and his brother executed, 243
Suffolk, Duke of, Lord Treasurer, and father-in-law of Somerset, iii. 92
Suffolk, Duchess of, gathers fugitive Protestants round her at Wesel, ii. 264
Sunderland, Lord, in Sir William Temple's council, iii. 406; resists the Exclusion, 410; opposed to Shaftesbury's project in favor of Monmouth, 412; public affairs left in his hands, 415; Charles's confidence in, iv. 10; the favorite minister of James II., 16; detects William's preparations for landing, 33; counsels the calling of Parliament, 34; dismissed from office, *ib.*; his political character, 62; advises the choice of ministers from the majority in the Commons, 63; his Whig ministry, member of the Junto, 64; counsels the dismissal of the Whig ministry, 72; sent as envoy to Vienna, 90; the Queen forced to admit him to office, 94; his conceptions of party government, 95; triumph of the Whigs under him, 96; becomes the son-in-law of Marlborough, 99; his dismissal, *ib.*; his Peerage Bill, 133
Surajah Dowlah, iv. 180, 181
Surrey, Earl of, defeats the Scots at Flodden, ii. 91; restored to the Earldom of Norfolk, 142; *see* Norfolk
Surrey, Henry, Earl of, son of the Duke of Norfolk, ii. 214; his name illustrious in the history of English poetry, *ib.*; his Italian refinement, poetic taste, and wild and reckless energy, 215; his foreign campaigns, *ib.*; Hertford compasses his fall by awakening Henry's jealousy of him, 216; committed to the Tower and beheaded, *ib.*
Sussex, Kingdom of the South-Saxons, i. 23; established by the fall of Anderida, *ib.*
Sussex, Lord, Lord Deputy of Ireland under Queen Mary, ii. 256
Sutton, Thomas, founds the Charter House, iii. 8
Sweden, growth of the kingdom of, under King Eric, i. 85; Cromwell's treaty with, iii. 282; joins the Triple Alliance, 372; Swedes in the army with which William of Orange lands in England, iv. 35; recognizes the French republic, 308; renounces her alliance with England. 353
Swegen, King of the Danes, anchors off London, i. 93; comes to avenge the massacre of St. Brice, 94; dies at Gainsborough, *ib.*
Swegen, son of Godwine, secures an earldom in the south-west, i. 99; his lawlessness, 100; seduces the abbess of Leominster, *ib.*; flies from England, *ib.*; returns and slays Beorn. *ib.*; branded as nithing by the Witan, *ib.*; his outlawry reversed, *ib.*
Swegen, King of Denmark, enters the Humber, with his fleet in 1068, i. 111
Swift, iii. 312; his abuse of Marlborough and the war, iv. 99
Swiss Confederation, abolished, iv. 323
Switzerland, the Protestant cantons recognize the French Republic, iv. 308; and the Peace of Amiens, 348; a French army occupies, 348
Sydenham, his studies in medicine, iii. 317
Sydney, Algernon, beheaded, iii. 429

T

"Tables," establishment of the, iii. 176, 177
Taillefer, the minstrel, his exploits and death at Senlac, i. 107
Talavera, battle, iv. 359
Talbot, Lord, i. 527; Earl of Shrewsbury, commands the English forces, and falls in Guienne, 536
Tallard, Marshal, iv. 87
Talleyrand, iv. 370
Tangiers, dowry of Catharine of Braganza, iii. 334; English garrison in, iv. 11, 14
Tasman, iv. 191
Taunton, founded by Ine, i. 60
Taxation, direct and indirect, under Edward I., i. 305; Parliamentary consent made necessary to, iii, 186; absolute power over restorted to Parliament, iv. 48; reduction of the land-tax under Walpole, 140; unpopularity of

the excise, 141; Walpole's Excise Bill, *ib.*; income-tax first imposed, 325
Taylor, Dr. Rowland, of Hadleigh, story of his execution, ii. 247
Taylor, Jeremy, iii. 255, 296, 318
Teignmouth, destroyed by the French, iv. 58
Telham, height opposite to Senlac, i. 107
Temple, Sir Peter, of Stave, iii. 171
Temple, Sir William, his memoirs, iii. 311; ambassador at Brussels negotiates the Tripple Alliance, iii. 357, 372; counsels the marriage of William and Mary, 399; becomes secretary of state, 406; his council, *ib.*; resists the Exclusion, 410; forced to accept it, 418
Temple, Lord, comes into office with Pitt, iv. 173; backs Pitt in his struggle with Whigs, 207; refuses to join Pitt's ministry, 225
Tenchebray, battle of, i. 136
Test Act, passed in the Parliament of 1661, iii. 344; assented to by Charles II., 387, 389; its startling results, *ib.*; set aside, iv. 18
Tewkesbury, artillery turned the day at, ii. 18, 47, 48
Thanet, settlement of the Jutes in, i. 22
Thegns, origin of the order, i. 34; supplant the old eorls, *ib.*, 77; offices of, about the king, 35; made a local nobility by grants of public land, *ib.*; thegnhood the germ of feudalism, 35; become officers of state, 87; take the place of the ealdormen in the royal councils, 93; their quarrels with them, 94; in Æthelstan's day, all London merchants who had made three long voyages ranked as, 200
Theobald, Archbishop of Canterbury, source of his influence, i. 149; the nation finds a moral leader in, 150; Gilbert Beket, his agent and adviser, *ib.*; counsels and aids Henry II., 155; retires from the post of minister, *ib.*
Theow, use of the word, i. 215
Thirty Years' War, outbreak of the, iii. 102; its end, 280, 331, 332
Thomas de la More, i. 355
Thomas of Lancaster, his descent, i. 361; supreme at the head of the Ordainers, 365; his character, *ib.*; executed, 366
Thomas of London, *see* Beket
Thurlow, his State Papers, iii. 5
Thurstan, Archbishop of York, i. 145
Ticonderoga, the French fort of, iv. 169, 183
Tillotson, the divine, iii. 318, 374, iv. 20; becomes Archbishop of Canterbury, 51
Tilly, Count, commands the army of the Catholic League, iii. 111
Tilsit, Peace of, iv. 349, 350
Tippoo, iv. 321, 323, 327
Tithes, date of the system, i. 56
Titus Livius, a wandering scholar from Forli, i. 356, 516
Toleration Act, passing of, in 1689, iv. 50
Tone, Wolfe, leader of the United Irishmen, iv. 314
Toovey, his *Anglia Judaica*, i. 116
Torbay, iv. 35
Tories, spring into organized existence in the contest between the army and the Parliament, iii. 235; origin of the name, fastened on the loyalist adherents of the Duke of York, 415; their struggle against the principles of the Revolution, iv. 36, 38, 50, 51, 66, 72, 74, 75, 77, 81, 82, 88, 97, 99, 103; their intrigues with the Pretender, 58, 102; their helplessness on the accession of the House of Hanover, 117; their withdrawal from government, 118
Torres Vedras, battle, iv. 360
Torrington, Earl of (Admiral Herbert), his maladministration of the navy, iv. 52; attacks the French fleet in Bantry Bay, *ib.*; his cowardice or treason in command of the English and Dutch fleets off Beachy Head, 58
Tostig, son of Godwine, appointed Earl of the Northumbrians, i. 101; driven to Flanders by a revolt of the Northumbrians, 105; takes refuge in Norway, and secures the aid of Harold Hardrada, 106; lands on the coast of Yorkshire, 107; repulsed at Stamford Bridge, *ib.*
Toulon, siege of, iv. 307
Toulouse, claimed by Henry II., i. 155
Tournay, besieged by Edward III., i. 387, 388; surrenders to Henry VIII., ii. 91; Wolsey made bishop of, 105; sold to France, 108
Tours, Council of, i. 157
Tourville, Admiral, his victory off Beachy Head, iv. 57; burns Teignmouth, 58; defeated off La Hogue, 60
Towns, English, their origin and their progress as compared with that of those of the Western world, 196, 198; their common lands, 197; their lords and reeves, 197, 198; the merchant-gild, 199, 200; emancipation of, 200, 201; justice and the, 208, 209; elect their own port-reeve or mayor, 210; division of labor in, 210, 211; formation of trade-gilds, 211, 212; struggle of the "greater" against the "lesser folk," 212, 214; corporations of, Whig in sympathy, iv. 10; enforced surrender of their charters to Charles II., *ib.*, his new charters to, *ib.*; their franchises restored by James II., 34; become more prominent as elements of national life, 112; literature becomes an expression of the life of, *ib.*; the urbanity of literature, 113
Townshend, Lord, Secretary of State under George I., his ministry, iv. 127; his Barrier Treaty with Holland, 128; resignation, 132; readmitted to the ministry, 134; turned out by the jealousy of Walpole, 142
Townshend, Charles, comes into office with Pitt, iv. 173; deserts Pitt and attaches himself to Bute, 208; President of the Board of Trade, and his policy of taxation and restraint in the col-

onies, 213; as Chancellor of the Exchequer, reopens the question of colonial taxation, 236
Towton Field, battle of, i. 542, ii. 9
Trafalgar, battle of, iv. 348, 349
Treaty of Westphalia, iii. 280 330, 332
Trent, Council of, ii. 207, 208, 210, 211; fresh assembly of, 229; again summoned by Pius IV., 313; Elizabeth joins the Lutheran states in rejecting it, 314
Tresham, Francis, iii. 62
Trevisa, John of, i. 472
Trichinopoly, iv. 162
Triennial Bill, passing of, iii. 190; negatived by William III., iv. 49; passed, 66
Triers, Board of, to examine the fitness of ministers, iii. 279
Triple Alliance, the first work of the Cabal ministry, iii. 371; its nature, 372; its importance to England's reputation, 373
Triploe Heath, iii. 241
Trokelowe, his Annals, i. 355
Troyes, Treaty of, i. 513; submits to Jeanne Darc, 523
Tudor, Owen, a Welsh squire, grandfather of Henry VII., gives his name to the Tudor line, ii. 62
Tunstall, Master of the Rolls, ii. 121
Turenne, Marshal, Marlborough serves under, iv. 79, 85
Turgot, prior of Durham, his literary work, i. 162
Turgot, French statesman, his love of mankind, iv. 282, 288
Turkey, besieges Vienna, iii. 331; threatened by Russia, iv. 300; alliance of England, Prussia, and Holland for the preservation of, *ib.*
Tuscany, iv. 150
Twysden, ii. 435
Tyerman, iii. 312
Tyler, Walter, heads the Peasant Rising, i. 448-451
Tynedale, William, his birth and education and early resolve, ii. 123; stirred by the news of Luther's Protest, *ib.*; visits Wittenberg, 124; his translation of the Bible printed at Köln, and reaches England, *ib.*; is denounced as heretical by More and Warham, 125; rapid diffusion of his works, *ib.*
Tyrconnell, Lord, at the head of the army in Ireland, iv. 20; a check on Ormond, 21; made Lord-Lieutenant, 44; heads the Catholic rising, 45
Tyrone, Shane O'Neil, Earl of, ii. 344, 345

U

Ulster, the colonization of, iii. 149; the linen manufacture of, 150; Sir Phelim O'Neal's conspiracy in, 199
Uniformity, Act of, iii. 345
United States, history of, begins with the taking of Quebec, iv. 189; Declaration of their Independence, 250; Philadelphia the temporary capital of, *ib.*; (*see* America, the Colonies of); call on France for aid, 253; England acknowledges their independence, 261
Universities, materials for the history of, i. 184; their up-growth, 188; their spirit opposed to that of the Church, 193; their democratic spirit threatens feudalism, *ib.*; 194; a state absolutely self-governed, 195; their spirit of intellectual inquiry threatens the Church, *ib.*; the Friars settle in, 243, 247: decline of, both in the numbers and learning of their students after the Wars of the Roses, ii. 16; influence of the New Learning on, 85; Bibles find their way to, and are secretly read and discussed, 125; the teaching of divinity ceases at, the libraries scattered and burned, 227; sullen opposition of Oxford to the Act of Supremacy, 292; change in their tone during Elizabeth's reign, 387; Oxford protests against the Puritans' Millenary Petition, iii. 57; loyalty of Oxford to Charles II., iv. 9; James II.'s attack on, 24; Jacobitism in, 129
Urban V., Pope, claims tribute from England, i. 418
Uriconium, burning of, i. 26
Usher, Archbishop, object of Wentworth's insult and oppression, iii. 150; his plan of Church government, 338
Utopia, the Elizabethan translation of, ii. 9
Utrecht, Union of, ii. 392; Treaty of, iv. 101; general temper of Europe after the, 109
Uxbridge, negotiations at, iii. 232

V

Vacarius, lectures on civil law at Oxford, i. 189
Val-es-Dunes, battle of, i. 104
Van Arteveldt, Jacques, i. 387, 391; his son Philip, 467
Van Diemen, iv. 191
Vane, Sir Harry (the elder), in Cromwell's first Parliament, iii. 273
Vane, Sir Harry, the younger, represents the Independents of the future, iii. 194; sent to negotiate with Scotland, 217, 218; with Cromwell introduces the Self-renouncing Ordinance, 229; fills the places of the royalists with the new members, 236; Charles intrigues with, 237; the bulk of his party fly to the army, 243; opposes the ordinance for the Supression of Blasphemies and Heresies, 246; his energy in recreating a navy, 257; spurs the Parliament to activity, 261; his aim with regard to the navy, 262; presses on the Bill for a new Representative, 264; his fearless answer to Cromwell, 265; refuses a

seat in the Council of State, 268; instrumental in bringing about the union of Scotland with England, 277; opposes Richard Cromwell, 303, 304; exempted from the general pardon, 336; his trial and execution, 342
Van Tromp, Admiral, iii. 262, 264, 282, 391
Varangians, the body-guard of the Eastern Emperors, i. 110; Englishmen form part of, *ib.*
Varaville, battle of, i. 105
Vassy, massacre at, ii. 327
Vendôme, Duke of, defeated at Oudenarde, iv. 96
Verden, iv. 132
Vere, Sir Horace, takes English troops to the Palatinate, iii. 102, 103
Verney, Sir Ralph, iii. 5
Vernon, Admiral, iv. 152, 154
Verulam, Baron, *see* Bacon, Sir Francis
Vervins, Treaty of, ii. 473
Villars, Marshal, iv. 86, 96
Villeins, probably the same as the dependent ceorls under the later kings of the House of Ælfred, i. 214; status of, during the Norman epoch, 214, 215; their services and rights, *ib.*; 216; origin of their later name of "copyholder," *ib.*; enfranchisement of, by payment, *ib.*, 217; resist the reactionary efforts of the lords of the manor to bring back labor services, 331
Villeneuve, Admiral, at Trafalgar, iv. 348
Villeroy, Duke, of, iv. 90, 91
Villiers, George, *see* Buckingham, Duke of
Vinegar Hill, battle of, iv. 320
Virginia, foundation of, by Sir Walter Raleigh, ii. 413, iii. 100; John Smith's settlement in, 161; its capital, Jamestown, 162; its progress and wealth, iv. 163; system of entails introduced in, 164; clings to the mother-country, 247; Washington's weight in, 248
Vitoria, battle of, iv. 368
Voltaire, importance of his visit to England, iv. 108

W

Wace, the romances of, i. 5, 116; his French version of the writings of Geoffrey of Monmouth, 164
Wages of agricultural laborers, as fixed by the Statute of Laborers, i. 406; rise of, 444, 457
Wagram, battle of, iv. 359
Walcheren Expedition, iv. 359
Walcourt, battle of, iv. 41
Wales, materials for the history of, i. 5.; history of, after the victories of Deorham and Chester, 266; its struggle with the Normans, 268; revival of, 269; language, 270; poetry, 271; bards, 272-275; under Llewelyn ap Jorwerth, 272, 273; the Welsh hopes, 275; conquest of, by Edward I., 308, 309; revolt of, under Owen Glyndwr, 495-497, 498-502; zeal for the Lancastrain cause in, 540; the Court of, under James, iii. 69, 77; rising in favor of Charles I., 246, 247
Wallace, William, i. 347, 348, 349
Wallenstein, general of the Imperial forces, iii. 139
Waller, Sir William, Parliamentary general, ii. 212, 217, 220, 221, 230
Wallingford, Treaty of, ends the civil war, i. 152
Wallington, Nehemiah, iii. 5, 20
Wallis, Dr., iii. 293
Wallis, Captain, his voyages, iv. 192
Walloons, driven by persecution from the Low Countries, received at London and Canterbury, ii. 220, 225
Walpole, Horace, iii. 312
Walpole, Robert, member of the Whig ministry in 1708, iv, 96; his country breeding, 125; his doggedness and self-confidence, *ib.*; his policy, 126; in the Townshend ministry, 127; forced to resign on the question of the Hanoverian policy of the King, 133; success of his opposition to the Peerage Bill, *ib.*; readmitted to office, 134; his ministry, 135; the first of our peace ministers, *ib.*; his finance, 136; his policy of inaction, 137; his moderation averts a European war, 139; fatal shock to his power from the death of George I., 140; returns to office, *ib.*; his Excise Bill and its withdrawal, *ib.*, 141; his jealousy and greed of power, *ib.*; his contempt for the "Patriots," 143; battles against the cry for war against Spain, 149-151; forced to give way, 152; his hopes of rescuing Austria from dismemberment defeated, 154; his fall, *ib.*
Walsingham, Sir Francis, his *Historia Anglicana*, i. 184, 355, 356; one of the Protestant exiles in Mary's reign, ii. 265; Elizabeth's ingratitude towards, 306; backs the Puritan pressure, 379; his death, 474
Walter of Coventry, his *Memoriale*, i. 183
Walter of Hemingford, i. 355
Walter de Map, his *Trifles* and *Satires*, i. 115; variety and fertility of his genius, 165; his ill-will towards the Church, *ib.*; his intellectual contempt, *ib.*
Walters, Lucy, mother of the Duke of Monmouth, iii. 324
Walworth, Sir William, Mayor of London, kills Tyler, i. 450
Warbeck, Perkin, lands in Ireland, calling himself Richard, Duke of York, ii. 71; acknowledged in France, received by Margaret, Duchess of Burgundy, recognized by the House of Austria and the King of Scots, 72; his failure in Ireland, *ib.*; wedded to the cousin of the King of Scots, 74; forced to quit Scotland and surrenders on promise of life, *ib.*; put to death on a charge of conspiracy, 76
Ward, Dr., mathematician, iii. 293
Wardships, nature and further account

of, iii. 337; abolished by the Convention, 338
Warham, *see* Canterbury, Archbishop of
Warwick, Earl of, condemned to perpetual imprisonment by Richard II., i. 482; the judgment reversed by Henry IV., 494
Warwick, Richard Neville, Earl of (son of the Earl of Salisbury), takes Henry VI. prisoner at St. Albans, i. 538; withdraws to Calais, 539; lands in Kent, and heads a rising against the King, *ib.*; defeated by the Queen at St. Albans, 541; victor at Towton, 542; styled "Last of the Barons," ii. 18; his train of artillery, *ib.*; becomes Earl of Salisbury, head of the Yorkists, *ib.*; his temper, 28; moral disorganization of the time expressed in his character, 29; his power and popularity, 31; his policy with regard to France and Burgundy, 31, 34; Edward strikes a blow at his sway, 35; checked by the Woodvilles, influence, 37; his mission to Rouen, 38; disavowed by Edward, and withdraws from court, *ib.*; becomes the French king's pensioner, 39; reconciled to Edward and the Woodvilles, *ib.*; his daughter marries the Duke of Clarence, 41; instigates a new rising, 42; flies to France, *ib.*; measures taken to support his fleet, and their result, *ib.*, 43; turns to the House of Lancaster, *ib.*; his daughter Anne married to Prince Edward, son of Henry VI., *ib.*; lands with Clarence at Dartmouth, 44; restores the House of Lancaster, *ib.*; alone in the hour of his triumph, 45; his fall at Barnet, 47
Warwick, Edward, Earl of, son of the Duke of Clarence, imprisoned in the Tower, ii. 68; personated by Lambert Simnel, 67; put to death on a charge of conspiracy, 76
Warwick, John Dudley, Viscount Lisle, created Earl of, ii. 217; puts down the revolt of the Norfolk men, 223; is made Protector on the fall of Somerset, 224; his misrule and despotism, *ib.*; becomes Duke of Northumberland, 229; *see* Northumberland
Warwick, Sir Philip, iii. 5
Warwick, Lord, heads the resistance of the peers to the forced loan, iii. 127; secures the proprietorship of the Connecticut valley, 169; commander of the Parliamentary fleet, 209
Washington, its capture, iv. 369
Washington, George, attacks the fort of Duquesne, and obliged to withdraw, iv. 168; takes Duquesne, 183; his influence in Virginia with regard to the tea duty, 244; prepared to support the English government in the Boston tea riots, 248; commander-in-chief of the American army, 248, 249, 255
Waterford, founded by the Danes, i. 166
Waterloo, battle of, iv. 372
Watling Street, i. 71
Watt, James, his steam engine, iv. 265, 272, 362
Weldon, iii. 5
Welles, Sir Robert, heads a rising in Lincolnshire in favor of Clarence, ii. 42
Wellesley, Arthur, Lord, his devotion to Pitt, iv. 281; Governor-General of British India, 322; invades Mysore, 327; his words on Pitt's death, 349; in Portugal, 358; raised to the peerage after Talavera, 360; *see* Wellington, Lord
Wellesley, Marquis of (Lord Mornington), brother of the above, foreign secretary, iv. 359
Wellington, Lord (Arthur Wellesley), at Torres Vedras, iv. 360; at Salamanca, 361; enters Madrid and besieges Burgos, 366; drives the French army from Spain, 368; his victory on the Bidassoa, *ib.*; enters France, *ib.*; at Quatre-Bras, 371; at Waterloo, 372; enters Paris with the Allied Armies, 374
Welwood, iii. 311
Wentworth, Sir Thomas, his words in the Commons on the question of personal liberty, iii. 129; his disbelief in Parliaments, 145; his capacity for rule, *ib.*; his political ability and eloquence rouse Buckingham's jealousy, *ib.*; his aim in urging the Petition of Right, 146; becomes minister, is raised to the peerage, *ib.*; his policy of "Thorough," 147; Lord Deputy of Ireland, 149; his tyranny and scorn of law, 150; his views on the levy of "ship-money," 168; presses for war with Scotland, 180; summoned from Ireland by Charles, 181; raised to the earldom of Strafford, *see* Strafford, Lord
Wentworth, Sir Peter, in the Rump, iii. 265
Wenzel, King of Bohemia, i. 467
Wesley, Charles, the "sweet singer" of the religious revival, iv. 144
Wesley, John, brother of the preceding, head of the Methodists and the embodiment of the religious revival, iv. 144; his organization of Methodism, 145; results of the movement, 146
Wessex, its overlordship and fall, i. 38; its power and extent under Ine, 60; becomes subject to Mercia, 61; its supremacy under Ecgberht, 67; attacked by the Northmen, 68–82
Western-English or Mercians, *see* English and Mercians
Westminster, abbey-church of, built by Henry III., i. 252; completed, 306; the abbey of, re-established by Queen Mary, ii. 256
Westmoreland, Ralph Neville, Earl of, i. 499, 501; his second son becomes Earl of Salisbury and Baron of Montemer, ii. 27
Westmoreland, Lord, enlists in the "Pilgrimage of Grace," ii. 167
Westmoreland, Earl of, one of the Cath-

olic earls who revolt against Elizabeth, ii. 363
Weston, succeeds the Duke of Buckingham as Lord Treasurer, iii. 133; denounced by Eliot in the House, 136; his economy, 141; becomes Lord Portland, *see* Portland, Earl of
Westphalia, Treaty of, iii. 280, 330, 332
West-Saxons, conquest of the, i. 25, 26
"Weyland's Smithy," origin of the legend of, i. 16
Wharton, Thomas, Lord, Lord-Lieutenant of Ireland, iv. 96; his death, 127
Whiggamore raid, iii. 251
Whigs, spring into organized existence in the contest between the army and the Parliament, iii. 235; possible origin of the appellation, 247; name originally given to the Covenanters, 415; Shaftesbury's country party of "petitioners," so called as a term of reproach, *ib.*; their triumph in 1708, iv. 95; their impeachment of Sacheverell and dismissal by Anne, 99; the Whigs and the Church, 119; the Whigs and the Crown, 121; the Whigs and Parliament, 123; the noble qualities to which they owed their long rule, 124; Pitt and the, 207; George III. breaks with the, 210; views of Burke, their one philosophical thinker, on Parliamentary Reform, 240; their timid peacefulness, 252; their leader's, Fox's, opinion of the younger Pitt, 275; their opposition to Pitt's scheme of Reform, 276; the Coalition, 277; defeated by Pitt, 280; Burke's "Appeal from the New to the Old Whigs," 295; disruption of their party through the French Revolution, 304; again become a powerful element in politics, 338; join Tories against Buonaparte, 353
Whitby, its monastery founded by Hild, i. 52; Synod of, 53, 54
Whitelock, iii. 5, 160, 171, 172, 205
Whitfield, the preacher of the religious revival, iv. 143; Horace Walpole's admiration for, *ib.*; his Calvinism, 146
Whitgift, Archbishop, his spiritual tyranny and his personal character, ii. 411; the Puritans demand the adoption of his Lambeth Articles, iii. 33
Whittingham, afterwards Dean of Durham, in exile at Frankfort, ii. 270; with his adherents finds refuge at Basle and Geneva, 271; their metrical translation of the Psalms, *ib.*
Wilberforce, William, iv. 266
Wilfrid of York, his visit to Rome, i. 53; his contest with Colman at the Synod of Whitby, *ib.*
Wilkes, John, his attack on the Bute ministry, iv. 215; the organ of the general excitement and discontent, *ib.*; representative of the new journalism in the *North-Briton*, *ib.*, 218; his struggle with Grenville and expulsion from Parliament, *ib.*; his renewed quarrel with the House of Commons, 237–241; imprisoned, *ib.*
Wilkins, Dr., promoter of the Royal Society, iii. 293, 317
William Longsword, Duke of the Normans, son of Rolf, i. 102
William the Conqueror, his parentage, character, and surnames, i. 104; anarchy of his minority, *ib.*; his victory at Val-es-Dunes, *ib.*; his reign in Normandy, *ib.*; visits England and receives from his cousin Edward a promise of succession to his throne, *ib.*; Maine and Brittany submit to his rule, 105; marries Matilda, *ib.*; his quarrel and reconciliation with Lanfranc, *ib.*; his dealings as to Harold's release and oath, *ib.*; receives the news of Edward's death and Harold's election, 106; his constitutional claim, *ib.*; his voyage to England, 107; lands at Pevensey, *ib.*; pitches his camp at Senlac, 107; the battle of Hastings, 108; his personal exploits, *ib.*; kills Gyrth, *ib.*; secures Romney and Dover, 109; Eadgyth surrenders Winchester to, *ib.*; crosses the Thames at Wallingford, *ib.*; London gives way to, *ib.*; begins the Tower of London, *ib.*; crowned at Westminster, *ib.*; exacts fines from the greater landowners, *ib.*; recognizes the privileges of London by royal writ, 110; leaves Odo and Fitz-Osbern his lieutenants, *ib.*; takes his place as an English King, *ib.*; reduces Eadwine and Morkere to submission, *ib.*; employs English troops, *ib.*; transformed into a conqueror by the national revolt of 1068, 111; his wrath and cool statesmanship, *ib.*; his march from the Tees to Chester, *ib.*; fresh rising of Eadwine and Morkere, 112; final establishment of his power, *ib.*; Malcolm swears fealty to, *ib.*; his daring and pitilessness, 119; his rule 120, 121; his checks upon feudalism, 121, 126; his organization of the Church, 125; his death, 127
William the Red, second son of the above, i. 128; his accession, *ib.*; revolt of Norman nobles under Odo, *ib.*; suppresses the revolt of Robert Mowbray, 129; his oppression of the baronage, *ib.*; development of feudal ideas under, *ib.*; his profligacy and extravagance, *ib.*; his abuse of the royal supremacy over the Church, *ib.*; his occupation of vacant sees, *ib.*; falls sick, and is frightened into appointing Anselm archbishop, 131; his recovery and dispute with Anselm, *ib.*; his ambition abroad, 132; takes Le Mans, *ib.*; Malcolm does homage to, *ib.*; establishes Edgar as an English feudatory on the throne of Scotland, *ib.*; his campaign in Wales, *ib.*; his death, *ib.*
William of Orange, driven by Alva from the Netherlands, ii. 356; enthusiasm of the English people for, 384; the London traders sent him half a million from their own purses, 399; his murder

by an assassin in the pay of Philip, 415
William of Orange, afterwards William III., succeeds to the government of Holland, iii. 385; his early life, his cold and sickly presence, his fiery temper and political ability, *ib.*; his heroic resistance to the French, 386; brings about a union with Austria, 390; peace with England, 393; Charles offers him the hand of the Duke's daughter, 394; his marriage, 398; his project of a Grand Alliance, 416; takes steps to reconcile Charles and the Parliament, *ib.*; prompts Halifax with regard to the Exclusion Bill, 417; visits England to win Charles to the Grand Alliance, 428; called on by James to declare in favor of the abolition of the penal laws and the Test, iv. 26; his refusal dictated by letters from leading nobles, 27; invited to intervene in arms in England, 31; accepts, 32; his landing, 34; national rising in his favor, 35; entrusted with provisional authority by the Convention, 37; declines to be regent, 38; with Mary, accepts the Crown accompanied by the Declaration of Rights, 39; the Grand Alliance he had designed against France is completed, 40; Scotland rises against him, 41; Killiecrankie, 42; massacre of Glencoe, 43; the Irish rising against him, 44; siege of Londonderry, 45; James and Ireland, 46; the revolution and the Monarchy, 47; taxation and the army, 48; Parliament and the Revolution, 49; the Toleration Act, 50; the Revolution and the Church, 51; his difficulties with the Parliament, 52; the Jacobites, *ib.*; dissolves the Parliament, and issues an Act of Grace, 53; sends Schomberg to Ireland, 54; battle of the Boyne, 55; Irish war, 56; Ireland conquered, *ib.*; the French descent on England strengthens his position, 57; intrigues against him, 58; battle of La Hogue, 59; the turn of the war, 60; the Revolution transfers the sovereignty of the King to the Commons, 61; counselled by Sunderland to recognize the power of the Commons, 62; the new ministerial system, 63; shows his political genius in understanding and adopting Sunderland's plan, 64; the Junto, *ib.*; Bank of England and effect of the evidence of the public credit on his position, 65; the Whig ministry, 66; death of the Queen, *ib.*; the Spanish succession, 67; his aims in concluding the Peace of Ryswick, 67, 68; the First Partition Treaty, 69; the Second Partition Treaty, 71; dismisses the Junto by Sunderland's advice, 72; effect of the accession of the Duke of Anjou upon him, 73; seizure of the Dutch barrier by Lewis, 74; the Act of Settlement, 75; the country and the war, 77; concludes a new Grand Alliance, 78; entrusts the war to Marlborough, 79; Churchill's services to him, 80; his death and its effect on the Alliance, 82
William the Lion, King of Scots, crosses the border with his army, i. 170; falls into the hands of Ranulf de Glanville, *ib.*; buys his release, *ib.*; 173
William of Coutances, Archbishop of Rouen, sent as justiciar to England, i. 174
William Fitz-Osbern, appointed viceroy in England, i. 110
William Longchamp, Bishop of Ely, justiciar and Papal legate, i. 173; Richard entrusts the realm to him, *ib.*; his scorn of Englishmen, *ib.*; conspires against John, 174; arrests Geoffrey, Archbishop of York, *ib.*; his exile, *ib.*; Richard's envoy in Germany, 176
William of the Long-Beard, called the "saviour of the poor," i. 213
William Longland, i. 356
William of Malmesbury, as an authority, i. 4, 5, 115; collects the ballads embodying the popular traditions of the English kings, 162; marks the fusion of conquerors and conquered, *ib.*; character of his historic spirit, *ib.*
William Marshal, Earl of Pembroke, opposes John, i. 219
William of Newborough, history of, i. 115
William of Poitiers, i. 5
William of Valence, Earl of Pembroke, i. 258
William of Worcester, i. 355
William of Wykeham, Bishop of Winchester, resigns the chancellorship, i. 436; attacked by John of Gaunt, 438, 440, 471
Williams, Roger, his preaching in New England, iii. 163
Willis, physiologist, iii. 317
Willoughby, Sir Hugh, ii. 372
Wiltshire, Earl of, *see* Boleyn, Sir Thomas
Winceby, Cromwell's Ironsides at, iii. 229
Winchelsey, Archbishop of Canterbury, his refusal to make a grant to Edward I., i. 344; offers to mediate with the barons, 345; head of the Ordainers, 362; excommunicates Gaveston, 363
Winchester, abbey at, founded by Ælfred, i. 75; submits to William, 109
Winchester, Henry, Bishop of (brother of Stephen), i. 149, 150
Winchester, Langton, Bishop of, the patron of learning, ii. 82
Winchester, Fox, Bishop of, establishes the first Greek lecture at Oxford, ii. 86; his approbation of the New Testament of Erasmus, 95
Winchester, Paulet, Marquis of, ii. 229
Winchester, Marquis of, his loyalty to Charles I., iii. 234
Windebank, secretary of state under Charles I., charged with corruption, iii. 191

Windsor Castle, establishment of the Order of the Garter in, i. 404
Winthrop, John, iii. 163, 164, 165
Wishart, diffuses Lutheran opinion in Scotland, ii. 260; his follower Knox, 261
Witenagemote, or meeting of wise men, i. 13; thegns and prelates constitute a large part of, 87; represents the whole English people, 89; its powers, *ib.*; attendance at, restricted to the greater nobles, 332; shire courts the lineal descendants of, 336
Wither, George, his poetic satire, iii. 158
Witt, De, John, Dutch Admiral, iii. 365; Pensionary of Holland, forced to conclude the Triple Alliance, 372; his refdsal to join England in an attack on France, 385; murdered in a popular tumult, *ib.*
Woden, the common god of the English, i. 15
Woodville, Sir Richard, marries the Duchess of Bedford, ii. 35; his daughter becomes wife of Edward IV., *ib.*; is made Lord Rivers, treasurer and constable, 37; distinctions and honors conferred on his kinsmen, *ib.*; taken and beheaded at Edgecote, 41; his kinsmen sent to the block by Richard III., 61
Woodward, mineralogist, iii. 317
Wolfe, General, his genius and heroism recognized by Pitt, iv. 184; takes Quebec, 185; his death, *ib.*
Wolsey, Cardinal, his foundation of Cardinal College, Oxford, ii. 86, 128; his rise, 104; his mind and temper, *ib.*; his policy, *ib.*; his efforts to check the power of France, 107; his influence abroad and his princely ostentation and pomp, 109; builds Hampton Court and York House, *ib.*; his ceaseless toil, *ib.*; his greatness as chancellor, *ib.*; appointed legate, 110; concentration of secular and ecclesiastical power in his hand, *ib.*; raises money by forced loans or "Benevolences," 114; his struggle with Parliament, *ib*; opposed by the clergy, *ib*; his vast schemes of foreign conquest, 115; his hopes of the Papacy foiled by the treachery of Charles, 117; roughly checked by laity and clergy in his renewed attempts to raise money, 118; his power shaken by the failure of his French schemes and the end of the Austrian Alliance, 119; regains his influence over the King by his gift to him of Hampton Court, *ib.*; his action with regard to Lutheranism, 125; his indifference to all but political matters shelters the reforming movement, 128; his intervention in the matter of Henry's divorce, 131: his embassy to Francis, *ib.*; joined with Cardinal Campeggio in a legatine commission, 132; decline of his power, 133; blamed by Clement for having hindered Henry from judging the matter in his own realm, 134; the legates open the court, *ib.*; adjournment of the court and citation of the King of Rome, 135, 136; his fall, 136; his connection with Cromwell, 140; first result of his fall, 142; arrested on a charge of high treason, 147; dies at the Abbey of Leicester, *ib.*
Wool-tax, under Edward I., i. 307, 345, 346, 443; under Edward III., 386; the wool-trade turned into a royal monopoly, 388; enactment obtained by the Commons relative to, 434
Worcester, Harthacnut's huscarles killed at, i. 98; burning of, *ib.*
Worcester, John Tiptoft, Earl of, the friend of Caxton, ii. 59
Wordsworth, iv. 324
Wriothesley, Chancellor, ii, 217; created Earl of Southampton, *see* Southampton
Wriothesleys, the, ii. 213
Wulfhere, son of Penda, i. 53; his rule, 57, 58; founds the abbey of Peterborough, 57
Wulfstan, Bishop of Worcester, defeats the barons in the West, i. 128
Wurmser, General, iv. 316
Wyatt, Sir Thomas, his verse, ii. 214; heads the Kentish rising against Queen Mary, 241; failure of the revolt, *ib.*, sent to the Tower, 242
Wycherley, iii. 311, 315
Wyclif, John, obscurity of his earlier life, i, 419; his scholastic teaching, *ib.*; his efforts at church reform, *ib.*; his treatise *De Dominio Divino*, 420; takes part as royal commissioner in the negotiations with the Pope at Bruges, 437; summoned to answer for heretical propositions before Bishop Courtenay and supported by John of Gaunt, 439, 441; his "Simple Priests," 445; the Peasant Revolt deprives him of the support of the aristocratic party, 459; denies the doctrine of Transubstantiation *ib.*; his academical condemnation, 460; his appeal to England at large the first of the kind, *ib.*; the father of our later English prose, *ib.*; rise of Lollardry under, 460-462; last of the great schoolmen, 463; his translation of the Bible, 463, 464, 465; his reply to the Papal summons, 464; his death, 464; his doctrines condemned at Constance, 514
Wykes, the royal chronicle of, i. 183
Wyndham, Sir William, Jacobite leader, iv. 118; his arrest, 129
Wyndham, Mr., iv. 304, 337

Y

Yeomen, the, i. 395; the bow their weapon, 396
York, the capital of Roman Britain, i. 25; occupied by William, 110; stormed by Eadgar the Ætheling, 111
York Scrope, Archbishop of, *see* Scrope

York, Duke of, uncle of Richard II., i. 481; made Regent, 487; submits to Henry of Lancaster, *ib.*
York, Richard, Duke of, his descent, i. 509, 527; his wide possessions, 527; Regent of France, *ib.*; the Beauforts' jealousy of, 529; his claims to the Crown, *ib.*; Lieutenant of Ireland, 531; his exclusion from the royal councils denounced by the Commons, 533; his strife with the Beauforts, 534-539; his failure, 535; his alliance with the Nevilles and joint revolt with them, 538; his claims as representative of Lionel of Clarence, 539; pleads his hereditary claim before the House of Lords, 540; defeated and slain at Wakefield, *ib.*
York, Edward, Duke of (Edward IV.) son of the preceding, i. 539; routs the Lancastrians at Mortimer's Cross, 541; hailed as King in London, *ib.*; with the victory of Towton the Crown passes to, 542; *see* Edward IV.
York, the House of, 1461-1485, ii, 9-66.
York, George Neville, Archbishop of, ii. 28; seals taken from him, 38; Edward reckons on his loyalty, 42, 43; his perfidy, 46.
York, James, Duke of, son of Charles I., Lord Admiral, iii. 335; marries Clarendon's daughter, 353; his victory over the Dutch off Lowestoft, 356; his conversion, 376; his share in the Treaty of Dover, 377; his engagement with De Ruyter off the coast of Suffolk, 384; owns himself a Catholic, and resigns his office as Lord High Admiral, 388; his marriage with Mary of Modena opposed by Shaftesbury, 391; his daughter married to the Prince of Orange, 399; sent to Brussels, 405; recalled on the King's illness and withdraws to Scotland on his recovery, 412; recalled to court, 415; his indictment by Shaftesbury, *ib.*; urges on Charles an alliance with Lewis, 419; called back to court, 428; his accession, iv. 12; *see* James II.
York, Frederick, Duke of, in the Netherlands, iv. 307; in Holland, 327
Yvry, victory of Henry IV. of France at, over the Leaguers, ii. 429

Z

Zaragoza, battle of, 358
Zealand, New, discovery of, iv. 192
Zorndorf, battle of, iv. 181
Zutphen, skirmish of Leicester's forces at, ii. 416

Sir Thomas Lumley "a Minister responsible to Parliament" 62
Sir Thomas Lumley exceeded Minister's powers 63
155 ton in connection with "Rotten boroughs" - 205